

## ARTICLE TYPE

# Global Asymptotic Synchronization of Fractional Order Multi-linked Memristive Neural Networks with Time-varying Delays via Discontinuous Control <sup>†</sup>

SHAOFANG WANG<sup>1,2</sup> | LIXIANG LI<sup>\*1,2</sup> | HAIPENG PENG<sup>1,2</sup> | YIXIAN YANG<sup>1,2</sup> | MINGWEN ZHENG<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Information Security Center, State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>National Engineering Laboratory for Disaster Backup and Recovery, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Shandong, China

## Correspondence

\*Corresponding author. Email: lixiang@bupt.edu.cn

## Present Address

This is sample for present address text this is sample for present address text

## Summary

In this paper, we address the global asymptotic synchronization (GAS) problem of the Master-Slave fractional order multi-linked memristive neural networks (FOMMNNs). Firstly, we propose the FOMMNNs which incorporate the fractional calculus into multi-linked memristive neural networks (MMNNs) for the first time. Then, by utilizing the fractional differential inclusions and set-valued mapping theories, the addressed FOMMNNs with discontinuous state switching at the right-hand side and time-varying delays are converted into the continuous FOMMNNs. Under the frameworks of fractional Caputo derivative and fractional Filippov solution, by the way of building up appropriate Lyapunov functionals and utilizing some synchronous analysis technology, several sufficient criteria ensuring that the Master-Slave FOMMNNs can realize global asymptotic synchronization (GAS) under two different state-feedback controllers are obtained.

## KEYWORDS:

Asymptotic synchronization, fractional order, multi-linked memristive neural networks (MMNNs), adaptive controller, time-varying delays, state-feedback

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Memristor, which was put forward theoretically by Chua in 1971<sup>1</sup>, is considered to be one of the four basic elements along with resistors, capacitors and inductors. Its resistance relies on the amount of electricity passed through. If the power is cut off, the resistance will remain unchanged until it receives the reverse current, thus it could act as a memory element. And in 2008, Strukov et al. fabricated the first memristor based on TiO<sub>2</sub> model<sup>2</sup>. Since then, many kinds of memristor models are proposed by the scholars. Compared with the resistors, the memristors exhibit many advantageous characteristics, such as extremely low energy consumption, excellent scalabilities, fast-speed operation, etc. Particularly, the memristor exhibits excellent memory and pinched hysteresis characteristics and has variable resistance, which bears striking resemblance to biological synapses<sup>3</sup>. Thus, the memristor is one of the most promising candidates for artificial synapses emulating memory and brain functions. The researchers proposed the memristive neural networks (MNNs) by rebuilding the traditional artificial NNs by utilizing the memristors rather than the common resistors. Besides, since the conductance of memristor will change owing to the change of the

<sup>†</sup>This is an example for title footnote.

voltage pulse parameter value of the high-speed voltage flow. Therefore, the connection weights of the MNNs are discontinuous, and the MNNs system is a discontinuous system.

MNNs have attracted increasing attention from researchers in various fields because of their excellent application prospects, their dynamical behaviors, such as synchronization and stabilization, can be applied in pattern recognition<sup>4</sup>, image processing<sup>5,6,7</sup>, optimization<sup>8,9</sup>, and so forth. Therefore, more and more scholars focus on investigating the synchronization and stabilization of MNNs. Many excellent results have been reported, please refer to the works<sup>10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19</sup> and references therein.

Fractional calculus<sup>20</sup> studies the integration and differentiation of arbitrary real order or even complex order, is a mathematical tool extended from ordinary calculus. Fractional differential systems can be applied widely in both science and engineering applications due to its excellent memory and hereditary characteristics. Compared with ordinary differential system, FDEs represent a more reasonable mathematical modeling framework, which can more effectively and accurately describe the memory and genetic characteristics of processes and materials, and etc. By introducing fractional order calculus into MNNs<sup>21,22,23</sup>, the scholars constructed the fractional order memristive neural networks (FOMNNs), which not only contain the characteristics of the fractional differential systems (FDEs), but also the characteristics of the MNNs. It is an evolutionary version of fractional order neural networks (FONNs). FOMNNs can provide an effective instrument to model some irregular dynamics simply and accurately by adopting fractional derivatives and the characteristics of the memristor. Therefore, using fractional order memristive neural networks (FOMNNs) can solve more complex problems that fractional order neural networks (FONNs) and MNNs cannot solve.

The application of various FOMNNs are mainly based on their dynamical behaviors, such as stabilization and synchronization, which have been extensively studied by the scholars recently, please refer to<sup>24,25,26,13,27</sup> and references therein. The researchers have proposed many kinds of control strategies<sup>28,26,29,30,25,31,25</sup> and various FOMNNs models. For example, complex-valued FOMNNs were studied in<sup>32,33,34,16,35,36</sup>. FOMNNs with reaction-diffusion terms were studied in<sup>30,37</sup>. Quaternion-valued FOMNNs were investigated in<sup>38,13,39,40</sup>.

As we know, one neuron can transmit information with the next one through multiple synapses. In other words, there are many connections between two neurons in the real biological neural network  $s$ . Here, we regard one connection between two neurons as an edge and there exist multiple edges between two neurons of biological neural networks of the brain. Multi-linked memristive neural network (MMNNs) mentioned in<sup>41</sup> are comprised of several single-linked MNNs, each edge indicating a kind of transmission delay. We can naturally introduce fractional derivatives into multi-linked memristive neural networks to form fractional order multi-linked memristive neural networks (FOMMNNs). Although there are many significant results concerning about the synchronization and stabilization for memristive neural network (MNNs), there are few researches concerning on FOMMNNs. For example, in<sup>28</sup>, the synchronization of the delayed fractional order memristive BAM neural networks involving switching jumps mismatch were obtained in a finite time by adopting impulsive controller and utilizing comparison principle. What's more, the delayed complex-valued FOMBAMNNs were investigated in<sup>27,35</sup>. To our best knowledge, there exist no literature concerning about the synchronization and stabilization of the FOMMNNs. Few results about the synchronization and stabilization issue of FOMNNs involving time-varying delays are obtained, most of the published reports are discussing constant delay(s) or without delay terms. For example, FOMNNs with constant delay(s) were investigated in<sup>42,43,24,44</sup>. FOMNNs with time-varying delay was studied in<sup>27,14,16,28</sup>. The global synchronization of the delayed FOMNNs involving constant delay were studied in<sup>42</sup>. In<sup>13</sup>, Pratap et al. addressed the finite time stability of the impulsive quaternion-valued FOMNNs in the Mittag-Leffler sense by employing Mittag-Leffler function and Laplace transform.

Sparked by the discussions mentioned above, this article firstly incorporates fractional calculus into MMNNs and obtain the FOMMNNs, then addresses the asymptotic synchronization and stabilization of the FOMMNNs involving time-varying delays by designing state-feedback controllers under the frameworks of Caputo fractional derivative and fractional Filippov solution.

