

1                   **An Examination of the Wrangel Island Sea Ice**  
2   **Thickness Dipole**

3   **S. Ross<sup>1,2</sup>, G. W. K. Moore<sup>1,2</sup>**

4   <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

5   <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical and Physical Sciences, University of Toronto - Mississauga, Mississauga,  
6   Ontario, Canada

7                   **Key Points:**

- 8                   • A reversal in ice motion in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas can result in a sea ice  
9                   thickness dipole in the vicinity of Wrangel Island
- 10                  • The dipole is associated with a reversal in ice motion, wind direction and sea-level  
11                  pressure anomalies across the western Arctic Ocean
- 12                  • The dipole is the result of ice motion divergence and convergence across the re-  
13                  gion and may impact ocean circulation and ecosystems

---

Corresponding author: S. Ross, [spenser.ross@utoronto.ca](mailto:spenser.ross@utoronto.ca)

**Abstract**

The Beaufort Sea High is a high-pressure system located in the Beaufort Sea and influences ocean circulation in the western Arctic known as the Beaufort Gyre. Wrangel Island, located in the western Chukchi Sea, typically experiences easterly sea ice motion due to the Beaufort Gyre. We find that under these climatological conditions, moving ice is blocked by the island and piles up on its eastern side, while ice on its western side continues to drift. This results in an ice thickness dipole across the island. A reversal in the sense of the oceanic and atmospheric circulation across the western Arctic results in a dipole with the opposing sign. We find the dipole is present throughout the year and is strongest in January when the ice thickness difference is approximately 1m. During the spring, it is associated with the transient opening of a polynya to the west of the island. The dipole is the result of opposing ice divergence and convergence across the western Arctic and may impact ocean circulation and ecosystems within the Chukchi Sea.

**Plain Language Summary**


The Arctic climate is rapidly changing with many important environmental consequences prompting increased scientific study. In this paper, we examine the interaction of drifting sea ice and Wrangel Island, which is located in the western Arctic Ocean's Chukchi Sea. We find that ice typically drifts from the east and is blocked by the island causing the ice to pile up on its eastern side. Ice continues to drift away from the western side of the island, thus a dipole in ice thickness is created. This dipole is present throughout the year and is strongest in January when the ice thickness difference is around 1m. We find that the formation of the dipole is associated with strong westward winds at the island. These winds are associated with a strong high-pressure system called the Beaufort Sea High that results in anti-cyclonic winds, ice motion and surface currents throughout the region. A reversal in the sense of the atmospheric circulations results in a dipole of opposing sign. The dipole is associated with ice thickness anomalies across the western Arctic and may impact ocean circulation and ecosystems across the region.

## 1 Introduction

The Arctic has seen significant changes in sea ice extent, thickness, and circulation over recent decades due to its changing climate. The Chukchi and Beaufort Seas are amongst the affected regions of the Arctic as a result of the rapid retreat of summer sea ice with important environmental and dynamical impacts (Frey et al., 2015; Timmermans & Pickart, 2023). As shown in Figure 1, the Beaufort Sea is located in the Western Arctic, north of Canada and Alaska. The Chukchi Sea is situated to the east of the Beaufort Sea, to the north of Siberia. These seas have seen intensifying ice cover decline since 2000, with surface winds driving a significant portion of this change (Frey et al., 2015). In addition to the overall ice cover decline, the Beaufort Sea has been transitioning from thicker multi-year ice to thinner first-year ice over the past decade (Moore et al., 2022). There is, however, inter-annual variability in the decline of ice cover and thickness, for example, Moore et al. (2022) found that in the summers of 2020 and 2021, the Beaufort Sea had anomalous amounts of thick, old ice. Sea ice advection contributed to this anomaly, including ice transport from the Chukchi Sea.

High sea-level pressure in the Beaufort Sea region, known as the Beaufort Sea High (BSH), strongly influences regional surface winds, ice motion and oceanic circulation in the western Arctic Ocean (Serreze & Barrett, 2011). The BSH is a closed anticyclone in the long-term annual mean as well as during the spring; while in other months it is characterized by a ridge extending over the Arctic Ocean from the Siberian High (Serreze & Barrett, 2011). The BSH is a crucial factor for major teleconnections including the Pacific-North American pattern, the Arctic dipole anomaly, and the Pacific decadal oscillation (Serreze & Barrett, 2011; Serreze & Barry, 2014).

Ocean and sea ice circulation in the region are driven by surface winds linked to prevailing high and low sea-level pressure centers (Timmermans & Toole, 2023). The Western Arctic oceanic circulation system is called the Beaufort Gyre and is driven by the anti-cyclonic circulation due to BSH-induced surface winds (Timmermans & Toole, 2023).



**Figure 1.** Annual mean sea-level pressure field from the ERA5 reanalysis 1979-2022. Vectors show annual mean ERA5 reanalysis 10m wind over the same period. Geographic locations mentioned in the paper are also indicated.

68 The BSH is thus critical to the movement of sea ice and surface currents throughout the  
69 western Arctic. As the climate changes, there have been multiple instances of BSH col-  
70 lapse causing a reversal in the Beaufort Gyre circulation. A warm autumn of 2016 led  
71 to thin sea ice and an anomalous thermal low in the Barents Sea allowing the intrusion  
72 of low-pressure systems from the North Atlantic into the western Arctic during the fol-  
73 lowing winter (Moore et al., 2018; Babb et al., 2020). This caused a reversal in surface  
74 winds and sea ice motion across the western Arctic during the winter of 2017. Anoma-  
75 lously low sea-level pressure in the Beaufort Sea region has recurred in the recent decade,  
76 including another collapse in 2020 (Ballinger et al., 2021). Here, the collapse of the BSH  
77 and enhanced storminess in the winter of 2020 contributed to the reversal of the Beau-  
78 fort Gyre. These reversals can have many consequences in ocean and ice motion, ice melt,  
79 weather, and other biological impacts (Ballinger et al., 2021; Babb et al., 2020; Moore  
80 et al., 2018).

