

**Density, parasitism, and sexual reproduction are
strongly correlated in lake *Daphnia* populations**

AUTHORS

Camden D. Gowler¹; cgowler@umich.edu

Mary A. Rogalski^{1,2}; mrogalsk@bowdoin.edu

Clara L. Shaw^{1,3}; cls6630@psu.edu

Katherine K. Hunsberger¹; kathhuns@gmail.com

Meghan A. Duffy¹; duffymeg@umich.edu; ORCID: 0000-0002-8142-0802

¹Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
48109, USA

²Present address: Biology and Environmental Studies, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, ME 04011,
USA

³Present address: Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park,
PA 16802, USA

*Corresponding author: Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of
Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; duffymeg@umich.edu

RUNNING HEAD: Variation in sex in *Daphnia* populations

KEYWORDS: ehippia; Red Queen; density; parasitism; pathogens; multiparasite; phenology

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: MAD initiated the project and CDG helped shape the project;
CDG, MAR, CLS, and KKH collected and analyzed samples; CDG and MAD analyzed the data;
CDG and MAD wrote the manuscript, and MAR, CLS, and KKH also contributed to the writing.

ABSTRACT

Many organisms can reproduce both asexually and sexually. For cyclical parthenogens, periods of asexual reproduction are punctuated by bouts of sexual reproduction, and the shift from asexual to sexual reproduction has large impacts on fitness and population dynamics. We studied populations of *Daphnia dentifera* to determine the amount of investment in sexual reproduction as well as the factors associated with variation in investment in sex. To do so, we tracked host density, infections by nine different parasites, and sexual reproduction in 15 lake populations of *D. dentifera* for three years. Sexual reproduction was seasonal, with male and ehippial female production beginning as early as late September and generally increasing through November. However, there was substantial variation in the prevalence of sexual individuals across populations, with some populations remaining entirely asexual throughout the study period and others shifting almost entirely to sexual females and males. We found strong relationships between density, prevalence of infection, parasite species richness, and sexual reproduction in these populations. However, strong collinearity between density, parasitism, and sexual reproduction means that further work will be required to disentangle the causal mechanisms underlying these relationships.

INTRODUCTION

A major challenge in evolutionary biology is explaining variation in reproductive strategies — especially why so many organisms reproduce sexually (Otto 2009; Lively and Morran 2014; Neiman et al. 2017). Sexual reproduction has several potential drawbacks, including the “twofold cost” of sex (Otto 2009; Stelzer 2011; Neiman et al. 2017), challenges in finding a mate, acquisition of sexually transmitted infections, and shuffling of alleles that worked well in a parent (Otto 2009; McLeod and Day 2014; Kokko 2020). At the same time, sexual reproduction also has advantages, including providing an opportunity to purge deleterious mutations and producing novel genotypes that can avoid infection by parasites (Muller 1964; Jaenike 1978; Kondrashov 1984; Lively 2010). However, framing reproduction as a dichotomy between (entirely) sexual or (entirely) asexual ignores the abundance of organisms that combine the two (Gerber et al. 2018; Kokko 2020). By being able to shift between sexual and asexual reproduction, cyclical parthenogens are often described as experiencing the “best of both worlds” (Kokko 2020), gaining the benefits of sexual reproduction while also avoiding its costs. However, this ability to shift between these two modes of reproduction raises a new question: how much to invest in asexual vs. sexual reproduction?

When considering investment in sexual reproduction, it is important to consider that sexual reproduction in cyclical parthenogens is often associated with dormancy (Walsh 2013; Gerber et al. 2018; Gerber and Kokko 2018; Kokko 2020). Sexual reproduction thus not only affords the benefits of creating novel genotypes and purging mutational load (Cáceres et al. 2009), but also can allow a lineage to escape through time, potentially waiting out harsh conditions. Given the strong spatial and temporal variation in biotic and abiotic conditions that exists in nature, it is perhaps not surprising that populations of cyclical parthenogens can vary

substantially in the degree to which they reproduce sexually (Walsh 2013) — as seen, for example, in studies of *Daphnia* populations (e.g., Tessier and Cáceres 2004; Johnson et al. 2009; Walsh and Post 2012; Gerber et al. 2018).

Prior research on *Daphnia*, a dominant member of pond and lake food webs, has identified a variety of factors that contribute to asexual vs. sexual reproduction, including predation, parasitism, crowding, resource limitation, and changing abiotic conditions (Stross and Hill 1965; Walsh 2013; Gerber et al. 2018; Haltiner et al. 2020). A potential role of parasitism in sexual reproduction in *Daphnia* has received particular attention in recent years. Sexually produced *Daphnia* offspring are more fit against contemporaneous parasites (Ebert et al. 2007; Auld et al. 2016), and more susceptible genotypes are more likely to shift to sexual reproduction (Mitchell et al. 2004; Duncan et al. 2006). Moreover, studies on two different *Daphnia*-parasite systems found the production of males was more likely in the presence of parasites (Roth et al. 2008; Hite et al. 2017) and, in a third, sexual reproduction was higher in years with more infection by a chytrid parasite (Johnson et al. 2009).

A potential role of parasites in driving sexual reproduction has also been studied in other systems, including plants (Busch et al. 2004), *C. elegans* (Morran et al. 2011; Slowinski et al. 2016; Lynch et al. 2018), and snails (Schrag et al. 1994; Ben-Ami and Heller 2005; Dagan et al. 2013), most notably the New Zealand freshwater snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* (e.g., Lively 1987; Lively and Dybdahl 2000; Gibson et al. 2018). Asexual *P. antipodarum* are most common in habitats with no or low levels of infection by virulent parasites (King et al. 2009; McKone et al. 2016). Moreover, male snails were more common when a virulent parasite was common (Vergara et al. 2013) and asexual snails tended to have higher levels of infection (Vergara et al. 2014), though a more recent study found the opposite pattern (asexual snails having lower levels

of infection, perhaps because they have become rare (Gibson and Lively 2019)). This prior work on *P. antipodarum* demonstrates the value of studies comparing levels of parasitism and sexual reproduction in natural populations.

