

Stability with respect to a part of the variables of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion

Tomás Caraballo^{a*} Faten Ezzine^b
Mohamed Ali Hammami^b

^aUniversidad de Sevilla,
Depto. Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Análisis Numérico,
Facultad de Matemáticas, c/ Tarfia s/n, 41012-Sevilla (Spain).

^bUniversity of Sfax, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Department of Mathematics, Tunisia.
E.mail: MohamedAli.Hammami@fss.rnu.tn

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the p th moment exponential stability of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion (G-SDEs) with respect to a part of the variables by means of the G-Lyapunov functions and Gronwall's inequalities. We establish sufficient conditions to ensure the quasi sure exponential stability of stochastic differential equations perturbed by G-Brownian motion with respect to a part of the variables. Some illustrative examples to show the usefulness of the stability with respect to a part of variables notion are also provided.

Keywords: G-Stochastic differential equations, G-Itô formula, G-Brownian motion, p th moment exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables, quasi sure exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables .

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 93E03 · Secondary 60H10.

*The research of Tomás Caraballo has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MCIU), Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) under the project PGC2018-096540-B-I00.

1 Introduction

Stability of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) has become a very prevalent theme of recent research in Mathematics and its applications. Stochastic systems are used to model problems from the real world in which some kind of randomness or noise must be taken into account.

Some stochastic models cannot be proved to fulfill stability properties with respect all the unknown variables of the system. However, it is very interesting in some situations to analyze if it is still possible to prove some stability properties with respect to some of the variables in the problem. It is worth mentioning that, recently considerable attention has been paid to the concept of stability with respect to a part of the system states. Such concept arises from the study of combustion systems [1], vibrations in rotating machinery [10], biocenology [19], inertial navigation systems [23], electro-magnetics [25], and spacecraft stabilization via gimballed gyroscopes and/or flywheels [26].

The method of Lyapunov functions is one of the most powerful tool to study the stability of stochastic dynamical systems. Lyapunov stability of stochastic dynamical systems has attracted the attention of several authors, we would like to mention here the references [3, 4, 8, 14], among others.

With the emergence of the second method of Lyapunov as an essential means in science, engineering, and applied mathematics, numerous exciting and important variants to Lyapunov's original concept stability were proposed. One of these involves the notion of stability with respect to a part of the variables, Peiffer and Rouche [16], Rouche et al. [19], Rumyantsev [20], Rumyantsev and Oziraner [21], Savchenko and Ignatyev [24], Vorotnikov [26], Vorotnikov and Rumyantsev [27]. This type of stability has been used in investigating the qualitative properties of equilibria and boundedness properties of motions of dynamical systems determined by ordinary differential equations, difference equations, functional differential equations, stochastic differential equations, etc. It involves a notion of stability with respect to only a prespecified subset of the state variables characterizing the motions of the system under investigation.

For the dormant applications in uncertainty problems, risk measures, and superhedging in finance, considerable attention has been paid to the theory of nonlinear expectation. Notably, Peng [17] built the fundamental theory of time-consistent G-expectation and G-conditional expectation, where G is the infinitesimal generator of a nonlinear heat equation. Under the G -framework, Peng [15, 17] introduced the notion of G -normal distribution, G -Brownian motion and he also established the corresponding stochastic calculus of Itô's type. Since then, many researches have been carried out on the stochastic analysis with respect to the G -Brownian motion. On that basis, Gao [7] and Peng [15] studied the existence and uniqueness of the solution of G -SDE under a standard Lipschitz condition. Moreover, Lin [13] obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solution of G -SDE with reflecting boundary. The G -Brownian motion has a very rich and interesting new structure which non-trivially, for a recent account and development of this theory we refer the reader to see [2],[11], and [12].

However, so far no work have been reported about stability with respect to a part of variables of G -stochastic differential equations. Consequently, this paper is devoted to establishing some

criteria for the p -th moment exponential stability and the quasi sure exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables of GSDEs by means of the G-Lyapunov functions method and Gronwall inequalities.