81 Many regions of the western Arctic are impacted by variability in the Beaufort Gyre.  
82 As shown in Figure 1, the New Siberian Islands are located north of Siberia and between  
83 the Laptev and East Siberian Seas. The Laptev Sea hosts several polynyas including the  
84 Great Siberian Polynya which forms to the south-east of the Laptev Sea near the New  
85 Siberian Islands (UN Environment, 2015). These polynyas have great biological and eco-  
86 logical significance, thus changing sea ice circulation and concentration could have strong  
87 impacts on marine life in the region. The East Siberian Sea is an important region for  
88 sea ice circulation as ice originating here is advected into the Transpolar Drift Stream  
89 or the Beaufort Gyre (Pfirman, 2004).

90 Wrangel Island is located in the western Chukchi Sea to the north of the Bering  
91 Strait between Siberia and Alaska (shown in Figure 1). Wrangel Island has many im-  
92 portant characteristics, including that it is a critical denning location for the Chukchi  
93 Sea subpopulation of polar bears. (LaRue & Stapleton, 2018). It is also home to a large  
94 population of Pacific walrus and other pinnipeds and marine mammals (Claudino-Sales,

95 2019; Hamilton et al., 2022). All of these populations and the complex food webs that  
96 support them are impacted by variability and trends in sea ice cover (Chinn et al., 2023;  
97 Jay et al., 2012).

98 As the island is situated just off the southwestern boundary of the Beaufort Gyre  
99 (Timmermans & Toole, 2023), its connection with ocean and ice circulation reveals no-  
100 table phenomena. The typical anti-cyclonic circulation induces easterly winds from the  
101 Beaufort Sea towards the Chukchi Sea which contribute to westward transport of sea ice  
102 toward Wrangel Island. Much of the ice is blocked by the island which contributes to  
103 the opening of a seasonal polynya to its north-west (Sverdrup, 1929; Cavalieri & Mar-  
104 tin, 1994; Moore & Pickart, 2012).

105 On the eastern side of Wrangel Island, blocked westward-flowing sea ice accumu-  
106 lates, which increases sea ice thickness and creates pressure ridges. Indeed, Wrangel Is-  
107 land, along with the New Siberian Islands, has the Arctic Ocean’s highest frequency of  
108 leads and pressure ridges (Hutter et al., 2019; Willmes & Heinemann, 2015). Fascinat-  
109 ingly, these pressure ridges near Wrangel Island were documented over 100 years ago dur-  
110 ing the voyage of the “Karluk” (Chafe, 1918). During the Canadian Arctic Expedition  
111 to the western Arctic, in the fall of 1913, the Karluk became trapped in sea ice off of Alaska,  
112 it drifted westwards and eventually sank close to Wrangel Island in January 1914. The  
113 crew set up an ice camp and eventually sought refuge on Wrangel Island. They describe  
114 running into a series of pressure ridges and spent nearly a week cutting through them  
115 to reach the island (Chafe, 1918). While their exact measurement of these ridges is likely  
116 not exact, the early documentation of this ice accumulation at Wrangel Island is quite  
117 intriguing.

118 As we will show, this interaction of flowing ice and the island creates a dipole ef-  
119 fect in ice thickness. That is anomalies in ice thickness of opposing signs and approx-  
120 imately the same magnitude. Under usual conditions, the anti-cyclonic Beaufort Gyre  
121 sends westward-flowing ice towards Wrangel Island, where it accumulates on its eastern


122 side. This creates a region of increased ice thickness on the island’s east side and a re-  
123 gion of decreased ice thickness to its west. During BSH collapses, this dipole can reverse  
124 as westerly winds drive eastward-flowing ice, which accumulates on the western side of  
125 the island (Moore et al., 2022).

126 In this paper, we analyze the Wrangel Island sea ice thickness dipole. We seek to  
127 quantify its seasonal strength, examine its various causes, and investigate its relation-  
128 ship with the BSH. For this analysis, we use in-situ surface data, reanalyses, and a cou-  
129 pled ice-ocean model.

## 130 **2 Data and Methods**

131 To analyze surface wind fields, we use ERA5 10m monthly averaged wind data. This  
132 monthly averaged data is available at  $\sim 30$  km resolution (Copernicus Climate Change  
133 Service, 2019). The ERA5 model is the fifth generation reanalysis based on the ECMWF’s  
134 Integrated Forecast System (IFS) (Hersbach et al., 2020). The dataset is based on Cy-  
135 cle 41r2 of the IFS and uses a 4Dvar data assimilation system (Hersbach et al., 2020; Bonavita  
136 et al., 2016). For this investigation, we use ERA5 reanalysis monthly mean sea-level pres-  
137 sure and 10m wind data from 1979-2022 for the months of January, March, April, June,  
138 September, and November to capture seasonal variability throughout the year.

139 We validate the use of the ERA5 wind data by comparing the monthly averaged  
140 10m zonal component of the wind to station observations at Wrangel Island. The sta-  
141 tion at Wrangel Island is called Ostrov Vrangelya and is located at  $70.98^{\circ}\text{N}$ ,  $178.65^{\circ}\text{W}$   
142 at an elevation of 4m. Sea-level pressure, temperature, and wind data are available start-  
143 ing in November 1934 at a 6-hour interval from NOAA’s International Summary of the  
144 Day (Smith et al., 2011; NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2001).  
145 The results of the comparison are shown in Figure 2. There is general agreement between  
146 the monthly mean ERA5 zonal wind data and the observations at Wrangel Island from  
147 1979-2022 throughout the year. There is, however, an underestimation of high-speed winds



**Figure 2.** Scatter-plots of monthly mean zonal winds from the ERA5 reanalysis vs. observations at Wrangel Island. Data from 1979-2022 was used. Each figure has fit statistics including R.M.S error, bias error, slope, and the correlation “R” value.

