

Biodiversity and climate extremes: known interactions and research gaps

M. D. Mahecha^{1,2,3}, A. Bastos⁴, F. J. Bohn², N. Eisenhauer^{3,5},
H. Feilhauer^{1,2,3}, T. Hickler^{6,7}, H. Kalesse-Los⁸, M. Migliavacca⁹,
F. E. L. Otto¹⁰, J. Peng^{1,2}, I. Tegen^{8,11}, A. Weigelt^{3,5}, M. Wendisch⁸,
C. Wirth^{3,5}, D. Al-Halbouni¹, H. Deneke¹¹, D. Doktor^{2,3}, S. Dunker^{2,3},
A. Ehrlich⁸, A. Foth⁸, A. García-García², C. A. Guerra^{3,5},
C. Guimarães-Steinicke^{1,5}, H. Hartmann^{4,12}, S. Henning¹¹, H. Herrmann¹¹,
C. Ji¹, T. Kattenborn^{1,3}, N. Kolleck¹³, M. Kretschmer^{8,14}, I. Kühn^{2,3,15},
M. L. Luttikus¹¹, M. Maahn⁸, M. Mönks¹, K. Mora^{1,3}, M. Pöhlker^{8,11},
M. Reichstein^{3,4}, N. Rüger^{3,16,17}, B. Sánchez-Parra^{3,5}, M. Schäfer⁸, S. Sippel⁸,
M. Tesche⁸, B. Wehner¹¹, S. Wieneke^{1,3}, A. J. Winkler⁴, S. Wolf¹, S. Zaehle⁴,
J. Zscheischler^{2,18}, and J. Quaas^{3,8}

¹Remote Sensing Centre for Earth System Research, Leipzig University, Institute for Earth System
Science and Remote Sensing, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

²Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, 04318, Leipzig, Germany

³German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Germany

⁴Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany

⁵Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

⁶Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁷Department of Physical Geography, Goethe University Frankfurt, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁸Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, Leipzig University, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

⁹European Commission, Joint Research Centre, 21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

¹⁰The Grantham Institute for Climate Change, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

¹¹Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), 04318 Leipzig, Germany

¹²Institute for Forest Protection, Julius Kühn-Institute, Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants,
06484 Quedlinburg, Germany

¹³Education and Socialization Theory, University of Potsdam, 14469 Potsdam, Germany

¹⁴Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK

¹⁵Department of Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, 06099
Halle (Saale), Germany

¹⁶Department of Economics, Leipzig University, 04109 Leipzig, Germany

¹⁷Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Ancón, Panama

¹⁸Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

35

Key Points:

36

- Mounting evidence suggests that an ecosystem's capacity to buffer the impacts of climate extremes depends on its biodiversity.

37

38

- Numerous mechanisms suggest that a reduction in biodiversity could exacerbate climate extremes.

39

40

- Understanding the full feedback loop linking biodiversity change and climate extremes requires an ambitious research agenda.

41

Corresponding author: Miguel D. Mahecha, miguel.mahecha@uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

Climate extremes are on the rise. Impacts of extreme climate and weather events on ecosystem services and ultimately human well-being can be partially attenuated by the organismic, structural, and functional diversity of the affected land surface. However, the ongoing transformation of terrestrial ecosystems through intensified exploitation and management may put this buffering capacity at risk. Here, we summarise the evidence that reductions in biodiversity can destabilise the functioning of ecosystems facing climate extremes. We then explore if impaired ecosystem functioning could, in turn, exacerbate climate extremes. We argue that only a comprehensive approach, incorporating both ecological and hydrometeorological perspectives, enables to understand and predict the entire feedback system between altered biodiversity and climate extremes. This ambition, however, requires a reformulation of current research priorities to emphasise the bidirectional effects that link ecology and atmospheric processes.

Plain Language Summary

Climate extremes are increasing and impacting both nature and people. We hypothesise that intact ecosystems, particularly via their biodiversity, can mitigate the impacts of climate extremes. What happens when biodiversity decreases? Could this loss make the effects of climate extremes even worse or change how these events occur? We explore these two questions and summarise the current state of knowledge. We conclude that targeted research efforts at the interface of ecology and atmospheric sciences are needed to answer these questions conclusively.

1 Introduction

The transformation of terrestrial ecosystems due to land cover change, land management intensification, and environmental pollution, continues to accelerate globally. These interventions lead to a widespread decline in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning (Bellard et al., 2012; Díaz et al., 2019; IPBES, 2019; Jaureguiberry et al., 2022). At the same time, climate change progresses (IPCC, 2021). One effect is that weather and climate-related extremes, such as droughts, heat waves, storms, and heavy rainfall increase in frequency, intensity, and some also in spatial extent (Alexander et al., 2006; Seneviratne et al., 2012; S. Lange et al., 2020; Fowler et al., 2021). Today, such extreme events unprecedented in magnitude and duration occur around the world (Witze et al., 2022),

such as the 2018-2020 multi-year drought over Europe (Rakovec et al., 2022). The intensification of extreme weather and climate events, with decreasing return periods and increased intensity, is one of the most critical consequences of anthropogenic climate change (IPCC, 2021; Fischer et al., 2021). But how will these two global mega-trends – biodiversity decline and the intensification of climate and weather extremes – affect each other? This scientifically challenging question has severe societal implications and needs to be addressed urgently in an integrative research approach.
















Climate extremes can affect human well-being directly, e.g., via health impacts due to extreme heat (Ebi et al., 2021). However, a wide range of impacts is mediated by land-surface characteristics, in particular vegetation. During heat and drought events, increasing sensible heat fluxes can alter regional land-climate feedbacks and thereby intensify the extreme event (Miralles et al., 2019; Barriopedro et al., 2023). Recently, García-García et al. (in press) revealed that soil hot extremes can intensify faster than air temperature extremes, a phenomenon driven in part by the soil moisture–temperature feedback, which can further dry and warm the soil. Furthermore, heavy precipitation may turn (or not) into catastrophic flooding, erosion, and land-slide events depending on the regional water retention potential, the local storage capacity of soils and flow control of landscapes, and their geomorphological properties and vegetation structure (Brunner et al., 2021; Vári et al., 2022). Both examples demonstrate that terrestrial ecosystems and their vegetation characteristics play a crucial role in controlling the impacts of extreme climate events.

Yet, the modulation of impacts of extreme events not only depends on vegetation structure but also on the functioning of ecosystems (Reichstein et al., 2014; De Boeck et al., 2018; Thonicke et al., 2020a). It is important to note that ecosystem functioning is connected to various dimensions of ‘biodiversity’, a broad concept embracing (i) genetic diversity, (ii) taxonomic diversity, (iii) functional diversity, (iv) structural diversity within ecosystems, and (v) landscape heterogeneity, to name the most relevant ones for our research context (for an overview and definitions see Tab. 1). These dimensions of biodiversity are not independent from each other, and the role of biodiversity in ecosystems also depends on the available species (identity). Patterns of biodiversity partly reflect biogeographical history, spatial structures in geofactors (‘geodiversity’), management, demographic history, or are an effect of internal disturbance dynamics (Bastos et al., 2023). It is widely recognised that losses in biodiversity can threaten the stability

of ecosystems and thereby their ability to support human life (Mooney et al., 2009; Pörtner et al., 2021). The reason for this is that changing biodiversity affect characteristic functions of ecosystems (Musavi et al., 2015; Migliavacca et al., 2021), such as their potential to absorb pollutants, store carbon, or provide numerous natural resources. In the context of climate extremes, biodiversity is relevant because it controls how the land surface responds to atmospheric conditions. Modification of the bio(geo)physical and biogeochemical determinants of processes such as fluxes of gases, water, and energy, and the release and absorption of primary emitted particles (Fröhlich-Nowoisky et al., 2016), regulate land-surface climate feedbacks and can thereby affect local to global climate (Bonan, 2008; Santanello et al., 2018; Ukkola et al., 2018; Miralles et al., 2019).

Considering that ecosystems interact with atmospheric conditions, a crucial question arises (Mahecha et al., 2022): Is there a risk that changing biodiversity in ecosystems may not only weaken the resistance of ecosystems to climate extremes and their capacity to provide services, but also exacerbate atmospheric hazards? In other words, may biodiversity changes amplify the risk of weather and climate-related extremes? Pörtner et al. (2023) recently issued a general call for a comprehensive investigation into the intricate relationship between changes in the climate system and biodiversity. Here, we conduct an extensive review of pertinent literature to determine how far we can already give answers to the specific aspect of extremes. We first aim to understand whether higher levels of biodiversity buffer climate extremes (Section 2), and second, explore amplification processes of weather and climate extreme events dynamics in response to declining biodiversity (Section 3). Based on the conclusiveness of the literature on these aspects, we identify key research gaps that should be addressed to understand the full feedback between biodiversity change and climate extremes (Section 4).

