

1 **D-CDA:A Denoise And Change Detection Approach**
2 **For Flood disaster location From SAR Images**

3 **Runbo Xie¹,Yangguang¹,Yuping Zhang¹,Dongzhe Han¹,**
4 **Huangmeng¹,Liushuai¹,Wangze Lu¹**

5 ¹Software Engineering Technology Research Center, School of Information Engineering, Institute of
6 Disaster Prevention, Hebei, China

7 **Key Points:**

- 8 • We designed a deep learning network for SAR image denoising.
9 • We combined SAR image denoising and change detection techniques for flood dis-
10 aster localization.
11 • We constructed a dataset for flood change detection using SAR images and a dataset
12 for SAR image denoising.

Corresponding author: Yangguang, ygcmd@163.com

Abstract

Flood disasters are among the most devastating natural disasters worldwide. The occurrence of such disasters is often accompanied by strong precipitation and other weather factors, making it more difficult to identify the affected areas. Moreover, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) technology can capture images in 24-hour window and penetrate through clouds and fog. The change detection technology, based on SAR images, is generally utilized to locate disaster-stricken areas by analyzing the differences between pre- and post-disaster images. However, this method mainly faces two challenges: the presence of speckle noise reduces the accuracy of the difference detection and the lack of a suitable SAR dataset for flood disaster change detection. Therefore, this research proposes a novel two-stage approach for locating the flood disaster area, named Denoising-Change Detection Approach (D-CDA). The first stage consists of a nine-layer denoising network with an encoder-decoder structure, called SAR Denoising Network (SDNet). It utilizes a multi-residual block and parallel convolutional block attention module to extract features during the encoding process to suppress the noise component. In the second stage, a novel convolution neural network is proposed to detect the changes between bitemporal SAR images, namely Coordinate Attention Fused Network (CAFNet), which combines the Siamese network and UNet++ as the backbone and fuses multi-coordinate attention modules to enhance the change features. Moreover, a change detection dataset (ZhengZhou Flood - ZZFD-dataset) is constructed using Sentinel-1 SAR images based on the flood disaster in Zhengzhou of China in 2021. The simulations verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. The experimental results indicate that the D-CDA achieves favorable detection performance in locating flood disaster areas.

1 introduction

Flood disasters often cause serious social and economic devastations (Munawar et al., 2022). After a flood event, accurate and immediate locating of the flood disaster-stricken area is very important for post-disaster rescue and relief efforts (Priyatna et al., 2023). Flood disaster localization typically poses a significant challenge due to the complex interplay of multiple factors, such as rain, clouds, and fog. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) sensors possess the capability to capture images in 24 hours, penetrate through fog and clouds (Tebaldini et al., 2022), and acquire long-term and large-scale observation data, facilitating the monitoring of dynamic changes in water bodies and precise identification of water body areas. Therefore, SAR images are invaluable for emergency responders and disaster managers during crisis times.

In the past few years, many Change Detection (CD) methods were proposed to detect bitemporal SAR images, and these methods generally contain unsupervised learning methods and supervised learning methods (H. Chen et al., 2019). Most unsupervised learning methods usually consist of three steps: preprocessing, Difference Image (DI) generation, and DI classification with a focus on the last two steps. In the early stages of research, ratio-based methods were usually utilized to generate DI (Bazi et al., 2005), such as the Logarithmic ratio operator (Dekker, 1998), Gaussian ratio operator (B. Hou et al., 2014), and Neighborhood ratio operator (Gong et al., 2011). Moreover, several clustering algorithms were used for the DI classification, such as the K-means clustering algorithm (L. Liu et al., 2019), K-means++ clustering algorithm (Atasever & Gunen, 2021), Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) clustering algorithm (Kumar et al., 2020), etc... As for the flood events, they often result in the destruction and alteration of ground features, rendering traditional DI classification techniques ineffective in accurately demarcating the boundaries of inundated areas.

With the development of unsupervised deep learning, several convolutional neural network-based methods have been proposed to replace the traditional machine learning methods. For instance, Chen et al. proposed a parallel multi-scale spatial pooling Con-

64 volutional Neural Network (CNN) to exploit the changed information from the noisy DI
 65 (J.-W. Chen et al., 2020). Moreover, Gao et al. introduced a dual-domain network model
 66 for change detection of SAR images; the proposed approach combines spatial domain and
 67 frequency domain information to improve the accuracy of change detection. However, the
 68 mentioned methods were limited to the number of training samples, which affected the ac-
 69 curacy of the CD. Furthermore, references (H. Chen et al., 2022) and (Qu et al., 2021)
 70 generate false labels using the FCM clustering algorithm to increase the sample size based
 71 on DI and further improve the efficiency of change detection. The use of DI as a benchmark
 72 in CD methods, based on SAR images, weakens the preservation of the semantic information
 73 within the image, resulting in blurred boundaries and diminished accuracy of CD outcomes.

74 Most supervised learning methods, based on accurately labeled samples, usually obtain
 75 more change information than unsupervised learning methods; hence, they are more suitable
 76 for the accurate detection of change areas (Dong et al., 2020)(Gao et al., 2021). Therefore,
 77 many scholars proposed supervised CD methods based on SAR. For example, Lanka et
 78 al. proposed a CD method for mapping the extent of drought in a lake using supervised
 79 classification; thus, pre-processing, thresholding, segmentation, and Random Forest Clas-
 80 sification (RFC) steps were applied to estimate the decay in water levels (Lanka & Puli,
 81 2023). Moreover, Ma et al. proposed an approach based on multi-grained cascade forest
 82 and multi-scale fusion for SAR images. This approach detects the changed and unchanged
 83 areas of the images by using the well-trained multi-grained cascade forest (Ma et al., 2019).
 84 With the development of deep learning, many scholars have focused their research on the
 85 field of deep learning to improve the accuracy of CD. For instance, Li et al. proposed a non-
 86 smooth Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (nsNMF) nonlinear network to build for learning
 87 hierarchical, nonlinear, and localized data representations. Meanwhile, an extreme learning
 88 machine is integrated into the nonlinear nsNMF model to construct a deep nsNMF network
 89 for satisfactory classification (H.-C. Li et al., 2020). For instance, Wang et al. proposed
 90 a supervised Principal Component Analysis Network (PCA-Net) method, the pixels near
 91 the boundary between two classes are exploited to guide network training (R. Wang et al.,
 92 2018). Moreover, paper (Samadi et al., 2019) proposed a supervised deep belief network
 93 acting as the architecture and provided a dataset with an appropriate data volume and
 94 diversity. The network used the input images and the morphological operator results of the
 95 images to train the deep learning model to detect the changes in SAR images.