Contributions are stated as follows

(1) We propose fractional order multi-linked memristive neural networks (FOMMNNs) with time varying delays for the first time.

(2) Two different control strategies are adopted. One is the linear control strategy, the other is the adaptive control strategy. Our proof procedure is simple to be implemented in the real applications.

(3) We design two different Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals. Sufficient criteria guaranteeing the GAS of the Master-Slave FOMMNNs are obtained under the Filippov-framework by utilizing the theory of set-valued mapping.

The remaining of this paper is given as follows. Section 2 gives the description of the mathematical model of the Master-Slave FOMMNNs, including some necessary preliminaries, relative tools and notations. Section 3 analyzes the sufficient criteria of

GAS the Master-Slave FOMMNNs with time-varying delays, and two corollaries are obtained for the FOMMNNs involving constant delays and no-delayed terms, respectively. Section 4 gives the conclusions.

**Notation.**  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  represent the space of real numbers, the set of matrices with dimensions  $\mathfrak{N}_1 \times \mathfrak{N}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{N}$ -dimensional vector, respectively.  $\mathcal{Q}^T$  denotes the transpose of matrix  $\mathcal{Q}$ .  $\mathbb{C}([- \zeta_M, 0], \mathcal{R}^n)$  indicates the functions set  $\Psi : [- \zeta_M, 0] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$  and  $\Psi$  is bounded and differential.  $\overline{\text{co}}[\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}]$  indicates the closure of convex hull generated by the real numbers set or real matrices set including  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

## 2 | NETWORK MODEL AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, we consider the GAS between the Master-Slave FOMMNNs with time-varying delays in Caputo sense with fractional order  $\hat{\beta} \in (0, 1)$ , denoted as  ${}^C D_t^{\hat{\beta}}$ , where  $t_0$  is the initial time. For convenience in this paper, we denote  ${}^C D_t^{\hat{\beta}}$  as  $D_t^{\hat{\beta}}$  for short.

**Definition 1.** (Podlubny<sup>20</sup>) For a continuous function  $\vartheta(t)$ , its Caputo derivative with fractional order  $\hat{\beta} \in (p-1, p)$  is defined as

$${}^C D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \vartheta(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p - \hat{\beta})} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \lambda)^{p - \hat{\beta} - 1} \vartheta^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $p \in (\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta} + 1)$ .

**Definition 2.** (Podlubny<sup>20</sup>) For a differential and continuous function  $\vartheta(\mathcal{T})$ , its Caputo fractional integral of order  $\hat{\beta}$  is given as

$${}^C D_{\tau_0}^{\hat{\beta}} \vartheta(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \int_{\tau_0}^{\mathcal{T}} (\mathcal{T} - \lambda)^{\hat{\beta} - 1} \vartheta(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad \mathcal{T} \geq \tau_0,$$

where  $\Gamma(\hat{\beta}) = \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda^{\hat{\beta} - 1} e^{-\lambda} d\lambda$ .

### 2.1 | Description of the Master-Slave FOMMNNs

#### 2.1.1 | The Master FOMMNNs

Consider the master FOMMNNs with time-varying delays

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathbf{x}_m(t) &= -\ell_m(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) \mathbf{x}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) \hat{h}_n(\mathbf{x}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) g_n(\mathbf{x}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) + \mathcal{I}_m(t), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ,  $0 < \hat{\beta} < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  represents Caputo fractional operator,  $D_t^{\hat{\beta}}$  denotes Caputo fractional derivative with order  $\hat{\beta}$  ( $0 < \hat{\beta} < 1$ ) between time  $(t_0, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_m(t)$  stands for the state of the  $m$ -th neuron.  $\ell_m(\cdot)$  indicates the neuron self-inhibition satisfying  $\ell_m(\cdot) > 0$ .  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the number of edges (links) between any two neurons of the FOMMNNs.  $\hat{h}_n(\cdot)$  and  $g_n(\cdot)$  represent the activation functions without and with time delays,  $\zeta_i(t)$  denotes the delay of the  $i$ -th edge which is bounded and satisfies  $0 < \zeta_i(t) \leq \zeta_M$ . The initial value of FOMMNNs (1) is  $\pi(s) = (\pi_1(s), \pi_2(s), \dots, \pi_n(s))^T \in \mathbb{C}([- \zeta_M, 0], \mathcal{R}^n)$ .  $\mathcal{I}_m(t)$  represents the external input.  $v_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t))$  and  $\varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t))$  are memristive connection weights, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_m(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) &= \frac{1}{C_m} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} (\widetilde{M}_{mn} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \widehat{M}_{(i)mn}) \times \varepsilon_{mn} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{R}_m} \right], \\ v_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) &= \frac{\widetilde{M}_{mn}}{C_m} \times \varepsilon_{mn}, \quad \varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathbf{x}_m(t)) = \frac{\widehat{M}_{(i)mn}}{C_m} \times \varepsilon_{mn}, \\ \varepsilon_{mn} &= \begin{cases} 1, & m \neq n, \\ -1, & m = n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widetilde{M}_{mn}, \widehat{M}_{(i)mn}$  indicate the memductances associated with memristors  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{mn}, \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{(i)mn}$ , respectively. Besides,  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{mn}$  indicate the memristor between  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t))$ , and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{(i)mn}$  denotes the memristor between  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t)$  and  $g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t)))$ .  $\mathfrak{R}_m$  indicates the parallel-resistor related to  $C_m$ . According to the properties of the memristors, we set

$$\ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} \ell_m^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| \leq \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ \ell_m^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

$$v_{mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} v_{mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| \leq \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ v_{mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} \rho_{(i)mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| \leq \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

for  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi, i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\ell_m^*, \ell_m^{**}, v_{mn}^*, v_{mn}^{**}, \rho_{(i)mn}^*, \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}$  are the known parameters which are related to the memristors.  $\mathfrak{U}_m$  represents the thresholds of the switching jumps.

For convenience, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\ell}_m^u &= \max\{|\ell_m^*|, |\ell_m^{**}|\}, \tilde{\ell}_m = \min\{\ell_m^*, \ell_m^{**}\}, \hat{\ell}_m = \max\{\ell_m^*, \ell_m^{**}\}, \\ \hat{v}_{mn} &= \max\{v_{mn}^*, v_{mn}^{**}\}, \check{v}_{mn} = \min\{v_{mn}^*, v_{mn}^{**}\}, \\ \tilde{v}_{mn}^u &= \max\{|v_{mn}^*|, |v_{mn}^{**}|\}, \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn} = \max\{\rho_{(i)mn}^*, \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}\}, \\ \check{\rho}_{(i)mn} &= \min\{\rho_{(i)mn}^*, \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}\}, \tilde{\rho}_{(i)mn}^u = \max\{|\rho_{(i)mn}^*|, |\rho_{(i)mn}^{**}|\}. \end{aligned}$$

for  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi, i = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{K}$ .