Table 1. Biodiversity is ‘the variability among living organisms from all sources, [...]: this includes diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems’ (CBD, 1992). Here, we provide an overview of dimensions of biodiversity relevant to ecosystem responses to and feedback processes with the atmosphere

Dimension	Definition	Illustration
Genetic	Diversity of genetic properties within and across species. Also contains heritable changes in gene function not involving changes in DNA sequence (i.e., epigenetics).	  Genetic diversity 
Taxonomic	Diversity of species, calculated e.g. as species richness or evenness per unit of investigation.	  Taxonomic diversity 
Functional	Diversity of plant functional traits i.e. the morphological, anatomical, physiological, biochemical properties of plants and their organs.	  Functional diversity 
Structural	Vertical and horizontal arrangements of physical components of plants and their organs, such as leaf layers and branches.	  Structural diversity 
Landscape	Diversity and complexity of lateral arrangements of ecosystems within a landscape. Contributes to the overall biodiversity of a region by shaping habitats that support different ecosystems; synonym for ‘landscape heterogeneity’.	  Landscape diversity 

2 Biodiversity buffers against weather and climate extremes

Numerous studies investigate how climate extremes impact ecosystems. Two key concepts are frequently used: ecosystem “resistance”, which is the capacity to withstand a climate extreme, and ecosystem “resilience”, which characterises how fast and complete a system recovers following an extreme event (sensu Hoover et al., 2014; De Keersmaecker et al., 2016). Together, these concepts help to differentiate and quantify the ways in which ecosystems, as a function of their biodiversity, buffer the impact of extreme climatic events (for an illustration see Fig. 1).

Given the various dimensions of biodiversity outlined in Table 1, what specific knowledge do we have about their role in buffering extremes? In terms of taxonomic diversity, it appears that a few particular species often resist climate extremes, keeping up ecosystem functioning, or preventing community collapse under stress (De Laender et al., 2016; Werner et al., 2021). This phenomenon is classically known as “the insurance effect” (Yachi & Loreau, 1999; Loreau et al., 2021) and has been mostly inferred from experimental studies (Kayler et al., 2015; Loreau et al., 2021). For example, Isbell et al. (2015) show that grasslands with higher species diversity when exposed to exceptional dry or wet conditions have higher resistance, an effect attributed to the species-specific responses to particular stressors (Craven et al., 2018). Liu et al. (2022) reported that forest resistances against droughts increase with species richness. However, the insurance effect cannot be attributed to species-specific responses only. Variations in genetic properties of individuals within species can likewise lead to varying resistance to climate extremes. This was shown by Pfenninger et al. (2021), who analysed the susceptibility of individual beech trees to the extreme drought in central Europe in 2018, and illustrated the wide range of drought damages within a single species.

Intraspecific genetic diversity is one reason why taxonomic diversity alone is insufficient to explain ecosystem responses to extremes. Another reason is that, at the ecosystem level, responses to extremes are also largely regulated by a system’s “functional diversity”, defined by the variability of functional traits, such as leaf, stem, or root chemical properties and “structural diversity” (see Table 1). This explains why taxonomic diversity alone plays only a subordinate role in stabilising ecosystem functioning in many cases (Musavi et al., 2017). Mursinna et al. (2018) show that information on root trait diversity is needed to explain an ecosystem’s drought sensitivity. Forest responses to droughts




Figure 1. The ability of an ecosystem to resist or absorb changes in its states and functions over time is defined as ‘resistance’. The capacity to recover to pre-event conditions is termed ‘resilience’. Both resistance and resilience act over time, and jointly constitute the ‘buffering capacity’. In this figure we exemplify systems with a) high resistance and low resilience, b) low resistance, and high resilience, and c) very low resilience such that the critical threshold is reached and no return to pre-event conditions can be achieved.

largely depend on the traits associated with isohydric versus anisohydric behaviour of trees (Hartmann et al., 2021; Lübbe et al., 2022). In general, the diversity of functional traits of organisms regulate how fluxes of energy, water, and nutrients are absorbed, stored and released given certain environmental conditions (Violle et al., 2007; Berendse et al., 2015; Anderegg et al., 2019). Even organisms coexisting in the same ecosystem (i.e. species that have passed an identical “environmental filter”) exhibit a considerable degree of variation in their functional role, and therefore in their contribution to the resistance of ecosystem with respect to weather and climate-related extreme events (resistance Reyer et al., 2013; Felton & Smith, 2017), and their ability to recover from such events. Figure 2 illustrates conceptually how the insurance effect, mediated via functional diversity, could dampen the reduction of net primary production (NPP) and the increase in sensible heat flux during a heat wave in a more diverse forest, compared to a low-diversity forest.








Figure 2. Illustration of the insurance effect: Hypothetical response of net primary production (NPP, net CO₂ uptake rate) to a heatwave (shown in reddish background colours) in a) a diverse forest, and c) a mono-culture. Analogous responses for energy fluxes are shown in b) and d). While low-diversity forests might initially have higher NPP, their low resistance might imply higher losses and reduced resilience given the lack of species compensation, i.e. a low insurance effect. The same effect can be observed for energy fluxes, where the ratios between latent and sensible heat fluxes change more drastically in low-diversity forests, with consequences for both ecosystems and atmospheric energy budgets.

Functional diversity is linked to structural heterogeneity at the stand level: mixtures of growth forms, plant sizes, and demographic stages appear to play an equally important role in the stabilisation of ecosystems. Guimarães-Steinicke et al. (2021) show the dominant effect of varying mixtures of herbs and grasses on the variability of vegetation surface temperatures. The meta-analysis by Craven et al. (2018) emphasises that functional biodiversity dimensions are determined by the asynchrony of abundances and thus affect the stability of ecosystem functioning. Taken together, in a changing climate with increasing occurrence of extreme weather and climate-related events, all dimensions of diversity may cause some degree of insurance against the shocks induced by climate extremes.

The buffering role of biodiversity is, however, a scale-dependent process. In general, translating insights from experiments and theory to large-scale and real-world settings proves difficult (Kreyling et al., 2008; Grossiord et al., 2019; Gonzalez et al., 2020). At the regional to continental scale, predominant and landscape heterogeneity will determine the predominant response mechanisms (Teuling et al., 2010; Flach et al., 2021; Bastos, Fu, et al., 2020). Remote sensing observations are key to overcoming scaling issues (Cavender-Bares et al., 2022), as it can monitor ecosystem responses, extreme weather and climate events from the ground, as well as from airborne- and space-borne platforms, covering local to global scales (Mahecha et al., 2017; Cavender-Bares et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2021). De Keersmaecker et al. (2016) study the resistance and resilience against drought across grasslands in central Europe using optical remote sensing observations. They conclude that nutrient-poor and species-rich grasslands appear to be more resistant, but less resilient against drought. The reverse seems to be true for fertilised, species-poor grasslands. These results are consistent with local experimental studies. The emerging and constantly growing body of global remote sensing data improves our capabilities of tracing biodiversity dynamics (Skidmore et al., 2021; Cavender-Bares et al., 2022), ecosystem management (M. Lange et al., 2022), and multiple land-surface processes (Mahecha et al., 2020). Combined, these data streams can be also used for quantifying how ecosystems buffer the impacts of climate extreme events, a task that should be prioritised.

Table 2. Extreme weather events are rare occurrences at a specific place and time, while climate extremes are persistent patterns of extreme weather (AR6 WG1 Ch. 11 IPCC, 2021). Four empirical descriptions of extremes are relevant: univariate, multivariate, spatiotemporal, and record-shattering. These categories describe the rarity, intensity, frequency, duration, and extent of events, including compound extremes and multiple meteorological drivers.

Extreme	Definition	Illustration
Univariate	Rarity of an event relative to a statistical probability distribution, either in terms of intensity, frequency, spatio-temporal extent, duration, in one variable of interest.	
Compound	Multivariate indices of extremes, also referred to as ‘compound’ extreme events, include unusual combinations of climate drivers.	
Spatio-temporal	Considering the spatio-temporal extent of an extreme event leads to additional metrics such as an event’s duration, geographical coverage, volume, and integrated magnitude.	
Record shattering	Events that exceed previous observational records by multiple orders of magnitude, typically measured by return times, and improbable without climate change.	

3 Biodiversity imprints on atmospheric processes and extremes

Global circulation patterns determine which regions of the world are exposed to high aridity or high humidity, respectively, and during which seasons. Variations in atmospheric circulation also have a strong influence on extreme event occurrences (Coumou & Rahmstorf, 2012). For example, atmospheric blocking situations or recurrent atmospheric wave patterns lead to extended and persistent high-pressure systems or stationary lows, which may cause heatwaves or flooding that have severe consequences for ecosystem functioning (Desai et al., 2016; Flach et al., 2018; Kornhuber et al., 2019; Bastos, Ciais, et al., 2020). Blocking situations are particularly frequent over Europe, and also cause several other types of weather extremes (Kautz et al., 2022). Ongoing anthropogenic climate change is expected to further increase extreme weather around the globe and even the underlying circulation patterns are expected to change (Faranda et al., 2020). However, the extent to which such projected circulation changes are robust over Central Europe remains a matter of debate (Huguenin et al., 2020).

Although weather- and climate extreme events are primarily triggered by atmospheric processes, land-atmosphere interactions also contribute to their genesis and occurrence. Management and transformation of ecosystems, and consequently biodiversity, can change surface properties, including albedo and emissivity, roughness, evaporative resistance, and heat fluxes (Laguë et al., 2019). These interventions can substantially alter atmospheric humidity, transport dynamics, and, ultimately, cloud evolution and precipitation at regional and global scales (Avisar & Werth, 2005; Machado et al., 2018). It has also been shown that the surface albedo modulates the intensity of heat/drought extreme events through changes in evapotranspiration and vertical energy fluxes, i.e., sensible, latent heat, and radiative energy fluxes (Miralles et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). Since heat and drought amplification mechanisms depend on the type of ecosystem they affect, it is expected that the ecosystem itself can influence how the land-surface processes propagate (Teuling et al., 2017). Ecosystem imprints of this kind can also have remote effects. For instance, Schumacher et al. (2019) show that heatwaves can propagate in space through lateral heat transfer (see also Miralles et al., 2019). Furthermore, ecosystem imprints on atmospheric conditions change with the seasons. At higher latitudes, for example, snow-covered surfaces, might amplify the blocking conditions in winter high-pressure situations. Arctic warming may cause extreme cold air outbreaks in winter and thus in-

fluence the mid-latitudes. Given the biophysical imprint of ecosystems on atmospheric processes, management can be of crucial relevance for buffering extreme events.