148 (in either direction) in the fall and winter denoted by a decreased slope and an increased  
 149 R.M.S Error (Figure 2 a, b, e).


150 The analysis of sea ice concentration, thickness, motion, advection, and produc-  
 151 tion is based on the Pan-Arctic Ice Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (PIOMAS)  
 152 (Zhang & Rothrock, 2003). PIOMAS is calibrated with sea ice thickness observations  
 153 and assimilates satellite sea ice concentration and sea surface temperature data (Schweiger  
 154 et al., 2011; Zhang & Rothrock, 2003). Sea ice motion from PIOMAS is calibrated and  
 155 validated through sea ice drift data (Schweiger & Zhang, 2015; Zhang et al., 2012) and  
 156 the International Arctic Buoy Program (IABP) (Rigor et al., 2002). PIOMAS is forced  
 157 by NCEP–NCAR reanalysis surface forcing atmospheric data (Zhang & Rothrock, 2003;  
 158 Kalnay et al., 1996). Data from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) is also  
 159 used to examine sea ice motion (Tschudi & Univ Of CO, 2019). To produce the ice mo-

160 tion data, gridded satellite imagery from several sources, winds from reanalyses, and buoy  
161 position data are combined through an optimal interpolation scheme (Tschudi et al., 2020).  
162 The satellite sources include the SMMR, SSM/I, and SSMIS instruments using both 37GHz  
163 and 85–91GHz channels. The spatial resolution of these sources is 25km resolution for  
164 the 37GHz fields and a 12.5km resolution for the 85–91GHz fields (Tschudi et al., 2020).  
165 The reanalysis wind forcing is derived from the NCEP-NCAR reanalysis dataset (Tschudi  
166 et al., 2020). The buoy position data is from the IABP (Rigor et al., 2002). For this anal-  
167 ysis, we use monthly mean PIOMAS and NSIDC sea ice motion data, as well as PIOMAS  
168 sea ice thickness, advection, and production data from 1979-2022.

### 169 **3 Results**

170 Figure 3 shows monthly mean ERA5 zonal wind data at Wrangel Island from 1979-  
171 2022 for six months throughout the year. The least squares fit line demonstrates the trend  
172 in the U-component of the wind at Wrangel Island over time. The trend is increasing  
173 for January, March, April, and November (Figure 3 a, b, c, f). The trend is decreasing  
174 in June and September (Figure 3 d, e). However, no trend has statistical significance through  
175 a Student’s T-test or a Mann-Kendall test at a 95% confidence level (Student, 1908; Mann,  
176 1945; Kendall, 1948). In this figure, positive (negative) zonal wind values represent west-  
177 erly (easterly) winds. Each month in Figure 3 indicates that there are, on the monthly  
178 mean timescale, reversals in the direction of the zonal wind. We expect easterly winds  
179 during the spring and over the long-term average from Wrangel Island as it lies near the  
180 southwest boundary of the Beaufort Gyre (Serreze & Barrett, 2011; Timmermans & Toole,  
181 2023). These reversals in zonal wind direction may have connections to low BSH events  
182 and reversals of the Beaufort Gyre as has been documented to occur in 2017 and 2020  
183 (Ballinger et al., 2021; Babb et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2018).


184 The wind data in Figure 3 were detrended by subtracting the trendline. The de-  
185 trended data is shown in Figure 4. From the detrended data for each month, we deter-



**Figure 3.** ERA5 monthly averaged zonal 10m winds (m/s) at Wrangel Island from 1979-2022 for various months. The red line indicates the least-squares best fit. The fit slope and significance testing p-values are included as well.

186 mined the top 25% of the years of strongest easterly and westerly monthly mean winds.  
 187 These years are denoted in Figure 4 by black circle markers. With these dates, we used  
 188 monthly averaged ice thickness data to identify composite ice characteristics associated  
 189 with easterly and westerly winds throughout the year. The difference between the com-  
 190 posites for the strongest easterly and westerly winds was then used to identify the Wrangel  
 191 Island sea ice dipole. As calculated, the sign of the anomaly in any geophysical field cor-  
 192 responds to conditions during climatological easterly flow. A reversal in the circulation  
 193 would result in a flipping of the sign of any anomaly. The results were insensitive to the  
 194 thresholds used to identify extreme events.


195 Figure 5 shows the difference in monthly mean ice concentration across the West-  
 196 ern Arctic between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island.  
 197 In the winter there is no signal in the ice concentration at the island (Figure 5 a, b, c).  
 198 There are ice concentration signals in the Bering Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk in those




**Figure 4.** Detrended ERA5 monthly averaged 10m zonal winds (m/s) at Wrangel Island from 1979-2022 for various months. The years used in the composites are indicated by black circle markers. The 75th and 25th percentile cutoffs are denoted with a red dashed line.

199 same months. Under climatological conditions, there is a reduction in sea ice concentra-  
 200 tion in the Bering Sea and an increase in the Sea of Okhotsk. In the summer months and  
 201 in November, there is generally a decrease in ice concentration near the island (Figure  
 202 5 d, e, f). We also see a signal near the New Siberian Islands in June and September (Fig-  
 203 ure 5 d & e), with an increase in ice concentration in the East Siberian Sea and a de-  
 204 crease in the Laptev Sea.

205 The mean ice thickness difference between months of the strong easterly and west-  
 206 erly winds at Wrangel Island are shown in Figure 6. In the figure, an ice thickness dipole  
 207 is evident in January, March, April, and June (Figure 6 a, b, c, d). We also see signals  
 208 near the New Siberian Islands in all months but September. Under climatological east-  
 209 erly flow, the ice is thicker on the eastern side, in the East Siberian Sea, and less thick  
 210 on the western side, in the Laptev Sea. There are no thickness anomalies in the Bering  
 211 Sea or the Sea of Okhotsk.