Utilizing the theories of set-valued mapping and fractional Fillipov inclusions<sup>45</sup>, it arrives that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{X}_m(t) &\in -\overline{c\partial}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))](\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \overline{c\partial}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))]\mathfrak{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \overline{c\partial}[\rho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))]\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))) + \mathcal{I}_m(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for  $t \geq 0, m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ , where

$$\overline{c\partial}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} \ell_m^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| < \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ [\tilde{\ell}_m, \hat{\ell}_m], & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| = \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ \ell_m^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{c\partial}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} v_{mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| < \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ [\check{v}_{mn}, \hat{v}_{mn}], & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| = \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ v_{mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\overline{c\partial}[\rho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} \rho_{(i)mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| < \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ [\check{\rho}_{(i)mn}, \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}], & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| = \mathfrak{U}_m, \\ \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{X}_m(t)| > \mathfrak{U}_m, \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ . Based on the measurable selection theorem<sup>46</sup>, there exist appropriate measurable functions  $\check{\ell}_m(t) \in \overline{c\partial}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))]$ ,  $\check{v}_{mn}(t) \in \overline{c\partial}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))]$  and  $\check{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) \in \overline{c\partial}[\rho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{X}_m(t) &= -\check{\ell}_m(t)\mathfrak{X}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \check{v}_{mn}(t)\mathfrak{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \check{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t)\mathfrak{g}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))) + \mathcal{I}_m(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for a.e.  $t \geq 0, m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ .

**Remark 1.** When  $\hat{\beta} = 1$ , the FOMMNNs (1) degenerates into common MMNNs, which had be discussed in<sup>47,48,49</sup>. In other words, the FOMMNNs generalizes the usual MMNNs. The FOMMNNs (1) is different from the switched systems investigated

in Refs.<sup>50,51</sup>. The switching systems usually switches between two different states. However, the switching of memristor-based neural network model changes with the change of variable states, and this switching is more complicated. Besides, we consider time-varying delays while Ref.<sup>50,51</sup> considered constant delay, therefore, our model is more general.

### 2.1.2 | The Slave FOMMNNs

To study the synchronization, the slave delayed FOMMNNs is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) &= -\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) + \mathcal{I}_m(t) + \mu_m(t), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)$  represents the state of the  $m$ -th neuron of the FOMMNNs (4),  $\mu_m(t)$  is an appropriately-designed controller to be determined. The initial value of FOMMNNs (4) is  $v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))$  and  $\varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))$  memristive connection weights, which are expressed by

$$\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} \ell_m^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| \leq \Omega_m, \\ \ell_m^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

$$v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} v_{mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| \leq \Omega_m, \\ v_{mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) = \begin{cases} \varrho_{(i)mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| \leq \Omega_m, \\ \varrho_{(i)mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

where  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi, i = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\Omega_m$  indicates the threshold of the switching jumps of the memristors. The other parameters have the same meaning as those defined in FOMMNNs (1).

Similarly, it follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) &\in -\bar{c}\bar{o}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))](\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) + \bar{c}\bar{o}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))]\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \bar{c}\bar{o}[\varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t)))] + \mathcal{I}_m(t) + \mu_m(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for  $t \geq 0, m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ , where

$$\bar{c}\bar{o}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} \ell_m^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| < \Omega_m, \\ [\check{\ell}_m, \hat{\ell}_m], & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| = \Omega_m, \\ \ell_m^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{c}\bar{o}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} v_{mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| < \Omega_m, \\ [\check{v}_{mn}, \hat{v}_{mn}], & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| = \Omega_m, \\ v_{mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{c}\bar{o}[\varrho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))] = \begin{cases} \varrho_{(i)mn}^*, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| < \Omega_m, \\ [\check{\varrho}_{(i)mn}, \hat{\varrho}_{(i)mn}], & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| = \Omega_m, \\ \varrho_{(i)mn}^{**}, & |\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)| > \Omega_m, \end{cases}$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi, i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ .

Hence, we choose the measurable functions as  $\hat{\ell}_m(t) \in \overline{co}[\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))]$ ,  $\hat{v}_{mn}(t) \in \overline{co}[v_{mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))]$  and  $\hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) \in \overline{co}[\rho_{(i)mn}(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) &= -\hat{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \hat{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\ &+ \mathcal{I}_m(t) + \mu_m(t), \end{aligned} \quad t \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

The synchronization error  $\mathfrak{G}_m(t) = \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \mathfrak{X}_m(t)$ , ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ). Subtracting (3) from (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{G}_m(t) &= -\hat{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \hat{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{X}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \hat{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \hat{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t)) + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\ &- \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) + \mu_m(t) \\ &= -\hat{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{G}_m(t) + (\hat{\ell}_m(t) - \hat{\ell}_m(t)) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \hat{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{G}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} (\hat{v}_{mn}(t) - \hat{v}_{mn}(t)) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} (\hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t)) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) G_n(\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) + \mu_m(t), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for a.e.  $t \geq 0$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ , where  $\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{G}_n(t)) = \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) - \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t))$  and  $G_n(\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) = g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) - g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t)))$ . The initial condition of the error FOMMNNs system (7) is  $\phi(s) = \psi(s) - \pi(s) \in \mathbb{C}[-\zeta_M, 0], \mathcal{R}^n$ .

Some useful definitions, lemmas and assumptions are given as follows to derive the main theoretical criteria.

**Assumption H1.** The functions  $\hat{h}_n(\cdot), g_n(\cdot)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ) are assumed to be Lipschitz-continuous. Hence, their exist the known constants  $L_n^h > 0, L_n^g > 0$  which satisfy  $|\hat{h}_n(z_2) - \hat{h}_n(z_1)| \leq L_n^h |z_2 - z_1|, |g_n(z_2) - g_n(z_1)| \leq L_n^g |g_n(z_2) - g_n(z_1)|$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ),  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{R}^n$ . Let  $L^h = \max_{1 \leq n \leq \Pi} \{L_n^h\}$ ,  $L^g = \max_{1 \leq n \leq \Pi} \{L_n^g\}$ .

**Assumption H2.**  $\hat{h}_n(\cdot), g_n(\cdot)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ) are assumed to be bounded. Then, there exist known constants  $\xi_n^h > 0$  and  $\xi_n^g > 0$

$$|\hat{h}_m(\cdot)| \leq \xi_m^h, |g_m(\cdot)| \leq \xi_m^g, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi.$$

Let  $\xi^h = \max_{1 \leq n \leq \Pi} \{\xi_n^h\}$ ,  $\xi^g = \max_{1 \leq n \leq \Pi} \{\xi_n^g\}$  for convenience.

**Assumption 3.**  $\zeta_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ ) are bounded differential functions. Let,  $0 < \zeta_i(t) < \zeta_D$  and  $0 < \zeta_i(t) < \zeta_M$  hold.