However, the question we explore is whether there is evidence for biological function and feedback influencing climate extremes. Furthermore, considering the impact of biodiversity on biological functioning, can patterns of biodiversity be directly associated with climate extremes? Possible interaction paths of biodiversity and climate extremes are illustrated in Fig. 3. A key example is clouds, which are influenced by water in its three thermodynamic phases, energy fluxes, the concentration of biogenic volatile compounds (BVOCs), and aerosol particle fluxes mediated by vegetation characteristics (Duveiller et al., 2021), and, at the same time, exert an important and instantaneous climate-extreme buffering effect. In the presence of clouds, hot days remain cooler and, inversely, cold nights become warmer. Plant biodiversity stabilises ecosystem functioning (Musavi et al., 2017), and thus can be considered a key player in this interaction. A more direct effect of biodiversity on atmospheric processes than the control of latent heat is the emission of BVOCs, which impacts the tropospheric oxidising capacity, including substances such as ozone through chemical degradation processes and leads to biogenic particles of secondary origin (Riipinen et al., 2011; Lehtipalo et al., 2018; Riccobono et al., 2014; Luttikus et al., 2022). Additionally, primary biogenic particles such as pollen are also directly emitted, which can foster the heterogeneous freezing of super-cooled cloud droplets by acting as ice-nucleating particles at warmer temperatures than in their absence (O'Sullivan et al., 2018; Kretzschmar et al., 2023). Vegetation stress caused by heat and drought, which can result in biomass burning in the most severe cases, may lead to extremes both in atmospheric aerosol particle emissions and BVOC emissions (Grote et al., 2019). More biogenic particles of primary or secondary origin, are expected to trigger direct and indirect effects including an enhanced aerosol-radiation interaction, an increase of the fraction of diffuse to direct solar radiation, which in turn has a stimulating effect on vegetation productivity and to enhance the land carbon sink (Rap et al., 2015, 2018). Also, such aerosol particles could set off changes in cloud microphysical (droplet size, droplet concentration, and liquid water content) and optical (cloud albedo and transmissivity) properties and, consequently, local precipitation patterns (Niinemets, 2010; Jiang et al., 2018; Sporre et al., 2019). These examples suggest that vegetation plays an important role in the development of local atmospheric chemistry parameters that may strongly shape the development of extreme events. Considering that biodiversity influences veg-




Figure 3. Illustration of the general role of biodiversity as a buffer to climate extremes. “Biodiversity” is understood here as a multifaceted term that embraces everything from genetic, via functional traits, to landscape scale heterogeneity, as it is currently the accepted idea in international frameworks (Pereira et al., 2013), and including “geodiversity” (Gray, 2011). All these dimensions of biodiversity constrain ecosystem functioning (Reichstein et al., 2014), effectively translating climate impulses into fluxes and signals that contribute to multiple feedback mechanisms with the atmosphere (Bonan, 2008). Alterations of biodiversity dimensions must therefore feedback to climate extremes (red arrows), which, considering the future intensification of extremes, have the potential to transform biodiversity itself. Ecosystem services are directly affected by biodiversity and ecosystem functions.

etation dynamics, it stands to reason that biodiversity should have a discernible impact on climate extremes.

A particularly intertwined set of processes links functional diversity and fire regimes (Wirth, 2005). However, in the wake of climate change, fires are also on the rise, which regionally is leading to increased burned area and fire return intervals (Jones et al., 2022). The record breaking 2019/20 fires in Australia were unprecedented in intensity and ex-

274 tent leading to enormous emissions of CO₂ and soot particles (van der Velde et al., 2021).
 275 Given that fire dynamics depends on vegetation properties, certain plant traits and the
 276 amount of available fuel are important controls of the intensity and development of fires,
 277 biodiversity has also an effect on the types of particles emitted. In a recent review, Jones
 278 et al. (2022) describe the complexity of the factors to consider when understanding wild-
 279 fires. From this review and other studies, the important role of fires on particle injec-
 280 tion into the atmosphere and the interaction of lightning and pyroconvection become
 281 evident (Altaratz et al., 2010; Dowdy & Pepler, 2018). Processes of this kind are exam-
 282 ples of how a biodiversity influences land-surface responses and mechanisms that ulti-
 283 mately affect the atmosphere.

284 In summary, ecosystem properties and processes can buffer the impacts of weather
 285 and climate-related extremes, with their effectiveness often depending on the state of their
 286 biodiversity. While it is recognised that biodiversity and land-surface dynamics may in-
 287 fluence certain extreme events, the extent of this influence remains inadequately under-
 288 stood. The precise role of biodiversity and the overall magnitude of these effects, from
 289 local to global scales, have yet to be clearly quantified. Given the existing evidence of
 290 this inter-connectivity, we need to consider whether deliberately increasing functional
 291 diversity, through management or rewilding (Svenning et al., 2016) should be re-evaluated
 292 in light of its potential to dampen extreme events. Even if shifts in ecosystem charac-
 293 teristics and biodiversity do not significantly alter the frequency of climate extremes, there
 294 are multiple processes that have the potential to amplify or dampen a range of weather
 295 and climate-related extremes and their impacts. Managing ecosystems for improved drought
 296 resistance and resilience (Balch et al., 2020; Pörtner et al., 2021) could be instrumen-
 297 tal in influencing land-atmosphere feedbacks. To harness this potential, we need a deeper
 298 understanding of these feedback mechanisms. The challenge is not necessarily a short-
 299 age of scientific hypotheses, but rather the integration of diverse scientific disciplines, their
 300 observational methodologies, and modelling approaches.




Figure 4. Uncommon temporal sequences and carryover effects. Two consecutive years with combined drought and heatwaves can have particularly strong impacts since species-specific defences can be reduced and lead to higher vulnerabilities to e.g. insects. Reduced chemical defences and generally depleted pools render vegetation more sensitive. The interplay of preconditioning and carryover effects amplifies the impacts of sequential extremes. Abbreviations are: T = temperature, VPD = vapour pressure deficit, BVOCs = biogenic volatile organic compounds, H = sensible heat, LE = latent heat, and SM = soil moisture (Figure created with BioRender.com).

4 Research gaps

Despite substantial progress in understanding the relationship between climate extremes and biodiversity change, there remain substantial scientific gaps that this section will elucidate. While there is a relatively solid understanding of how ecosystems buffer at least specific types of climate extremes, the quantification of biodiversity's impact on related atmospheric processes is less developed. The subsequent points emphasize areas that require further investigation:

- **Quantifying biodiversity buffers across event types:** For other than the well-studied cases of droughts and heatwaves, we have only weak evidence for the dampening or amplifying processes. This concerns mostly the rather small-scale events such as spring frost, heavy precipitation events, solar radiation- or ozone maxima.

These events have been studied less frequently and intensely, even if they are known to have locally important impacts. Radiation extremes, for instance, may evolve locally and regionally in response to specific synoptic situations, due to a lack of evaporation or in reaction to inhomogeneous cloud cover (van Heerwaarden et al., 2021; Fast et al., 2019). Impacts of weather extremes of this kind have been overlooked so far, but may be particularly sensitive to changes in biodiversity.

- **Considering all dimensions of biodiversity:** Genetic, taxonomic, and functional diversity shape buffers and feedback mechanisms in specific ways. How will the changes in these biodiversity dimensions affect the buffering capacity of ecosystems? We assume that the key dimension to consider here is functional diversity. Local features, such as canopy height represent a key buffer against thermal amplification of heat extremes (Lin et al., 2020). Variations in canopy surface height were found to reduce spatial variation in canopy temperatures (Guimarães-Steinicke et al., 2021). Functional diversity similarly controls the amplification/dampening of local climate extremes (Ratcliffe et al., 2016; Pardos et al., 2021), but so does landscape composition (Flach et al., 2021; Bastos, Fu, et al., 2020) and heterogeneity at larger scales (Oehri et al., 2020). What we miss is a global catalogue of how each of the biodiversity dimensions interact with the variety of climate extremes.
- **Embracing multiple spatial and temporal scales:** Just like biodiversity patterns, meteorological drivers are also scale-dependent. Research is needed to include all relevant scales, including micro-meteorological (metres to sub-km), synoptic (up to 1000 km), and hemispheric to global scales, which all appear to be relevant to the occurrence of extremes. Temporally, atmospheric variability ranges from the weather time scales (hours/days) to the interannual and multidecadal patterns of large-scale circulations. Completing our picture of biodiversity buffers and feedback mechanisms at different scales will require addressing feedbacks across spatial and temporal scales. Remote sensing of land surface and atmospheric properties offers the means for studies of this kind, and the first examples show how landscape heterogeneity influences ecosystem functioning across scales (Oehri et al., 2020). Scale-bridging exercises are important since ecosystems not only have characteristic resistances to weather- and climate-related extremes. They are also part of a dynamic pulse-response mechanism Harris et al. (2018) that controls nu-

merous processes at the land-atmosphere interface at different and interacting spatio-temporal scales (see Fig. 2), which need to be understood more deeply.

