

Current issues on simultaneous TAVR (Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement) and EVAR (Endovascular Aneurysm Repair).

Nikolaos Schizas¹, MD Msc, Constantine N. Antonopoulos¹, MD PhD, Vasilios Patris¹, MD PhD, Konstantinos Lampropoulos², MD PhD, Theodoros Kratimenos³, MD PhD, Mihalis Argiriou¹, MD PhD

¹Cardiovascular and Thoracic Department of Evangelismos General Hospital, Athens, Greece.

²Department of Cardiology of Evangelismos General Hospital, Athens, Greece.

³Department of Radiology, Interventional Radiology Unit, Evangelismos General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece

The case has taken place at Evangelismos General hospital, Athens, Greece

Conflict of interest: *None Declared*

Funding: None

Corresponding author : Schizas Nikolaos, MD , MSc , Department of Cardio-Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, Evangelismos General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece , E-mail: nikschizas@gmail.com

Current issues on simultaneous TAVR (Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement) and EVAR (Endovascular Aneurysm Repair).

Abstract

Single-stage endovascular treatment of cardiac and vascular diseases with combined endovascular techniques has been increasingly reported in the contemporary literature. Although more complex cases are currently being treated with such techniques, there are still crucial issues regarding their safety and efficacy. Among such one-stage treatment options, the simultaneous endovascular treatment of severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis (SAVS) and abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) through Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR) and Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) is poorly reported throughout the literature. In this case report, we present the management of a 78-years old woman suffering from SAVS and AAA, who was treated with simultaneous endovascular aortic valve replacement and abdominal aortic aneurysm sac exclusion. Alongside, current issues on simultaneous TAVR and EVAR were analyzed and discussed after integrated review of the recent literature on this field.

Key words: TAVR, EVAR, simultaneous TAVR and EVAR, severe aortic valve stenosis, abdominal aortic aneurysm

Introduction

Endovascular techniques are commonly used for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases, such as severe aortic valve stenosis (SAVS) and abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA). Despite the fact that these interventional methods are well described for the treatment of each of these diseases separately, there are still current issues regarding the management of a combined intervention simultaneously. In this article, we present the case of a 78-years-old woman suffering from SAVS and AAA, who was treated with Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR) and Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) simultaneously. A comprehensive review of the literature, highlighting some key points was also performed.

Case report

A 78-years old female was admitted to the Department of Cardiology with dyspnoea due to pulmonary edema. Her medical background consisted of known severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis, hypertension, coronary artery disease treated with percutaneous coronary intervention of the right coronary artery 8 years ago, rectal cancer and breast cancer both treated with surgical excision, chemotherapy and radiotherapy four and one year ago, respectively. Moreover, she suffered from peripheral vascular disease and she was treated with stent placement to the left subclavian artery a few years ago.

The findings of the ultrasound revealed that the aortic valve area (AVA) was $0,9\text{cm}^2$, the maximal velocity (V_{max}) was $3,8\text{m/s}$, the mean gradient was 36mmHg and the pulmonary artery systolic pressure(PASP) was calculated at 61mmHg , while the ejection fraction was more than 60%. In addition to this, an infrarenal aneurysm of $4,7\text{ cm}$ in size provoked probably repeated episodes of abdominal pain during the last months. The patient was categorized as NYHA class III, the total logistic score Euroscore was calculated 23,85% and the option of open surgery was rejected due to high perioperative risk. The patient was found eligible for Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR) and simultaneous treatment of the AAA with Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR). This decision was made based on the urgency of the TAVR due to dyspnea and EVAR due to symptomatic AAA while a dual antiplatelet treatment would be mandatory for at least six months postoperatively.

The patient was operated under general anesthesia, while a team of cardiologists, cardiac surgeons and interventional radiologists participated in the planning and the execution of the procedure.