The content of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some necessary preliminaries and results. In Section 3, we establish sufficient conditions to ensure p -th moment exponential stability and quasi sure exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion (GSDEs, in short) by using the G-Lyapunov techniques. In section 4, we give sufficient conditions of quasi sure exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables of G-stochastic perturbed systems based on Gronwall's inequalities. Moreover, we exhibit some illustrative examples to show the applicability of our abstract theory.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some notations and preliminaries about sublinear expectations and G-Brownian motion. For more details, one can refer to [9, 15, 17, 18].

Notations on G-stochastic calculus

- \mathbb{R}^n : the space of n -dimensional real column vectors.
- $\langle x, y \rangle$: the scalar product of two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $|\cdot|$: arbitrary spacial norm.
- $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$: the Borel σ -algebra of Ω .
- $\mathcal{C}_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of all bounded real-valued Lipschitz continuous functions.
- \mathbb{L}^0 : the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ -measurable real functions.
- $M_G^{p,0} = \{\zeta_t := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta_j 1_{[t_j, t_{j+1}[} : \zeta_j \in \mathbb{L}_G^p(\omega_j)\}$.
- $M_G^p(0, T)$: the completion of $M_G^{p,0}$ under $\|\cdot\|_{M_G^p}$.

Let Ω be a given set and let \mathcal{H} be a linear space of real valued defined on Ω . We further suppose that \mathcal{H} satisfies $a \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant a and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1. A sublinear expectation $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$ on \mathcal{H} is a functional $\widehat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,

- i) Monotonicity: if $X \geq Y$, then $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.
- ii) Constant preserving: $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[a] = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- iii) Sub-additivity: $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.
iv) Positive homogeneity: $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. $X \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a random variable in $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$. $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, where $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a n-dimensional random vector in $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$.

Definition 2.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be two n-dimensional random vectors defined on sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$, respectively. They are called identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} X_2$, if

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)], \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

\bar{X} is said to be an independent copy of X if $\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} X$ and \bar{X} is independent from X .

Definition 2.3. (G-Normal Distribution) A random variable X on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called G-normal distributed, if for any $a, b \geq 0$

$$aX + b\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}X,$$

where, \bar{X} is an independent copy of X .

Let Ω be the space of all \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous paths $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\omega(0) = 0$. We assume moreover that Ω is a metric space equipped with the following distance:

$$\rho(\omega^1, \omega^2) := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2^{-N} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq N} (|\omega_t^1 - \omega_t^2|) \wedge 1 \right),$$

and consider the canonical process $B_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, for $\omega \in \Omega$; then for each fixed $T \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$L_{ip}^0(\Omega_T) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n}) : n \geq 1, 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, \varphi \in C_{b,lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}.$$

Definition 2.4. On the sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, L_{ip}^0(\Omega_T), \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$, the canonical process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a G-Brownian motion, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $B_0 = 0$.
- (ii) for $t, s \geq 0$, the increment $B_{t+s} - B_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{s}X$, where X is G-normal distributed.
- (iii) for $t, s \geq 0$, the increment $B_{t+s} - B_t$ is independent from $(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t$.

Moreover, the sublinear expectation $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ is called G-expectation.

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion. The letter G denotes the function

$$G(a) := \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[aB_1^2] = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 a^-), \quad a \in \mathbb{R},$$

with, $\underline{\sigma}^2 := -\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[-B_1^2] \leq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[B_1^2] := \bar{\sigma}^2$, $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \bar{\sigma} < \infty$.

(Recall that $a^+ = \max\{0, a\}$ and $a^- = -\min\{0, a\}$).

Now, we introduce the natural choquet capacity.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the borel σ -algebra and \mathcal{P} be a weakly compact collection of probability measures P defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$, then the capacity $\hat{C}(\cdot)$ associated to \mathcal{P} is defined by

$$\hat{C}(A) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

Definition 2.6. A set $A \subset \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ is polar if $\hat{C}(A) = 0$. A property holds "quasi-surely" (q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set.

Lemma 2.1. [6] Let $\{A_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{C}(A_k) < \infty.$$

Then, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_k$ is polar.