96 Based on the study of the aforementioned techniques, several researchers employed CD
 97 methods in SAR images to detect water expansion and submerged areas caused by floods
 98 (Abijith & Saravanan, 2022). For example, Li et al. integrated the fully-connected condi-
 99 tional random field model with long-range pairwise potential connections for the detection
 100 of flood disasters based on Sentinel-1 SAR images (Y. Li et al., 2018). In addition, He et
 101 al. constructed a flood dataset based on optical images of Sentinel-2 and the SAR images
 102 obtained from Sentinel-1, and proposed a cross-model change detection network to extract
 103 the flood area (He et al., 2023). Furthermore, Zhao et al. employ dual-time SAR images
 104 for detecting changes in flood disasters and used a transfer learning framework to improve
 105 the detection accuracy for flood-affected areas (Zhao et al., 2023a). However, the system-
 106 inherent speckle noise in SAR images superimposed the disturbing salt-and-pepper pattern
 107 on the real information, which had an undesirable effect on locating disaster areas. There-
 108 fore, in the task of locating flood disasters, many studies focused on denoising first and
 109 carrying out CD subsequently to improve the accuracy of disaster area positioning (Dong
 110 et al., 2022)(Duan et al., 2021).

111 In recent years, denoising methods primarily are categorized into three types: Spa-
 112 tial Domain Filtering-based Methods (SDFM), Frequency Domain Filtering-based Methods
 113 (FDFM), and Deep Learning-based Methods (DLM) (Thakur & Maji, 2022b). SDFM is
 114 relatively simple because it processes the grayscale values of the image directly. So, it has
 115 been widely used in early SAR image denoising, such as the Frost filter (Frost et al., 1982a),
 116 Lee filter (Frost et al., 1982b), Kuan filter (Kuan et al., 1985), and SAR-BM3D (X. Liu et

117 al., 2020). However, SDFM is limited by window size generally, which results in blocking
 118 effects and artifacts in the denoised image. To solve these problems, FDFM is introduced
 119 to suppress the speckle noise of SAR images through inverse transformation (Parrilli et
 120 al., 2011),(S. Q. Liu et al., 2014). Therefore, Liu et al. (S. Liu et al., 2017) converted
 121 speckle noise to additive noise using shearlet transform. Reference (Jakka et al., 2019) used
 122 discrete wavelet transforms for image fusion and applied an improved gray wolf optimiza-
 123 tion algorithm for an adaptive optimization of the coefficients. In papers (X. Zhang et al.,
 124 2022) and (Chunhua & Fangchao, 2022), an improved wavelet denoising algorithm, based
 125 on fast non-local mean filtering and a threshold function, is proposed to improve the effec-
 126 tiveness of denoising. As a result, FDFM performs better in preserving image edge details
 127 than SDFM. However, after frequency domain transformation and inverse transformation,
 128 information loss often occurs, reducing therefore the denoising accuracy.

129 With the development of remote sensing technology and deep learning method, much
 130 more scholars apply CNNs to denoise SAR images. In paper (Chierchia et al., 2017), a novel
 131 SAR denoising network deployed logarithmic and exponential transformations in residual
 132 CNNs to denoise SAR images. Paper (Thakur & Maji, 2022a) proposed an attention and
 133 gradient-based SAR denoising network to remove speckle noise from SAR images and pre-
 134 serve finer details. The gradient information of the noisy image was concatenated with its
 135 features, and then an intermediate feature denoising block and two attention blocks were
 136 employed to reduce the noise in the feature mapping. Furthermore, reference (Ko & Lee,
 137 2021) proposed a continuous attention module for speckle denoising and used a data-driven
 138 approach with the gradient descent algorithm to train, where the context blocks were de-
 139 ployed at the minimum scale to capture multi-scale information. In addition, paper (Z. Liu
 140 et al., 2020) proposed a spatial and transform domain CNN, which applies a spatial channel
 141 attention module to enhance the boundaries between noise-related and non-noise-related
 142 features to improve denoising performance. Finally, in reference (S. Liu et al., 2021), the
 143 Multiscale Residual Dense Dual Attention Network (MRDDANet) with multi-scale mod-
 144 ules of different kernel sizes was presented to extract shallow features from noisy images and
 145 map them into a residual dense dual attention network to obtain the deep features of SAR
 146 images.

147 Motivated by the influence of denoising methods, many scholars combine denoising and
 148 change detection technology to locate flood-affected areas. For instance, reference (Cao et
 149 al., 2019) designed an end-to-end deep denoising model to remove the noise of SAR images
 150 and utilized a three-layer CNN to divide the denoised image into change and unchanged
 151 regions. Moreover, paper (J. Wang et al., 2022) proposed two parallel paths to denoise
 152 images, one path dedicated to estimating the noise distribution of images whereas the other
 153 focused on identifying the relationship between noise and signal. Then, the proposed method
 154 used a multi-region convolution module to emphasize the changed area at two-time points
 155 and obtain the CD results. To sum up, all mentioned methods have effectively improved
 156 the accuracy of change detection by applying denoising. Nevertheless, these methods lack
 157 sufficient CD training data.

158 Inspired by the above research, we propose, in this paper, a two-stage approach to
 159 locate the flood-stricken area that consists of denoising and CD, named Denoising-Change
 160 Detection Approach (D-CDA). In the denoising stage, a nine-layer encoder-decoder network
 161 is presented to suppress the speckle noise of SAR images, called SAR Denoising Network
 162 (SDNet). The Multi-Residual Block (MRB) and Parallel Convolutional Block Attention
 163 Module (P-CBAM) are designed in the encoder branch to extract features, focusing on
 164 the noisy and non-noisy information. In the CD stage, a novel deep learning network
 165 is described to locate the flood-affected areas by detecting the changes between the pre-
 166 disaster and the post-disaster denoising images, called Coordinate Attention Fused Network
 167 (CAFNet). It combines the Siamese network and UNet++ as the backbone to enhance
 168 the detection efficiency, employs the Coordinate Attention (CA) modules (Q. Hou et al.,
 169 2021) to focus on the boundary of the flood-stricken areas in the encoder part, and employs

170 Multi-level Damage-feature Aggregation Attention Module (MDAAM) (Y. Zhang et al.,
 171 2023) to capture global, diversity, and similarity information of the multilevel features. The
 172 contributions of our proposed method can be summarized as follows:

- 173 1. We propose a two-stage approach D-CDA for locating disaster-stricken areas. In fact,
 174 D-CDA incorporates a denoising network and change detection network to remove
 175 the unwanted noise and improves therefore the locating accuracy;
- 176 2. The denoising network SDNet employs a simple nine-layer encoder-decoder structure
 177 that combines MRB and P-CBAM to extract the ground objects and reduce the noise
 178 impact using spatial and channel features of SAR images;
- 179 3. The change detection method CAFNet aggregates the siamese network, UNet++, CA
 180 modules, and MDAAM to extract change information caused by flood disasters and
 181 express the irregular edges of flood-affected areas;
- 182 4. A ZhengZhou Flood dataset (ZZF-dataset) is simulated based on Sentinel-1 SAR
 183 images to verify the performance of the proposed approach. The database contains
 184 1900 pairs of images and covers various landmarks such as cities, bridges, rivers,
 185 beaches, etc. . .