**Figure 5.** The difference in monthly mean PIOMAS ice concentration (%) between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island. This PIOMAS data is from years between 1979-2022.




**Figure 6.** The difference in monthly mean PIOMAS ice thickness (m) fields between months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island for various months. The ice thickness data is from 1979-2022.


212 Figure 7 shows the same ice thickness difference with a smaller domain, centred on  
213 Wrangel Island. In this figure, the dipole appears strongest in January (Figure 7 a), with  
214 a maximum ice thickness difference between the eastern and western poles on the order  
215 of 2 meters. The lack of sea ice around Wrangel Island in September is evident as Fig-  
216 ure 7 e) shows very little ice thickness difference. However, in September we also see a  
217 decrease in ice thickness on the east side of the island (Figure 7 e), opposite to the other  
218 months which may indicate a reversal in wind direction. Further, in November (Figure  
219 7 f) we see an increase in sea ice thickness on the west side of Alaska, where there is typ-  
220 ically a decrease, providing another signal consistent with a reversal in wind direction.

221 The eastern and western polygons shown in Figure 7 were used to calculate the sea-  
222 sonal cycle of the dipole strength. These polygons are bounded at 70°N and 72.5°N with  
223 side boundaries of 175°E and 180°E for the west polygon and 174°W and 179°W for the  
224 east polygon. For a particular month, an average of the ice thickness difference over the  
225 period 1979-2022 was taken in each polygon and the difference was calculated. This met-  
226 ric of the seasonality of dipole strength is shown in Figure 8. The seasonality of mean  
227 ice thickness difference in each polygon is also plotted in Figure 8. Here we confirm the  
228 dipole is strongest in January with a mean ice thickness difference of around 1m. Gen-  
229 erally, the dipole is strongest in the winter months: December through March, and is weak-  
230 est in the summer months: July through October. There is a spike in dipole strength  
231 in June, perhaps in relation to the seasonal opening of the Wrangel Island Polynya which  
232 typically opens in June (Moore & Pickart, 2012). It is evident in the winter that the poles  
233 are of approximately equal magnitude, while in June only the western pole is large. This  
234 is consistent with the typical opening of the polynya in June.


235 Next, we investigate potential mechanisms for the presence of this dipole at Wrangel  
236 Island. Figure 9 shows the difference in monthly averaged ERA5 sea-level pressure and  
237 10m wind fields triggering off the same months of strong easterly and westerly winds as  
238 Figure 7. In all months in Figure 9, there is increased sea-level pressure in the Beaufort



**Figure 7.** The difference in monthly mean PIOMAS ice thickness (m) fields between months of strong easterly and westerly winds for various months. The ice thickness data is from 1979-2022. The polygons on either side of Wrangel Island are used to calculate the dipole strength. The coordinates bounding the west polygon are 70N to 72.5N and 175E to 180. The coordinates bounding the east polygon are 70N to 72.5N and 174W to 179W.




**Figure 8.** Measure of the strength (m) of the sea ice thickness dipole at Wrangel Island by month. The dipole strength was calculated by taking the difference of the mean ice thickness difference in each polygon from Figure 7 for each month (black line). Also shown is the seasonality of the mean ice thickness difference in the East and West polygons, denoted by the red and blue lines respectively.



**Figure 9.** The difference in monthly mean ERA5 sea-level pressure (hPa) fields between months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island for various months. The difference in ERA5 wind vectors (m/s) is also shown. This ERA5 data is from the years between 1979-2022.


239 Sea extending north toward the Arctic Ocean. Thus, months with the strongest east-  
 240 easterly winds at Wrangel Island are associated with an increased BSH. This is consistent  
 241 with the wind vectors flowing clockwise around the region of increased sea-level pressure.  
 242 This anticyclonic circulation is consistent with the climatological Beaufort Gyre and forces  
 243 easterly winds at Wrangel Island. The strongest mean sea-level pressure highs occur in  
 244 January and March (Figure 9 a & b) with the difference exceeding 14 hPa.

245 We next examined ice motion from PIOMAS and the NSIDC dataset and its re-  
 246 lation to the Wrangel Island dipole. Figure 10 shows the difference in monthly averaged  
 247 PIOMAS ice motion between months of strong ERA5 easterly and westerly winds. Fig-  
 248 ure 11 shows the same difference in ice motion using NSIDC data. In both figures, we



**Figure 10.** The difference in monthly mean PIOMAS ice motion (km/day) between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island. This PIOMAS data is from years between 1979-2022.

249 find that the ice motion is in general agreement with the ERA5 10m wind motion in Fig-  
 250 ure 9. Thus, in months of increased easterly winds, there is increased anticyclonic ice mo-  
 251 tion in the region. In Figures 10 and 11 a), b) and c), it is evident that there is an in-  
 252 crease in ice motion towards Wrangel Island from the East and away from the island to-  
 253 wards the west. This is consistent with the ice thickness differences due to ice advection  
 254 in Figure 12. From the NSIDC field in Figure 11, we can see there is little to no ice near  
 255 Wrangel Island in the summer months through to the early winter (Figure 11 d, e, f).  
 256 This should be considered when looking at the PIOMAS ice motion field near Wrangel  
 257 Island during those same months (Figure 10 d, e, f). In regions of no ice concentration,  
 258 PIOMAS provides the surface current data.




**Figure 11.** The difference in monthly mean NSIDC ice motion (km/day) between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island. This NSIDC data is from years between 1979-2022.

