

Observing the Relationship Between Freeboard, Snow Depth, and Sea-Ice Thickness: Recent Advances in the AWI IceBird Campaigns

Arttu Jutila¹, Stefan Hendricks¹, Robert Ricker^{1,2},
Luisa von Albedyll¹, Thomas Krumpen¹ and Christian Haas^{1,3}


¹ Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz-Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

² Norwegian Research Centre, Tromsø, Norway

³ Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Background

- Airborne high-resolution monitoring of Arctic sea ice since 2010
- Winter (April, Western Arctic) and summer (August, North of Fram Strait) campaigns
- Instrument configuration with snow radar since winter 2017



AWI IceBird
Program webpage


Instrument	Parameter	Data specification
EM-Bird electromagnetic induction sounding	total (ice+snow) thickness	accuracy: 0.1 m footprint: 40 m sample spacing: 5–6 m
Laser scanner line-scanning Riegl VQ-580, 1064 nm	surface elevation (snow freeboard)	accuracy: 25 mm (0.1 m) swath width: 60 m grid size: 0.25 m
Snow radar CRESIS 2–18 GHz FMCW quad-pol.	snow depth	range resolution in snow: 1.14 cm across/along track footprint: 2.6/1.0 m sample spacing: 4–5 m
Infrared radiation pyrometer Heitronics KT19.85II	surface temperature	accuracy: 0.5 °C footprint: 3.1 m sample spacing: 1 m

Motivation

- Together with snow mass, unknown **sea-ice density is a major uncertainty factor** in freeboard-to-thickness conversion for satellite altimetry

Data



- Nine surveys, a total of 3410 km, in early April **2017** and **2019**
- Unique data set of simultaneous and collocated snow depth, snow freeboard, sea-ice thickness, and surface temperature
- Auxiliary data: sea-ice age (NSIDC)



References

- Jutila, A., King, J., Paden, J., Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Polashenski, C., Helm, V., Binder, T., and Haas, C.: High-Resolution Snow Depth on Arctic Sea Ice From Low-Altitude Airborne Microwave Radar Data, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, pp. 1–16, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2021.3063756, 2021.
Jutila, A., Hendricks, S., Ricker, R., von Albedyll, L., Krumpen, T., and Haas, C.: Retrieval and parametrisation of sea-ice bulk density from airborne multi-sensor measurements, *The Cryosphere* Discuss. [preprint], doi: 10.5194/tc-2021-149, in review, 2021.


New freeboard-based parametrisation of sea-ice bulk density to improve satellite-based sea-ice thickness and volume estimates in the Arctic



Contact:
arttu.jutila@awi.de

Results

- Along-track profiles of the snow and sea-ice layers and freeboard




- From layer thicknesses to **sea-ice bulk density**: isostatic equilibrium over a satellite altimeter footprint scale (800 m)
- Average FYI and MYI bulk densities are higher and do not differ from each other as much as earlier studies suggested due to younger and deformed sea ice

Sea-ice density [kg m ⁻³]	Year	FYI	SYI	MYI
This study level & deformed ice	2017	929.3 ± 16.0	N/A	N/A
	2019	925.4 ± 17.7	899.3 ± 17.4	902.4 ± 19.4
Alexandrov et al. (2010) level FYI, MYI from literature	1978–1988	916.7 ± 35.7	N/A	882 ± 23

Sea-ice bulk density parametrisation

- We found a functional, exponential relationship between sea-ice bulk density and sea-ice freeboard
- We applied the parametrisation (J21) to the monthly gridded AWI CryoSat-2 product which uses fixed sea-ice density values (A10) and the modified Warren snow climatology
- Differences in sea-ice density and thickness are the largest on MYI in proximity to FYI, highlighting the impact of the snow mass parametrisation (previously counteracted by A10)



AWI CryoSat-2

Outlook

- Evaluation of the freeboard-to-thickness conversion from satellite altimetry for dedicated underflights and orbit collections (ICESat-2, CryoSat-2, Sentinel-3A, AltiKa)
- Impact assessment of the density parametrisation on decadal sea-ice thickness data record

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the crews of Polar 5 and 6, Korr Borek Air, AWI technicians and logistics, Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), and Canadian Forces Station (CFS) Alert, who helped at various stages of the data collection. The costs of in-person participation for the first author are covered by the Helmholtz Graduate School for Polar and Marine Research — POLMAR.