Both femoral arteries were dissected and a 16 French Sheath was placed in the left femoral artery. The contemporary pacemaker's wire was inserted into the left femoral vein. Under controlled pacing, a 25mm size PorticoTM aortic valve was placed and ballooning was performed in order to eliminate central regurgitation. TAVR was

completed uneventfully as the patient was hemodynamically stable and the fluoroscopic control for the aortic valve placement was satisfactory. (figure 1) Subsequently, we proceeded to the EVAR with the placement of bifurcated stent graft (Incraft Cordis AB2298, IL1012, IL1012) . The completion angiography revealed a satisfactory outcome. (figure 2,3) The overall procedural time was 125 minutes and the fluoroscopic time was 42 minutes. The total amount of contrast administrated was 280ml.

The patient was discharged from the hospital at the 13th postoperative day in a very satisfactory clinical condition. The cardiac ultrasound confirmed a successful aortic valve replacement without the presence of regurgitation. The abdominal CT angiography showed that the stent-graft was well-positioned, with no endoleak apparent.

Discussion

A comprehensive review of the literature on simultaneous TAVR and EVAR revealed 14 published articles from 9 different countries worldwide, in which the data of 16 patients was presented. (Table 1). The majority of the patients were older than 80 years (ages range between 67- 93 years), with a male predominance and they suffered from symptomatic SAVS suggesting the need for urgent intervention. Furthermore, EVAR was performed due to AAA, except for one case of endoleak type II. Serious comorbidities were present in most of the cases (Table 1)

As in our case, the review data indicated that an urgent intervention was mandatory. Interestingly, what is currently debatable is whether these interventions should be performed simultaneously. Firstly the replacement of the stenotic aortic valve is associated with hemodynamic changes and more specifically with the increase of systolic arterial pressure. In a study of 105 patients who were submitted to TAVR, the systolic arterial pressure increased on average 15 +/- 31 mmHg postoperative.¹ Subsequently, the elevation of the systolic arterial pressure provokes enhanced strain at the AAA wall and the risk of rupture is higher.^{2,3,4,5} Secondly, another crucial parameter is the fact that the bioprosthesis implantation through TAVR requires the administration of dual antiplatelet treatment for at least six months after the procedure. Taking under consideration the increased risk deriving from elevated systolic pressure, a delay of more than six months would augment significantly the risk for acute events such as aortic rupture.⁶ Moreover, the surgical risk for a second surgical procedure may be higher than the risk of a one-stage intervention especially for patients with serious comorbidities receiving general anesthesia.⁶

Another advantage of simultaneous TAVR and EVAR is that both procedures can be performed from the same access site. According to Matsumura et.al., the complication rate regarding the vascular access site reaches 8% for EVAR.⁷ In

addition to this, the reoperation for femoral artery could be troublesome and the quality of the access point may be affected from the previous surgery. Another very important issue is the fact that some endovascular catheters can be used in both procedures, thus contributing to the cost reduction, while the total length of stay can be also reduced when both procedures are performed at the same time.^{8,9,10} Although the one-stage procedure is associated with obvious advantages, there are some caveats that have to be underlined, such as the longer duration of the simultaneous procedure and the need for higher amounts of intravenous heparin.⁸ As a result, it seems that the simultaneous TAVR and EVAR is an option with significant benefits in comparison to the two-stages confrontation.

Concerning the endovascular materials that are necessary for the simultaneous operation. (Table 2) The main manufacturers were equally represented in the published cases, while almost half of the physicians preferred the 18French sheath during TAVR.

A controversial topic among experts is which procedure should be performed first. Our literature review showed that, in the majority of the cases, the TAVR preceded in 13 of the 16 cases, while 3 patients were submitted initially to EVAR. (Table 3). The supporters of the notion that TAVR should be first, highlighted that hemodynamic stability is the major concern in these critically ill patients. In addition to this, the TAVR-first strategy reduces the risk of local thrombosis, as the larger catheters remain less time in place, and other intraoperative complications such as migration of the stent graft that was placed through EVAR or aneurysm rupture due to TAVR manipulations.¹¹ In our case, this strategy was chosen after evaluating the patient's clinical status and estimating the risk of hemodynamic collapse.