- **The critical role of time:** Another crucial aspect related to the impact of extremes is their timing. Ecosystems are composed of individual organisms, each following characteristic phenology and responses to environmental conditions. Functional traits vary over time, making the functional diversity of entire ecosystems time-dependent (Ma et al., 2020). In consequence, resistance and resilience at the ecosystem level are determined by an interplay of event-timing and a time-dependent buffering capacity. At longer time scales, an ecosystem's specific succession stage leads to different response trajectories (Johnstone et al., 2016). Besides timing, both duration (von Buttlar et al., 2018) and recurrence (Anderegg et al., 2020; Bastos et al., 2021) of extremes are decisive for an ecosystem's resistance and resilience (Frank et al., 2015; Sippel et al., 2018; Thonicke et al., 2020a). This means that any feedback mechanisms between biodiversity and climate extremes must also be time-dependent.
- **Preconditions are key determinants:** Pre-exposure critically determines how ecosystems' resistance and resilience interact with weather or climate-related extremes. Warm spring seasons combined with early water shortage may result in lower summer resistance to extremes (Flach et al., 2018; Sippel et al., 2018). Lower resistances diminish the buffer capacity of ecosystems, allowing impacts of extremes in subsequent seasons to be more readily amplified (see fig. 1). On longer time scales, increased disturbance regimes can further influence such feedbacks (Seidl et al., 2017; Forzieri et al., 2021). Recent work reveals the importance of memory effects in sequential hot drought years for tree growth and stress responses (Bastos, Ciais, et al., 2020; Schnabel et al., 2021). Figure 4 illustrates how an ecosystem's buffering capacity is weakened by an extreme event, such that consecutive droughts may lead to an even longer-lasting impact on vegetation dynamics and functions in following years. Research on lagged responses, such as the species-specific tree mortality caused by climate extremes, is still in its infancy (Sippel et al., 2018; Cailleret et al., 2019; Zscheischler et al., 2020). Understanding these complex impact chains requires scrutinising their drivers and modulating factors (Zscheischler et al., 2020; Kretschmer et al., 2021).

- **Understanding bidirectional effects:** Land-surface composition plays a crucial role in the development and propagation of certain extreme events. However, predicting how ecosystem's biodiversity shapes land-atmosphere interactions is not yet possible. Even less is known about the imprint of specific biodiversity features and processes that modulate these interactions and regulate extremes. Effects of this type are manifold and range from emission of biogenic aerosol particles acting as ice-nucleating particles required for heterogeneous ice formation in clouds (Jokinen et al., 2015), to carbon cycle effects (Reichstein et al., 2013), and large-scale land-surface-atmosphere interactions (Forzieri et al., 2020). In this context, it is important to recognise the indirect effects of biodiversity in stabilising plant communities and vegetation structure. If biodiversity helps prevent a biome shift from tropical forests to grasslands (see (Sakschewski et al., 2016)), this has major implications for the land-atmosphere feedback. Overall, we find that many research gaps prevent from accurately predicting how changing dimensions of biodiversity are affected and how they, in turn, modulate different types of atmospheric and climatic extremes.
- **From anticipation to sustainable management:** Climate change and the ongoing transformation of terrestrial ecosystems lead to unprecedented constellations of climate extremes and biodiversity. For instance, little is known about whether extremes that exceed historical records by large margins (Fischer et al., 2021) have disproportionately large effects on ecosystems, thus exceeding the adaptive capacities, or whether ecosystems are able to cushion the impact of such drastic extremes. While such events have been observed recently, the rarity of these events, their expected increase in the future, and the limitations of current models to represent the complex feedback between climate extremes and biodiversity across spatio-temporal scales expose another research gap. Currently, even the conceptual basis to address this gap has not yet been developed. It is unclear what level of process complexity and spatio-temporal scales need to be represented for robust projections and whether this is computationally feasible. As a consequence, the strength and even the sign of the feedback between biodiversity change and diverse types of climate extremes at different scales remain unknown. Management for climate adaptation and mitigation would require reliable predictive models that have only

started to represent certain aspects of functional diversity, which needs to be developed much further.

- **Socio-ecological dimensions and systemic risk:** Thinking ahead, we would argue that ultimately empirical and modelling research needs to develop more integrated approaches that consider biodiversity, multiple ecosystem services, and social-ecological dynamics together (Thonicke et al., 2020b) to fully address feedbacks leading to systemic risks of climate extremes (Reichstein et al., 2021). This approach requires collaboration between different disciplines, such as ecology, atmospheric sciences and climatology, psychology, and social sciences. The understanding of the interactions between climate extremes, biodiversity, ecosystem services, and socio-ecological systems can also inform policy and management strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating the impacts of climate change without sacrificing other ecosystem services. For example, policies that prioritise the protection of critical ecosystems and biodiversity can enhance the resilience of ecosystems to climate extremes and support carbon sequestration, which can help mitigate the impacts of climate change in a no-regret strategy (Erb et al., 2022).

The overarching and unresolved question we identify here is: When do we expect dampening or amplifications due to interactions between biodiversity dynamics and climate extremes? Only by answering this question can we manage ecosystems to maximise their resistance and resilience to future climate conditions, in particular to more frequent extremes. More research is required to understand and quantify such feedback mechanisms and their spatial and temporal dependencies. Local-scale studies are particularly important to quantify changes in biodiversity-related drivers of the climate system. A pivotal issue that remains unresolved is how to quantify the imprints of local and small-scale biodiversity patterns on large-scale synoptic or global circulation patterns. An additional complication is how to identify the remote influence of biodiversity linked to atmospheric teleconnections.

5 Summary and Conclusions

The scientific gaps identified in this paper require a rethinking of current research priorities and the development of an ambitious interdisciplinary agenda. This strategic plan needs to explore the relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem dynamics in response to climate extremes, and as a mechanism in the evolution of climate extremes at multiple spatial scales and across large environmental gradients.

One cornerstone is observations. There is an urgent need for large-scale observational studies to establish causal relationships and their relevance at different spatial and temporal scales. In-situ and remote sensing observations that can simultaneously quantify multiple dimensions of taxonomic, structural, functional, and landscape diversity and composition need to be aligned with the monitoring of atmospheric thermodynamics and composition. There are fundamental advances in satellite-based Earth observations for both climate and ecosystem monitoring (Mahecha et al., 2020; Skidmore et al., 2021) that are increasingly integrated with in-situ observations of biodiversity (Dornelas et al., 2018), global observatories of ecosystem-atmosphere exchanges such as FLUXNET (Baldocchi, 2020), or specific processes such as tree mortality (Hartmann et al., 2018). Machine learning plays a key role in achieving this much-needed data integration (Bodesheim et al., 2022) and is increasingly empowered by deep learning (Reichstein et al., 2019).

Next to high-quality observations, we need powerful models. We must understand how terrestrial ecosystem dynamics feed back into atmospheric variability and how biodiversity modulates these relationships. For this aim, we need a new generation of predictive models that is capable of capturing the interactions between atmospheric processes, biodiversity patterns, and ecosystems. The models need to be able to adequately test hypotheses about feedback mechanisms. The development of functional digital twins of the climate system is now in reach, soon providing climate simulations at the kilometre scale (Bauer et al., 2021; Slingo et al., 2022), but high-resolution simulations alone are likely not enough to accurately reflect the coupling and feedbacks between climate and biodiversity. The digital twin concept for ecosystems is still in a more conceptual phase (Buonocore et al., 2022), as much research needs to be done for realistically representing biodiversity in land-surface models (Scheiter et al., 2013; Bendix et al., 2021). Today, a series of prototypes of a Digital Twin for biodiversity is currently being developed. Once developed, such models will allow to predict in detail what types of man-

agement interventions would increase ecosystem resistance and resilience to changing climate extremes.

Today, there is a growing awareness of the interconnections of biodiversity decline and climate change, as shown in a recent report jointly published by IPCC & IPBES (Pörtner et al., 2021; Pörtner et al., 2023) and in a series of policy tools. For instance, the new European Union (EU) Forest Strategy for 2030 and other high-level policy initiatives by the European Commission have recognised the value of the multi-functionality of forests, including their regulatory role in atmospheric processes. However, the observational and modelling bases are rather weak. Elsewhere, the lack of research on the feedback loop linking biodiversity change and climate extremes is also evident in policy, which sometimes pays too little attention to both aspects. One example is the consideration of climate extremes and biodiversity in the Common Agricultural Policy of the EU. The EU's subsidy policy has caused more than 70 percent of agricultural land to grow feed for livestock. This promotes monocultures, the cheap consumption of meat in the EU and harms not only the climate but also biodiversity. At the same time, there is a lack of scientific studies on the interactions between loss of biodiversity and climate extremes. By addressing these critical research gaps, we will significantly enhance our understanding of biodiversity buffers, thereby aiding efforts to preserve their capacity to mitigate climate extremes and safeguard ecosystem resilience.

Data availability statement

This paper is based on a literature review; no original data have been used. All figures were generated based on conceptual considerations using the biorender.org software.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Saxon State Ministry for Science, Culture and Tourism (SMWK project 232171353) and the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, funded by the German Research Foundation (FZT 118, 202548816). Leipzig University thanks the DLR for funding ML4Earth and the VW foundation and Niedersächsisches Vorab for funding “Digital Forest”. Leipzig University and the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry thank the European Space Agency for funding “Deep Extremes” via AI4Science and the EU for funding the “XAIDA” project, grant agreement No 101003469.