186 2 Dataset

187 2.1 ZZF-dataset

188 ZhengZhou of China’s Henan Province experienced flooding on July, 20th, 2021 resulting
 189 from heavy rainfall. The flood disaster caused 398 deaths, mountain floods, landslides,
 190 serious urban waterlogging, and significant damage to subways and underground spaces
 191 (Zhao et al., 2023b)(Vekaria et al., 2022). Moreover, the Zhengzhou flood disaster was
 192 characterized by a wide range of impact, a large affected area, and obvious flood features,
 193 which facilitated CD and flood mapping (Peter et al., 2020). Therefore, this paper collects
 194 the SAR images of the ZhengZhou flood based on the Level-1 Ground Range Detected
 195 (GRD) image of Sentinel-1 to construct the CD dataset. Compared to the existing images,
 196 we select one image 5 days before the flood and one image 7 days after the flood as the
 197 pre-disaster and post-disaster images, respectively. The information on the dual-temporal
 198 SAR images is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Data Information

	Pre-temporal image	Post-temporal image
Satellite	Sentinel-1	Sentinel-1
Mode	IW	IW
Instrumen	SAR-C	SAR-C
Product type	GRD	GRD
Spatial resolution	10m	10m
Product level	L1	L1
Ingestion Date	7/15/21 13:57	7/27/21 12:15
Mission datatake id	299935	301281
Upper Left Accuracy	113°07E ,36°22N	113°08E ,36°22N
Lower Right Accuracy	116°18E ,35°12N	116°18E ,35°12N

199 To obtain a dataset suitable for detecting changes to locate the flood-affected area,
 200 the dual-temporal images are first registered using OpenCV, and then they are utilized
 201 to generate the Difference Image (DI) by the logarithmic ratio operator to highlight the
 202 changing areas, which gives a preliminary observation of flood disaster-stricken. Then, a
 203 rigorous process of evaluation is adopted and the DI images are reviewed to determine the

204 edge areas of the affected regions. Finally, Labelme software is used to draw the polygons
 205 of the changing areas to generate the label map.

206 To confirm the quality and reliability of the dataset, we invite five domain experts to
 207 evaluate it in terms of content, quality, and relevance to research questions. They were also
 208 asked to make subsequent modifications and improvements based on their feedback and
 209 suggestions. Meanwhile, an in-depth internal review was performed to ensure the accuracy,
 210 consistency, and usability of the dataset. Ultimately, after multiple rounds of evaluation
 211 and revision, we successfully label the flood-affected areas. Specifically, the five experts
 212 that were invited had a large knowledge in the field, including two professors with over ten
 213 years of experience in related fields, two senior researchers with over eight years of research
 214 experience in the field, and one technical expert with rich experience in dataset construction
 215 and evaluation. To sum up, the labeling process of changes in bi-temporal SAR images is
 216 shown in Figure 1 where the white regions represent the flooded areas, and the black regions
 217 indicate the non-flooded areas in the label image.




Figure 1. The generation of label image in ZZF-dataset

218 Moreover, the labeled pre- and post-disaster images are cut into 5000 pairs of 32×32
 219 pixel small size with a certain repetition ratio between small scales by the sliding window.
 220 To enlarge the image number, the small images were handled by rotation and flipping
 221 adjustment whereas the ZZF-dataset contains 20000 pairs of images where almost 6000 pairs
 222 include affected area and the remaining (about 14000) cover various unaffected landmarks
 223 such as cities, bridges, rivers, beaches, etc... Moreover, the dataset is divided into a train
 224 set, test set, and validation set in a 3:1:1 ratio. Figure 2 shows some representative images
 225 of the ZZF-dataset.




Figure 2. The representative images of ZZF-dataset. The first row are pre-disaster images, the second row are post-disaster images and the third row are label images.

2.2 Simulated denoise dataset

To train and evaluate the proposed denoising method, a dataset with denoising labels is constructed. Considering the difficulty in obtaining effective denoising labels for SAR images, the UC Merced Land Use dataset (abbreviated as UC dataset) (Yang & Newsam, 2010) is an optical remote sensing image dataset to simulate the denoise dataset. It consists of 2100 images, having mainly a size of 256x256 pixels and containing lots of ground objects that are easily affected in flood disasters, such as streams, rivers, bridges, waterfront buildings, cities, farmland, etc. . .

The construction procedure for the simulated denoise dataset includes four steps: First, we randomly select 1900 images from the UC dataset including streams, rivers, bridges, beaches, and buildings to simulate noise. Then, the high-resolution RGB image in the UC dataset is transformed into a grayscale image with a 10-meter resolution as a label image. To produce this dataset, we take a similar approach to the one presented in (Ko & Lee, 2021), where the simulated speckle noise, formed by the Gamma function, is added to the transformed image to simulate the SAR image as the original one. Finally, the original and labeled images are transformed with cutting, rotation, flipping, contrast adjustment, etc. . . to enlarge the size of the simulated denoise dataset with 30400 pairs of 64x64 pixel images. Furthermore, the noise formula is constructed as follows:

$$Y = N \cdot X \quad (1)$$

where X is the optical image (denoising), Y is the simulated image, and N is the noise component. If N follows a Gamma distribution (Dong et al., 2020), with a unit mean and variance of $1/L$, its probability density function would be defined as follows:

$$p(N) = \frac{L^L N^{L-1} e^{-LN}}{\Gamma(L)}, N \geq 0, L \geq 1 \quad (2)$$

where L represents the number of lines of sight in the multi-view processing. In this method, L is set to four and represents the Gamma function. Figure 3 is a set of example images.




Figure 3. The representative images of the simulated noise image. The top row shows four optical images, and the second row displays the corresponding simulated SAR images.

3 Method

In this paper, we propose a two-stage approach to detect flood-stricken areas, named the D-CDA. In the first stage, a nine-layer encoder-decoder SDNet is proposed to suppress the speckle noise by extracting flood information using MRBs and P-CBAM. In the second stage, we design a CAFNet based on the Siamese network, UNet++, CA, and MDAAM to distinguish between flood pixels and non-flood pixels.

3.1 SDNet

To locate flood-stricken areas in SAR images, speckle noise seriously affects the locating accuracy and efficiency (Cao et al., 2019). Therefore, a denoising network SDNet is developed to reduce the adverse effects of noise. SDNet adopts a typical nine-layer encoder-decoder structure as a backbone, utilizes convolution block, MRB, and long skip connection to extract and concatenate features, and employs P-CBAM to focus on both channel and spatial information of the feature maps to suppress noise and preserve real pixel. The architecture of SDNet is displayed in Figure 4, and the details are shown in Table 2.




Figure 4. The architecture of SDNet

263 The encoder part of the SDNet utilizes a typical 3×3 convolution block to extract 128
 264 shallow feature maps and employs a simple max-pooling layer with a size of 2×2 (stride of
 265 1) to reduce the size of the feature map. Then, this latter interacts with our designed MRB
 266 to better capture the flood information. Moreover, the P-CBAM is designed to extract both
 267 channel and spatial features for suppressing the noise component to restore real information.

268 In the decoding stage, the feature maps are initially restored to the input size, followed
 269 by the usage of three convolution layers to convert feature maps into denoised images. To
 270 preserve important features in the reconstruction process and extract deep-level features,
 271 a long skip connection is added between the encoder and decoder process. This helps
 272 the network learn better feature relationships between its different layers, thereby better
 273 capturing the correlations between them to provide better speckle noise removal.