Lemma 2.2. [6] Let $X \in \mathbb{L}^0(\Omega)$ satisfying $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}|X|^p < \infty$, for $p > 0$. Then, for each $M > 0$,

$$\hat{C}(|X| > M) \leq \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{E}}|X|^p}{M^p}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [7] For each $p \geq 1$, $\eta \in M_G^p(0, T)$, and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Then,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{s \leq u \leq t} \left| \int_s^u \eta_r d\langle B^a, B^{\bar{a}} \rangle_r \right|^p \right] \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_{(a+\bar{a})+(a+\bar{a})^T} + \sigma_{(a-\bar{a})+(a-\bar{a})^T}}{4} \right)^p |t-s|^{p-1} \int_s^t \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta_u|^p] du.$$

Lemma 2.4. [7] Let $p \geq 2$, $\eta \in M_G^p(0, T)$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Then, there exists some constant C_p depending only on p such that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{s \leq u \leq t} \left| \int_s^u \eta_r dB_r \right|^p \right] \leq C_p |t-s|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \int_s^t \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta_u|^p] du.$$

3 p -th moment exponential stability of G-stochastic differential equations with respect to a part of the variables

In this section, we aim to prove the p th moment exponential stability of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with respect to a part of the variables, via the G-Lyapunov functions.

Consider the following SDE driven by an m -dimensional G-Brownian motion:

$$dx(t) = f(t, x(t))dt + h(t, x(t))d\langle B \rangle_t + g(t, x(t))dB_t, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where, $B_t = (B_1(t), \dots, B_m(t))^T$ is an m -dimensional G-Brownian motion, and $(\langle B \rangle)_{t \geq 0}$ is the quadratic variation process of B .

We make a partition of the state $x := (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{n-b}$, with $1 \leq b \leq n$. We obtain the following system:

$$\begin{cases} dx_1(t) = f_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))dt + h_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))d\langle B \rangle_t + g_1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))dB_t \\ dx_2(t) = f_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))dt + h_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))d\langle B \rangle_t + g_2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))dB_t. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

$$f := (f_1, f_2), \quad h := (h_1, h_2), \quad g := (g_1, g_2).$$

Assume that,

- f_1, h_1 , and $g_1 \in M_G^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^b)$ satisfy the following condition:
 $|\phi_1(t, x_1, x_2) - \phi_1(t, y_1, x_2)| \leq K_1|x_1 - y_1|$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^b$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-b}$,
 $\phi_1 = f_1, h_1$, and g_1 respectively, and K_1 is a positive constant.
- f_2, h_2 , and $g_2 \in M_G^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{n-b})$ satisfy the following condition:
 $|\phi_2(t, x_1, x_2) - \phi_2(t, x_1, y_2)| \leq K_2|x_2 - y_2|$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^b$, $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-b}$,
 $\phi_2 = f_2, h_2$, and g_2 respectively, and K_2 is a positive constant.

Under the precedent assumptions, there exists a unique global solution $x(t, t_0, x_0) = (x_1(t, t_0, x_0), x_2(t, t_0, x_0))$ corresponding to the initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0 = (x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see S. Peng [15, 11], Y. Ren, Q. Bi and R. sakthivel [22], for more details).

In what follows we use $x(t, t_0, x_0) = (x_1(t, t_0, x_0), x_2(t, t_0, x_0))$, or simply $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))$ to denote a solution of our system on some small interval.

We assume that the origin $x = (0, 0)$ is an equilibrium point of system (3.2), that is $f_i(t, 0, 0) = h_i(t, 0, 0) = g_i(t, 0, 0) = 0$, $\forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, ($i = 1, 2$).

Definition 3.1. The equilibrium point $x = (0, 0)$ of The G-SDE (3.2) is said to be

(i) Pth moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 , if there exist positive constant λ_1, λ_2 , and $p > 0$ such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(|x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^p) \leq \lambda_1 |x_0|^p e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_0)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0.$$

(ii) Quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 , if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln(|x_1(t, t_0, x_0)|) < 0, \quad \text{q.s.} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 3.2. The solution of the sub-system with respect to the variable x_2 is said to be quasi surely globally uniformly bounded, if for each $\alpha > 0$, there exists $c = c(\alpha) > 0$ (independent of t_0) such that,

$$\text{for every } t_0 \geq 0, \text{ and all } x_{2_0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-b} \text{ with } |x_{2_0}| \leq \alpha, \quad \sup_{t \geq t_0} |x_2(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq c(\alpha), \quad \text{q.s.} \quad (3.4)$$

where, $x_{2_0} = x_2(t_0; t_0, x_0)$.