259 Further, we find sea ice advection plays a large role in the creation of the dipole  
260 at Wrangel Island. Figure 12 shows the difference in the monthly mean change in ice thick-  
261 ness due to advection between months of strong easterly and westerly winds. It is ev-  
262 ident in all months in Figure 12 that with easterly flow, there is an increase in advec-  
263 tion to the east of the island and a decrease to the west of the island. The months with  
264 strong easterly winds at Wrangel Island are associated with large ice thickness differences  
265 due to ice advection. Thus, strong easterly winds at the island are associated with ice  
266 advected towards the island from the east and advected away from the island towards  
267 the west. This is consistent with the direction of wind vectors at Wrangel Island in Fig-  
268 ure 9 and the direction of ice motion in Figures 10 and 11.


269 The largest ice thickness difference due to ice advection occurs in January (Figure  
270 12 a) which is consistent with Figures 8 and 9 as January showed the largest increase  
271 in sea-level pressure and the strongest ice thickness dipole. In January and April, ice piled  
272 up over 1 meter in areas to the east of the island due to ice advection (Figure 12 a & c).  
273 Over 1 meter of ice thickness was also advected away from areas on the west side of the  
274 island in all months in Figure 12.

275 We also find ice advection anomalies at the New Siberian Islands between the East  
276 Siberian Sea and the Laptev Sea. There are large ice thickness increases due to advec-  
277 tion on the east side of the islands in January, March, and April (Figure 12 a, b, c). There  
278 are also ice thickness decreases to the west of the islands in the Laptev Sea during those  
279 months. These signals are apparent but weaker in June, September, and November (Fig-  
280 ure 12 d, e, f). Thus months of strong easterly winds at Wrangel Island are also asso-  
281 ciated with strong ice advection signals at the New Siberian Islands. This ice advection  
282 likely plays a role in the formation of the Great Siberian Polynya and other seasonal polynyas  
283 in the Laptev Sea (UN Environment, 2015).

284 In Figure 13, we show the difference in monthly mean PIOMAS ice thickness due  
285 to ice production between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds. There is



**Figure 12.** The difference in monthly averaged PIOMAS ice thickness (m) due to ice advection between months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island. This PIOMAS data is from years between 1979-2022.



**Figure 13.** The difference in monthly averaged PIOMAS ice thickness (m) due to ice production between the months of strong easterly and westerly winds at Wrangel Island. This PIOMAS data is from years between 1979-2022.

286 very little difference in ice thickness due to ice production near Wrangel Island. Thus,  
 287 the months of the strongest easterly or westerly winds at the island have little relation  
 288 with ice production. There are, however, some signals near the New Siberian Islands in  
 289 all months but April (Figure 13 a, b, d, e, f). The strongest signals are found in June  
 290 (Figure 13 d), where sea ice thickness decreases in the Laptev Sea and the Chukchi Sea  
 291 due to ice melt. This makes sense as warmer temperatures in June should lead to ice melt.

## 292 4 Conclusions

293 The western Arctic's circulation system is characterized by the Beaufort Gyre which  
 294 is driven by the climatological anticyclonic surface winds due to the BSH (Ballinger et  
 295 al., 2021; Timmermans & Toole, 2023). The BSH is critical to many major teleconnec-

296 tions and to the movement of sea ice and water throughout the western Arctic (Serreze  
297 & Barrett, 2011; Serreze & Barry, 2014). Collapses of the BSH and consequent rever-  
298 sals of the Beaufort Gyre have been documented in recent years and have significant im-  
299 pacts on ice motion, ice melt, weather, and biological activity in the region (Ballinger  
300 et al., 2021; Babb et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2018).

301 The climatological BSH and Beaufort Gyre conditions force easterly surface winds  
302 and sea ice towards Wrangel Island. Much of this ice is blocked by the island, creating  
303 a region of increased ice thickness and pressure ridges to the east of the island. This ac-  
304 cumulation of ice was first documented in a 1918 journal article when the shipwrecked  
305 crew of the *Karluk* spent days cutting through ice ridges to get to Wrangel Island (Chafe,  
306 1918). These early in-situ observations have been confirmed with remotely sensed diag-  
307 nostics of pressure ridge and lead formation ((Hutter et al., 2019; Willmes & Heinemann,  
308 2015). The blockage of ice to the east also contributes to the opening of seasonal polynya  
309 to the north-west of Wrangel Island (Sverdrup, 1929; Cavalieri & Martin, 1994; Moore  
310 & Pickart, 2012).

311 We find that reversals in zonal wind direction at Wrangel Island are associated with  
312 a sea ice thickness dipole at the island. Under climatological easterly flow, there is an  
313 increase in sea ice thickness to the east of the island and a decrease to the west. The sense  
314 of the dipole is reversed under westerly flow. This dipole is strongest in January with  
315 a mean ice thickness difference of around 1m (Figure 8). The dipole is prominent dur-  
316 ing the winter months, December through March, and in June (Figure 7 & 8). The spike  
317 in dipole strength in June may be related to the formation of the Wrangel Island polynya  
318 which typically opens in June (Moore & Pickart, 2012).

319 Further, we find that strong easterly winds and the sea ice thickness dipole at Wrangel  
320 Island are associated with an increased BSH (Figure 9). This BSH increase is strongest  
321 in the winter months as shown in Figure 9 a) and b). Accordingly, the dipole is found  
322 to be linked to increased anticyclonic ice motion in the region (Figures 10 & 11). This

323 is consistent with the circulation of the climatological Beaufort Gyre which forces east-  
324 early ice motion near Wrangel Island. During these typical conditions, we find the dipole  
325 is associated with increased ice advection towards the island from the East and away from  
326 the island towards the West (Figure 12). The opposite is true during reversals of the Beau-  
327 fort Gyre. We also find ice advection signals in the Laptev Sea and East Siberian Sea  
328 near the New Siberian Islands. This increased ice advection in the region likely contributes  
329 to the formation of seasonal polynyas in the Laptev Sea including the Great Siberian Polynya  
330 (UN Environment, 2015). We also find that the ice thickness dipole at Wrangel Island  
331 is not associated with changes in ice concentration, production, or melt near the island  
332 (Figure 5 & 13).