On the other hand those who prefer the EVAR-first strategy signify that the risk of AAA rupture, aortic dissection and peripheral embolism is higher when the aortic valve replacement is preceded.⁹ According to this point of view, the possibility of vascular injury is lower when EVAR is deployed first as the abdominal stent graft acts like a inner coverage of the aorta eliminating the possibilities of damages due to TAVR device manipulations.¹¹ Currently, the decision is based mainly on the preference of the members of the team.

Another point that should be highlighted is the contrast-induced renal failure. The incidence of this clinical condition ranges from 3% to 19% in different studies after EVAR and from 8,3% to 37,5% respectively after TAVR, while this deviation is related to significant differences of the criteria imposed for the diagnosis of Acute Kidney Injury (AKI).¹² Despite the fact that there are different factors based on the patient's medical background related to renal insufficiency, the administration of high doses of contrast is the main predisposing factor. In Table 3, we presented the data concerning the amount of contrast administered; however only 3 studies recorded this information, with the amount of contrast ranging from 182ml to 385ml. In our

case 280ml of contrast were used. The clinical question is whether the combined interventional approach is beneficial, with lesser usage of contrast in comparison to sequential procedures, or is aggravating, with large dosages that increase the possibilities of AKI. Future recording of data on this field would be very important. Similarly, although the fluoroscopic time was lesser for the combined TAVR-EVAR, scarce data was also presented. However, it seems that simultaneous intervention is related to lower exposure to radiation.

Although, only minor complications were reported in the published articles, a selection bias cannot be ruled out. Serious complications, including major adverse cerebrovascular events are commonly met after the implementation of such techniques. Rashid et al. were the only researchers that have reported an intraoperative complication. More specifically, the bioprosthesis, which was undersized, migrated after its placement, and therefore had to be removed and replaced by a larger one.¹³ Moreover, these operations are challenging even for experienced staff as anatomic factors can cause serious problems. Koudoumas et al. have reported the case of a narrowed neck of aneurysm that required enhanced care and exceptional technique in order to be successful.¹⁴ Additionally, such procedures can become even more complex as for example in one case that was described by Binder et al. They reported the case of a man 67-years old man who was submitted to TAVR, EVAR, permanent pacemaker placement and ablation.¹⁵ In any case, according to Drury-Smith et al., who were the first that reported a simultaneous TAVR – EVAR, “the combination of careful assessment, improved trans-catheter techniques and a true multi-disciplinary team, can together enable the simultaneous treatment of some complex cardiovascular, previously treated surgically”.¹⁶

Abbreviations: AAA: Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm, AVA: Aortic Valve Area, EVAR: Endovascular Aneurysm Repair, CAD: Coronary Artery Disease, CABG: Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting, COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, CRF: Chronic Renal Failure, CD: Cerebral diseases, DM: Diabetes Mellitus HTN: Hypertension, NYHA: New York Heart Association (Classification of Heart Failure), NM: Not Mentioned, NSCLC: Non Small Cell Lung Cancer, MG: Mean Gradient, MVR: Mitral Valve Replacement, PCI: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, TAVR: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement

Key clinical message

Simultaneous EVAR and TAVR is technically feasible and is a reliable option in high risk patients.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:

All authors have no conflict of interest

ETHICS STATEMENT:

“All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.”

Acknowledgements

None declared. No funding source.

Authors’s contributions

Schizas Nikolaos: Designed initially the manuscript, gathered the data from literature, wrote the article.

Antonopoulos Constantine: Designed the manuscript, supervised during the whole process.

Patris Vasilios: Analyzed the data, collected the images for the manuscript and partially contributed to the writing.

Lampropoulos Konstantinos: Provided critical feedback and helped shape the final manuscript.

Kratimenos Theodoros: Conceived the idea, were involved in planning and supervision of the work.

Argiriou Mihalīs: Designed and directed the project, had the central role in supervision of the manuscript.