274 **3.1.1 Multi-Residual Block**

275 In the decoding stage, the feature maps are initially restored to the input size, followed
 276 by the usage of three convolution layers to convert feature maps into denoised images. To
 277 preserve important features in the reconstruction process and extract deep-level features,
 278 a long skip connection is added between the encoder and decoder process. This helps
 279 the network learn better feature relationships between its different layers, thereby better
 280 capturing the correlations between them to provide better speckle noise removal.

281 In SDNet, the objective is to enhance the effect of feature maps to suppress the influence
 282 of noise on SAR images and to design a residual structure as shown in Figure 5, which
 283 consists of a Residual Channel Attention Block (RCAB) (Xie et al., 2019) module to focus
 284 more on high-frequency features while using a 3×3 convolutional block RCBA as shown in
 285 Figure 6. Therefore, the equation of the multi-residual blocks is represented as follows:

$$MRB(F_{RB}) = F_{MRB} + (W_{3 \times 3} * RCAB(F_{MRB}) + b) \quad (3)$$

286 where the convolution block consists of a 3×3 convolution layer with a bias variable b , the
 287 operator $*$ represents the convolution operation, and MRB represents a multi-residual block.

288

Table 2. The details of SDNet. Input size and output size are in the form of $C \times W \times H$, where C is the number of channels, W and H are the width and height of the image, respectively.

	Layer	Blocks	Input size ($C \times W \times H$)	Output size ($C \times W \times H$)
ENCODER	L1	Convolution (3×3)	$1 \times 64 \times 64$	$128 \times 64 \times 64$
	L2	Max-pooling (2×2)	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	$128 \times 32 \times 32$
	L3	MRB	$128 \times 32 \times 32$	$128 \times 32 \times 32$
	L4	Convolution (1×1)	$128 \times 32 \times 32$	$128 \times 32 \times 32$
	L5	CBAM	$128 \times 32 \times 32$	$128 \times 32 \times 32$
DECODER	L6	Up-sampling	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	$128 \times 64 \times 64$
	L7	Convolution (3×3)	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	$128 \times 64 \times 64$
	L8	Convolution (1×1)	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	$128 \times 64 \times 64$
	L9	Convolution (3×3)	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	$1 \times 64 \times 64$




Figure 5. The structure of MRB




Figure 6. The structure of RCAB

289

3.1.2 P-CBAM

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

To focus on flood feature information and suppress speckle noise, this paper designs an attention module as shown in Figure 7. The input feature maps are simultaneously inserted into the Channel Attention Mechanism (CAM) and the Spatial Attention Mechanism (SAM). The feature maps obtained from both the CAM and SAM are multiplied to obtain the spatial weights, which reduce the location differences between the feature maps at different levels, focusing on the important regions and features, and reducing the influence of noise and interference.

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

On one hand, the SAM performs the weighting process on the feature maps in the spatial dimension, giving higher weights to focus on flood information that has a larger proportion in the calculation of the overall feature representation; thus, it ignores irrelevant noise information in the input image. On the other hand, CAM performs the weighting process on the feature maps in the channel dimension, highlighting useful feature maps and reducing the impact of useless feature maps on network attention. Moreover, it focuses on extracting important feature information while considering optimal positions, enabling the network to pay more attention to critical details within the input image.




Figure 7. The structure of parallel CBAM

304

305

306

As a result, the SAM obtains the attention feature map by adaptively fusing the features within the local receptive field. This process helps the network to pay more attention to useful features while suppressing noise signals. The SAM structure is shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8. The structure of SAM

307

308 As for the CAM, it is used to extract features between different channels where the
 309 CAM structure is shown in Figure 9. This attention mechanism is integrated within the
 SDNet method, developed in this paper, without increasing the computational burden.




Figure 9. The structure of CAM

310

3.1.3 loss function

311

312 This paper adopts the loss function proposed in (P. Wang et al., 2017), which is for-
 313 mulated as follows:

$$L_{TV} = \sum_{i=1}^W \sum_{j=1}^H \sqrt{(\hat{x}^{i+1,j} - \hat{x}^{i,j})^2 + (\hat{x}^{i,j+1} - \hat{x}^{i,j})^2} \quad (4)$$

314

$$L_{DG} = \log_{10} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^W \sum_{j=1}^H \|\hat{x}_{i,j} - x_{i,j}\|_2^2}{\sum_{i=1}^W \sum_{j=1}^H \|y_{i,j} - x_{i,j}\|_2^2} \right) \quad (5)$$

315

$$L(\theta) = L_{DG} + \lambda_{TV} L_{TV} \quad (6)$$

316 The loss function consists of two parts: the Total Variation (TV) loss (Lattari et al., 2019)
 317 and the Discriminative Gradient (DG) loss (Shen et al., 2020) where x and y represent the
 318 ground truth image and the denoised image, and the noise image, respectively. Moreover,
 319 i and j denote the pixel coordinates, and W and H represent the number of pixels in the
 320 width and the height dimensions, respectively. The value of λ is set to $2e-4$.

321 In the nine-layer SDNet, long skip connections capture features across different layers
 322 which enhances the ability to discriminate noise information. Moreover, the encoder pro-
 323 gressively reduces the spatial dimensions of the feature maps to capture global contextual
 324 information. In the decoder, when remapping the encoded features back to the original
 325 input space, leveraging the global contextual information is performed to better reconstruct
 326 the details and local the structures. The P-CBAM pays more attention to the flood informa-
 327 tion in SAR flood images and ignores noise. As the MRB combines low-level features with
 328 high-level features, it better handles noise problems in complex images and compensates for
 329 the shortcomings of shallow networks in removing image noise. Thus, MRB prioritizes flood
 330 information.

3.2 Change Detection Phase

331

332 In this paper, we design an encoder-decoder structure network by modifying the Siamese
 333 network and UNet++; this structure is named CAFNet. The CA architecture is shown in
 334 Figure 10 whereas the convolution block, used in the modification, is illustrated in Figure
 335 11 and Table 3.




Figure 10. The structure of CAFNet




Figure 11. The structure of Convolution Block

336 The main goal of the encoding stage is to extract fuse feature maps from the input
 337 images. Due to the problem of sample imbalance in the ZZF-dataset, the change detection
 338 phase network takes two dual-temporal SAR remote sensing images, T1 and T2, as input and
 339 processes them through the two share-parameter branches. The T1 branch passes through
 340 four convolution block and three down-sampling while the T2 branch goes through five
 341 convolution block and four down-sampling operations. The convolution is used to extract
 342 features between the two images, helping to improve the robustness of the samples. A
 343 connection structure is used to fuse the two features between the convolution blocks of the
 344 two branches and outputs a fuse feature map to ensure information integrity.

345 Moreover, the SAR flood disaster areas exhibits strong spatial continuity and contains
 346 rich information. To capture the contextual relations in SAR images, the CA mechanism
 347 is used as it incorporates this contextual information into feature maps. CA uses two
 348 one-dimensional global pooling operations to aggregate the feature input along the vertical
 349 and horizontal directions, resulting in two separate direction feature maps. Then, these
 350 two-direction feature maps, with specific directional information, are encoded into two at-
 351 tention feature maps, each capturing correlations along a long spatial direction. Therefore,

Table 3. Details of CAFNet. Input size and Output size are in the form of $C \times W \times H$, where C is the number of channels of the images, W and H are the width and height of the image respectively.