In this study, we explored the prevalence of sexual reproduction in lake populations of *Daphnia dentifera* (Fig. 1) and whether particular lakes have consistently high levels of sexual reproduction across years. We then asked what factors are associated with the amount of sexual reproduction. We were particularly interested in the degree to which the prevalence of sexual reproduction in a population is related to the level of parasitism and/or to overall population density. We explored this by tracking sexual reproduction, density, and infections by multiple parasites in 15 *D. dentifera* populations over three years to better understand variation in sexual reproduction in this dominant member of lake food webs. We found that parasitism and density were both associated with sexual reproduction, but strong correlations between parasitism, density, and sexual reproduction highlight the need for additional work to uncover the mechanisms driving these patterns.



Figure 1. An uninfected (left) and a *Pasteuria*-infected (right) *D. dentifera*. The uninfected animal has asexual embryos developing in her brood pouch.

MATERIALS

Study system

Daphnia dentifera are a dominant zooplankton species in lakes in the Midwestern US, feeding on phytoplankton and serving as prey to small fish and invertebrate predators (Tessier and Woodruff 2002). *Daphnia* often switch to sexual reproduction at particular times of the year, when it becomes less costly (Gerber et al. 2018); the species we focused on, *D. dentifera*, shifts to sexual reproduction in autumn (Duffy et al. 2008). During sexual reproduction, female *Daphnia* create clones that are males and haploid resting eggs, which the males then fertilize (Ebert 2005). The resting eggs (encased in a chitinous envelope called an ephippium) are released by the sexually reproducing females and remain dormant before later hatching, ideally when environmental conditions have improved (Hairston 1996).

D. dentifera occurs at varying densities across our 15 study lakes in Southeast Michigan, US and is infected by a suite of parasites (Duffy et al. 2010). We tracked *D. dentifera* population sizes through time, as well as infections of nine microparasites (Green 1974; Wolinska et al. 2008; Duffy et al. 2010, 2015; Lu et al. 2020): *Metschnikowia bicuspidata* (fungus), *Pasteuria ramosa* (bacterium), *Spirobacillus cienkowskii* (bacterium), *Blastulidium paedophthorum* (oomycete), *Gurleya vavrai* (microsporidian), *Larssonia obtusa* (microsporidian), *Caullerya mesnili* (ichthyosporean), an undescribed microsporidian gut parasite (“MicG”), and an unknown *Saprolegnia*-like oomycete (“spider”).

Field sampling

We studied host and parasite communities in 15 lakes in Southeast Michigan, USA (Table S1) over three years (2014-2016). We sampled lakes roughly once every two weeks from mid-July to mid-November each year (usually 9 sampling events per year). In addition, we intensively sampled four of the study sites (Gosling, North, Pickerel, and Sullivan Lakes) every three days during 2016 for a study focused on population dynamics. For each lake, on each sampling date, we collected three replicate vertical tows from the bottom of the lake with a 153 μ m Wisconsin plankton net and sampled from three different locations in each lake. This yielded three replicate samples per lake per sampling day, each of which contained one tow from each of the three locations within the lake. We used one of these samples to quantify infection prevalence and investment in sex. To quantify infection prevalence, we visually diagnosed parasite infections in live hosts under a dissection microscope at 20-50x magnification using dark field microscopy (or under a compound microscope at 200 to 400x magnification for early-stage infections). As

Daphnia are mostly transparent, many parasite infections are visibly detectable with this method. We also identified individuals as juvenile females, asexually reproducing females, sexually reproducing females, or males based on morphological differences (Brooks 1957). For this sample where we quantified infection prevalence and investment in sex, we randomly subsampled the collected hosts, surveying at least 200 *D. dentifera* individuals for possible parasite infections or surveying all individuals when fewer than 200 individuals were present. We preserved the other two replicate samples in 90% ethanol. Later, we estimated the density of each host species by randomly subsampling and counting one of these samples (which combined one tow from each of the three locations in the lake) to estimate the density of each host species. We counted at least two subsamples from each lake-date; if the total density of the two subsamples were not within 80% of each other, additional subsamples were counted. The subsamples were averaged yielding a single density estimate per lake-date, with density calculated as the number of hosts throughout the water column for a given surface area of the lake (number of hosts per m² of lake surface).

Statistical analysis

We explored relationships between density, parasitism, and investment in sex. For density, we integrated the total density of *D. dentifera* for each lake in a year over all sampling dates (i.e., we calculated the area under the curve with day on the x-axis and host density on the y-axis), then took the log of that value. We analyzed two metrics related to parasitism: 1) integrated prevalence, determined by integrating the proportion of hosts infected with any parasite across sampling events within a lake and year, and 2) parasite species richness, calculated by tallying

the number of parasite species observed infecting *D. dentifera* in a particular lake in a given year. Analyses with mean host density and parasitism yielded qualitatively similar results (Fig. S1).

We also analyzed two metrics related to investment in sex: 1) the maximum investment in sex in the population as either the percent sexual $((\text{males} + \text{ephippial females})/(\text{total population}))$ or the percent sexual adults $((\text{males} + \text{ephippial females})/(\text{males} + \text{adult females}))$; 2) integrated investment in sex, which, similar to the above metrics, was determined by integrating the proportion of hosts that were sexually reproducing (ephippial females or males) across sampling events within a lake and year. When determining the maxima, we only used samples that included at least 15 *D. dentifera* so that we could have greater confidence in the estimate of the investment in sex.