References

- Alexander, L. V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T. C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank, A. M. G., . . . Vazquez-Aguirre, J. L. (2006). Global observed changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111(D5). doi: 10.1029/2005JD006290
- Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Yair, Y., & Price, C. (2010). Lightning response to smoke from amazonian fires. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(7).
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Trugman, A. T., Badgley, G., Konings, A. G., & Shaw, J. (2020). Divergent forest sensitivity to repeated extreme droughts. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(12), 1091–1095. doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-00919-1
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Trugman, A. T., Bowling, D. R., Salvucci, G., & Tuttle, S. E. (2019). Plant functional traits and climate influence drought intensification and land-atmosphere feedbacks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116(28), 14071–14076. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1904747116
- Avisar, R., & Werth, D. (2005). Global Hydroclimatological Teleconnections Resulting from Tropical Deforestation. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 6(2), 134–145. doi: 10.1175/JHM406.1
- Balch, J. K., Iglesias, V., Braswell, A. E., Rossi, M. W., Joseph, M. B., Mahood, A. L., . . . Travis, W. R. (2020). Social-Environmental Extremes: Rethinking Extraordinary Events as Outcomes of Interacting Biophysical and Social Systems. *Earth's Future*, 8(7). doi: 10.1029/2019EF001319
- Baldocchi, D. D. (2020). How eddy covariance flux measurements have contributed to our understanding of global change biology. *Global change biology*, 26(1), 242–260.
- Barriopedro, D., García-Herrera, R., Ordóñez, C., Miralles, D., & Salcedo-Sanz, S. (2023). Heat waves: Physical understanding and scientific challenges. *Reviews of Geophysics*, e2022RG000780.
- Bastos, A., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Fan, L., . . . Zaehle, S. (2020). Direct and seasonal legacy effects of the 2018 heat wave and drought on European ecosystem productivity. *Science Advances*, 6(24), eaba2724. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aba2724
- Bastos, A., Fu, Z., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., . . . Zaehle, S.

- (2020). Impacts of extreme summers on European ecosystems: a comparative analysis of 2003, 2010 and 2018. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1810), 20190507. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2019.0507
- Bastos, A., Orth, R., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., ... Sitch, S. (2021). Increased vulnerability of European ecosystems to two compound dry and hot summers in 2018 and 2019. *Earth System Dynamics Discussions*, 1–32. doi: 10.5194/esd-2021-19
- Bastos, A., Sippel, S., Frank, D., Mahecha, M. D., Zaehle, S., Zscheischler, J., & Reichstein, M. (2023). A joint framework for studying compound ecoclimatic events. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1–18.
- Bauer, P., Dueben, P. D., Hoesler, T., Quintino, T., Schulthess, T. C., & Wedi, N. P. (2021). The digital revolution of earth-system science. *Nature Computational Science*, 1(2), 104–113.
- Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W., & Courchamp, F. (2012, April). Impacts of climate change on the future of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 15(4), 365–377. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736.x
- Bendix, J., Aguire, N., Beck, E., Bräuning, A., Brandl, R., Breuer, L., ... others (2021). A research framework for projecting ecosystem change in highly diverse tropical mountain ecosystems. *Oecologia*, 195(3), 589–600.
- Berendse, F., van Ruijven, J., Jongejans, E., & Keesstra, S. (2015). Loss of plant species diversity reduces soil erosion resistance. *Ecosystems*, 18, 881–888.
- Bodesheim, P., Babst, F., Frank, D. C., Hartl, C., Zang, C. S., Jung, M., ... Mahecha, M. D. (2022). Predicting spatiotemporal variability in radial tree growth at the continental scale with machine learning. *Environmental Data Science*, 1.
- Bonan, G. B. (2008). Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests. *Science*, 320(5882), 1444–1449. doi: 10.1126/science.1155121
- Brunner, M. I., Slater, L., Tallaksen, L. M., & Clark, M. (2021). Challenges in modeling and predicting floods and droughts: A review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 8(3), e1520.
- Buonocore, L., Yates, J., & Valentini, R. (2022). A proposal for a forest digital twin framework and its perspectives. *Forests*, 13(4), 498.

- 565 Cailleret, M., Dakos, V., Jansen, S., Robert, E. M. R., Aakala, T., Amoroso, M. M.,
 566 ... Martínez-Vilalta, J. (2019). Early-Warning Signals of Individual Tree Mor-
 567 tality Based on Annual Radial Growth. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 1964.
 568 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01964
- 569 Cavender-Bares, J., Gamon, J., & Townsend, P. (Eds.). (2020). *Remote Sensing of*
 570 *Plant Biodiversity*. Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-
 571 -33157-3
- 572 Cavender-Bares, J., Schneider, F. D., Santos, M. J., Armstrong, A., Carnaval, A.,
 573 Dahlin, K. M., ... Wilson, A. M. (2022). Integrating remote sensing with
 574 ecology and evolution to advance biodiversity conservation. *Nature Ecology &*
 575 *Evolution*. doi: 10.1038/s41559-022-01702-5
- 576 CBD. (1992). Convention on biological diversity: text and annex. *United Nations*
 577 *Environment Programme*. Retrieved from [http://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/](http://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/cbd-en.pdf)
 578 [cbd-en.pdf](http://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/cbd-en.pdf)
- 579 Coumou, D., & Rahmstorf, S. (2012). A decade of weather extremes. *Nature climate*
 580 *change*, 2(7), 491–496.
- 581 Craven, D., Eisenhauer, N., Pearse, W. D., Hautier, Y., Isbell, F., Roscher, C.,
 582 ... Manning, P. (2018). Multiple facets of biodiversity drive the diversity-
 583 stability relationship. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2(10), 1579–1587. doi:
 584 10.1038/s41559-018-0647-7
- 585 De Boeck, H. J., Bloor, J. M. G., Kreyling, J., Ransijn, J. C. G., Nijs, I., Jentsch,
 586 A., & Zeiter, M. (2018). Patterns and drivers of biodiversity–stability rela-
 587 tionships under climate extremes. *Journal of Ecology*, 106(3), 890–902. doi:
 588 10.1111/1365-2745.12897
- 589 De Keersmaecker, W., van Rooijen, N., Lhermitte, S., Tits, L., Schaminée, J., Cop-
 590 pin, P., ... Somers, B. (2016). Species-rich semi-natural grasslands have a
 591 higher resistance but a lower resilience than intensively managed agricultural
 592 grasslands in response to climate anomalies. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 53(2),
 593 430–439. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12595
- 594 De Laender, F., Rohr, J. R., Ashauer, R., Baird, D. J., Berger, U., Eisenhauer, N.,
 595 ... Van den Brink, P. J. (2016). Reintroducing Environmental Change Drivers
 596 in Biodiversity-Ecosystem Functioning Research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolu-*
 597 *tion*, 31(12), 905–915. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.007

- Desai, A. R., Wohlfahrt, G., Zeeman, M. J., Katata, G., Eugster, W., Montagnani, L., ... Schmid, H.-P. (2016). Montane ecosystem productivity responds more to global circulation patterns than climatic trends. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(2), 024013. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/2/024013
- Dornelas, M., Antao, L. H., Moyes, F., Bates, A. E., Magurran, A. E., Adam, D., ... others (2018). Biotime: A database of biodiversity time series for the anthropocene. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 27(7), 760–786.
- Dowdy, A. J., & Pepler, A. (2018). Pyroconvection risk in australia: Climatological changes in atmospheric stability and surface fire weather conditions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(4), 2005–2013.
- Duveiller, G., Filippini, F., Ceglar, A., Bojanowski, J., Alkama, R., & Cescatti, A. (2021). Revealing the widespread potential of forests to increase low level cloud cover. *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 4337. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24551-5
- Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondízio, E. S., Ngo, H. T., Agard, J., Arneth, A., ... Zayas, C. N. (2019). Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 366(6471), eaax3100. doi: 10.1126/science.aax3100
- Ebi, K. L., Capon, A., Berry, P., Broderick, C., de Dear, R., Havenith, G., ... others (2021). Hot weather and heat extremes: health risks. *The Lancet*, 398(10301), 698–708.
- Erb, K.-H., Haberl, H., Le Noë, J., Tappeiner, U., Tasser, E., & Gingrich, S. (2022). Changes in perspective needed to forge ‘no-regret’ forest-based climate change mitigation strategies. *GCB Bioenergy*, 14(3), 246–257.
- Faranda, D., Vrac, M., Yiou, P., Jézéquel, A., & Thao, S. (2020). Changes in future synoptic circulation patterns: consequences for extreme event attribution. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(15), e2020GL088002.
- Fast, J. D., Berg, L. K., Feng, Z., Mei, F., Newsom, R., Sakaguchi, K., & Xiao, H. (2019). The Impact of Variable Land-Atmosphere Coupling on Convective Cloud Populations Observed During the 2016 HI-SCALE Field Campaign. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(8), 2629–2654. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001727
- Felton, A. J., & Smith, M. D. (2017). Integrating plant ecological responses to climate extremes from individual to ecosystem levels. *Philosophical Transactions*