	Layer	T1	T2	Input size ($C \times W \times H$)	Input size ($C \times W \times H$)
ENCODER	L1	Conv X1(0,0)	Conv X2(0,0)	$1 \times 32 \times 32$	$32 \times 32 \times 32$
	L2	CA	CA	$32 \times 32 \times 32$	$32 \times 32 \times 32$
	L3	Down-sample	Down-sample	$32 \times 32 \times 32$	$32 \times 16 \times 16$
	L4	Conv X1(1,0)	Conv X2(1,0)	$32 \times 16 \times 16$	$64 \times 16 \times 16$
	L5	CA	CA	$64 \times 16 \times 16$	$64 \times 16 \times 16$
	L6	Down-sample	Down-sample	$64 \times 16 \times 16$	$128 \times 8 \times 8$
	L7	Conv X1(2,0)	Conv X2(2,0)	$128 \times 8 \times 8$	$128 \times 8 \times 8$
	L8	CA	CA	$128 \times 8 \times 8$	$128 \times 8 \times 8$
	L9	Down-sample	Down-sample	$128 \times 8 \times 8$	$128 \times 4 \times 4$
	L10	Conv X1(3,0)	Conv X2(3,0)	$128 \times 4 \times 4$	$256 \times 4 \times 4$
	L11		Down-sample	$256 \times 4 \times 4$	$256 \times 2 \times 2$
	L12		Conv X2(4,0)	$256 \times 2 \times 2$	$512 \times 2 \times 2$
DECODER	L13		up-sample	$512 \times 2 \times 2$	$512 \times 4 \times 4$
	L14	Conv X(3,1)		$512 \times 4 \times 4$	$256 \times 4 \times 4$
	L15	up-sample		$256 \times 4 \times 4$	$256 \times 8 \times 8$
	L16	Conv X(2,2)		$256 \times 8 \times 8$	$128 \times 8 \times 8$
	L17	up-sample		$128 \times 8 \times 8$	$128 \times 16 \times 16$
	L18	Conv X(1,2)		$128 \times 16 \times 16$	$64 \times 16 \times 16$
	L19	up-sample		$64 \times 16 \times 16$	$64 \times 32 \times 32$
	L20	Conv X(0,0)		$64 \times 32 \times 32$	$32 \times 32 \times 32$
	L21	MDAAM		$32 \times 32 \times 32$	$1 \times 32 \times 32$

352
353
354

the location information is preserved in the generated attention feature map. Finally, the two attention feature maps are merged into one after multiplication. The structure of the CA attention mechanism is shown in Figure 12.




Figure 12. The structure of the CA

355 The CA module captures in a better way the spatial and texture information of flood
 356 disaster areas to refine the irregular edge information at the boundary between the changing
 357 and non-changing areas and improve the accuracy of change detection. In addition, since
 358 the CA module adaptively learns the relationships between different positions, it improves
 359 the robustness of the model and makes the network more adaptable to SAR flood disaster
 360 images.

361 In the decoding stage, the feature maps are restored using the same size through con-
 362 tinuous up-sampling. To maintain the same resolution of the feature maps as well as the
 363 integrity of the detailed information, this paper adds a dense skip connection mechanism
 364 between the encoder and decoder. After down-sampling the dual-temporal images, the fuse
 365 features are transmitted to the decoder through skip connections to compensate for the loss
 366 of the deep features in the decoder. Finally, after passing through the decoder, the net-
 367 work generates four feature maps with different semantic levels, having the same size as
 368 the original image, and the prediction results are delivered through MDAAM to automati-
 369 cally integrates and refine the global contextual semantic and localization information in
 370 different-scale feature layers. While changing the detection task, the number of un-
 371 changed pixels is often far greater than the number of changed pixels. Furthermore, to reduce the
 372 impact of sample imbalance, this paper adopts a mix loss function in the change detection
 373 phase network; this latter is formulated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{wce} + \mathcal{L}_{dice} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{wce} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_P [-w_c R_p \log(P_p) - w_u (1 - R_p) \log(1 - P_p)] \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{dice} = 1 - \frac{2 \cdot Y \cdot \text{softmax}(Y)}{Y + \text{softmax}(Y)} \quad (9)$$

374 where represents the weight cross-entropy loss, and is the Dice coefficient loss. In addi-
 375 tion, and represent the changed and unchanged classes, respectively. Finally, and indicate
 376 the predict and ground truth labels of a pixel (i, j).

To detect changes in the SAR flood disasters image, CAFNet utilizes the CA attention mechanism to improve the accuracy of the change detection by focusing on the relationship between the positions in the input images. This method is applied to help the network in highlighting important features in the adoption of a position-aware approach to feature learning. Moreover, the CA attention mechanism explores the spatial correlation among different regions to effectively improve the representation of the input data and enhance the accuracy of the change detection, especially for complex scenes with small variations.

4 Experiment

4.1 experimental environment

This paper conducts experiments on an NVIDIA 3090 GPU using the PyTorch framework to train and test the models. The operating environment for both stages of the network is CUDA 11.4 and PyTorch 1.7. In the SDNet, the batch size is set to two, and the Adam optimizer is used with an initial learning rate of 1e-4. The learning rate decreases by 1e-5 per iteration, and the total number of iterations is set to 20. In the localization stage network, the batch size is set to 16 whereas the Adam optimizer is used with an initial learning rate of 1e-4. The learning rate decreases by 5e-5 per iteration, and the total number of iterations is set to 50.

4.2 evaluation criterion

In the denoising stage, this paper use two evaluation metrics: Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Structural Similarity Index (SSIM). The formulas for calculating these metrics are as follows:

$$PSNR = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{(x_{\max})^2}{MSE(x, \hat{x})} \quad (10)$$

$$SSIM = \frac{(2\mu_{\hat{x}}\mu_x + c_1)(2\sigma_{\hat{x}x} + c_2)}{(\mu_{\hat{x}}^2 + \mu_x^2 + c_1)(\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2 + \sigma_x^2 + c_2)} \quad (11)$$

where \hat{x} and x are the denoised image and the label image; x_{\max} is the maximum value of x ; $\mu_{\hat{x}}$ and μ_x are the mean of \hat{x} and x ; $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ and σ_x are the standard deviations of \hat{x} and x ; $\sigma_{\hat{x}x}$ is the covariance between \hat{x} and x ; and c_1 and c_2 are constants for computational stability.