We plotted and analyzed data in R version 4.0.5. We analyzed whether lakes varied in investment in sex using a generalized linear model. The response variable was the number of sexual and number of asexual individuals observed on the day with the maximum percent sexual for that lake and year; because of overdispersion of the data, we used a quasibinomial error distribution. Because of limitations on mixed models and quasi- distributions, our model included lake and year as fixed effects.

In addition to determining whether populations differed in the degree to which they reproduced sexually, we were also interested in assessing whether variation in investment in sex was associated with density or parasitism. We did not use a time series approach for this, because, based on our prior work on this system, we knew that investment in sex is strongly seasonal.

Moreover, because sexual reproduction is associated with dormancy in this system, density would be expected to decrease as a result of sexual reproduction, even if high density had initially triggered investment in sex. Finally, we do not have any information on potential time lags that might occur between parasitism and investment in sex, especially given the presence of maternal and grandmaternal effects in *Daphnia* (e.g., Lynch and Ennis 1983; Little et al. 2003; Poulsen et al. 2021) and the ability of parasite spores to persist outside the host (King et al. 2013; Duffy and Hunsberger 2018). As a result, our analyses focused on integrated metrics of density, parasitism, and sexual reproduction, as well as parasite species richness across the entire sampling season. We calculated correlations between sexual reproduction (measured as the integrated investment in sexual reproduction) and 1) integrated *D. dentifera* density, 2) parasite species richness, and 3) integrated prevalence of infection. In order to check for collinearity, we also calculated correlations between integrated density, parasite species richness, and integrated prevalence of infection. Finally, we used a model selection approach to compare different possible models for investment in sexual reproduction. For all of these models, integrated investment in sexual reproduction was the response variable. These models included different combinations of integrated *D. dentifera* density, parasite species richness, integrated prevalence of infection, and year as independent variables. We created various sub-models and then used model selection and Akaike information criteria (AIC) to compare 15 different models (as detailed in Table 1 in the Results section, below).

RESULTS

There was substantial variation in investment in sex, density, and parasite prevalence in the study populations of *D. dentifera* (Fig. 2). Sexual reproduction was seasonal, with male and ephippial

215 female production beginning as early as late September and generally increasing through
216 November (black lines in Fig. 2). In some lakes and years, we never observed any males or
217 ephippial females, whereas in others, populations shifted to nearly all sexual. Lakes that had
218 higher investment in sex in one year tended to also have high investment in sex in the other two
219 years (Fig. 3a&c; maximum investment in sex in the total population: lake: $F = 4.02$, $p =$
220 0.0008).

221

222 There was also substantial variation in the prevalence of parasites (ocean blue lines in Fig. 2)
223 across lakes. In some lakes and years, there was very little parasitism; in other lakes and years
224 infection prevalence exceeded 50% at the peak of infections. Density was generally fairly
225 consistent within lakes over time (purple lines in Fig. 2), but populations crashed to near or
226 below detection limits in some lakes and years.

227




Figure 2. There was considerable variation in the density of *D. dentifera* (purple lines), the percentage of *D. dentifera* reproducing sexually (black lines), as well as in the percent infected with at least one parasite (ocean blue lines) across lakes and time. The percent sexual was derived from the ratio of males and ephippial females out of the total population counted. Percent infected was calculated as the percent of *D. dentifera* with any parasitic infection, including coinfections. Density is $(\text{LN} (\# D. dentifera \text{ m}^{-2} + 1))$ multiplied by 5 in order to improve the visibility.




Figure 3. Variation in the maximum percentage of the total population (a&b) or of adults (c&d) reproducing sexually. In a&c, the data is plotted by lake, with three values per lake (one for 2014, 2015, and 2016); points are partially transparent to facilitate visualization of overlapping points. In b&d, the data is plotted by year, with 15 values per year (one for each lake). For a&b, the percent sexual was calculated as (males + ehippial females)/(total population); for c&d, the percent sexual adults was calculated as (males + ehippial females)/(males + adult females). These values were only calculated for lake-dates for which the sample contained at least 15 individuals.

Investment in sexual reproduction by *D. dentifera* was strongly associated with the log of integrated *D. dentifera* density (Fig. 4a; $r = 0.637$, $p < 0.0001$) and parasite species richness (Fig. 4b; $r = 0.602$, $p < 0.0001$); it was also associated with the integrated prevalence of infection (Fig. 4d; $r = 0.350$, $p = 0.019$). The log of integrated *D. dentifera* density, parasite species richness, and integrated prevalence of infection were also correlated with one another (density & parasite species richness: Fig. 4c; $r = 0.791$, $p < 0.0001$; prevalence of infection & density: Fig. 4e; $r = 0.359$, $p = 0.015$; prevalence of infection & parasite species richness: Fig. 4f; $r = 0.371$, $p = 0.012$). Comparing the AICs of models incorporating different possible drivers of variation in investment in sex suggests the importance of density and/or parasitism: all top models ($\Delta AIC < 4.0$) included one or more of log of integrated density, parasite species richness, and integrated prevalence of infection as a predictor of sexual reproduction (Table 1).




Figure 4. There are strong relationships between density, parasitism, and sexual reproduction, but collinearity makes it challenging to determine underlying drivers of these correlations. Panels show relationships between the log of integrated *D. dentifera* density, parasite species richness, integrated infection prevalence, and investment in sexual reproduction, with separate lines shown for each year. The areal density of *D. dentifera*, the proportion of infected *D. dentifera*, and the proportion of male and sexual female *D. dentifera* values were each separately integrated across sampling events to obtain a single value (each point represents a single lake in a given year).