- 631 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 372(1723), 20160142. doi: 10.1098/
632 rstb.2016.0142
- 633 Fischer, E., Sippel, S., & Knutti, R. (2021). Increasing probability of record-
634 shattering climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, 11(8), 689–695. doi:
635 10.1038/s41558-021-01092-9
- 636 Flach, M., Brenning, A., Gans, F., Reichstein, M., Sippel, S., & Mahecha, M. D.
637 (2021). Vegetation modulates the impact of climate extremes on gross primary
638 production. *Biogeosciences*, 18(1), 39–53. doi: 10.5194/bg-18-39-2021
- 639 Flach, M., Sippel, S., Gans, F., Bastos, A., Brenning, A., Reichstein, M., & Ma-
640 hecha, M. D. (2018). Contrasting biosphere responses to hydrometeorological
641 extremes: revisiting the 2010 western Russian heatwave. *Biogeosciences*,
642 15(20), 6067–6085. doi: 10.5194/bg-15-6067-2018
- 643 Forzieri, G., Girardello, M., Ceccherini, G., Spinoni, J., Feyen, L., Hartmann, H., ...
644 Cescatti, A. (2021). Emergent vulnerability to climate-driven disturbances
645 in European forests. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 1081. Retrieved 2021-
646 12-21, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-21399-7> doi:
647 10.1038/s41467-021-21399-7
- 648 Forzieri, G., Miralles, D. G., Ciais, P., Alkama, R., Ryu, Y., Duveiller, G., ...
649 Cescatti, A. (2020, April). Increased control of vegetation on global ter-
650 restrial energy fluxes. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(4), 356–362. Retrieved
651 2021-12-21, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-020-0717-0>
652 doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0717-0
- 653 Fowler, H. J., Lenderink, G., Prein, A. F., Westra, S., Allan, R. P., Ban, N., ...
654 Zhang, X. (2021). Anthropogenic intensification of short-duration rain-
655 fall extremes. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2(2), 107–122. doi:
656 10.1038/s43017-020-00128-6
- 657 Frank, D., Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Thonicke, K., Frank, D., Mahecha, M. D., ...
658 Zscheischler, J. (2015). Effects of climate extremes on the terrestrial car-
659 bon cycle: concepts, processes and potential future impacts. *Global Change*
660 *Biology*, 21(8), 2861–2880. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12916
- 661 Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Kampf, C. J., Weber, B., Huffinan, J. A., Pöhlker, C., An-
662 dreae, M. O., ... others (2016). Bioaerosols in the earth system: Climate,
663 health, and ecosystem interactions. *Atmospheric Research*, 182, 346–376.

- García-García, A., Cuesta-Valero, F. C., Miralles, D. G., Mahecha, M. D., Quaas, J., Reichstein, M., ... Peng, J. (in press). Soil heat extremes outpace their atmospheric counterpart. *Nature Climate Change*. Retrieved from <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2832579/v1> doi: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2832579/v1>
- Gonzalez, A., Germain, R. M., Srivastava, D. S., Filotas, E., Dee, L. E., Gravel, D., ... Loreau, M. (2020). Scaling-up biodiversity-ecosystem functioning research. *Ecology Letters*, 23(4), 757–776. doi: 10.1111/ele.13456
- Gray, M. (2011). Other nature: geodiversity and geosystem services. *Environmental Conservation*, 38(3), 271–274. doi: 10.1017/S0376892911000117
- Grossiord, C., Sevanto, S., Bonal, D., Borrego, I., Dawson, T. E., Ryan, M., ... McDowell, N. G. (2019). Prolonged warming and drought modify belowground interactions for water among coexisting plants. *Tree Physiology*, 39(1), 55–63. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpy080
- Grote, R., Sharma, M., Ghirardo, A., & Schnitzler, J.-P. (2019). A New Modeling Approach for Estimating Abiotic and Biotic Stress-Induced de novo Emissions of Biogenic Volatile Organic Compounds From Plants. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 2, 26. Retrieved 2021-12-21, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/ffgc.2019.00026> doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2019.00026
- Guimarães-Steinicke, C., Weigelt, A., Proulx, R., Lanners, T., Eisenhauer, N., Duque-Lazo, J., ... others (2021). Biodiversity facets affect community surface temperature via 3d canopy structure in grassland communities. *Journal of Ecology*, 109(5), 1969–1985.
- Harris, R. M. B., Beaumont, L. J., Vance, T. R., Tozer, C. R., Remenyi, T. A., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., ... Bowman, D. M. J. S. (2018). Biological responses to the press and pulse of climate trends and extreme events. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(7), 579–587. doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0187-9
- Hartmann, H., Link, R. M., & Schuldt, B. (2021). A whole-plant perspective of isohydry: stem-level support for leaf-level plant water regulation. *Tree Physiology*, 41(6), 901–905.
- Hartmann, H., Moura, C. F., Anderegg, W. R. L., Ruehr, N. K., Salmon, Y., Allen, C. D., ... O'Brien, M. (2018). Research frontiers for improving our un-

- derstanding of drought-induced tree and forest mortality. *New Phytologist*,
218(1), 15–28. doi: 10.1111/nph.15048
- Hoover, D. L., Knapp, A. K., & Smith, M. D. (2014). Resistance and resilience of a
grassland ecosystem to climate extremes. *Ecology*, 95(9), 2646–2656.
- Huguenin, M. F., Fischer, E. M., Kotlarski, S., Scherrer, S. C., Schwierz, C., &
Knutti, R. (2020). Lack of change in the projected frequency and persistence
of atmospheric circulation types over central europe. *Geophysical Research
Letters*, 47(9), e2019GL086132.
- IPBES. (2019, May). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem ser-
vices of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and
Ecosystem Services* (Tech. Rep.). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. doi:
10.5281/zenodo.3831673
- IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution
of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmen-
tal Panel on Climate Change* (V. Masson-Delmotte et al., Eds.). Cambridge
University Press.
- Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C.,
... Eisenhauer, N. (2015). Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosys-
tem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature*, 526(7574), 574–577. doi:
10.1038/nature15374
- Jaureguiberry, P., Titeux, N., Wiemers, M., Bowler, D. E., Coscieme, L., Golden,
A. S., ... others (2022). The direct drivers of recent global anthropogenic
biodiversity loss. *Science Advances*, 8(45), eabm9982.
- Jiang, X., Guenther, A., Potosnak, M., Geron, C., Seco, R., Karl, T., ... Pallardy,
S. (2018). Isoprene Emission Response to Drought and the Impact on Global
Atmospheric Chemistry. *Atmospheric environment (Oxford, England : 1994)*,
183, 69–83. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.026
- Johnstone, J. F., Allen, C. D., Franklin, J. F., Frelich, L. E., Harvey, B. J., Higuera,
P. E., ... others (2016). Changing disturbance regimes, ecological mem-
ory, and forest resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 14(7),
369–378.
- Jokinen, T., Berndt, T., Makkonen, R., Kerminen, V.-M., Junninen, H., Paaso-
nen, P., ... Sipilä, M. (2015). Production of extremely low volatile organic

- 730 compounds from biogenic emissions: Measured yields and atmospheric implica-
 731 tions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(23), 7123–7128.
 732 Retrieved 2021-12-21, from <https://www.pnas.org/content/112/23/7123>
 733 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1423977112
- 734 Jones, M. W., Abatzoglou, J. T., Veraverbeke, S., Andela, N., Lasslop, G., Forkel,
 735 M., ... others (2022). Global and regional trends and drivers of fire under
 736 climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, e2020RG000726.
- 737 Kautz, L.-A., Martius, O., Pfahl, S., Pinto, J. G., Ramos, A. M., Sousa, P. M.,
 738 & Woollings, T. (2022). Atmospheric blocking and weather extremes over
 739 the euro-atlantic sector – a review. *Weather and Climate Dynamics*, 3(1),
 740 305–336. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-3-305-2022> doi:
 741 10.5194/wcd-3-305-2022
- 742 Kayler, Z. E., De Boeck, H. J., Fatichi, S., Grünzweig, J. M., Merbold, L., Beier, C.,
 743 ... Dukes, J. S. (2015). Experiments to confront the environmental extremes
 744 of climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13(4), 219–225.
 745 doi: 10.1890/140174
- 746 Kornhuber, K., Osprey, S., Coumou, D., Petri, S., Petoukhov, V., Rahmstorf, S., &
 747 Gray, L. (2019). Extreme weather events in early summer 2018 connected by a
 748 recurrent hemispheric wave-7 pattern. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(5),
 749 054002.
- 750 Kretschmer, M., Adams, S., Arribas, A., Prudden, R., Robinson, N., Saggioro, E., &
 751 Shepherd, T. (2021). Quantifying causal pathways of teleconnections. *B. Am.*
 752 *Meteorol. Soc.*, 102, E2247–E2263.
- 753 Kretschmar, J., Pöhlker, M., Stratmann, F., Wex, H., Wirth, C., & Quaas, J.
 754 (2023). *From trees to rain: Enhancement of cloud glaciation and precipitation*
 755 *by pollen*. arXiv. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.06758> doi:
 756 10.48550/ARXIV.2305.06758
- 757 Kreyling, J., Wenigmann, M., Beierkuhnlein, C., & Jentsch, A. (2008). Effects
 758 of Extreme Weather Events on Plant Productivity and Tissue Die-Back are
 759 Modified by Community Composition. *Ecosystems*, 11(5), 752–763. doi:
 760 10.1007/s10021-008-9157-9
- 761 Laguë, M. M., Bonan, G. B., & Swann, A. L. (2019). Separating the impact of
 762 individual land surface properties on the terrestrial surface energy budget in