333 The lack of a signal of the reversal in wind direction in ice concentration, especially  
334 during the winter, is interesting and speaks to the challenges in using this field to char-  
335 acterize ice dynamics. In the presence of 100% ice cover, the response on the eastern side  
336 of Wrangel Island to the climatological easterly wind is to thicken the ice without chang-  
337 ing the ice concentration. While on the western side, the ice is thinned again without  
338 any change in ice concentration as long as the thickness remains non-zero. It is only dur-  
339 ing the melt season, when there is spatial variability in ice concentration, that a signal  
340 of the wind reversal is present in this field.

341 Future BSH collapses and other Arctic climate changes, such as thinning sea ice,  
342 will have impacts on the formation of the sea ice thickness dipole at Wrangel Island. A  
343 Beaufort Gyre reversal can cause the dipole to flip as seen in the winter of 2020 (Moore  
344 et al., 2022). Changes to the sea ice thickness dipole can impact the formation of the Wrangel  
345 Island polynya, and food availability of polar bears and Pacific walrus who live on the  
346 island. The basin-wide ocean and sea ice circulation anomalies that drive the dipole may  
347 impact the movement of these animals across the western Arctic. In addition, the rever-  
348 sals in the atmospheric circulation that drive the existence of the dipole may impact re-

349 gional upwelling and downwelling as occurs along the Beaufort Coast (Pickart et al., 2009;  
350 Foukal et al., 2019).

## 351 **5 Open Research**

352 Monthly mean wind and sea-level pressure data from the ERA5 reanalysis are avail-  
353 able from the Climate Data Store through the Copernicus Climate Change Service ([https://](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home)  
354 [cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home)). The Ostrov Vrangelya (Wrangel Island)  
355 station data is available from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ([https://](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/search/data-search/global-hourly)  
356 [www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/search/data-search/global-hourly](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/search/data-search/global-hourly)). Monthly mean  
357 ice concentration, ice thickness, ice motion, ice advection, and ice production data are  
358 available from the Polar Science Center ([http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/](http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/data/model_grid)  
359 [arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/data/model\\_grid](http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/data/model_grid)). Monthly mean ice motion data  
360 is available from the National Snow and Ice Data Center ([https://nsidc.org/data/](https://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0116/versions/4)  
361 [nsidc-0116/versions/4](https://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0116/versions/4)).

## 362 **Acknowledgments**

363 The authors would like to acknowledge support from the Natural Sciences and Engineer-  
364 ing Research Council of Canada.

365 **References**

- 366 Babb, D. G., Landy, J. C., Lukovich, J. V., Haas, C., Hendricks, S., Barber, D. G.,  
 367 & Galley, R. J. (2020, December). The 2017 Reversal of the Beaufort Gyre:  
 368 Can Dynamic Thickening of a Seasonal Ice Cover During a Reversal Limit  
 369 Summer Ice Melt in the Beaufort Sea? *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
 370 *Oceans*, 125(12). Retrieved from [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020JC016796)  
 371 10.1029/2020JC016796 doi: 10.1029/2020JC016796
- 372 Ballinger, T. J., Walsh, J. E., Bhatt, U. S., Bieniek, P. A., Tschudi, M. A.,  
 373 Brettschneider, B., ... Shapiro, L. H. (2021, July). Unusual West Arc-  
 374 tic Storm Activity During Winter 2020: Another Collapse of the Beau-  
 375 fort High? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(13). Retrieved from  
 376 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2021GL092518> doi:  
 377 10.1029/2021GL092518
- 378 Bonavita, M., Hólm, E., Isaksen, L., & Fisher, M. (2016, January). The evo-  
 379 lution of the ECMWF hybrid data assimilation system. *Quarterly Jour-*  
 380 *nal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 142(694), 287–303. Retrieved  
 381 from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/qj.2652> doi:  
 382 10.1002/qj.2652
- 383 Cavaliere, D. J., & Martin, S. (1994). The contribution of Alaskan, Siberian,  
 384 and Canadian coastal polynyas to the cold halocline layer of the Arctic  
 385 Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99(C9), 18343. Retrieved from  
 386 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/94JC01169> doi: 10.1029/94JC01169
- 387 Chafe, E. F. (1918, May). The Voyage of the "Karluk," and Its Tragic Ending. *The*  
 388 *Geographical Journal*, 51(5), 307. Retrieved from [https://www.jstor.org/](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1780073?origin=crossref)  
 389 [stable/1780073?origin=crossref](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1780073?origin=crossref) doi: 10.2307/1780073
- 390 Chinn, S. M., Liston, G. E., & Wilson, R. R. (2023, October). Assessing past and  
 391 future climatic influences on the availability of polar bear maternal denning  
 392 habitat on Wrangel Island. *Ecological Modelling*, 484, 110479. Retrieved from

