Synthetic weather simulation for characterization of uncertainty in extension of stage-frequency curves in a system of flood control dams

Gregory S. Karlovits, *P.E., P.H., CFM*
US Army Corps of Engineers
Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, CA

US Army Corps of Engineers®

Project Overview

Project goal:
Estimate hydrologic hazard curves which consider parameter uncertainty for five co-operated USACE flood control dams in the Trinity River Basin above Dallas, TX for use in dam safety risk assessments.



The Trinity River Basin above Dallas, TX including the five dam drainage areas, hydrologic model sub-basins and precipitation discretization



Schematic of a typical hydrologic hazard curve used for dam safety studies illustrating typical key reservoir characteristics for a risk assessment, as well as parameter uncertainty bounds.

Hazard Modeling Framework



The hazard analysis framework is driven by a seasonally-continuous weather generator forcing an HEC-HMS hydrologic model for the watershed and an HEC-ResSim model for reservoir operations. HEC-WAT is used to couple the models, distribute simulations and organize outputs. Epistemic and aleatory uncertainty are modeled using a two-stage Monte Carlo approach.

Model	Parameter Uncertainty Method
Weather Generator	Parametric Bootstrap
HEC-HMS (Hydrology)	Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo
HEC-ResSim (Reservoir Operations)	Deterministic

Model Design



Designing the weather model required balancing computational and budgetary resources with the need for timely, decisionable information.

- Model individual and interdependent flood hazards at each dam
- Provide hydrometeorological context for extreme flood events
- Preserve spatiotemporal dependency of flooding
- Provide measure of parameter uncertainty

Flood Hazard Characterization

- Generate extreme hazard-driving floods
  - Large-scale heavy springtime rainfall caused by mid-latitude cyclones
  - More than one possible in a year
  - Flood volumes fill flood control pool
  - Flood peaks control peak stage
- Continuous meteorology for antecedent flood conditions
  - Captures smaller-scale storm events
  - Soil moisture deficit
  - Reservoir storage
- Events must span entire relevant probability range
  - Spillway flow initiation
  - Dam overtopping elevation
  - Computationally-expensive if using naïve Monte Carlo

Model Structure



The weather generator structure represented as a flowchart. Elements outlined in red have parameters which are treated with uncertainty in the "outer loop" using a parametric bootstrap.

Model Input Development

- Regional precipitation frequency analysis with storm typing
  - Report to USACE (Martin et al., 2018)
  - Precipitation-frequency for MLC storm type
- MLC rate and timing properties for arrival model
  - Precipitation and temperature pattern templates
- Spatially-averaged PRISM daily climate data



Annual maximum 48-hour precipitation for the mid-latitude cyclone (MLC) storm for the entire watershed above Dallas (from Martin et al. 2018)



Annual and seasonal (red) counts of mid-latitude cyclones with 48-hour maximum basin-average precipitation exceeding the minimum annual maximum.

Research and Development Efforts

- Importance sampling to reduce computational burden
  - 50 samples from 20 strata
  - Strata evenly divide EV-1 space from 0.5 to 10^{-8} AEP
- Parametric bootstrap to estimate parameter uncertainty
  - 1,000 parameter realizations
- Correlated stochastic mass curve method for temporal precipitation disaggregation



Results – Weather Generator



Sampled and observed season-total precipitation for the 16 weather polygons.



Sampled and observed 48-hour seasonal maximum precipitation for the 16 weather polygons.

Results – Coupled Models



Hydrologic hazard curve for one of the five dams in the study area. Despite the large stratified sample, uncertainty results have not converged at the right tail resulting in jaggedness of the mean and upper parameter uncertainty bound (95%).

References

Martin, D. L., Schaefer, M. G., Parzybok, T. W., Ward, K., Bahls, V., and Caldwell, R. J. (2018). Trinity River hydrologic hazards project task 3 report – regional extreme precipitation-frequency analysis for the Trinity River Basin. MetStat, Inc., Ft. Collins, CO.

PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University, http://prism.oregonstate.edu, last accessed 4 Sep 2018

Acknowledgements

USACE project delivery team: Haden Smith, Allen Avance, Will Lehman, John England
Ft. Worth District support: John Hunter, Simeon Benson, Alex Parola
CEIWR-HEC support: Lea Adams, Matt Fleming, Darren Nezamfar, Brett Palmberg, Myles McManus, Sara O'Connell, Joan Klipsch, Leila Ostadrahimi, Penni Baker, Chris Dunn
Contractor-collaborators: Mel Schaefer, Debbie Martin, Tye Parzybok, Jason Caldwell, Katie Ward, Victoria Bahls, Brian Crow, Bradley Wells, Wayne Gibson
Guido van Rossum for gracing us with Python

Author Contact: gregory.s.karlovits@usace.army.mil

AGU 100 FALL MEETING Washington, D.C. | 10–14 Dec 2018