Definition 3.3. $C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is the family of all real-valued functions $V(t, x)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ which are twice continuously differentiable in x and once in t .

If $V \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, we define an operator L (called as G-Lyapunov function) from $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$LV(t, x) := V_t(t, x) + V_x f(t, x) + G \left(\langle V_x(t, x), 2h(t, x) \rangle + \langle V_{xx}(t, x)g(t, x), g(t, x) \rangle \right),$$

where,

$$V_t(t, x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x); \quad V_x(t, x) = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}(t, x), \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2}(t, x) \right); \quad V_{xx}(t, x) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t, x) \right)_{n \times n}.$$

By G-Itô's formula [18], it follows

$$dV(t, x(t)) = LV(t, x(t))dt + V_x(t, x(t))g(t, x(t))dB_t.$$

Our first main result in this section reads as follow.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that there exist $V \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and positive constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), p , such that for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$c_1 |x_1|^p \leq V(t, x) \leq c_2 |x_1|^p, \quad (3.5)$$

$$LV(t, x) \leq -c_3 |x_1|^p. \quad (3.6)$$

Furthermore, we suppose that $x_2(t, t_0, x_0)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded.

Then, the trivial solution of the G-stochastic system (3.2) is pth moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 .

In order to prove this theorem we need to recall an important Lemma [28]:

Lemma 3.2. Let $\eta \in M_G^1(0, T)$ and $M_t = \int_0^t \eta(s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_0^t 2G(\eta(s)) ds$.

Then, for each $t \in [0, T]$, $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(M_t) \leq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Applying G-Itô's formula to $e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} V(t, x(t))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} V(t, x(t))) \\ &= e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2} V(t, x(t)) + V_t(t, x(t)) + \langle V_x(t, x(t)), f(t, x(t)) \rangle \right) dt \\ &+ e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} \langle V_x(t, x(t)), h(t, x(t)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_t + e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} \langle V_x(t, x(t)), g(t, x(t)) \rangle dB_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} \langle V_{xx}(t, x(t)) g(t, x(t)), g(t, x(t)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_t. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t} V(t, x(t)) \\ &= e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0} V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left[\frac{c_3}{c_2} V(s, x(s)) + V_s(s, x(s)) + \langle V_x(s, x(s)), f(s, x(s)) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G(\langle V_x(s, x(s)), 2h(s, x(s)) \rangle + \langle V_{xx}(s, x(s)) g(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle) \right] ds \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} G(\langle V_x(s, x(s)), 2h(s, x(s)) \rangle + \langle V_{xx}(s, x(s)) g(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle) ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), h(s, x(s)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_s + \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle dB_s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_{xx}(s, x(s)) g(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_s \\ &= e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0} V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2} V(s, x(s)) + LV(s, x(s)) \right) ds + M_t^{t_0} \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^{t_0} &= \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), h(s, x(s)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_{xx}(s, x(s)) g(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_s \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} G(\langle V_x(s, x(s)), 2h(s, x(s)) \rangle + \langle V_{xx}(s, x(s)) g(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking, G-expectation on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) \\ & \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) + LV(s, x(s)) \right) ds + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(M_t^{t_0}) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \langle V_x(s, x(s)), g(s, x(s)) \rangle dB_s = 0$, and by Lemma (3.2), we have $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(M_t^{t_0}) \leq 0$. Consequently,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) + LV(s, x(s)) \right) ds.$$

This together with (3.5) and (3.6), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) & \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) - c_3|x_1(s)|^p \right) ds. \\ & \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) - \frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) \right) ds \\ & \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) \\ & \leq c_2 e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0} |x_{1_0}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(V(t, x(t))) \leq c_2 e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_2}(t-t_0)} |x_{1_0}|^p.$$

