Coupling Field Data and a Flow Model to Characterize the Role of Groundwater in a Montane, Semi-Arid, Headwater Catchment, Gordon Gulch, Colorado

Lauren M. Salberg[1 2], Suzanne P. Anderson[1 2], and Shemin Ge[1]

1. Department of Geological Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, 2. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder

Introduction

We use multi-year hydrologic records in Gordon Gulch to understand groundwater recharge within a montane environment

Groundwater is critical in sustaining streamflow, especially in headwater catchments, because of its ability to supply baseflow in the absence of precipitation. In water-limited arid and semi-arid mountain environments, the need to characterize groundwater recharge and discharge has grown in tandem with demands to effectively manage current and future water resources. However, studying groundwater in complex terrain is challenging due to limited field measurements. Nearly a decade of monitoring data collection at Gordon Gulch in the Colorado Front Range provides a unique opportunity to study such an environment.

Questions

In a semi-arid, montane, headwater catchment:

1. When and where is groundwater recharged?
2. When and where does groundwater contribute to streamflow?

Study Area



Setting:
Semi-arid, montane, forested, east-flowing catchment with intermittent snow, at ~2600 m in the Colorado Front Range

Geology:
A thin (0.4 m) soil is underlain by weathered rock extending 8-12 m depth, and biotite gneiss bedrock (Anderson et al. 2021)

Area (km²)	Elevation (m)	Mean Annual Precipitation (mm)	Mean Annual Temperature (°C)
2.6	2,450 - 2,750	580	6.5

Coupling Data and a Groundwater Flow Model

- Gordon Gulch has been actively monitored by the Boulder Creek Critical Zone Observatory (BcCZO) since 2011
- Data was used in the development and calibration of a groundwater flow model, using MODFLOW-NWT

Time series data from Gordon Gulch for Water Years 2012 - 2019



Data used for model inputs

Model Domain



Model outputs compared to data

Model Results

- Groundwater elevation follows topography
- Groundwater flowpaths differ spatially throughout the catchment

Recharge in upper Gordon Gulch



Calibrated model to baseflow estimated from streamflow and groundwater levels at wells



Discharge in lower Gordon Gulch

Upper Gordon Gulch:
Long, deep flowpaths through saprolite & weathered rock

Hillslopes:
Long, deep flowpaths in saprolite & weathered rock from ridges to channel

Lower Gordon Gulch:
Short, shallow, emergent flowpaths in saprolite

Discussion & Conclusions

Seasonal Modeled Groundwater – Surface Water Exchanges



1. Groundwater recharge depends on snowmelt and rain:
   - 1 – 2 recharge events each water year, driven by spring snowmelt and summer rainstorms
   - 50% of total annual recharge occurs during spring snowmelt (April and May)
   - Groundwater is recharged in upper Gordon Gulch

2. Overall, the stream is a gaining system
   - 16 to 34% of total annual streamflow comes from groundwater
   - The highest rates of groundwater discharge to the stream occur in the spring.
   - Groundwater is discharged to the stream in lower Gordon Gulch
   - Both long and deep flowpaths and short and shallow flowpaths sustain streamflow

References & Acknowledgements

This project is funded by NSF-EAR-1331828.

Anderson, SP, Kelly, PJ, Hoffman, N, Barnhart, K, Befus, K, and Ouimet, W (2021): Is this steady state? Weathering and critical zone architecture in Gordon Gulch, Colorado Front Range. *In* Hydrogeology, Chemical Weathering, and Soil Formation, AGU Geophysical Monograph 257, ed. by AG Hunt, M Egli, and B Faybishenko, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., p. 231-252, doi: 10.1002/9781119563952.ch13