Table 1. Model selection results from linear models with total integrated sexual reproduction as the response variable. Models are arranged by AIC score. “Int. density” indicates integrated *D. dentifera* density, “int. inf. prev.” indicates integrated infection prevalence, and “parasite SR” indicates parasite species richness.

	<i>Model</i>	<i>AIC</i>	ΔAIC	<i>AIC weight</i>
1	sex ~ log(int. density) + parasite SR	253.10	0.00	0.264
2	sex ~ log(int. density)	253.12	0.01	0.262
3	sex ~ log(int. density) + int. inf. prev.	253.83	0.73	0.183
4	sex ~ log(int. density) * int. inf. prev.	255.81	2.71	0.068
5	sex ~ parasite SR	256.26	3.15	0.055
6	sex ~ log(int. density) + year	256.68	3.58	0.044
7	sex ~ parasite SR + int. inf. prev.	256.94	3.83	0.039
8	sex ~ log(int. density) + int. inf. prev. + year	257.72	4.61	0.026
9	sex ~ log(int. density) + int. inf. prev. + parasite SR + year	257.78	4.67	0.026
10	sex ~ log(int. density) + int. inf. prev. * year	258.01	4.91	0.023
11	sex ~ log(int. density) * int. inf. prev. + year	259.69	6.59	0.010
12	sex ~ int. inf. prev.	270.72	17.62	3.95E-05
13	sex ~ int. inf. prev. + year	270.77	17.67	3.85E-05
14	sex ~ int. inf. prev. * year	270.89	17.79	3.62E-05
15	sex ~ year	278.04	24.93	1.02E-06

The strength of the relationship between the integrated prevalence of individual parasites and the integrated prevalence of sexual reproduction varied across parasites (Fig. 5, Table 2). The correlation between *Blastulidium paedophthorum*, an oomycete that attacks developing embryos, was the strongest and similar to the correlation between total parasitism and sex ($r = 0.350$, $p = 0.0186$). The relationship between the most common parasite, the parasitic castrator *Pasteuria ramosa*, and sexual reproduction was less strong (Fig. 5, Table 2).

Table 2. Summary of the virulent effects and prevalence of the five most common parasites in this study, as well as the correlation between the integrated prevalence of each parasite and the integrated prevalence of sexual reproduction (as shown in Fig. 5). Information on virulence in *D. dentifera* comes from prior studies (Duffy and Hall 2008; Auld et al. 2012; Duffy et al. 2015; Wale et al. 2019; Rogalski et al. unpubl.). “Gut” parasites are the ichthyosporean *Caullerya mesnili* and a microsporidian currently known as “MicG” (Rogalski et al. unpubl.; Genbank accession MH635259). Parasite prevalences come from the 15 lake populations and three years that were the focus of the present study. The correlation was calculated between the integrated prevalence of each particular parasite and the integrated prevalence of sexual individuals (Fig. 5).

Parasite	Parasite virulence		Parasite prevalence			Correlation between integrated prevalence and sexual reproduction	
	Impact on reproduction	Impact on lifespan	Median	Mean	Max	r	p
<i>Pasteuria ramosa</i>	Castrating	Low	1.9%	4.9%	36.5%	0.218	0.150
<i>Metschnikowia bicuspidata</i>	Moderate	High	0.0%	0.9%	14.0%	0.018	0.908
<i>Spirobacillus cienkowskii</i>	Castrating	Very high	0.5%	1.7%	20.1%	0.256	0.090
<i>Blastulidium paedophthorum</i>	Castrating	None detected	0.9%	2.2%	11.2%	0.369	0.013
Gut	Variable	High for <i>C. mesnili</i> , none detected for MicG	7.3%	13.5%	57.8%	0.231	0.127




Figure 5. Total integrated sexual hosts compared to integrated infected hosts with different parasite species. “Gut” parasites are a combination of two parasite species (which were not initially distinguished): *Caullerya mesnili* (ichthyosporean) and an undescribed microsporidian gut parasite (“MicG”). *Gurleya vavrai* is not plotted because we did not observe any *D. dentifera* infected with *G. vavrai* during this study.

DISCUSSION

We found substantial variation in investment in sexual reproduction in natural populations of *Daphnia dentifera*, with some populations remaining entirely asexual and others becoming

almost entirely sexual in autumn. That variation was fairly consistent across years, with lakes that had high investment in sex one year also tending to have high investment in sex in the other two years. We found strong relationships between density, parasitism, and sexual reproduction in this system, suggesting that density and/or parasitism might be linked with investment in sex in these populations. However, strong collinearity in the underlying data means that further work will be required to disentangle the drivers of these relationships.

Our findings are consistent with earlier studies that found density to be an important factor influencing the shift from asexual to sexual reproduction in cyclical parthenogens like *Daphnia* and rotifers (Stross and Hill 1965; Larsson 1991; Berg et al. 2001; Stelzer and Snell 2003; Haltiner et al. 2020; Gilbert 2020). One possible explanation for this association is that, in many cyclical parthenogens, sexual reproduction is associated with the production of long-lasting resting stages, meaning sexual reproduction may serve as a means of temporal dispersal when faced with strong competition in dense populations (Gerber et al. 2018; Gilbert 2020). High densities also reduce the relative costs of sexual reproduction; as populations approach carrying capacity, asexual reproduction is less beneficial, reducing the opportunity costs of sexual reproduction (Burt 2000; Gerber et al. 2018).