The evaluation standards, used in the localization stage experiment through this work, mainly include six parts: mean Intersection over Union (mIoU), Precision, Recall, F1 score, Kappa coefficient, and Pixel-wise Correct Classification (PCC). Precision refers to the ratio of true positive samples among all samples predicted as positive. Its formula is as follows:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (12)$$

The recall represents the proportion of true positive samples among all real positive samples. It is calculated as follows:

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (13)$$

The mIOU is the overlap between two regions, represented by the following equation:

$$mIOU = \frac{TP}{TP + FN + FP} \quad (14)$$

The F1 score is a metric that considers both precision and recall and consists of the harmonic mean of precision and recall. Moreover, it comprehensively considers the relationship between both variables, and a higher value indicates a more effective experimental method. It is calculated as follows:

$$F1 = \frac{2Precision * Recall}{2Precision + Recall} \quad (15)$$

415 The PCC represents the percentage of correctly classified pixels in the overall pixel count
 416 in CD. A higher value indicates a higher accuracy in change detection, and it is calculated
 417 as follows:

$$PCC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FN + FP + TN} \quad (16)$$

418 The Kappa coefficient is a metric used for consistency tests; it measures whether the model's
 419 predicted results are consistent with the actual classification results. Its calculation is based
 420 on the confusion matrix and ranges between -1 and 1 (it is usually greater than zero). Its
 421 expression is as follows:

$$Kappa = \frac{P_o - P_e}{1 - P_e} \quad (17)$$

422 where TP represents the true positive samples, TN represents the true negative samples,
 423 FN represents the false negative samples, and FP represents the false positive samples.
 424 Moreover, PCC is the sum of the correctly classified samples for each class, divided by the
 425 total number of samples, which represents the overall classification accuracy. represents
 426 the proportion of agreement, which indicates the percentage of algorithmic predictions that
 427 match the results of the manual annotations. Finally, Pe is the probability of consistency
 428 in CD results.

429 4.3 experimental result

430 In the denoising stage, experiments are conducted to compare our proposed method
 431 with U-Net (Lattari et al., 2019), the Spatial and Transform Domain Convolutional Neural
 432 Network (STD-CNN) (Z. Liu et al., 2020), and the SAR-CAM (Ko & Lee, 2021), as shown
 433 in Table 4. Referring to this table, our proposed method achieves a higher PSNR and SSIM
 434 for denoised images where the values are 28.89 and 0.836, respectively, compared to the
 435 other methods.

Table 4. Comparison of denoising methods

Method	PSNR (dB)	SSIM
U-Net	24.95	0.4955
STD-CNN	28.29	0.7867
SAR-CAM	28.6	0.8092
Ours (SDNet)	28.57	0.8307




Figure 13. Experimental Comparison result in SAR image . From left to right: (a) original image, (b) U-Net, (c) STD-CNN, (d) SAR-CAM, (e) Our proposed network.




Figure 14. Experimental Comparison result in simulated noise dataset. From left to right: (a) original image, (b) noise image, (c) U-Net, (d) STD-CNN, (e) SAR-CAM, (f) Our proposed network.

436 In the SDNet, PSNR achieve 28.57 and SSIM achieve 0.8307. Compare with the afore-
 437 mentioned methods, the denoise images by SDNet are smoother and effectively refine the
 438 edge information of flood areas while maintaining denoising performance. And highlights
 439 the flood characteristics within the images. This indicates that the MRB and parallel
 440 CBAM structures in SDNet can effectively extract flood-related information while disreg-
 441 arding noise. We demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed SDNet in this study by
 442 perform the aforementioned comparative experiments. Experimental comparison result as
 443 shown in Figs. 13-14.

444 We introduce to verify the effectiveness of adding a denoising stage before the localiza-
 445 tion stage and incorporating the CA mechanism for change detection tasks, this paper con-
 446 duct ablation experiments. The experiments include backbone, SDNet+backbone, CAFNet
 and D-CDA, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Details of CAFNet. Input size and Output size are in the form of $C \times W \times H$, where C is the number of channels of the images, W and H are the width and height of the image respectively.

Method	Precision	Recall	F1	PCC	Kappa	mIOU
backbone	0.9031	0.8920	0.8975	0.9590	0.8719	0.8041
SDNet+backbone	0.9114	0.9023	0.9072	0.9634	0.8843	0.8224
CAFNet	0.8965	0.9174	0.9068	0.9620	0.8830	0.8488
D-CDA	0.8935	0.9310	0.9120	0.9681	0.8860	0.8732

447
 448 The mIOU of the backbone reaches 0.8041, indicating that the change detection back-
 449 bone is suitable for locating flood-disaster-stricken areas. Moreover, the addition of the
 450 CA mechanism to the backbone, the proposed CAFNet, and the mIoU increases by 0.0447.
 451 This indicates that the CA mechanism effectively integrates the positional information of the
 452 pixels to improve the accuracy of locating flood disaster-stricken areas. More importantly,
 453 after incorporating the denoising stage, the overall localization accuracy of F1 improves by
 454 0.0154, and mIOU improves by 0.0691. This demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed
 455 two-stage approach for flood disaster-stricken areas localization.

456 We aim to validate the superiority of the proposed method as we compare it with
 457 DDNet (Qu et al., 2021) and SAFNet (Gao et al., 2021) methods in the experimental
 458 section. In addition, this method aims to verify the effectiveness of the SDNet; therefore,
 459 we add SDNet before DDNet and SAFNet. Subsequently, we compare the results with our

460 proposed method. Since the aforementioned deep learning methods are designed for change
 461 detection of a single pair of SAR images, we use the test sets of the constructed dataset as
 input, and the experimental results are shown in Figure 15.

Table 6. Comparison of different methods on the ZZF-Dataset

Method	Precision	Recall	F1	PCC	Kappa	mIOU
DDNet	0.7932	0.7925	0.7929	0.8666	0.5990	0.6070
SDNet+DDNet	0.7933	0.9008	0.8436	0.8587	0.6774	0.7341
SAFNet	0.9365	0.6195	0.7469	0.7773	0.831	0.5113
SDNet+SAFNet	0.8983	0.8315	0.8638	0.9122	0.8032	0.6989
Ours (CAFNet)	0.8965	0.9174	0.9068	0.9620	0.8830	0.8488
Ours (D-CDA)	0.8935	0.9310	0.9120	0.9681	0.8860	0.8732




Figure 15. Experimental Comparison result. From left to right: (a) pre-disaster image, (b) pre-disaster image, (c) ground truth, (d) DDNet, (e) SDNet+DDNet, (f) SAFNet, (g) SDNet+SAFNet, (h) Our proposed method.

462

463 From the experimental results displayed in Table 6, it is seen that the method proposed
 464 in this paper improves the various evaluation metrics when been compared to DDNet and
 465 SAFNet methods. After adding the denoise stage design, proposed in this paper, to the
 466 DDNet and SAFNet networks, the final results have a significant improvement in the recall
 467 rate, F1 score, and IOU. In more detail, in the D-CDA proposed in this article, F1 reaches
 468 0.9120, PCC reaches 0.9681, Kappa reaches 0.8860, and mIOU reaches 0.8732. Compare to
 469 other methods, D-CDA has a significant improvement. Therefore, it is concluded that the
 470 denoising stage, designed in this paper, has a good effect on improving the image quality and
 471 removing speckle noise, and the two-stage network, proposed in this paper, has an excellent
 472 performance in flood disaster detection accuracy.

473 From the experimental results displayed in Figure 16, for the first and second row,
 474 the DDNet (d) and SAFNet (f) without the SDNet are directly affected by speckle noise,
 475 resulting in incomplete detection of flooded areas and many false and missed detections.
 476 After adding the SDNet to these methods (g)(e), the detection of disaster areas becomes
 477 more regular and continuous. In the third row, due to the different divergence of the
 478 gradients in the first-phase SAR images, i.e., the different distribution of gray value changes

479 between pixels in the SAR images and undamaged areas may be falsely classified as damaged
 480 areas. In the fourth row, the results detected by our proposed method are more continuous
 481 and a more complete detection of flood disaster-stricken areas is obtained. Therefore, the
 482 denoise stage improves the image quality and reduces the impact of noise on subsequent
 483 detection. Moreover, our proposed method has strong noise resistance and anti-interference
 484 ability, which improve the accuracy of CD tasks. Finally, the loss curve of the CAFNet in
 our proposed method is shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16. Loss curve of CAFNet.