**Lemma 1.** (Li et al<sup>52</sup>). If  $0 < \hat{\beta} < 1$  and  $Q(t) \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ , then

$${}^C D_{t_0, t}^{\hat{\beta}} |Q(t)| \leq \text{sign}(Q(t)) D_{t_0, t}^{\hat{\beta}} Q(t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{O}_m = -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\hat{\ell}_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \hat{\ell}_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \mathfrak{X}_m(t))$ , then we can conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_m \leq -\check{\ell}_m^* |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \check{\ell}_m^*| \overline{M}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ), where  $\overline{M}_m = \max \{\Omega_m, \mathfrak{V}_m\}$ .

*Proof.* According to the characteristics of the memristor, we obtain that

(1) When  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t) > \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) > \Omega_m$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_m &= -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m^{**})(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= -\ell_m^{**}|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{M}_m. \end{aligned}$$

(2) When  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) \leq \Omega_m$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_m &= -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))\ell_m^*(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= -\ell_m^*|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{M}_m. \end{aligned}$$

(3) When  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t) > \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) \leq \Omega_m$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_m &= -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t)))(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &\quad + (\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) \\ &= -\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m^{**}\mathfrak{G}_m(t) + (\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*)\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)) \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\Omega_m \leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{M}_m. \end{aligned}$$

(4) When  $\mathfrak{X}_m(t) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) > \Omega_m$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_m &= \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t)))(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) - \mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &\quad + (\ell_m(\mathfrak{Y}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t) - \ell_m(\mathfrak{X}_m(t))\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &= \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\ell_m^{**}\mathfrak{G}_m(t) + (\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*)\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_m \\ &\leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{M}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can easily conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_m \leq -\check{\ell}_m|\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*|\overline{M}_m$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** The FOMMNNs (1) and (4) are deemed to realize the GAS, if under a suitable designed controller, for any initial value  $\phi(s)$  of  $\mathfrak{G}(t)$ , one always has  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{G}(t)\|_1 = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{G}(t) = (\mathfrak{G}_1(t), \mathfrak{G}_2(t), \dots, \mathfrak{G}_\Pi(t))^T$ . Let  $\|\phi(s)\|_1 = \sup_{-\zeta \leq \theta \leq 0} \|\psi(s) - \pi(s)\|_1$ .

**Lemma 3.** (Wang and Yang<sup>53</sup>) Fractional Barbalat's lemma under the framework of Caputo derivative. If  $\int_{t_0}^t \mathfrak{B}(s)ds = \mathfrak{U} < +\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Besides, if it holds true that  ${}^C D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{B}(t)$  is bounded, where  $\omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\hat{\beta} \in (0, 1)$ .

### 3 | MAIN RESULTS

In this section, the GAS of the master-slave FOMMNNs are investigated by Adoption two control strategies.

### 3.1 | Linear discontinuous state-feedback controller

**Theorem 1.** In this section, we adopt the following linear discontinuous state-feedback controller

$$\mu_m(t) = -\zeta_m \mathfrak{E}_m(t) - \eta_m \text{sign}(\mathfrak{E}_m(t)), m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi, \quad (8)$$

where  $\zeta_m, \eta_m (m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi)$  are appropriately chosen constants. If  $\zeta_m$  and  $\eta_m$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m &> -\check{\ell}_m + \xi^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{nm}^u + \frac{L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \rho_{(i)ji}^u, \\ \eta_m &> |\check{\ell}_m^{**} - \check{\ell}_m^*| \bar{M}_m + \xi^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| + \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}|, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ . Then under Assumptions H1-H3, the error FOMMNNs (7) can realize the GAS from Definition 3. Or in other words, the FOMMNNs (4) and (1) can obtain the GAS.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Build the Lyapunov functional as follows

$$\mathbb{V}(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) = \mathbb{V}_1(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \mathbb{V}_2(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)), \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbb{V}_1(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} D_t^{-(1-\hat{\beta})} |\mathfrak{E}_m(t)|$ , and

$$\mathbb{V}_2(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\rho_{(l)mn}^u \xi^g}{1 - \zeta_D} \int_{t-\zeta_l(t)}^t |\mathfrak{E}_n(s)| ds.$$

Doing the derivative of  $\mathbb{V}(t, \mathfrak{E}(t))$  along the trajectory of FOMMNNs (7) yields

$$\dot{\mathbb{V}} = \dot{\mathbb{V}}_1(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t) = \frac{d}{dt} D_t^{\hat{\beta}-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{E}_m(t)| \right] + \dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)).$$

Under **Lemma 1**, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbb{V}}_1(t, \mathfrak{E}(t)) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{E}_m(t)) D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{E}_m(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{E}_m(t)) (-\check{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) \\ &+ \check{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{X}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \dot{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) - \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \dot{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) - \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) + \mu_m(t)) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{E}_m(t)) (-\check{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{E}_m(t) + (\check{\ell}_m(t) - \hat{\ell}_m(t)) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \dot{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{E}_n(t)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} (\dot{v}_{mn}(t) - \dot{v}_{mn}(t)) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} (\dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t)) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) (g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) - g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t)))) \\ &+ \mu_m(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))\mu_m(t) &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\zeta_m \mathfrak{G}_m(t) + \eta_m \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))) \\ &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \zeta_m |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \eta_m \chi_m, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $\chi_m = |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| = |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|^2$ .

From **Lemma 2**, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(-\check{\ell}_m(t)\mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \check{\ell}'_m(t)\mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} -\check{\ell}_m |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \overline{M}_m \chi_m. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Similarly, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))\hat{v}_{mn}(t)(\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) - \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t))) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| v_{mn}^u \xi_n^h |\mathfrak{G}_n(t)| \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{mn}^u \xi_n^h |\mathfrak{G}_n(t)| = \xi^h \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{nm}^u |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))\hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t)(g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))) - g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t)))) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| \rho_{(i)mn}^u L_n^g |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))| \\ \leq L^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \rho_{(i)mn}^u |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))|. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}^*)g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \varsigma_i(t))) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \xi_n^g \\ \leq \xi^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \chi_m, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))(\hat{v}_{mn}(t) - \hat{v}_{mn}^*)\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| \xi_n^h \leq \xi^h \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| \chi_m. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

And similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) (\dot{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \rho'_{(i)mn}(t)) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\
& \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \xi_n^g \\
& \leq \xi^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \chi_m.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Reckoning the derivatives of  $\mathbb{V}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t))$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\rho_{(i)mn}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} (|\mathfrak{G}_n(t)| - |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))| (1 - \zeta_i(t))) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\rho_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \rho_{(i)mn}^u \xi_n^g |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))|,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From (12) -(19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{V}}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \dot{\mathbb{V}}_1(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) + \dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} (-\zeta_m - \check{\ell}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{nm}^u + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\rho_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D}) |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\
&+ \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} (-\eta_m + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \bar{\mathfrak{V}}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| + \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}|) \chi_m \\
&\leq - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \check{h}_m |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \Theta_m \chi_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where  $\check{h}_m = \zeta_m + \check{\ell}_m - \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{nm}^u - \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\rho_{(i)ji}^u \xi_n^g}{1 - \zeta_D}$ , and  $\Theta_m = \eta_m - |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \bar{M}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| - \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}|$  for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ . From (9) and (20), we can conclude that  $\check{h}_m > 0$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{V}}_m > 0$ . Hence, we can easily get that

$$\dot{\mathbb{V}}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) \leq -\check{h} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| = -\check{h} \omega(t), \tag{21}$$

where  $\check{h} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq \Pi} \{\check{h}_m\}$ ,  $\omega(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|$ . Then we can conclude that  $\mathbb{V}(t) \leq -\check{h} \int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) ds + \mathbb{V}(t_0)$ . From (10), we can easily conclude that  $\omega(t)$  is non-negatively bounded and  $\int_{t_0}^t \omega(s) ds$  has a finite limit. Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $\mathcal{N}$  which satisfies  $|\mathcal{D}_1^{\hat{\beta}} \omega(t)| \leq \mathcal{N}$  when  $t \geq t_0$ . According to **Lemma 3**, we will further prove that  $\omega(t)$  is uniformly continuous. For  $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ , when  $|t_2 - t_1| = \theta(\epsilon) \leq (\frac{\epsilon \Gamma(1 + \hat{\beta})}{2\mathcal{N}})^{\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}}}$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_2(t) - \omega_1(t)| &= \mathcal{D}^{-\hat{\beta}} [\mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega_2(t) - \mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega_1(t)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [\mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega(s)] ds - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [\mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega(s)] ds \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [\mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega(s)] ds \right| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} ((t_1 - s)^{1-\hat{\beta}} - (t_2 - s)^{1-\hat{\beta}}) [\mathcal{D}^{\hat{\beta}} \omega(s)] ds \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} [(t_1 - \omega_2)^{\hat{\beta}} - (t_2 - t_0)^{\hat{\beta}} + 2(t_2 - t_1)^{\hat{\beta}}] \\
&\leq \frac{2\mathcal{N}}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} (t_2 - t_1)^{\hat{\beta}} \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

From **Lemma 3**, we conclude that  $\omega(t)$  is uniformly continuous, and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) = 0$ . Since  $\omega(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|$ , it means that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| = 0$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ). Therefore, The FOMMNNs (4) and (1) can realize the GAS under Definition 3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For the Master-Slave FOMMNNs (1) and (4), if  $\varsigma_1(t) = \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2(t) = \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_K(t) = \varsigma_K$ , where  $\varsigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ ) are chosen constants which satisfy  $0 < \varsigma_i \leq \varsigma$ , and means that the time delays are time-invariant. Under controller (8), if  $\zeta_m$  and  $\eta_m$  satisfy the following requirements

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m &> -\check{\ell}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} v_{nm}^u + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\varrho_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \varsigma_D}, \\ \eta_m &> |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \overline{M}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| + \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\varrho_{(i)mn}^* - \varrho_{(i)mn}^{**}|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ , then the FOMMNNs (4) are asymptotical synchronized with FOMMNNs (1).

**Proof.** The proof process is quite similar to the one of the Theorem 3.1. Hence, it is ignored here.

**Remark 2.** If  $i = 1$  and  $\varsigma_1(t) = \varsigma$  (positive constant), the FOMMNNs are reduced to regular FOMNNs involving constant time delay, which had been discussed in<sup>24,42,54</sup>.

### 3.2 | Adaptive discontinuous state-feedback controller

Usually, the theoretical value of synchronization time is much larger than the required actual time. This is because the amplification technology is used in the theoretical proof process. If we adopt the adaptive strategy, the control strength can adjust itself accordingly, which greatly reduce the control. Hence, in this section, an adaptive discontinuous state-feedback controller is adopted.

The controller is expressed by

$$u_m(t) = -\widehat{\zeta}_m(t) \mathfrak{G}_m(t) - \widehat{\eta}_m(t) \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)), \quad (22)$$

where  $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ . And the adaptive control law given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\widehat{\zeta}}_m(t) = k_m |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|, & \widehat{\zeta}_m(0) = 0, \\ \dot{\widehat{\eta}}_m(t) = l_m |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))|, & \widehat{\eta}_m(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\Pi})$  and  $l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{\Pi})$  are two positive vectors,  $\widehat{\zeta}_m$  and  $\widehat{\eta}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ) are appropriately chosen control gains.

**Theorem 2** (Theorem subhead). Let  $0 < \widehat{\beta} < 1$  and Assumptions H1-H2 hold, the FOMMNNs (1) will be globally asymptotically synchronized with the FOMMNNs (4) under the adaptive controller (22), if  $\widehat{\zeta}_m, \widehat{\eta}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\zeta}_m &> -\check{\ell}_m + L^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \tilde{v}_{nm}^u + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\tilde{\varrho}_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \varsigma_D}, \\ \widehat{\eta}_m &\geq |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \overline{M}_m + \xi^h \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| + \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\varrho_{(i)mn}^* - \varrho_{(i)mn}^{**}|. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Bulid up the following Lyapunov functional

$$\mathbb{V}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) = \mathbb{V}_1(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) + \mathbb{V}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) + \mathbb{V}_3(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)), \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}_1(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} D_t^{-(1-\hat{\beta})} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|, \\ \mathbb{V}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\varrho_{(l)mn}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} \int_{t-\zeta_l(t)}^t |\mathfrak{G}_n(s)| ds \\ \mathbb{V}_3(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2k_m} (\zeta_m(t) - \zeta_m)^2 + \frac{1}{2l_m} (\eta_m(t) - \eta_m)^2 \right],\end{aligned}$$

Under **Lemma 1**, Finding the derivation of  $\mathbb{V}_1(t)$  along the trajectory of FOMMNNs (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbb{V}}_1(t) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} D_t^{\hat{\beta}} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) D_t^{\hat{\beta}} \mathfrak{G}_m(t) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) [-\dot{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \dot{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{X}_m(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \dot{v}_{mn}(t) (\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) - \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t)))] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} (\dot{v}_{mn}(t) - \dot{v}_{mn}(t)) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} (\dot{\varrho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \dot{\varrho}_{(i)mn}(t)) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \dot{\varrho}_{(i)mn}(t) (\hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) - \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t)))) \\ &\quad + \mu_m(t)].\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) \mu_m(t) &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) (\zeta_m(t) \mathfrak{G}_m(t) - \eta_m(t) \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))) \\ &= - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \zeta_m(t) |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \eta_m(t) \chi_m,\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

where we denote that  $\chi_m = |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|$ .