We also found that parasitism was positively correlated with sexual reproduction in *D. dentifera*. Prior work has especially focused on the bacterial parasite *Pasteuria ramosa* and investment in sex. *Pasteuria* is highly virulent (Ebert et al. 2000; Auld et al. 2012) and can reach quite high prevalence (Duncan and Little 2007). It also shows very strong host-parasite genotype specificity, with parasite infectivity (and host susceptibility) being determined by host (and

parasite) genotype (Carius et al. 2001; Ebert et al. 2016). One would expect this matching mechanism to favor genetic recombination (and it does in Auld et al. 2016), which could, in turn, drive Red Queen dynamics, where reciprocal evolutionary dynamics arise from selection of two antagonists on one another. Indeed, one of the best examples of Red Queen dynamics comes from the *Daphnia-Pasteuria* system (Decaestecker et al. 2007). In our present study, *Pasteuria* was the second most common of the nine parasites that we tracked (after “gut” parasites; Table 2). The overall relationship between *Pasteuria* infection levels and investment in sex in *D. dentifera* was consistent with that of the combined infection levels and investment in sex (Fig. 5), but was not significant. Instead, the strongest correlation was between the integrated prevalence of an oomycete that attacks developing embryos, *Blastulidium paedophthorum*. Overall, prior work in *Daphnia* suggested that parasites might favor sexual reproduction in hosts; our work expands this by showing that the prevalence of sexually reproducing individuals in natural lake populations is associated with parasitism (as well as density).

Intriguingly, there was a strong positive relationship between parasite species richness (the number of parasite taxa observed over the summer and fall in a particular lake) and the amount of sexual reproduction (Fig. 4b). An earlier study on hermaphroditic snails found that male outcrossing ability correlated with an index that combined trematode prevalence and species richness (Schrag et al. 1994); similar to our study, that study found a correlation between species richness and prevalence (in the snail study, the prevalence of one particular trematode) that made it hard to disentangle their relative effects. Looking at a much larger scale, a study on plants found that species that are attacked by more fungal pathogens have higher outcrossing rates, as compared to species that are attacked by fewer pathogens (Busch et al. 2004). Collectively, these

results suggest additional research on parasite species richness and sexual reproduction is warranted.

We focused on the influences of parasitism and density on investment in sex. An interesting avenue for future research would be to consider, in addition to density and parasitism, the impacts of resources and predators, which have also been shown to influence shifts to sexual reproduction in *Daphnia* (Walsh 2013). However, doing so becomes logistically challenging. While it is relatively straightforward to quantify the abundance of invertebrate predators such as *Chaoborus* larvae, directly quantifying the rate of fish predation is challenging, though body size can be used as a proxy (Brooks and Dodson 1965; Kitchell and Kitchell 1980). Similarly, directly quantifying resource quality can be challenging, since chlorophyll levels in a lake do not strongly correlate with the resources experienced by *Daphnia* (Tessier and Woodruff 2002). However, the average clutch size (known as the “egg ratio”) of uninfected hosts can be used as an indicator of resource levels as experienced by *Daphnia* (Threlkeld 1979; Kerfoot et al. 1988) so, similar to predation, it is possible to use proxies to assess resource levels. Thus, future studies that measure invertebrate predators, *Daphnia* body size, and *Daphnia* egg ratio in addition to the factors we measured in this study would give greater insight into the factors driving variation in investment in sex.

It would also be interesting for future research to consider the potential impacts of abiotic factors on sexual reproduction in lake *Daphnia* populations. In particular, temperature and light are known cues for *Daphnia* reproductive cycles (Stross and Hill 1965). This work should consider not only direct impacts of those abiotic factors on sexual reproduction, but also the potential for

indirect effects. Prior studies in this system have shown that habitat structure (including light and thermal structure) can have a range of direct and indirect effects on parasitism (Penczykowski et al. 2014; Strauss et al. 2016; Shaw et al. 2020), and it is possible (perhaps even likely) that the same is true for investment in sex.

Shifts from asexual to sexual reproduction in cyclical parthenogens have large impacts on fitness (Gerber et al. 2018) and population dynamics. We found that wild *Daphnia dentifera* populations varied greatly in the degree to which they invested in sexual reproduction, with some remaining entirely asexual and others shifting almost entirely to sexual reproduction. Host density and parasitism were strongly predictive of the frequency of sexual females and males in these populations, providing evidence in support of links between parasitism, density, and sexual reproduction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are extremely grateful to Rebecca Bilich for her assistance with field sampling and to Curt Lively, Piet Spaak, and an anonymous reviewer for feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript. This work was supported by NSF grant DEB-1305836 to MAD and by the Moore Foundation (GBMF9202; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37807/GBMF9202>).

DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT

Data and associated code have been uploaded to Dryad (<https://datadryad.org/stash/share/w30yY0ocygI67zkCZ8qEMYOmJsVIH1fJ28udvSZ2VjM>) and will be made public upon acceptance of this manuscript.