485

486 5 Conclusion

487 In this study, we propose a two-stage approach for locating flood disaster-stricken ar-
 488 eas in Sentinel-1, named D-CDA. In the first stage of this approach, SDNet with a nine-layer
 489 encoder-decoder structure is designed. In the encoder structure, P-CBAM and MRB are
 490 used to extract features effectively and suppress noise in SAR flood disaster images. In the
 491 second stage, the Siamese network and UNet++ are used as the backbone network and the
 492 CAFNet is implemented for CD. In the CAFNet, the CA mechanism is incorporated after
 493 each down-sampling stage to extract the positional information about features.

494 We conducted a series of experiments on the ZZF-dataset through ablation experi-
 495 ments and compared the results with other advanced methods. The effectiveness of the
 496 proposed method was demonstrated through these experiments. Our empirical evidence
 497 indicates that the D-CDA has greatly improved the localization accuracy of flood disaster-
 498 stricken areas.

499 As for the future work, we will attempt to combine multi-source remote sensing data
 500 and ground observation data to develop more refined and efficient flood disaster monitoring
 501 methods and provide more scientific and reliable support for disaster prevention, reduction,
 502 and post-disaster reconstruction. Although the efficiency of our proposed method in this
 503 paper is relatively low, in future work, we will attempt to improve the computational speed of
 504 the network.

Open Research Section

The code and dataset are available at <https://github.com/Luvinori/D-CDA>.

References

- Abijith, D., & Saravanan, S. (2022). Assessment of land use and land cover change detection and prediction using remote sensing and ca markov in the northern coastal districts of tamil nadu, india. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(57), 86055–86067.
- Atasever, U. H., & Gunen, M. A. (2021). Change detection approach for sar imagery based on arc-tangential difference image and k-means++. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 19, 1–5.
- Bazi, Y., Bruzzone, L., & Melgani, F. (2005). An unsupervised approach based on the generalized gaussian model to automatic change detection in multitemporal sar images. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 43(4), 874–887.
- Cao, X., Ji, Y., Wang, L., Ji, B., Jiao, L., & Han, J. (2019). Sar image change detection based on deep denoising and cnn. *IET Image Processing*, 13(9), 1509–1515.
- Chen, H., Jiao, L., Liang, M., Liu, F., Yang, S., & Hou, B. (2019). Fast unsupervised deep fusion network for change detection of multitemporal sar images. *Neurocomputing*, 332, 56–70.
- Chen, H., Zhang, H., & Feng, Z. (2022). Feature learning and change feature classification based on variational auto-encoder for sar change detection. In *International conference on intelligence science* (pp. 409–416).
- Chen, J.-W., Wang, R., Ding, F., Liu, B., Jiao, L., & Zhang, J. (2020). A convolutional neural network with parallel multi-scale spatial pooling to detect temporal changes in sar images. *Remote Sensing*, 12(10), 1619.
- Chierchia, G., Cozzolino, D., Poggi, G., & Verdoliva, L. (2017). Sar image despeckling through convolutional neural networks. In *2017 ieee international geoscience and remote sensing symposium (igarss)* (pp. 5438–5441).
- Chunhua, W., & Fangchao, W. (2022). A sar image wavelet denoising method based on improved threshold function. , 39(5), 39–44.
- Dekker, R. (1998). Speckle filtering in satellite sar change detection imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19(6), 1133–1146.
- Dong, H., Jiao, L., Ma, W., Liu, F., Liu, X., Li, L., & Yang, S. (2022). Deep shearlet network for change detection in sar images. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 60, 1–15.
- Dong, H., Ma, W., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., & Jiao, L. (2020). Self-supervised representation learning for remote sensing image change detection based on temporal prediction. *Remote Sensing*, 12(11), 1868.
- Duan, H., Dong, X., You, S., & Han, S. (2021). A deep learning denoising framework based on ffdnet for sar image change detection. In *2021 ieee 11th international conference on electronics information and emergency communication (iceiec) 2021 ieee 11th international conference on electronics information and emergency communication (iceiec)* (pp. 1–4).
- Frost, V. S., Stiles, J. A., Shanmugan, K. S., & Holtzman, J. C. (1982a). A model for radar images and its application to adaptive digital filtering of multiplicative noise. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*(2), 157–166.
- Frost, V. S., Stiles, J. A., Shanmugan, K. S., & Holtzman, J. C. (1982b). A model for radar images and its application to adaptive digital filtering of multiplicative noise. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*(2), 157–166.
- Gao, Y., Gao, F., Dong, J., Du, Q., & Li, H.-C. (2021). Synthetic aperture radar image change detection via siamese adaptive fusion network. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 14, 10748–10760.