By **Lemma 2**, it can be derived that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) (-\dot{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{Y}_m(t) + \dot{\ell}_m(t) \mathfrak{X}_m(t)) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} -\check{\ell}_m |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \bar{M}_m \chi_m.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

Under Assumption H1, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) \dot{v}_{mn}(t) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| \tilde{v}_{mn}^u L_n^h |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \tilde{v}^u L^h |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| = L^h \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \tilde{v}^u |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) \hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) (g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) - g_n(\mathfrak{X}_n(t - \zeta_i(t)))) \\
& \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| \tilde{\rho}_{(i)mn}^u L_n^g |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))| \\
& \leq L^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\rho}_{(i)mn}^u |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))|.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From Assumption H2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) (\hat{\rho}_{(i)mn}(t) - \rho_{(i)mn}^*) g_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))) \\
& \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \xi_n^g \\
& \leq \xi^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |\rho_{(i)mn}^* - \rho_{(i)mn}^{**}| \chi_m.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t)) (\hat{v}_{mn}(t) - v_{mn}^*) \hat{h}_n(\mathfrak{Y}_n(t)) \\
& \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |\text{sign}(\mathfrak{G}_m(t))| |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| \xi_n^h \leq \xi^h \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| \chi_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Furthermore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\rho_{(i)mn}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} (|\mathfrak{G}_n(t)| - |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))| (1 - \zeta_i(t))) \\
&\leq \frac{L^g}{1 - \zeta_D} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \rho_{(i)ji}^u |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - L^g \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \rho_{(i)mn}^u |\mathfrak{G}_n(t - \zeta_i(t))|.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{V}}_3(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2k_m} (\hat{\zeta}_m(t) - \tilde{\zeta}_m) \dot{\hat{\zeta}}_m(t) + \frac{1}{2l_m} (\hat{\zeta}_m(t) - \tilde{\zeta}_m) \dot{\hat{\zeta}}_m(t) \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} [(\hat{\zeta}_m(t) - \tilde{\zeta}_m) |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| + (\hat{\eta}_m(t) - \tilde{\eta}_m) \chi_m].
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From (25) to (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbb{V}}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) &= \dot{\mathbb{V}}_1(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) + \dot{\mathbb{V}}_2(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) + \dot{\mathbb{V}}_3(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} (-\widehat{\zeta}_m - \check{\ell}_m + L^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \tilde{v}_{nm}^u + \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\tilde{\varrho}_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D}) |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} (-\widehat{\eta}_m + |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \overline{M}_m + \xi^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| \\
&\quad + \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |e_{(i)mn}^* - e_{(i)mn}^{**}|) \chi_m \\
&\leq -\widehat{h}_m \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| - \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} \widehat{\Theta}_m \chi_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where  $\widehat{h}_m = \widehat{\zeta}_m + \check{\ell}_m - L^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \tilde{v}_{nm}^u - \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\tilde{\varrho}_{(i)ji}^u L^g}{1 - \zeta_D}$ , and  $\widehat{\Theta}_m = \widehat{\eta}_m - |\ell_m^{**} - \ell_m^*| \overline{M}_m + \xi^{\hat{h}} \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} |v_{mn}^* - v_{mn}^{**}| - \xi^g \sum_{n=1}^{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{K}} |e_{(i)mn}^* - e_{(i)mn}^{**}|$ , ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ), From (23) and (34), we conclude that  $\widehat{h}_m > 0$ ,  $\widehat{\Theta}_m > 0$ . Therefore, it holds true that

$$\dot{\mathbb{V}}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) \leq -\widehat{h} \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|, \tag{35}$$

where  $\widehat{h} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\widehat{h}_m\}$ , and  $\widehat{\omega}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| \geq 0$ . Then, it can be derived that  $\mathbb{V}(t, \mathfrak{G}(t)) \leq -\widehat{h} \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{\omega}(s) ds + \mathbb{V}(t_0)$  and  $\int_{t_0}^t \widehat{\omega}(s) ds$  has a finite limitation. Hence,  $\widehat{\omega}(t)$  is bounded. Therefore, there exists a given constant  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1 > 0$  satisfying  $|\mathcal{D}_t^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}(t)| \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1$  when  $t \geq t_0$ , from **Lemma 3**, we will further derive that  $\widehat{\omega}(t)$  is uniformly continuous. For  $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ , and when  $|t_2 - t_1| = \theta(\epsilon) \leq (\frac{\epsilon \Gamma(1 + \hat{\beta})}{2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})^{\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}}}$ , one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\omega}_2(t) - \widehat{\omega}_1(t)| &= \mathcal{D}^{-\hat{\beta}} [D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}_2(t) - D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}_1(t)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} (t_2 - x)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}(x)] dx - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (t_1 - x)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}(x)] dx \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - x)^{1-\hat{\beta}} [D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}(x)] dx \right| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} ((t_1 - x)^{1-\hat{\beta}} - (t_2 - x)^{1-\hat{\beta}}) [D^{\hat{\beta}} \widehat{\omega}(x)] dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} [(t_1 - t_0)^{\hat{\beta}} - (t_2 - t_0)^{\hat{\beta}} + 2(t_2 - t_1)^{\hat{\beta}}] \\
&\leq \frac{2\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}{\Gamma(\hat{\beta})} (t_2 - t_1)^{\hat{\beta}} \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can obtain that  $\widehat{\omega}(t)$  is uniformly continuous. Under **Lemma 3**, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\omega}(t) = 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{\omega}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\Pi} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)|$ , it means that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\mathfrak{G}_m(t)| = 0$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \Pi$ ). Therefore, from Definition 3, we conclude that FOMMNNs systems (4) and (1) can realize the GAS. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 | CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we incorporate the fractional calculus into MMNNs, and propose the FOMMNNs for the first time. Based on the Master-Slave concept, this paper investigates the GAS of the Master-Slave FOMMNNs involving time-varying delays. By utilizing the theories of the set-valued mapping and fractional Filippov inclusions, the discontinuous FOMMNNs are transformed into continuous FOMMNNs. Then, two different strategies are adopted to design the state-feedback controllers. One is a linear and the other one is adaptive. Based on Lyapunov direct functional method, fractional Barbalats lemma and some analysis techniques, several sufficient criteria are obtained for ensuring the GAS of delayed FOMMNNs. In this paper, all the proofs procedures are illustrated in numerical forms, thus we will investigate the synchronization and stabilization issue for fractional order memristive BAM neural networks (FOMBAMNNs) by utilizing the toolbox of LMI later.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Editor and all the anonymous referees for their valuable comments, which would help us to improve the quality of this paper. This work is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61771071, 61972051, 61932005, 61702307).