396

397 REFERENCES

- 398 Auld, S. K. J. R., S. R. Hall, and M. A. Duffy. 2012. Epidemiology of a *Daphnia*-multiparasite system
399 and its implications for the Red Queen. PLoS One **7**: e39564.
- 400 Auld, S. K. J. R., S. K. Tinkler, and M. C. Tinsley. 2016. Sex as a strategy against rapidly evolving
401 parasites. Proc. Biol. Sci. **283**. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2226
- 402 Ben-Ami, F., and J. Heller. 2005. Spatial and temporal patterns of parthenogenesis and parasitism in the
403 freshwater snail *Melanoides tuberculata*. J. Evol. Biol. **18**: 138–146.
- 404 Berg, Palsson, and Lascoux. 2001. Fitness and sexual response to population density in *Daphnia pulex*.
405 Freshw. Biol. **46**: 667–677.
- 406 Brooks, J. L. 1957. The systematics of North American *Daphnia*. Mem Conn Acad Arts Sci **13**: 1–180.
- 407 Brooks, J. L., and S. I. Dodson. 1965. Predation, body size, and composition of plankton. Science **150**:
408 28–35.
- 409 Burt, A. 2000. Sex, recombination, and the efficacy of selection -- was Weismann right? Evolution **54**:
410 337–351.
- 411 Busch, J. W., M. Neiman, and J. M. Koslow. 2004. Evidence for maintenance of sex by pathogens in
412 plants. Evolution **58**: 2584–2590.
- 413 Cáceres, C. E., C. Hartway, and K. A. Paczolt. 2009. Inbreeding depression varies with investment in sex
414 in a facultative parthenogen. Evolution **63**: 2474–2480.
- 415 Carius, H. J., T. J. Little, and D. Ebert. 2001. Genetic variation in a host-parasite association: Potential for
416 coevolution and frequency-dependent selection. Evolution **55**: 1136–1145.
- 417 Dagan, Y., K. Liljeroos, J. Jokela, and F. Ben-Ami. 2013. Clonal diversity driven by parasitism in a
418 freshwater snail. J. Evol. Biol. **26**: 2509–2519.
- 419 Decaestecker, E., S. Gaba, J. A. M. Raeymaekers, R. Stoks, L. Van Kerckhoven, D. Ebert, and L. De
420 Meester. 2007. Host--parasite “Red Queen” dynamics archived in pond sediment. Nature **450**: 870–

873.

- Duffy, M. A., C. E. Brassil, S. R. Hall, A. J. Tessier, C. E. Cáceres, and J. K. Conner. 2008. Parasite-mediated disruptive selection in a natural *Daphnia* population. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **8**: 80.
- Duffy, M. A., C. E. Cáceres, S. R. Hall, A. J. Tessier, and A. R. Ives. 2010. Temporal, spatial, and between-host comparisons of patterns of parasitism in lake zooplankton. *Ecology* **91**: 3322–3331. doi:10.1890/09-1611.1
- Duffy, M. A., and S. R. Hall. 2008. Selective predation and rapid evolution can jointly dampen effects of virulent parasites on *Daphnia* populations. *Am. Nat.* **171**: 499–510.
- Duffy, M. A., and K. K. Hunsberger. 2018. Infectivity is influenced by parasite spore age and exposure to freezing: do shallow waters provide *Daphnia* a refuge from some parasites? *J. Plankton Res.* **41**: 12–16.
- Duffy, M. A., T. Y. James, and A. Longworth. 2015. Ecology, Virulence, and Phylogeny of *Blastulidium paedophthorum*, a Widespread Brood Parasite of *Daphnia* spp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **81**: 5486–5496.
- Duncan, A. B., and T. J. Little. 2007. Parasite-driven genetic change in a natural population of *Daphnia*. *Evolution* **61**: 796–803.
- Duncan, A. B., S. E. Mitchell, and T. J. Little. 2006. Parasite-mediated selection and the role of sex and diapause in *Daphnia*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **19**: 1183–1189.
- Ebert, D. 2005. Ecology, epidemiology, and evolution of parasitism in *Daphnia*, National Library of Medicine.
- Ebert, D., F. Altermatt, and S. Lass. 2007. A short term benefit for outcrossing in a *Daphnia* metapopulation in relation to parasitism. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **4**: 777–785.
- Ebert, D., D. Duneau, M. D. Hall, P. Luijckx, J. P. Andras, L. Du Pasquier, and F. Ben-Ami. 2016. Chapter Five - A Population Biology Perspective on the Stepwise Infection Process of the Bacterial Pathogen *Pasteuria ramosa* in *Daphnia*, p. 265–310. In D. Rollinson and J.R. Stothard [eds.], *Advances in Parasitology*. Academic Press.

447 Ebert, D., M. Lipsitch, and K. L. Mangin. 2000. The effect of parasites on host population density and
 448 extinction: Experimental epidemiology with *Daphnia* and six microparasites. *Am. Nat.* **156**: 459–
 449 477.

450 Gerber, N., and H. Kokko. 2018. Abandoning the ship using sex, dispersal or dormancy: multiple escape
 451 routes from challenging conditions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **373**.
 452 doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0424

453 Gerber, N., H. Kokko, D. Ebert, and I. Booksmythe. 2018. *Daphnia* invest in sexual reproduction when
 454 its relative costs are reduced. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **285**:
 455 20172176. doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.2176

456 Gibson, A. K., L. F. Delph, D. Vergara, and C. M. Lively. 2018. Periodic, Parasite-Mediated Selection
 457 For and Against Sex. *Am. Nat.* **192**: 537–551.

458 Gibson, A. K., and C. M. Lively. 2019. Genetic diversity and disease spread: epidemiological models and
 459 empirical studies of a snail–trematode system. *Disease Ecology: Linking Theory to*

460 Gilbert, J. J. 2020. Variation in the life cycle of monogonont rotifers: Commitment to sex and emergence
 461 from diapause. *Freshw. Biol.* **65**: 786–810.

462 Green, J. 1974. Parasites and epibionts of Cladocera. *The Transactions of the Zoological Society of*
 463 *London* **32**: 417–515.

464 Hairston, N. G., Jr. 1996. Zooplankton egg banks as biotic reservoirs in changing environments. *Limnol.*
 465 *Oceanogr.* **41**: 1087–1092.

466 Haltiner, L., C. Hänggi, P. Spaak, and S. R. Dennis. 2020. Sex in crowded places: population density
 467 regulates reproductive strategy. *Hydrobiologia* **847**: 1727–1738.

468 Hite, J. L., R. M. Penczykowski, M. S. Shocket, K. A. Griebel, A. T. Strauss, M. A. Duffy, C. E. Cáceres,
 469 and S. R. Hall. 2017. Allocation, not male resistance, increases male frequency during epidemics: a
 470 case study in facultatively sexual hosts. *Ecology* **98**: 2773–2783. doi:10.1002/ecy.1976

471 Jaenike, J. 1978. An hypothesis to account for the maintenance of sex within populations. *Evolutionary*
 472 *Theory* **3**: 191–194.