Due to (3.5) again and the fact that $|x_{1_0}| \leq |x_0|$, we deduce that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}|x_1(t, t_0, x_0)|^p \leq \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(V(t, x(t)))}{c_1} \leq \frac{c_2}{c_1} e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_2}(t-t_0)} |x_0|^p.$$

Therefore, the trivial solution of the G-stochastic system (3.2) is pth moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 . \square

Theorem 3.3. Assume that there exist $V \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and positive constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), p , such that for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$c_1|x_1|^p \leq V(t, x) \leq c_2|x_1|^p, \quad (3.7)$$

$$LV(t, x) \leq (-c_3 + \varphi(t))|x_1|^p. \quad (3.8)$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a continuous nonnegative function with

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t)dt \leq M < +\infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Furthermore, we suppose that $x_2(t, t_0, x_0)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded. Then, the trivial solution of the G -stochastic system (3.2) is p th moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 .

In order to prove this theorem, we need to recall the following Gronwall Lemma (see, for instance, Dragomir [5]).

Theorem 3.4. *Let $u(t)$ and $b(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions for $t \geq \alpha$, and let*

$$u(t) \leq a + \int_{\alpha}^t b(s)u(s)ds, \quad t \geq \alpha, \quad (3.10)$$

where $a \geq 0$ is a constant. Then,

$$u(t) \leq a \exp\left(\int_{\alpha}^t b(s)ds\right), \quad t \geq \alpha. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof of Theorem (3.3). By using a similar reasoning as above we obtain,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) + LV(s, x(s)) \right) ds.$$

On account of (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) + (-c_3 + \varphi(s))|x_1(s)|^p \right) ds. \\ &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) - \frac{c_3}{c_2}V(s, x(s)) + \frac{\varphi(s)}{c_1}V(s, x(s)) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) \leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) + \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\varphi(s)}{c_1} e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}s} V(s, x(s)) ds. \quad (3.12)$$

By Gronwall's inequality and condition (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t}V(t, x(t))) &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) e^{\frac{1}{c_1} \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) ds} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) e^{\frac{1}{c_1} \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(s) ds} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0}V(t_0, x(t_0)) e^{\frac{M}{c_1}} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{c_3}{c_2}t_0} c_2 |x_{10}|^p e^{\frac{M}{c_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(V(t, x(t))) \leq c_2 e^{\frac{M}{c_1}} |x_{10}|^p e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_2}(t-t_0)}.$$

Due to the fact that $|x_{10}| \leq |x_0|$ and condition (3.7), we deduce from the last inequality that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(|x_1(t)|^p) \leq \frac{c_2}{c_1} e^{\frac{M}{c_1}} |x_0|^p e^{-\frac{c_3}{c_2}(t-t_0)}.$$

Setting $\lambda_1 = \frac{c_2}{c_1} e^{\frac{M}{c_1}}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{c_3}{c_2}$, we conclude that the G-stochastic system (3.2) is pth moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 . \square

In what follows, we provide the conditions under which the pth moment exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables of the trivial solution to such a G-SDEs implies the quasi sure exponential stability with respect to a part of the variables.

Theorem 3.5. *Consider the G-stochastic system (3.2), assume that there exists a positive constant η such that*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(|f_1(t, x_1, x_2)|^p + |h_1(t, x_1, x_2)|^p + |g_1(t, x_1, x_2)|^p\right) < \eta \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(|x_1|^p), \quad \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^b, \forall x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-b}, \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Furthermore, we suppose that $x_2(t, t_0, x_0)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded.

Then, the pth moment exponential stability with respect to x_1 of the trivial solution of the G-stochastic system (3.2), implies the quasi sure exponential stability.