- 763 both the coupled and uncoupled land-atmosphere system. *Journal of Climate*,
764 32(18), 5725–5744.
- 765 Lange, M., Feilhauer, H., Kühn, I., & Doktor, D. (2022). Mapping land-use intensity
766 of grasslands in germany with machine learning and sentinel-2 time series. *Re-
767 mote Sensing of Environment*, 277, 112888.
- 768 Lange, S., Volkholz, J., Geiger, T., Zhao, F., Vega, I., Veldkamp, T., ... others
769 (2020). Projecting exposure to extreme climate impact events across six event
770 categories and three spatial scales. *Earth's Future*, 8(12), e2020EF001616.
- 771 Lehtipalo, K., Yan, C., Dada, L., Bianchi, F., Xiao, M., Wagner, R., ... Worsnop,
772 D. R. (2018). Multicomponent new particle formation from sulfuric acid,
773 ammonia, and biogenic vapors. *Science Advances*, 4(12), eaau5363. Retrieved
774 2021-12-21, from <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.aau5363>
775 doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aau5363
- 776 Lin, H., Tu, C., Fang, J., Gioli, B., Loubet, B., Gruening, C., ... Grace, J. (2020).
777 Forests buffer thermal fluctuation better than non-forests. *Agricultural and
778 Forest Meteorology*, 288-289(107994). doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.107994
- 779 Liu, D., Wang, T., Peñuelas, J., & Piao, S. (2022). Drought resistance enhanced by
780 tree species diversity in global forests. *Nature Geoscience*, 15(10), 800–804.
- 781 Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., ... Dee,
782 L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: from concept to measurement and
783 application. *Biological Reviews*, 96(5), 2333–2354. doi: 10.1111/brv.12756
- 784 Lübke, T., Lamarque, L. J., Delzon, S., Torres Ruiz, J. M., Burlett, R., Leuschner,
785 C., & Schuldt, B. (2022). High variation in hydraulic efficiency but not xylem
786 safety between roots and branches in four temperate broad-leaved tree species.
787 *Functional Ecology*, 36(3), 699–712.
- 788 Luttkus, M. L., Hoffmann, E. H., Poulain, L., Tilgner, A., & Wolke, R. (2022). The
789 Effect of Land Use Classification on the Gas-Phase and Particle Composition
790 of the Troposphere: Tree Species Versus Forest Type Information. *Journal of
791 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127(7). doi: 10.1029/2021JD035305
- 792 Ma, X., Migliavacca, M., Wirth, C., Bohn, F. J., Huth, A., Richter, R., & Mahecha,
793 M. D. (2020). Monitoring Plant Functional Diversity Using the Reflectance
794 and Echo from Space. *Remote Sensing*, 12(8), 1248. doi: 10.3390/rs12081248
- 795 Machado, L. A. T., Calheiros, A. J. P., Biscaro, T., Giangrande, S., Silva Dias,

- 796 M. A. F., Cecchini, M. A., ... Wendisch, M. (2018). Overview: Precipitation
797 characteristics and sensitivities to environmental conditions during GoAma-
798 zon2014/5 and ACRIDICON-CHUVA. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
799 *18*(9), 6461–6482. doi: 10.5194/acp-18-6461-2018
- 800 Mahecha, M. D., Bastos, A., Bohn, F. J., Eisenhauer, N., Feilhauer, H., Hartmann,
801 H., ... Wirth, C. (2022). Biodiversity loss and climate extremes – study the
802 feedbacks. *Nature*, *621*, 30–32.
- 803 Mahecha, M. D., Gans, F., Brandt, G., Christiansen, R., Cornell, S. E., Fomferra,
804 N., ... Reichstein, M. (2020). Earth system data cubes unravel global
805 multivariate dynamics. *Earth System Dynamics*, *11*(1), 201–234. doi:
806 10.5194/esd-11-201-2020
- 807 Mahecha, M. D., Gans, F., Sippel, S., Donges, J. F., Kaminski, T., Metzger, S., ...
808 Zscheischler, J. (2017). Detecting impacts of extreme events with ecolog-
809 ical in situ monitoring networks. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(18), 4255–4277. doi:
810 10.5194/bg-14-4255-2017
- 811 Migliavacca, M., Musavi, T., Mahecha, M. D., Nelson, J. A., Knauer, J., Bal-
812 docchi, D. D., ... Reichstein, M. (2021, October). The three major
813 axes of terrestrial ecosystem function. *Nature*, *598*(7881), 468–472. doi:
814 10.1038/s41586-021-03939-9
- 815 Miralles, D. G., Gentile, P., Seneviratne, S. I., & Teuling, A. J. (2019).
816 Land–atmospheric feedbacks during droughts and heatwaves: state of the
817 science and current challenges. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*,
818 *1436*(1), 19–35. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13912
- 819 Mooney, H., Larigauderie, A., Cesario, M., Elmquist, T., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., La-
820 vorel, S., ... Yahara, T. (2009). Biodiversity, climate change, and ecosystem
821 services. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *1*(1), 46–54. doi:
822 10.1016/j.cosust.2009.07.006
- 823 Mursinna, A. R., McCormick, E., Van Horn, K., Sartin, L., & Matheny, A. M.
824 (2018). Plant Hydraulic Trait Covariation: A Global Meta-Analysis to Re-
825 duce Degrees of Freedom in Trait-Based Hydrologic Models. *Forests*, *9*(8), 446.
826 doi: 10.3390/f9080446
- 827 Musavi, T., Mahecha, M. D., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., van de Weg, M. J.,
828 van Bodegom, P. M., ... others (2015). The imprint of plants on ecosystem

- functioning: A data-driven approach. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 43, 119–131.
- Musavi, T., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Kattge, J., Wirth, C., Black, T. A., ... Mahecha, M. D. (2017, January). Stand age and species richness dampen interannual variation of ecosystem-level photosynthetic capacity. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(2), 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41559-016-0048
- Niinemets, Ü. (2010). Mild versus severe stress and bvocs: thresholds, priming and consequences. *Trends in plant science*, 15(3), 145–153.
- Oehri, J., Schmid, B., Schaepman-Strub, G., & Niklaus, P. A. (2020). Terrestrial land-cover type richness is positively linked to landscape-level functioning. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 154. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14002-7
- O’Sullivan, D., Adams, M. P., Tarn, M. D., Harrison, A. D., Vergara-Temprado, J., Porter, G. C. E., ... Murray, B. J. (2018). Contributions of biogenic material to the atmospheric ice-nucleating particle population in North Western Europe. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 13821. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31981-7
- Pardos, M., del Río, M., Pretzsch, H., Jactel, H., Bielak, K., Bravo, F., ... Calama, R. (2021). The greater resilience of mixed forests to drought mainly depends on their composition: Analysis along a climate gradient across Europe. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 481, 118687. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118687
- Peng, J., Albergel, C., Balenzano, A., Brocca, L., Cartus, O., Cosh, M. H., ... Loew, A. (2021). A roadmap for high-resolution satellite soil moisture applications – confronting product characteristics with user requirements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 252, 112162. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2020.112162
- Pereira, H. M., Ferrier, S., Walters, M., Geller, G. N., Jongman, R. H. G., Scholes, R. J., ... Wegmann, M. (2013). Essential Biodiversity Variables. *Science*, 339(6117), 277–278. doi: 10.1126/science.1229931
- Pfenninger, M., Reuss, F., Kiebler, A., Schönnenbeck, P., Caliendo, C., Gerber, S., ... others (2021). Genomic basis for drought resistance in european beech forests threatened by climate change. *Elife*, 10, e65532.
- Pörtner, H.-O., Scholes, R., Arneth, A., Barnes, D., Burrows, M. T., Diamond, S., ... others (2023). Overcoming the coupled climate and biodiversity crises and their societal impacts. *Science*, 380(6642), eabl4881.
- Pörtner, H., Scholes, R. J., Agard, J., Archer, E., Arneth, A., Bai, X., ... Ngo,

- H. T. (2021). *IPBES-IPCC co-sponsored workshop report on biodiversity and climate change*. Bonn, Germany: Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4659158
- Rakovec, O., Samaniego, L., Hari, V., Markonis, Y., Moravec, V., Thober, S., ... Kumar, R. (2022). The 2018–2020 multi-year drought sets a new benchmark in europe. *Earth's Future*, 10(3), e2021EF002394.
- Rap, A., Scott, C. E., Reddington, C. L., Mercado, L., Ellis, R. J., Garraway, S., ... Spracklen, D. V. (2018). Enhanced global primary production by biogenic aerosol via diffuse radiation fertilization. *Nature Geoscience*, 11(9), 640–644. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0208-3> doi: 10.1038/s41561-018-0208-3
- Rap, A., Spracklen, D. V., Mercado, L., Reddington, C. L., Haywood, J. M., Ellis, R. J., ... Butt, N. (2015). Fires increase amazon forest productivity through increases in diffuse radiation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(11), 4654–4662. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gl063719> doi: 10.1002/2015gl063719
- Ratcliffe, S., Liebergesell, M., Ruiz-Benito, P., Madrigal González, J., Muñoz Castañeda, J. M., Kändler, G., ... Wirth, C. (2016). Modes of functional biodiversity control on tree productivity across the European continent. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25(3), 251–262. doi: 10.1111/geb.12406
- Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Ciais, P., Frank, D., Mahecha, M. D., Seneviratne, S. I., ... Wattenbach, M. (2013, August). Climate extremes and the carbon cycle. *Nature*, 500(7462), 287–295. doi: 10.1038/nature12350
- Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Mahecha, M. D., Kattge, J., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2014). Linking plant and ecosystem functional biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(38), 13697–13702. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1216065111
- Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., & Prabhat. (2019). Deep learning and process understanding for data-driven Earth system science. *Nature*, 566(7743), 195–204. Retrieved 2021-12-22, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-019-0912-1> doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1