473 Johnson, P. T. J., A. R. Ives, R. C. Lathrop, and S. R. Carpenter. 2009. Long-term disease dynamics in
 474 lakes: causes and consequences of chytrid infections in *Daphnia* populations. *Ecology* **90**: 132–144.
 475 Kerfoot, W. C., C. Levitan, and W. R. DeMott. 1988. *Daphnia*-phytoplankton interactions: Density-
 476 dependent shifts in resource quality. *Ecology* **69**: 1806–1825.
 477 King, K. C., S. Auld, P. J. Wilson, J. James, and T. J. Little. 2013. The bacterial parasite *Pasteuria*
 478 *ramosa* is not killed if it fails to infect: implications for coevolution. *Ecol. Evol.* **3**: 197–203.
 479 King, K. C., L. F. Delph, J. Jokela, and C. M. Lively. 2009. The geographic mosaic of sex and the Red
 480 Queen. *Curr. Biol.* **19**: 1438–1441.
 481 Kitchell, J. A., and J. F. Kitchell. 1980. Size-selective predation, light transmission, and oxygen
 482 stratification - evidence from the recent sediments of manipulated lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **25**: 389–
 483 402.
 484 Kokko, H. 2020. When Synchrony Makes the Best of Both Worlds Even Better: How Well Do We Really
 485 Understand Facultative Sex? *Am. Nat.* **195**: 380–392.
 486 Kondrashov, A. S. 1984. Deleterious mutations as an evolutionary factor. 1. The advantage of
 487 recombination. *Genet. Res.* **44**: 199–217.
 488 Larsson, P. 1991. Intraspecific variability in response to stimuli for male and ephippia formation in
 489 *Daphnia pulex*. *Biology of Cladocera*. Springer Netherlands. 281–290.
 490 Little, T. J., B. O'Connor, N. Colegrave, K. Watt, and A. F. Read. 2003. Maternal transfer of strain-
 491 specific immunity in an invertebrate. *Curr. Biol.* **13**: 489–492.
 492 Lively, C. M. 1987. Evidence from a New Zealand snail for the maintenance of sex by parasitism. *Nature*
 493 **328**: 519–521.
 494 Lively, C. M. 2010. A review of Red Queen models for the persistence of obligate sexual reproduction. *J.*
 495 *Hered.* **101 Suppl 1**: S13–20.
 496 Lively, C. M., and M. F. Dybdahl. 2000. Parasite adaptation to locally common host genotypes. *Nature*
 497 **405**: 679–681.
 498 Lively, C. M., and L. T. Morran. 2014. The ecology of sexual reproduction. *J. Evol. Biol.* **27**: 1292–1303.

499 Lu, Y., E. Ocaña-Pallarès, D. López-Escardó, S. R. Dennis, M. T. Monaghan, I. Ruiz-Trillo, P. Spaak,
 500 and J. Wolinska. 2020. Revisiting the phylogenetic position of *Caullerya mesnili* (Ichthyosporidia), a
 501 common *Daphnia* parasite, based on 22 protein-coding genes. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 106891.
 502 Lynch, M., and R. Ennis. 1983. Resource availability, maternal effects, and longevity. *Exp. Gerontol.* **18**:
 503 147–165.
 504 Lynch, Z. R., M. J. Penley, and L. T. Morran. 2018. Turnover in local parasite populations temporarily
 505 favors host outcrossing over self-fertilization during experimental evolution. *Ecol. Evol.* **8**: 6652–
 506 6662.
 507 McKone, M., Carleton College, A. Gibson, and others. 2016. Fine-scale association between parasites and
 508 sex in *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* within a New Zealand lake. *NZ J Ecol* **40**: 330–333.
 509 McLeod, D. V., and T. Day. 2014. Sexually transmitted infection and the evolution of serial monogamy.
 510 *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **281**: 20141726.
 511 Mitchell, S. E., A. F. Read, and T. J. Little. 2004. The effect of a pathogen epidemic on the genetic
 512 structure and reproductive strategy of the crustacean *Daphnia magna*: Parasitic infection, sex and
 513 diversity. *Ecol. Lett.* **7**: 848–858.
 514 Morran, L. T., O. G. Schmidt, I. A. Gelarden, R. C. Parrish 2nd, and C. M. Lively. 2011. Running with
 515 the Red Queen: host-parasite coevolution selects for biparental sex. *Science* **333**: 216–218.
 516 Muller, H. J. 1964. The relation of recombination to mutational advance. *Mutat. Res.* **106**: 2–9.
 517 Neiman, M., C. M. Lively, and S. Meirmans. 2017. Why Sex? A Pluralist Approach Revisited. *Trends*
 518 *Ecol. Evol.* **32**: 589–600.
 519 Otto, S. P. 2009. The evolutionary enigma of sex. *Am. Nat.* **174 Suppl 1**: S1–S14.
 520 Penczykowski, R. M., S. R. Hall, D. J. Civitello, and M. A. Duffy. 2014. Habitat structure and ecological
 521 drivers of disease. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**: 340–348.
 522 Poulsen, R., H. H. De Fine Licht, M. Hansen, and N. Cedergreen. 2021. Grandmother’s pesticide
 523 exposure revealed bi-generational effects in *Daphnia magna*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **236**: 105861.
 524 Roth, O., D. Ebert, D. B. Vizoso, A. Bieger, and S. Lass. 2008. Male-biased sex-ratio distortion caused by

525 *Octosporea bayeri*, a vertically and horizontally-transmitted parasite of *Daphnia magna*. Int. J.
526 Parasitol. **38**: 969–979.