- 556 Gong, M., Cao, Y., & Wu, Q. (2011). A neighborhood-based ratio approach for change
557 detection in sar images. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 9(2), 307–311.
- 558 He, X., Zhang, S., Xue, B., Zhao, T., & Wu, T. (2023). Cross-modal change detection flood
559 extraction based on convolutional neural network. *International Journal of Applied
560 Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 117, 103197.
- 561 Hou, B., Wei, Q., Zheng, Y., & Wang, S. (2014). Unsupervised change detection in sar
562 image based on gauss-log ratio image fusion and compressed projection. *IEEE journal
563 of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing*, 7(8), 3297–3317.
- 564 Hou, Q., Zhou, D., & Feng, J. (2021). Coordinate attention for efficient mobile network
565 design. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recog-
566 nition* (pp. 13713–13722).
- 567 Jakka, T. K., Reddy, Y. M., & Rao, B. P. (2019). Gwdwt-fcm: change detection in sar images
568 using adaptive discrete wavelet transform with fuzzy c-mean clustering. *Journal of
569 the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 47, 379–390.
- 570 Ko, J., & Lee, S. (2021). Sar image despeckling using continuous attention module. *IEEE
571 Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 15,
572 3–19.
- 573 Kuan, D. T., Sawchuk, A. A., Strand, T. C., & Chavel, P. (1985). Adaptive noise smoothing
574 filter for images with signal-dependent noise. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis
575 and machine intelligence*(2), 165–177.
- 576 Kumar, J. T., Reddy, Y. M., & Rao, B. P. (2020). Whda-fcm: wolf hunting-based drag-
577 onfly with fuzzy c-mean clustering for change detection in sar images. *The Computer
578 Journal*, 63(2), 308–321.
- 579 Lanka, S. S., & Puli, K. K. (2023). Change detection mapping using landsat synthetic
580 aperture radar images. In *2023 international conference on communication, circuits,
581 and systems (ic3s)* (pp. 1–6).
- 582 Lattari, F., Gonzalez Leon, B., Asaro, F., Rucci, A., Prati, C., & Matteucci, M. (2019).
583 Deep learning for sar image despeckling. *Remote Sensing*, 11(13), 1532.
- 584 Li, H.-C., Yang, G., Yang, W., Du, Q., & Emery, W. J. (2020). Deep nonsmooth nonnega-
585 tive matrix factorization network with semi-supervised learning for sar image change
586 detection. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 160, 167–179.
- 587 Li, Y., Martinis, S., Plank, S., & Ludwig, R. (2018). An automatic change detection
588 approach for rapid flood mapping in sentinel-1 sar data. *International journal of
589 applied earth observation and geoinformation*, 73, 123–135.
- 590 Liu, L., Jia, Z., Yang, J., & Kasabov, N. K. (2019). Sar image change detection based
591 on mathematical morphology and the k-means clustering algorithm. *IEEE Access*, 7,
592 43970–43978.
- 593 Liu, S., Lei, Y., Zhang, L., Li, B., Hu, W., & Zhang, Y.-D. (2021). Mrddanet: A multiscale
594 residual dense dual attention network for sar image denoising. *IEEE Transactions on
595 Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 60, 1–13.
- 596 Liu, S., Liu, M., Li, P., Zhao, J., Zhu, Z., & Wang, X. (2017). Sar image denoising via
597 sparse representation in shearlet domain based on continuous cycle spinning. *IEEE
598 transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, 55(5), 2985–2992.
- 599 Liu, S. Q., Hu, S. H., Xiao, Y., & An, Y. L. (2014). Bayesian shearlet shrinkage for sar image
600 de-noising via sparse representation. *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*,
601 25, 683–701.
- 602 Liu, X., Lu, X., & Ma, L. (2020). An improved synthetic aperture radar image denoising
603 method based on block-matching and 3d filtering. *The International Archives of the
604 Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 43, 319–323.
- 605 Liu, Z., Lai, R., & Guan, J. (2020). Spatial and transform domain cnn for sar image
606 despeckling. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 19, 1–5.
- 607 Ma, W., Yang, H., Wu, Y., Xiong, Y., Hu, T., Jiao, L., & Hou, B. (2019). Change detection
608 based on multi-grained cascade forest and multi-scale fusion for sar images. *Remote
609 Sensing*, 11(2), 142.

- 610 Munawar, H. S., Hammad, A. W., & Waller, S. T. (2022). Remote sensing methods for
611 flood prediction: A review. *Sensors*, *22*(3), 960.
- 612 Parrilli, S., Poderico, M., Angelino, C. V., & Verdoliva, L. (2011). A nonlocal sar im-
613 age denoising algorithm based on lmmse wavelet shrinkage. *IEEE Transactions on*
614 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *50*(2), 606–616.
- 615 Peter, B. G., Messina, J. P., Lin, Z., & Snapp, S. S. (2020). Crop climate suitability mapping
616 on the cloud: A geovisualization application for sustainable agriculture. *Scientific*
617 *Reports*, *10*(1), 15487.
- 618 Priyatna, M., Wijaya, S. K., Khomarudin, M. R., Yulianto, F., Nugroho, G., Afgatiani,
619 P. M., . . . Hussein, M. A. (2023). The use of multi-sensor satellite imagery to analyze
620 flood events and land cover changes using change detection and machine learning
621 techniques in the barito watershed. *Journal of Degraded & Mining Lands Management*,
622 *10*(2).
- 623 Qu, X., Gao, F., Dong, J., Du, Q., & Li, H.-C. (2021). Change detection in synthetic
624 aperture radar images using a dual-domain network. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote*
625 *Sensing Letters*, *19*, 1–5.
- 626 Samadi, F., Akbarizadeh, G., & Kaabi, H. (2019). Change detection in sar images using
627 deep belief network: a new training approach based on morphological images. *IET*
628 *Image Processing*, *13*(12), 2255–2264.
- 629 Shen, H., Zhou, C., Li, J., & Yuan, Q. (2020). Sar image despeckling employing a recursive
630 deep cnn prior. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *59*(1), 273–
631 286.
- 632 Tebaldini, S., Manzoni, M., Tagliaferri, D., Rizzi, M., Monti-Guarnieri, A. V., Prati, C. M.,
633 . . . Mazzucco, C. (2022). Sensing the urban environment by automotive sar imaging:
634 Potentials and challenges. *Remote Sensing*, *14*(15), 3602.
- 635 Thakur, R. K., & Maji, S. K. (2022a). Agsdnet: Attention and gradient-based sar denoising
636 network. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, *19*, 1–5.
- 637 Thakur, R. K., & Maji, S. K. (2022b). Sifsdnet: Sharp image feature based sar denois-
638 ing network. In *Igarss 2022-2022 ieee international geoscience and remote sensing*
639 *symposium* (pp. 3428–3431).
- 640 Vekaria, D., Chander, S., Singh, R., & Dixit, S. (2022). A change detection approach to
641 flood inundation mapping using multi-temporal sentinel-1 sar images, the brahmapu-
642 tra river, assam (india): 2015–2020. *Journal of Earth System Science*, *132*(1), 3.
- 643 Wang, J., Gao, F., Dong, J., Du, Q., & Li, H.-C. (2022). Change detection from synthetic
644 aperture radar images via dual path denoising network. *IEEE Journal of Selected*
645 *Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *15*, 2667–2680.
- 646 Wang, P., Zhang, H., & Patel, V. M. (2017). Sar image despeckling using a convolutional
647 neural network. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, *24*(12), 1763–1767.
- 648 Wang, R., Zhang, J., Chen, J., Jiao, L., & Wang, M. (2018). Imbalanced learning-based
649 automatic sar images change detection by morphologically supervised pca-net. *IEEE*
650 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, *16*(4), 554–558.
- 651 Xie, C., Wu, Y., Maaten, L. v. d., Yuille, A. L., & He, K. (2019). Feature denoising
652 for improving adversarial robustness. In *Proceedings of the ieee/cvf conference on*
653 *computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 501–509).
- 654 Yang, Y., & Newsam, S. (2010). Bag-of-visual-words and spatial extensions for land-use
655 classification. In *Proceedings of the 18th sigspatial international conference on advances*
656 *in geographic information systems* (pp. 270–279).
- 657 Zhang, X., Li, M., Liu, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, X., & Xu, Z. (2022). An improved wavelet
658 denoising algorithm for sar interferogram using fast non-local means filtering. *Geocarto*
659 *International*, *37*(27), 18600–18617.
- 660 Zhang, Y., Yang, G., Gao, A., Lv, W., Xie, R., Huang, M., & Liu, S. (2023). An efficient
661 change detection method for disaster-affected buildings based on a lightweight resid-
662 ual block in high-resolution remote sensing images. *International Journal of Remote*
663 *Sensing*, *44*(9), 2959–2981.
- 664 Zhao, B., Sui, H., & Liu, J. (2023a). Siam-dwenet: Flood inundation detection for sar

665 imagery using a cross-task transfer siamese network. *International Journal of Applied*
666 *Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 116, 103132.
667 Zhao, B., Sui, H., & Liu, J. (2023b). Siam-dwenet: Flood inundation detection for sar
668 imagery using a cross-task transfer siamese network. *International Journal of Applied*
669 *Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 116, 103132.