

Velibor Pejcić^[1], Pablo Saavedra Garfias^[2], Kai Mühlbauer^[1]
 Silke Trömel^[1], Clemens Simmer^[1]


H31P-1988

[1] Institute of Geoscience and Meteorology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
 [2] Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway


1. Introduction and motivation

We compare the latest version (V05) of the DPR precipitation estimates with three years of surface precipitation product RADOLAN from the German national weather service (DWD, Deutscher Wetterdienst). Directly comparison of DPR near surface (DPRns) with RADOLAN composite product RY leads to inaccuracies due to the unmatched sampling volumes by both sensors. Thus the DPRns and RY suffer from miss-classification of hydrometeor phases and distinct rain rates. In order to mitigate those uncertainties we propose an alternative DPR product adjusted to RADOLAN scan pattern (DPRns). This is extracted from the DPR vertical profiles and fitted to the scans height and sampled volume of the ground radar. This method allows a precise classification of the hydrometeor phases within the RY measured volume taking into account the uneven distribution of liquid, solid or mixed phase within a sampled volume.

2. Local ground observation network




Radar network of DWD. Black circles are the maximum radar ranges. The heights of radar bin above ground in km (left). The number of GPM-DPR profile range bins contained within a single RADOLAN bin.




Schematic of a scan scenario by the ground radar and a DPR profile. Note the further the radar bin the more profiles DPR bins are covered by the ground radar.

3. Example of GPM DPR Overpass



GPM overpass on 2014.08.31 at 12:54 UTC. DPRns (top left) and RY (top right) rain rates. The magenta line indicates the position of the height-longitude cut through the GPM 3D precipitation field (bottom). In the longitudinal cut the clutter-free height (DPRns height) is shown as a black line. The circles are RY observations heights from corresponding scan. Circle's color indicates RY rain rate. The vertical bars represent the extend of RY beam widths at that point.




Distribution of the heights of the DPRns versus the local zenith angle.

Distribution of 3 years RY versus DPRns observation heights.

Here it is clear a direct comparison implies observation volume mismatch.


4. Improvement by adjusting DPR observations



Bar plot showing the consistency of the hydrometeor phase classification for DPRns and DPRns (both phase classification match in blue and mismatch in grey).


Number of samples (top), Correlation (second), unbiased RMSD (third) and bias (bottom) of RY versus DPRns (blue) and DPRns (red) as a function of the difference in observation height.

5. Impact of hydrometeor phase




Rain rates RADOLAN RY versus DPRns by hydrometeor phase into liquid phase, solid phase, and mixed phase.

7. Seasonal and spatial characteristics



Number of observations, correlation and RMSD of DPRns and RY for summer (first column) and for winter (second column). Seasonal CSI, FAR and IFAR of DPRns and RY for summer (third column) and winter (fourth columns). All observations are binned on a 20 km x 20 km grid. Rain/no-rain threshold=0.02mm/h

6. Impact of precipitation type



Precipitation rates for the upscaled RY product and the DPRns products for convective (top) and stratiform cases (bottom). Rain/No-rain threshold: 0,02 mm/h.