References

1. Perlman G., Loncar S., Pollak A., Gilon D., Alcalai R., Planer D., Lotan C., Danenberg H., 2013. Post-Procedural Hypertension Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. *J Am Coll CardiolIntv* 2013;6:472– 8
2. Orejola WC, Elmann EM, Wilderman MJ, Cocke TP, Vaidya P, et al. Combined Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement and Endovascular Aortic Repair: A Transfemoral Approach Dilemma. *J Surgery*. 2016;4(1): 3.
3. Drury-Smith M., Garnham A., Khogali S., Sequential Trans-Catheter Aortic Valve Implantation and Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions* 79:784–788 (2012)

4. Ayhan H., Durmaz T., Keles T., Canyigit M., Uguz E. et al., Simultaneously Successful Transfemoral Aortic Valve Implantation and Endovascular Repair of Thoracic Aortic Saccular Aneurysm. *Int Heart J* 2014; 55: 459-462
5. Kawashima H., Watanabe Y., Kozuma K., Successful transfemoral aortic valve implantation through aortic stent graft after endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* 2017 Apr;32(2):165-169
6. Horiuchi Y., Izumo M., Kusuhashi T., Yokozuka M., Taketani T., Tanabe K., Combined transcatheter aortic valve implantation and type II endoleak repair after endovascular repair for abdominal aortic Aneurysm. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* 2017 Jul;32(3):304-307.
7. Matsumura JS, Brewster DC, Makaroun MS, Naftel DC. A multicenter controlled clinical trial of open versus endovascular treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2003;37:262–71.
8. Aluko Y., Diehl L., Jacoby R., Chan B., Andrews S., Mc Millan E., Sharkey K., Shook P., Ntim W., Bolorunduro O., Sossoman L., Rabb C., Simultaneous transcatheter aortic valve replacement and endovascular repair for critical aortic stenosis and large abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine* 16 (2015) 254–258
9. Sato Y., Horiuchi Y., Yahagi K. et al., Simultaneous transcatheter aortic valve implantation and endovascular aneurysm repair in a patient with very severe aortic stenosis with abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Journal of Cardiology Cases* 17 (2018) 123–125.
10. Natour M., Rabin A., Avraham E., Dannenberg C. et al., Combined Urgent Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation and Endovascular Aneurysm Repair for Symptomatic Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* November Supplement 2018
11. Koutsias S., Karaolani G., Papafaklis M., Peroulis M., Tzimas P., et al., Simultaneous Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation and Infrarenal Aortic Aneurysm Repair for Severe Aortic Stenosis and Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: Report of 2 Cases and Literature Review. *Vasc Endovascular Surg.* 2020 Aug;54(6):544-548.
12. Jhaveri K., Saratzis A., Wanchoo R., Sarafidis P., Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) And Transcatheter Aortic Valve Repair (TAVR) associated Acute Kidney Injury. *Kidney Int.* 2017 Jun;91(6):1312-1323.
13. Rashid H., McCormick L., Cooley R., Meredith I., Simultaneous transcatheter aortic valve implantation and elective endovascular aortic aneurysm repair: a case of lotus valve retrieved and replaced due to an undersized valve after an endovascular aneurysm repair. *Cardiovasc Interv and Ther* (2017) 32:299–303
14. Koudoumas D., Iyer V., Curl R., Simultaneous percutaneous transcatheter aortic valve replacement and endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair in a high risk patient with hostile aortic neck, a case report. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* (2015) 10:184
15. Binder R., Maisano F., Lachat M., First report of simultaneous transcatheter aortic valve replacement, endovascular aortic aneurysm repair, and permanent

- pacemaker implantation after multi-vessel coronary stenting and left atrial appendage occlusion. *Eur Heart J.* 2015 Oct 1;36(37):2543.
16. Drury-Smith M., Garnham A., Khogali S., Critical Aortic Stenosis in a Patient with a Large Saccular Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: Simultaneous Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation and Drive-by Endovascular Aortic Aneurysm Repair. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions* 00:000–000 (2012)
17. Weber C., Deppe AC, Eghbalzadeh K., Scherner M., Daphne Gray, et al., Transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation in a patient with multiple endovascular aortic stents – a case report. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* (2016) 11:24

Figure legends

Figure 1: The final outcome after the effective aortic prosthesis placement with no sign of regurgitation (angiography).

Figure 2: The depiction after the completion of EVAR with a satisfactory placement.

Figure 3: Final outcome after the EVAR completion ensuring a satisfying proximal sealing.