



university of
groningen

faculty of science
and engineering

Without water no energy, significant trade-offs between carbon and water footprints important for global energy and water policy


Winnie Gerbens-Leenes¹, Junguo Liu²

1. Integrated Research on Energy, Environment and Society (IREES), University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; p.w.leenes@rug.nl
2. Southern University of Science and Technology (SUSTech), Shenzhen, China; liujg@sustech.edu.cn




Introduction


- Water and energy are strongly related. Emphasis on decreasing carbon footprints (CFs) might increase water footprints (WFs).
- Pre-2009 water for energy studies focussed on cooling water for thermoelectric generation and water for transport fuel production.
- Most pre-2009 studies used grey literature data from US industry, often copying data from one source to the other.
- WF studies could quantify water for bioenergy and hydropower, because assessments used publically available data, e.g. weather and crop production data.
- This poster shows the contribution of WF studies to water for energy relationships. It explains why water is needed for energy, indicates most cited water-energy studies until 2009 and important WF studies.




WFs of biofuels from sugar, starch and oil crops (sugar cane and beet, maize, rapeseed) for some countries with large WF differences and the global average WFs. (Gerbens-Leenes et al., 2009. PNAS, 106: 10219–10223; Mekonnen and Hoekstra, 2011. HESS 15: 1577–1600).



Blue WF of hydropower in China. China's hydroelectric WF totaled 6.6 Gm³ yr⁻¹ in 2010. This was about 24% of the reservoir WF. (Liu et al., 2015. Scientific Reports 5: 11446)




Blue WFs of China's coal fired power plants. The CCP WF is 1.15 l/kWh; WF for closed-cycle cooling is 3-10 times higher than WFs of other technologies. (Zhang, Liu et al., 2017. Journal of Cleaner Production 161: 1171-1179).



Blue hydropower WFs for Ecuador and the global average. (Mekonnen and Hoekstra, 2012. HESS, 16, 179–187; Vaca-Jimenez et al. 2019. Water Resour. Ind. 22: 100112)

Discussion and Conclusions

- WF studies gave new information on water consumption for specific renewable energy types.
- Bioenergy has large WFs and is less suitable to replace fossil energy than other renewables.
- Hydropower also has large WFs, but variation is large. Hydro with small WFs might contribute to decrease carbon footprints (CFs).
- Energy scenarios decreasing CFs should take large WFs of some renewables into account.



The way forward

- Energy policy needs reliable water data, and more case studies on energy WFs.
- Climate change affects crop growth and water needs, e.g. of energy crops, hydropower and thermal power plants. This requires more research.
- Policy should realise that the need to decrease CFs can only be realised when also water constraints are taken into account.

Water for energy:

- Water for mining fuels, e.g. coal, natural gas or oil.
- Water for operations, e.g. to cool power plants.
- Water to grow crops, green, blue and grey WFs.
- Water lost due to evaporation from hydropower reservoirs.

Most cited water – energy studies before 2009:

- Gleick, 1994. *Water and Energy*. Annu. Rev. Energy Environ. 19, 267–99.
- Macknick et al., 2012. *Operational water consumption and withdrawal factors for electricity generating technologies: A review of existing literature*. Environ. Res. Lett. 7.
- Meldrum et al., 2013. *Life cycle water use for electricity generation: a review and harmonization of literature estimates*. Environ. Res. Lett. 8, 015031.

Results

- WF studies indicating water consumption for specific renewable energy types, e.g. bioenergy and hydropower.
- Energy from photosynthesis (crops, trees or algae) has large WFs compared to fossil energy, wind and PV.