## Author contributions

All the authors have equal contributions in the production of the results as well as write-up of the article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

## ORCID

Lixiang Li <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-0000-0001-9949-8731>

## References

1. Chua L. Memristor-the missing circuit element. *IEEE Transactions on circuit theory* 1971; 18(5): 507–519.
2. Strukov DB, Snider GS, Stewart DR, Williams RS. The missing memristor found. *nature* 2008; 453(7191): 80–83.
3. Sharifi MJ, Banadaki YM. General SPICE models for memristor and application to circuit simulation of memristor-based synapses and memory cells. *Journal of Circuits, Systems, and Computers* 2010; 19(02): 407–424.
4. Duan QX, Jing ZK, Zou XL, et al. Spiking neurons with spatiotemporal dynamics and gain modulation for monolithically integrated memristive neural networks. *Nature Communications* 2020; 11(1).
5. Xie XD, Wen SP, Yan Z, Huang TW, Chen YR. Designing pulse-coupled neural networks with spike-synchronization-dependent plasticity rule: image segmentation and memristor circuit application. *Neural Computing & Applications* 2020; 32(17): 13441–13452.

6. Wang Y, Wang G, Shen Y, Iu HHC. A Memristor Neural Network Using Synaptic Plasticity and Its Associative Memory. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing* 2020; 39: 3496–3511.
7. Hong QH, Li Y, Wang XP. Memristive continuous Hopfield neural network circuit for image restoration. *Neural Computing & Applications* 2020; 32(12): 8175-8185.
8. Cai FX, Kumar S, Van Vaerenbergh T, et al. Power-efficient combinatorial optimization using intrinsic noise in memristor Hopfield neural networks. *Nature Electronics* 2020; 3(7): 409-418.
9. Parsa M, Mitchell JP, Schuman CD, Patton RM, Potok TE, Roy K. Bayesian Multi-objective Hyperparameter Optimization for Accurate, Fast, and Efficient Neural Network Accelerator Design. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 2020; 14: 667-682.
10. Zheng MW, Li LX, Peng HP, Xiao JH, Yang YX, Zhang YP. Fixed-Time Synchronization of Memristive Fuzzy BAM Cellular Neural Networks With Time-Varying Delays Based on Feedback Controllers. *Ieee Access* 2018; 6: 12085-12102.
11. Chen LP, Wu RC, Cheng Y, Chen YQ. Delay-Dependent and Order-Dependent Stability and Stabilization of Fractional-Order Linear Systems With Time-Varying Delay. *Ieee Transactions on Circuits and Systems II-Express Briefs* 2020; 67(6): 1064-1068.
12. Ding DW, Luo J, Shan XY, Hu YB, Yang ZL, Ding LH. Coexistent multiple-stability of a fractional-order delayed memristive Chua's system based on describing function. *Modern Physics Letters B* 2020; 34(14): 2050146–2050167.
13. Pratap A, Raja R, Alzabut J, Dianavinnarasi J, Cao J, Rajchakit G. Finite-Time Mittag-Leffler Stability of Fractional-Order Quaternion-Valued Memristive Neural Networks with Impulses. *Neural Processing Letters* 2020; 51(2): 1485-1526.
14. Yang ZY, Zhang J, Niu YQ. Finite-time stability of fractional-order bidirectional associative memory neural networks with mixed time-varying delays. *Journal of Applied Mathematics & Computing* 2020; 63(1-2): 501-522.
15. Zhe Z, Ushio T, Ai ZY, Jing Z. Novel stability condition for delayed fractional-order composite systems based on vector Lyapunov function. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2020; 99(2): 1253-1267.
16. Ali MS, Narayanan G, Orman Z, Shekher V, Arik S. Finite Time Stability Analysis of Fractional-Order Complex-Valued Memristive Neural Networks with Proportional Delays. *Neural Processing Letters* 2020; 51(1): 407-426.
17. Cao YT, Wang SQ, Guo ZY, Huang TW, Wen SP. Stabilization of memristive neural networks with mixed time-varying delays via continuous/periodic event-based control. *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 2020; 357(11): 7122-7138.
18. Zhang GD, Zeng ZG. Stabilization of Second-Order Memristive Neural Networks With Mixed Time Delays via Nonreduced Order. *Ieee Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 2020; 31(2): 700-706.
19. Li RX, Gao XB, Cao JD, Zhang K. Exponential Stabilization Control of Delayed Quaternion-Valued Memristive Neural Networks: Vector Ordering Approach. *Circuits Systems and Signal Processing* 2020; 39(3): 1353-1371.
20. Podlubny I. *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*. Elsevier . 1998.
21. Chen JJ, Zeng ZG, Jiang P. Global Mittag-Leffler stability and synchronization of memristor-based fractional-order neural networks. *Neural Networks* 2014; 51: 1-8.
22. Cafagna D, Grassi G. On the simplest fractional-order memristor-based chaotic system. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2012; 70(2): 1185-1197.
23. Petras I. Fractional-Order Memristor-Based Chua's Circuit. *Ieee Transactions on Circuits and Systems II-Express Briefs* 2010; 57(12): 975-979.
24. Chen CY, Zhu S, Wei YC, Chen CY. Finite-Time Stability of Delayed Memristor-Based Fractional-Order Neural Networks. *Ieee Transactions on Cybernetics* 2020; 50(4): 1607-1616.
25. Wang WP, Jia X, Wang Z, et al. Fixed-time synchronization of fractional order memristive MAM neural networks by sliding mode control. *Neurocomputing* 2020; 401: 364-376.