- 393 <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304380023002090>  
 394 doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2023.110479
- 395 Claudino-Sales, V. (2019). Natural System of Wrangel Island Reserve, Rus-  
 396 sia. In *Coastal World Heritage Sites* (Vol. 28, pp. 209–214). Dordrecht:  
 397 Springer Netherlands. Retrieved from [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-024-1528-5_31)  
 398 [978-94-024-1528-5\\_31](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-024-1528-5_31) (Series Title: Coastal Research Library) doi:  
 399 [10.1007/978-94-024-1528-5\\_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1528-5_31)
- 400 Copernicus Climate Change Service. (2019). *ERA5 monthly averaged data on single*  
 401 *levels from 1979 to present* [dataset]. ECMWF. Retrieved from [https://cds](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/doi/10.24381/cds.f17050d7)  
 402 [.climate.copernicus.eu/doi/10.24381/cds.f17050d7](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/doi/10.24381/cds.f17050d7) doi: 10.24381/CDS  
 403 [.F17050D7](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/doi/10.24381/cds.f17050d7)
- 404 Foukal, N. P., Pickart, R. S., Moore, G. W. K., & Lin, P. (2019, October). Shelf-  
 405 break Downwelling in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Re-*  
 406 *search: Oceans*, *124*(10), 7201–7225. Retrieved from [https://onlinelibrary](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019JC015520)  
 407 [.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019JC015520](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019JC015520) doi: 10.1029/2019JC015520
- 408 Frey, K. E., Moore, G., Cooper, L. W., & Grebmeier, J. M. (2015, August). Di-  
 409 vergent patterns of recent sea ice cover across the Bering, Chukchi, and Beau-  
 410 fort seas of the Pacific Arctic Region. *Progress in Oceanography*, *136*, 32–  
 411 49. Retrieved from [https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0079661115001068)  
 412 [S0079661115001068](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0079661115001068) doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.009
- 413 Hamilton, C. D., Lydersen, C., Aars, J., Acquarone, M., Atwood, T., Baylis, A.,  
 414 ... Kovacs, K. M. (2022, December). Marine mammal hotspots across the  
 415 circumpolar Arctic. *Diversity and Distributions*, *28*(12), 2729–2753. Retrieved  
 416 from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ddi.13543> doi:  
 417 [10.1111/ddi.13543](https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13543)
- 418 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,  
 419 J., ... Thépaut, J. (2020, July). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly*  
 420 *Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *146*(730), 1999–2049. Retrieved

- 421 from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/qj.3803> doi:  
422 10.1002/qj.3803
- 423 Hutter, N., Zampieri, L., & Losch, M. (2019, February). Leads and ridges in Arctic  
424 sea ice from RGPS data and a new tracking algorithm. *The Cryosphere*, *13*(2),  
425 627–645. Retrieved from [https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/13/627/  
426 2019/](https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/13/627/2019/) doi: 10.5194/tc-13-627-2019
- 427 Jay, C., Fischbach, A., & Kochnev, A. (2012, November). Walrus areas of use in  
428 the Chukchi Sea during sparse sea ice cover. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,  
429 *468*, 1–13. Retrieved from [http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v468/  
430 p1-13/](http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v468/p1-13/) doi: 10.3354/meps10057
- 431 Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., . . .  
432 Joseph, D. (1996, March). The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project. *Bul-  
433 letin of the American Meteorological Society*, *77*(3), 437–471. Retrieved from  
434 [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0477\(1996\)077<0437:  
435 TNYRP>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2) doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2
- 436 Kendall, M. (1948). *Rank correlation methods*. Oxford, England: Griffin.
- 437 LaRue, M. A., & Stapleton, S. (2018, December). Estimating the abundance  
438 of polar bears on Wrangel Island during late summer using high-resolution  
439 satellite imagery: a pilot study. *Polar Biology*, *41*(12), 2621–2626. Re-  
440 trieved from [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00300-018-2384-4  
441 doi: 10.1007/s00300-018-2384-4](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00300-018-2384-4)
- 442 Mann, H. B. (1945, July). Nonparametric Tests Against Trend. *Econo-  
443 metrica*, *13*(3), 245. Retrieved from [https://www.jstor.org/stable/  
444 1907187?origin=crossref](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1907187?origin=crossref) doi: 10.2307/1907187
- 445 Moore, G., & Pickart, R. S. (2012, March). The Wrangel Island Polynya in early  
446 summer: Trends and relationships to other polynyas and the Beaufort Sea  
447 High: THE EARLY SUMMER WRANGEL ISLAND POLYNIA. *Geophysical  
448 Research Letters*, *39*(5), n/a–n/a. Retrieved from <http://doi.wiley.com/>

- 449 10.1029/2011GL050691 doi: 10.1029/2011GL050691
- 450 Moore, G., Schweiger, A., Zhang, J., & Steele, M. (2018, March). Col-  
 451 lapse of the 2017 Winter Beaufort High: A Response to Thinning Sea  
 452 Ice? *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*(6), 2860–2869. Retrieved from  
 453 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2017GL076446> doi:  
 454 10.1002/2017GL076446
- 455 Moore, G., Steele, M., Schweiger, A. J., Zhang, J., & Laidre, K. L. (2022, Au-  
 456 gust). Thick and old sea ice in the Beaufort Sea during summer 2020/21  
 457 was associated with enhanced transport. *Communications Earth & Envi-*  
 458 *ronment*, *3*(1), 198. Retrieved from [https://www.nature.com/articles/](https://www.nature.com/articles/s43247-022-00530-6)  
 459 [s43247-022-00530-6](https://www.nature.com/articles/s43247-022-00530-6) doi: 10.1038/s43247-022-00530-6
- 460 NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. (2001). *Global surface*  
 461 *hourly wind - observation* [dataset]. NOAA National Centers for Environ-  
 462 mental Information. Retrieved from [https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/search/data-search/global-hourly)  
 463 [search/data-search/global-hourly](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/search/data-search/global-hourly)
- 464 Pfirman, S. (2004). Variability in Arctic sea ice drift. *Geophysical Research Let-*  
 465 *ters*, *31*(16), L16402. Retrieved from [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2004GL020063)  
 466 [2004GL020063](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2004GL020063) doi: 10.1029/2004GL020063
- 467 Pickart, R. S., Moore, G. W. K., Torres, D. J., Fratantoni, P. S., Goldsmith, R. A.,  
 468 & Yang, J. (2009, September). Upwelling on the continental slope of the  
 469 Alaskan Beaufort Sea: Storms, ice, and oceanographic response. *Journal of*  
 470 *Geophysical Research*, *114*, C00A13. Retrieved from [http://doi.wiley.com/](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2008JC005009)  
 471 [10.1029/2008JC005009](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2008JC005009) doi: 10.1029/2008JC005009
- 472 Rigor, I. G., Wallace, J. M., & Colony, R. L. (2002, September). Response of Sea  
 473 Ice to the Arctic Oscillation. *Journal of Climate*, *15*(18), 2648–2663. Re-  
 474 trieved from [http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0442\(2002\)](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<2648:ROSITT>2.0.CO;2)  
 475 [015<2648:ROSITT>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<2648:ROSITT>2.0.CO;2) doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<2648:  
 476 ROSITT>2.0.CO;2