527 Schrag, S. J., A. O. Mooers, G. T. Ndifon, and A. F. Read. 1994. Ecological Correlates of Male
528 Outcrossing Ability in a Simultaneous Hermaphrodite Snail. Am. Nat. **143**: 636–655.

529 Shaw, C. L., S. R. Hall, E. P. Overholt, C. E. Cáceres, C. E. Williamson, and M. A. Duffy. 2020.
530 Shedding light on environmentally transmitted parasites: lighter conditions within lakes restrict
531 epidemic size. Ecology **101**: e03168.

532 Slowinski, S. P., L. T. Morran, R. C. Parrish II, E. R. Cui, A. Bhattacharya, C. M. Lively, and P. C.
533 Phillips. 2016. Coevolutionary interactions with parasites constrain the spread of self-fertilization
534 into outcrossing host populations. Evolution **70**: 2632–2639.

535 Stelzer, C.-P. 2011. The Cost of Sex and Competition between Cyclical and Obligate Parthenogenetic
536 Rotifers. Am. Nat. **177**: E43–E53.

537 Stelzer, C. P., and T. W. Snell. 2003. Induction of sexual reproduction in *Brachionus plicatilis*
538 (Monogononta, Rotifera) by a density-dependent chemical cue. Limnol. Oceanogr. **48**: 939–943.

539 Strauss, A. T., M. S. Shocket, D. J. Civitello, J. L. Hite, R. M. Penczykowski, M. A. Duffy, C. E. Cáceres,
540 and S. R. Hall. 2016. Habitat, predators, and hosts regulate disease in *Daphnia* through direct and
541 indirect pathways. Ecol. Monogr. **86**: 393–411.

542 Stross, R. G., and J. C. Hill. 1965. Diapause induction in *Daphnia* requires two stimuli. Science **150**:
543 1462–1464.

544 Tessier, A. J., and C. E. Cáceres. 2004. Differentiation in sex investment by clones and populations of
545 *Daphnia*. Ecol. Lett. **7**: 695–703.

546 Tessier, A. J., and P. Woodruff. 2002. Cryptic trophic cascade along a gradient of lake size. Ecology **83**:
547 1263–1270.

548 Threlkeld, S. T. 1979. The midsummer dynamics of two *Daphnia* species in wintergreen lake, Michigan.
549 Ecology **60**: 165–179.

550 Vergara, D., J. Jokela, and C. M. Lively. 2014. Infection Dynamics in Coexisting Sexual and Asexual

551 Host Populations: Support for the Red Queen Hypothesis. *Am. Nat.* **184**: S22–S30.

552 Vergara, D., C. M. Lively, K. C. King, and J. Jokela. 2013. The Geographic Mosaic of Sex and Infection

553 in Lake Populations of a New Zealand Snail at Multiple Spatial Scales. *Am. Nat.* **182**: 484–493.

554 Wale, N., M. L. Turrill, and M. A. Duffy. 2019. A colorful killer: *Daphnia* infected with the bacterium

555 *Spirobacillus cienkowskii* exhibit unexpected color variation. *Ecology* **100**: e02562.

556 Walsh, M. R. 2013. The link between environmental variation and evolutionary shifts in dormancy in

557 zooplankton. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **53**: 713–722.

558 Walsh, M. R., and D. M. Post. 2012. The impact of intraspecific variation in a fish predator on the

559 evolution of phenotypic plasticity and investment in sex in *Daphnia ambigua*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **25**: 80–

560 89.

561 Wolinska, J., K. C. King, F. Vigneux, and C. M. Lively. 2008. Virulence, cultivating conditions, and

562 phylogenetic analyses of oomycete parasites in *Daphnia*. *Parasitology* **135**: 1667–1678.

563

565 **Table S1.** Lakes in Michigan, USA sampled as part of this study.

Lake Name	Site	County	Latitude & Longitude	Max depth (m)
Appleton	Brighton	Livingston	42°30'37"N, 83°50'03"W	11.5
Bishop	Brighton	Livingston	42°30'04"N, 83°50'24"W	16.5
Bruin	Pinckney	Washtenaw	42°25'07"N, 84°02'23"W	14.5
Cedar	Waterloo	Washtenaw	42°18'52"N, 84°04'45"W	7
Crooked P	Pinckney	Washtenaw	42°25'11"N, 83°58'57"W	12
Crooked W	Waterloo	Washtenaw	42°19'32"N, 84°06'43"W	6
Gosling	Pinckney	Livingston	42°26'22"N, 84°00'12"W	6
Little Appleton	Brighton	Livingston	42°30'24"N, 83°50'19"W	6
Mill	Waterloo	Washtenaw	42°19'46"N, 84°05'27"W	6
North	Pinckney	Washtenaw	42°23'35"N, 84°00'23"W	17
Pickerel	Pinckney	Washtenaw	42°24'37"N, 83°58'58"W	16
Sullivan	Pinckney	Washtenaw	42°23'55"N, 84°03'25"W	6.5
Walsh	Waterloo	Washtenaw	42°20'15"N, 84°04'4"W	6
Whitmore	Brighton	Livingston & Washtenaw	42°25'42"N, 83°45'08"W	19.5
Woodland	Brighton	Livingston	42°33'12"N, 83°46'29"W	10.5

566

567

568

569




Figure S1. Analyses with mean host density and parasitism yielded qualitatively similar results.

(a) Populations of *D. dentifera* with higher densities had higher sexual reproduction ($r = 0.649$, $p < 0.0001$). (b) *D. dentifera* populations with more total parasitism tended to have more sexual reproduction ($r = 0.316$, $p = 0.034$). (c) Populations with greater *D. dentifera* density higher parasitism ($r = 0.372$, $p = 0.012$).