- Reichstein, M., Riede, F., & Frank, D. (2021). More floods, fires and cyclones—plan for domino effects on sustainability goals. *Nature*, *592*(7854), 347–349.
- Reyer, C. P., Leuzinger, S., Rammig, A., Wolf, A., Bartholomeus, R. P., Bonfante, A., ... Pereira, M. (2013). A plant's perspective of extremes: terrestrial plant responses to changing climatic variability. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(1), 75–89. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12023
- Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., ... Baltensperger, U. (2014, May). Oxidation Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of Atmospheric Particles. *Science*, *344*(6185), 717–721. Retrieved 2021-12-21, from <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1243527> doi: 10.1126/science.1243527
- Riipinen, I., Pierce, J. R., Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Häkkinen, S., Ehn, M., ... Kulmala, M. (2011, April). Organic condensation: a vital link connecting aerosol formation to cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(8), 3865–3878. Retrieved 2021-12-21, from <https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/11/3865/2011/> doi: 10.5194/acp-11-3865-2011
- Sakschewski, B., von Bloh, W., Boit, A., Poorter, L., Peña-Claros, M., Heinke, J., ... Thonicke, K. (2016). Resilience of Amazon forests emerges from plant trait diversity. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*(11), 1032–1036. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3109
- Santanello, J. A., Dirmeyer, P. A., Ferguson, C. R., Findell, K. L., Tawfik, A. B., Berg, A., ... Wulfmeyer, V. (2018). Land–Atmosphere Interactions: The LoCo Perspective. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *99*(6), 1253–1272. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0001.1
- Scheiter, S., Langan, L., & Higgins, S. I. (2013). Next-generation dynamic global vegetation models: learning from community ecology. *New Phytologist*, *198*(3), 957–969.
- Schnabel, F., Purruker, S., Schmitt, L., Engelmann, R. A., Kahl, A., Richter, R., ... Wirth, C. (2021, March). Cumulative growth and stress responses to the 2018–2019 drought in a European floodplain forest. *Global Change Biology*, *28*(5), 1870–1883. Retrieved 2022-04-29, from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.16028> doi: 10.1111/gcb.16028

- 928 Schumacher, D. L., Keune, J., van Heerwaarden, C. C., Vilà-Guerau de Arellano,
 929 J., Teuling, A. J., & Miralles, D. G. (2019). Amplification of mega-heatwaves
 930 through heat torrents fuelled by upwind drought. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(9),
 931 712–717. doi: 10.1038/s41561-019-0431-6
- 932 Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G.,
 933 ... Reyer, C. P. O. (2017, June). Forest disturbances under climate change.
 934 *Nature Climate Change*, 7(6), 395–402. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3303
- 935 Seneviratne, S. I., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C. M., Kanae, S., Kossin, J.,
 936 ... Zhang, X. (2012). Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the
 937 natural physical environment: An overview of the IPCC SREX report. , 12566.
 938 doi: 10.7916/d8-6nbt-s431
- 939 Sippel, S., Reichstein, M., Ma, X., Mahecha, M. D., Lange, H., Flach, M., & Frank,
 940 D. (2018). Drought, Heat, and the Carbon Cycle: a Review. *Current Climate*
 941 *Change Reports*, 4(3), 266–286. doi: 10.1007/s40641-018-0103-4
- 942 Skidmore, A. K., Coops, N. C., Neinavaz, E., Ali, A., Schaepman, M. E., Pa-
 943 ganini, M., ... Wingate, V. (2021). Priority list of biodiversity metrics
 944 to observe from space. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 5(7), 896–906. doi:
 945 10.1038/s41559-021-01451-x
- 946 Slingo, J., Bates, P., Bauer, P., Belcher, S., Palmer, T., Stephens, G., ... Teutsch,
 947 G. (2022). Ambitious partnership needed for reliable climate prediction.
 948 *Nature Climate Change*, 12(6), 499–503.
- 949 Sporre, M. K., Blichner, S. M., Karset, I. H. H., Makkonen, R., & Berntsen,
 950 T. K. (2019). BVOC–aerosol–climate feedbacks investigated using
 951 NorESM. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(7), 4763–4782. doi:
 952 10.5194/acp-19-4763-2019
- 953 Svenning, J.-C., Pedersen, P. B. M., Donlan, C. J., Ejrnæs, R., Faurby, S., Galetti,
 954 M., ... Vera, F. W. M. (2016). Science for a wilder Anthropocene: Synthesis
 955 and future directions for trophic rewilding research. *Proceedings of the Na-*
 956 *tional Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(4), 898–906.
 957 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1502556112
- 958 Teuling, A. J., Seneviratne, S. I., Stöckli, R., Reichstein, M., Moors, E., Ciais, P., ...
 959 Wohlfahrt, G. (2010, October). Contrasting response of European forest and
 960 grassland energy exchange to heatwaves. *Nature Geoscience*, 3(10), 722–727.

- doi: 10.1038/ngeo950
- Teuling, A. J., Taylor, C. M., Meirink, J. F., Melsen, L. A., Miralles, D. G., Heerwaarden, C. C. v., ... Arellano, J. V.-G. d. (2017, January). Observational evidence for cloud cover enhancement over western European forests. *Nature Communications*, 8(1). doi: 10.1038/ncomms14065
- Thonicke, K., Bahn, M., Lavorel, S., Bardgett, R. D., Erb, K., Giamberini, M., ... Rammig, A. (2020a). Advancing the Understanding of Adaptive Capacity of Social-Ecological Systems to Absorb Climate Extremes. *Earth's Future*, 8(2). doi: 10.1029/2019EF001221
- Thonicke, K., Bahn, M., Lavorel, S., Bardgett, R. D., Erb, K., Giamberini, M., ... Rammig, A. (2020b). Advancing the understanding of adaptive capacity of social-ecological systems to absorb climate extremes. *Earth's Future*, 8(2), e2019EF001221.
- Ukkola, A. M., Pitman, A. J., Donat, M. G., De Kauwe, M. G., & Angélil, O. (2018). Evaluating the Contribution of Land-Atmosphere Coupling to Heat Extremes in CMIP5 Models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(17), 9003–9012. doi: 10.1029/2018GL079102
- van der Velde, I. R., van der Werf, G. R., Houweling, S., Maasakkers, J. D., Borsdorff, T., Landgraf, J., ... others (2021). Vast co2 release from australian fires in 2019–2020 constrained by satellite. *Nature*, 597(7876), 366–369.
- van Heerwaarden, C. C., Mol, W. B., Veerman, M. A., Benedict, I., Heusinkveld, B. G., Knap, W. H., ... Fiedler, S. (2021, February). Record high solar irradiance in Western Europe during first COVID-19 lockdown largely due to unusual weather. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 2(1), 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s43247-021-00110-0
- Vári, Á., Kozma, Z., Pataki, B., Jolánkai, Z., Kardos, M., Decsi, B., ... others (2022). Disentangling the ecosystem service ‘flood regulation’: Mechanisms and relevant ecosystem condition characteristics. *Ambio*, 1–16.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.-L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., & Garnier, E. (2007). Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, 116(5), 882–892.
- von Buttlar, J., Zscheischler, J., Rammig, A., Sippel, S., Reichstein, M., Knohl, A., ... Mahecha, M. D. (2018, March). Impacts of droughts and extreme-temperature events on gross primary production and ecosystem respiration: a

994 systematic assessment across ecosystems and climate zones. *Biogeosciences*,
995 15(5), 1293–1318. doi: 10.5194/bg-15-1293-2018

996 Werner, C., Meredith, L. K., Ladd, S. N., Ingrisch, J., Kübert, A., Haren, J. v., ...
997 Williams, J. (2021, December). Ecosystem fluxes during drought and recovery
998 in an experimental forest. *Science*. doi: 10.1126/science.abj6789

999 Wirth, C. (2005). Fire regime and tree diversity in boreal forests: implications for
1000 the carbon cycle. In *Forest diversity and function: temperate and boreal sys-*
1001 *tems* (pp. 309–344). Springer.

1002 Witze, A., et al. (2022). Extreme heatwaves: surprising lessons from the record
1003 warmth. *Nature*, 608(7923), 464–465.

1004 Yachi, S., & Loreau, M. (1999, February). Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity
1005 in a fluctuating environment: The insurance hypothesis. *Proceedings of the Na-*
1006 *tional Academy of Sciences*, 96(4), 1463–1468. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.4.1463

1007 Zhou, S., Williams, A. P., Berg, A. M., Cook, B. I., Zhang, Y., Hagemann, S., ...
1008 Gentine, P. (2019, September). Land–atmosphere feedbacks exacerbate concu-
1009 rent soil drought and atmospheric aridity. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
1010 *of Sciences*, 116(38), 18848–18853. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1904955116

1011 Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M.,
1012 ... others (2020). A typology of compound weather and climate events. *Nature*
1013 *reviews earth & environment*, 1(7), 333–347. doi: 10.1038/s43017-020-0060-z