26. Fan Y, Huang X, Wang Z, Li Y. Global dissipativity and quasi-synchronization of asynchronous updating fractional-order memristor-based neural networks via interval matrix method. *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 2018; 355(13): 5998–6025.
27. Chen JJ, Chen BS, Zeng ZG. Global Uniform Asymptotic Fixed Deviation Stability and Stability for Delayed Fractional-order Memristive Neural Networks with Generic Memductance. *Neural Networks* 2018; 98: 65-75.
28. Zhang LZ, Yang YQ. Finite time impulsive synchronization of fractional order memristive BAM neural networks. *Neurocomputing* 2020; 384: 213-224.
29. Ding K, Zhu QX. Impulsive method to reliable sampled-data control for uncertain fractional-order memristive neural networks with stochastic sensor faults and its applications. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2020; 100(3): 2595-2608.
30. Sun WJ, Ren GJ, Yu YG, Hai XD. Global Synchronization of Reaction-Diffusion Fractional-Order Memristive Neural Networks with Time Delay and Unknown Parameters. *Complexity* 2020; 2020.
31. Xiao JY, Wen SP, Yang XJ, Zhong SM. New approach to global Mittag-Leffler synchronization problem of fractional-order quaternion-valued BAM neural networks based on a new inequality. *Neural Networks* 2020; 122: 320-337.
32. Wei HZ, Li RX, Chen CR, Tu ZW. Stability Analysis of Fractional Order Complex-Valued Memristive Neural Networks with Time Delays. *Neural Processing Letters* 2017; 45(2): 379-399.
33. Chang WT, Zhu S, Li JY, Sun KL. Global Mittag-Leffler stabilization of fractional-order complex-valued memristive neural networks. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2018; 338: 346-362.
34. Zhang WW, Zhang H, Cao JD, Alsaadi FE, Chen DY. Synchronization in uncertain fractional-order memristive complex-valued neural networks with multiple time delays. *Neural Networks* 2019; 110: 186-198.
35. Arslan E, Narayanan G, Ali MS, Arik S, Saroha S. Controller design for finite-time and fixed-time stabilization of fractional-order memristive complex-valued BAM neural networks with uncertain parameters and time-varying delays. *Neural Networks* 2020; 130: 60-74.
36. Ding DW, Yao XL, Zhang HW. Complex Projection Synchronization of Fractional-Order Complex-Valued Memristive Neural Networks with Multiple Delays. *Neural Processing Letters* 2020; 51(1): 325-345.
37. Li WH, Gao XB, Li RX. Dissipativity and synchronization control of fractionalorder memristive neural networks with reactiondiffusion terms. *Mathematical Methods In The Applied Sciences* 2019; 42(18): 7494–7505.
38. Li RX, Gao XB, Cao JD. Quasi-state estimation and quasi-synchronization control of quaternion-valued fractional-order fuzzy memristive neural networks: Vector ordering approach. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2019; 362.
39. Rajchakit G, Chanthorn P, Kaewmesri P, Sriraman R, Lim CP. Global Mittag-Leffler Stability and Stabilization Analysis of Fractional-Order Quaternion-Valued Memristive Neural Networks. *Mathematics* 2020; 8(3).
40. Pahnehkolaei SMA, Alfi A, Machado JAT. Delay-dependent stability analysis of the QUAD vector field fractional order quaternion-valued memristive uncertain neutral type leaky integrator echo state neural networks. *Neural Networks* 2019; 117: 307-327.
41. Qiu B, Li L, Peng H, Yang Y. Synchronization of Multi-links Memristor-Based Switching Networks Under Uniform Random Attacks. *Neural Processing Letters* 2018; 48(3): 1431–1458.
42. Jia J, Huang X, Li YX, Cao JD, Alsaedi A. Global Stabilization of Fractional-Order Memristor-Based Neural Networks With Time Delay. *Ieee Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 2020; 31(3): 997-1009.
43. Yang ZY, Zhang J. Global stabilization of fractional-order bidirectional associative memory neural networks with mixed time delays via adaptive feedback control. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 2020; 97(10): 2074-2090.
44. You XX, Song QK, Zhao ZJ. Global Mittag-Leffler stability and synchronization of discrete-time fractional-order complex-valued neural networks with time delay. *Neural Networks* 2020; 122: 382-394.

45. Filippov AF. *Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides: Control Systems (Mathematics and its Applications)*. 18. Boston: Springer Science & Business Media . 2013.
46. Aubin JP, Cellina A. *Differential Inclusions: Set-Valued Maps and Viability Theory*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag . 1984.
47. Wang SF, Li LX, Peng HP, Yang YX, Zheng MW. Finite-Time Synchronization of Multi-Linked Memristor-Based Neural Networks With Mixed Time-Varying Delays. *Ieee Access* 2019; 32: 169966-169981.
48. Qin X, Wang C, Li L, Peng H, Yang Y, Ye L. Finite-time modified projective synchronization of memristor-based neural network with multi-links and leakage delay. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 2018; 116: 302–315.
49. Qin XL, Wang C, Li LX, Peng HP, Ye L. Finite-Time Lag Synchronization of Memristive Neural Networks With Multi-Links via Adaptive Control. *Ieee Access* 2020; 8: 55398-55410.
50. Yang D, Li XD, Qiu JL. Output tracking control of delayed switched systems via state-dependent switching and dynamic output feedback. *Nonlinear Analysis-Hybrid Systems* 2019; 32: 294-305.
51. Yang D, Li XD, Shen JH, Zhou ZJ. State-dependent switching control of delayed switched systems with stable and unstable modes. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2018; 41: 6968-6983.
52. Li Y, Chen Y, Podlubny I. Stability of fractional-order nonlinear dynamic systems: Lyapunov direct method and generalized Mittag–Leffler stability. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 2010; 59(5): 1810–1821.
53. Wang F, Yang Y. Fractional Order Barbalat’s Lemma and Its Applications in the Stability of Fractional Order Nonlinear Systems. *Mathematical Modelling & Analysis* 2017; 22(4): 503-513.
54. Bao H, Park JH, Cao J. Adaptive synchronization of fractional-order memristor-based neural networks with time delay. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2015; 82(3): 1343–1354.

## AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

**Shaofang Wang.** Shaofang Wang received the M.S. degree in computer applied technology from Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2012, and the B.S. degree in School of Computer science and Technology from Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2009. Since 2014, she is working towards the Ph.D. degree from Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China. Her current research interests include complex dynamical network, memristor-based neural network and fractional-order systems.

**Lixiang Li.** Lixiang Li received the M.S. degree in circuit and system from Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao, China, in 2003, and the Ph.D. degree in signal and information processing from Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China, in 2006. The National Excellent Doctoral theses winner, the New Century Excellent Talents in university, Henry Fok education foundation, Hong Kong Scholar Award winner, Beijing higher education program for young talents winner. Visiting Potsdam Germany in 2011. Engaged in research of swarm intelligence and network security; having more than 80 papers and a monograph published and being supported by 10 national foundations in recent five years. She is currently a professor at the School of Cyberspace Security, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China. Her research interests include swarm intelligence, information security and network security. Dr. L.Li is the co-author of 70 scientific papers and 10 Chinese patents.

**Haipeng Peng.** Haipeng Peng received the M.S. degree in system engineering from the Shenyang University of Technology, Shenyang, China, in 2006, and the Ph.D. degree in signal and information processing from the Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China, in 2010. He is currently a Professor with the School of Cyberspace Security, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications. He is the coauthor of 60 articles. His research interests include compressive sensing, information security, network security, and complex networks.

**Yixian Yang.** Yixian Yang received the M.S. degree in applied mathematics and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and communication systems from the Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China, in 1986 and 1988, respectively. He is currently the Managing Director of the Information Security Center, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications. He is the Yangtze River Scholar Program Professor. He has authored more than 40 national and provincial key scientific research projects. He has published more than 300 high-level articles and 20 monographs. His major research interests include coding and cryptography, information and network security, and signal and information processing. He is the National Outstanding Youth Fund winners and the National Teaching Masters.

**Mingwen Zheng.** Mingwen Zheng received the B.S. degree from the School of Science, Shan Dong University of Technology, Zibo, China, in 2002, and the M.S. degree from the School of Computer and Communication Engineering, China University of Petroleum, Dong Ying, China, in 2009. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Shandong University of Technology. His current research interests include complex dynamical networks, memristor-based neural networks, and fractional-order systems.