- 477 Schweiger, A. J., Lindsay, R., Zhang, J., Steele, M., Stern, H., & Kwok, R. (2011,  
478 September). Uncertainty in modeled Arctic sea ice volume. *Journal of Geo-*  
479 *physical Research*, *116*, C00D06. Retrieved from [http://doi.wiley.com/](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2011JC007084)  
480 [10.1029/2011JC007084](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2011JC007084) doi: 10.1029/2011JC007084
- 481 Schweiger, A. J., & Zhang, J. (2015, December). Accuracy of short-term sea ice drift  
482 forecasts using a coupled ice-ocean model. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
483 *Oceans*, *120*(12), 7827–7841. Retrieved from [https://onlinelibrary.wiley](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JC011273)  
484 [.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JC011273](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JC011273) doi: 10.1002/2015JC011273
- 485 Serreze, M. C., & Barrett, A. P. (2011, January). Characteristics of the Beaufort Sea  
486 High. *Journal of Climate*, *24*(1), 159–182. Retrieved from [http://journals](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/2010JCLI3636.1)  
487 [.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/2010JCLI3636.1](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/2010JCLI3636.1) doi: 10.1175/2010JCLI3636.1
- 488 Serreze, M. C., & Barry, R. G. (2014). *The Arctic climate system* (Second edition  
489 ed.). New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- 490 Smith, A., Lott, N., & Vose, R. (2011). The Integrated Surface Database. *American*  
491 *Meteorological Society*, *92*(6), 704–708. doi: DOI:10.1175/2011BAMS3015.1
- 492 Student. (1908, March). The Probable Error of a Mean. *Biometrika*, *6*(1), 1. Re-  
493 trieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2331554?origin=crossref>  
494 doi: 10.2307/2331554
- 495 Sverdrup, H. U. (1929). *The waters on the North-Siberian Shelf* (Vol. 4) (No. 2).  
496 Geofysisk Institutt, Bergen.
- 497 Timmermans, M.-L. E., & Pickart, R. S. (2023, July). The Arctic Ocean’s  
498 Changing Beaufort Gyre System: An Assessment of Current Understand-  
499 ing, Open Questions and Future Research Directions. *Bulletin of the Ameri-*  
500 *can Meteorological Society*, *104*(7), E1282–E1289. Retrieved from [https://](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/104/7/BAMS-D-23-0129.1.xml)  
501 [journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/104/7/BAMS-D-23-0129.1.xml](https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/104/7/BAMS-D-23-0129.1.xml)  
502 doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0129.1
- 503 Timmermans, M.-L. E., & Toole, J. M. (2023, January). The Arctic Ocean’s Beau-  
504 fort Gyre. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *15*(1), 223–248. Retrieved from

- 505 <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122>  
 506 -012034 doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-012034
- 507 Tschudi, M. A., Meier, W. N., & Stewart, J. S. (2020, May). An enhance-  
 508 ment to sea ice motion and age products at the National Snow and Ice  
 509 Data Center (NSIDC). *The Cryosphere*, 14(5), 1519–1536. Retrieved  
 510 from <https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/14/1519/2020/> doi:  
 511 10.5194/tc-14-1519-2020
- 512 Tschudi, M. A., & Univ Of CO. (2019). *Polar Pathfinder Daily 25 km EASE-Grid*  
 513 *Sea Ice Motion Vectors* [dataset]. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center  
 514 Distributed Active Archive Center. Retrieved from [http://nsidc.org/data/](http://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0116/versions/4)  
 515 [nsidc-0116/versions/4](http://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0116/versions/4) doi: 10.5067/INAWUWO7QH7B
- 516 UN Environment. (2015, June). *Ecologically or Biologically Significant Areas (EB-*  
 517 *SAs) Great Siberian Polynya*. UN Environment. Retrieved from <https://chm>  
 518 [.cbd.int/database/record?documentID=204097](https://chm.cbd.int/database/record?documentID=204097)
- 519 Willmes, S., & Heinemann, G. (2015, December). Sea-Ice Wintertime Lead Fre-  
 520 quencies and Regional Characteristics in the Arctic, 2003–2015. *Remote Sens-*  
 521 *ing*, 8(1), 4. Retrieved from <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/8/1/4> doi: 10  
 522 .3390/rs8010004
- 523 Zhang, J., Lindsay, R., Schweiger, A. J., & Rigor, I. (2012, October). Recent  
 524 changes in the dynamic properties of declining Arctic sea ice: A model  
 525 study. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(20), 2012GL053545. Retrieved from  
 526 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2012GL053545> doi:  
 527 10.1029/2012GL053545
- 528 Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2003, May). Modeling Global Sea Ice with a Thick-  
 529 ness and Enthalpy Distribution Model in Generalized Curvilinear Coordinates.  
 530 *Monthly Weather Review*, 131(5), 845–861. Retrieved from <http://journals>  
 531 [.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0493\(2003\)131<0845:MGSIIWA>2.0.CO;2](http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0845:MGSIIWA>2.0.CO;2)  
 532 doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131(0845:MGSIIWA)2.0.CO;2