



Geosciences
Environment
Facility

Monitoring Stress-Induced Seismic Velocity Changes At SAFOD Using Continuous Active-Source Seismic Monitoring (CASSM)

T.M. Daley¹, T. Taira², F. Niu³, P. Marchesini¹, T. Wood¹, M. Robertson¹, J. Ajo-Franklin^{1,3}, E. Nichols¹
¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; ²Berkeley Seismological Laboratory; ³Rice University

Summary

Crosswell Continuous Active-Source Seismic Monitoring (CASSM)

- Crosswell CASSM makes use of fixed location sources and sensors in boreholes to continuously monitor seismic waveforms as they are temporally varied by changing geophysical conditions at depth.
- SAFOD (the San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth) is a drilling project for directly investigating the physical and chemical processes occurring within the San Andreas Fault Zone at seismogenic depth, consisting of two boreholes called the pilot and main holes.
- These deep SAFOD pilot and main holes provide a rare opportunity to conduct a crosswell CASSM experiment at near seismogenic depth.

2017-2019 SAFOD CASSM Experiment

- Our SAFOD CASSM system consists of a specially designed piezoelectric source in the pilot hole and a borehole accelerometer in the main hole. Piezoelectric sources are very attractive because the source pulses constitute highly reproducible signals with a rapid duty cycle.
- We have been able to install the newly built CASSM system with the SAFOD pilot and main holes facilities in June 2017.
- The system has been operating normally under a very unusual borehole setting (extremely high temperature and very corrosive borehole fluid) for more than 30 months, which has never been achieved before.

Long-term Goal of the SAFOD CASSM Experiment

- Developing a tool to monitor the time-varying stress/strain field associated with earthquakes and other stress-dependent earth processes (e.g., aseismic slips) (Silver et al., 2007, BSSA).
- Using the stress sensitivity of seismic velocity, we strive to obtain the maximum precision in measurement of stress induced travel time changes and to obtain long term monitoring of these changes (Marchesini et al., 2017, Geophysics).

Crosswell Continuous Active-Source Seismic Monitoring (CASSM)

San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth (SAFOD)




FIG. 1: Map of the Parkfield segment of the San Andreas Fault showing the SAFOD site (blue square). Also shown are seismicity (orange circles) from the Northern California Seismic System Earthquake Catalog (1984-2017). Two yellow circles indicate the epicenters of the 2004 and 2005 M6.0 Parkfield earthquakes. Red lines indicate the location of the SAFOD pilot and main holes. A black rectangle shows the location of our target area (black rectangle) in California.




FIG. 2: Schematic cross-section view of the San Andreas Fault Zone at Parkfield, showing the SAFOD pilot and main drill holes. The colors in the subsurface show electrical resistivity of the rocks as determined from surface geophysics. Red color indicates the lower resistivity rocks. U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Geological Survey.

Crosswell CASSM System

- An 18-element piezoelectric source and a three-component accelerometer were deployed inside the pilot and main holes, respectively, at ~1 km depth.
- The instrumentation deployment was completed on June 15, 2017. Initial testing demonstrated that high-quality seismic waveforms would be acquired.
- A three-component accelerometer was clamped to the well casing to provide coupling and reduce relative motions between the source and receiver.




FIG. 3: Photographs showing (a) the SAFOD main and pilot hole well heads and (b) SAFOD piezoelectric source (PES) installed at the SAFOD pilot hole.

SAFOD Data Acquisition System

- Daily stacked waveforms have shown that our CASSM experiment has the precision and repeatability necessary to monitor changes in subsurface structures for over 2-year period.
- The waveforms were automatically stacked in groups of 100 shots, resulting in one record acquired every 27 s (two additional seconds were needed in storing the data).




FIG. 4: Waveforms obtained in two horizontal components from our SAFOD experiment. Waveforms shown were obtained by stacking all waveforms recorded through November 2017. Inset shows the first 30 ms of the waveforms.

Delay Time Estimation




FIG. 5: Histogram of the measured delay times between two consecutive ~40 min records of (a) Horizontal-1 (ch02), (b) Horizontal-2 (ch03), and (c) Hydrophone (ch04). Dashed lines show the 95th percentile range.

FIG. 6: Daily stacked waveforms in (a) one of the horizontal components (channel 3) and (b) hydrophone (channel 4). Amplitudes are plotted by the color code in the bottom of the figure. The waveforms were changed. It remains unclear the underlying mechanism of these changes.

- To address the experimental precision in measuring velocity change, we first measured delay times between consecutive recordings.
- The delay times measured here include both measurement errors and time-induced velocity perturbations. Thus they can be considered as the upper bound of the actual precision in the delay time estimate.

2017-2019 SAFOD CASSM Experiment

Temporal Evolution of Delay Time

- One of the challenges of active source borehole experiments is long-term investigation longer temporal scale processes. We are currently working to investigate longer temporal scale processes.




FIG. 7: Time history of delay time from three-component seismic and hydrophone data. The figure shows delay time (microseconds) versus time (UTC) for Horizontal-1 (ch02), Horizontal-2 (ch03), and Hydrophone (ch04) from 2017/12 to 2019/09.

Velocity Changes follow

- Seismic Velocity Changed related to the delay time.
- The 2019 M 7.1 Ridgecrest earthquake occurred ~270 km from the SAFOD site.



FIG. 8: Map view of the SAFOD site and the 2019 Ridgecrest earthquake sequence.

Exploring Preseismic Velocity Changes

Preseismic Velocity Changes

- Niu et al. (2008, Nature) find two large excursions in the travel-time data coincident with two local Parkfield earthquakes (M3 and M1 events) sufficient to produce large coseismic stress changes at SAFOD.




FIG. 9: Time history of (a) delay time, (b) amplitude ratio for Horizontal-1 (ch02), and (c) amplitude ratio for Horizontal-2 (ch03) from 2005/12/21 to 2008/12/27.

Acknowledgements

■ We thank Andy Snyder for the SAFOD site access and Lind Gee and Lynn Dietz for the SAFOD site access. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC02-05OR21400.