Proof. By the definition of the pth moment exponential stability with respect to x_1 , there is a pair of positive constants λ_1 and λ_2 such that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(|x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^p) \leq \lambda_1 |x_0|^p e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_0)}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$x_1(t+s) = x_1(t) + \int_t^{t+s} f_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) du + \int_t^{t+s} h_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) d\langle B \rangle_u + \int_t^{t+s} g_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) dB_u.$$

Which implies,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1(t+s)|^p &\leq 4^{p-1} \left(|x_1(t)|^p + \left| \int_t^{t+s} f_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) du \right|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_t^{t+s} h_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) d\langle B \rangle_u \right|^p + \left| \int_t^{t+s} g_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) dB_u \right|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the sub-additivity of G-expectation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |x_1(t+s)|^p\right) \\ &\leq 4^{p-1} \left[\widehat{\mathbb{E}}|x_1(t)|^p + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\int_t^{t+s} |f_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u))| du\right)^p + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_t^{t+s} g_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) dB_u \right|^p\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_t^{t+s} h_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) d\langle B \rangle_u \right|^p\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

On account of (3.13), (3.14), and by Hölder's inequality [6], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\int_t^{t+\tau}|f_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u))|du\right)^p &\leq \tau^p \int_t^{t+\tau} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}|f_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u))|^p du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \eta \tau^p |x_0|^p e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_0)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

On the other hand, by (3.13), (3.14), and Lemma (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left|\int_t^{t+\tau} g_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) dB_u\right|^p\right) &\leq C_p \tau^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \int_t^{t+\tau} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}|g_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u))|^p du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} C_p \eta \tau^{\frac{p}{2}} |x_0|^p e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_0)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Likewise, by Lemma (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left|\int_t^{t+\tau} h_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u)) d\langle B \rangle_u\right|^p\right) &\leq C'_p \tau^{p-1} \int_t^{t+\tau} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}|h_1(u, x_1(u), x_2(u))|^p du \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} C'_p \eta \tau^p |x_0|^p e^{-\lambda_2(t-t_0)},\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

where, C'_p is a positive constant dependent only on p .

We conclude from the above inequalities (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18) that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |x_1(t+s)|^p\right) \leq R e^{-\lambda_2 t},$$

where, $R = 4^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} |x_0|^p \left(\lambda_2 + \eta \tau^p (1 + C_p \tau^{-\frac{p}{2}} + C'_p)\right)$.

Now, let $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_2)$ be arbitrary. Thanks to Lemma (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{C}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |x_1(n\tau + s)|^p > e^{-(\lambda_2 - \varepsilon)n\tau}\right) \\ \leq e^{(\lambda_2 - \varepsilon)n\tau} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |x_1(n\tau + s)|^p\right) \leq R e^{-\varepsilon n\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma for the capacity (2.1), we see that there exists $n_0 := n_0(\omega)$, such that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $n > n_0(\omega)$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |x_1(t+s)|^p \leq e^{-(\lambda_2 - \varepsilon)n\tau}, \text{ q.s.}$$

Where, $t \in [n\tau, (n+1)\tau]$. Then, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{t} \log(|x_1(t)|) = \frac{1}{pt} \log(|x_1(t)|^p) \leq -\frac{(\lambda_2 - \varepsilon)n\tau}{pn\tau}, \text{ q.s.}$$

Hence, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} \log(|x_1(t)|) \leq -\frac{(\lambda_2 - \varepsilon)}{p}$, q.s.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain the desired result

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{1}{t} \log(|x_1(t)|) \leq -\frac{\lambda_2}{p}, \quad \text{q.s.}$$

That is, the trivial solution of the G-stochastic (3.2) system is quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 .

Which completes the proof. \square

4 Exponential stability of G-stochastic perturbed differential equations with respect to a part of the variables

In this section, we consider the following linear stochastic system:

$$dx(t) = Ax(t)dt, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x := (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{n-b}, \quad 1 \leq b \leq n.$$

- A_1 is a constant $b \times b$ matrix.
- A_2 is a constant $(n - b) \times (n - b)$ matrix.

The above system (4.1) might be regarded as the following system:

$$\begin{cases} dx_1(t) = A_1 x_1(t)dt \\ dx_2(t) = A_2 x_2(t)dt, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

with initial condition $x(t_0) := x_0 := (x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}) \in \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{n-b}$.

Assume that some parameters are excited or perturbed by G-Brownian motion, and the perturbed system has the form:

$$\begin{cases} dx_1(t) = A_1 x_1(t)dt + g(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))dB_t \\ dx_2(t) = A_2 x_2(t)dt, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

with the same initial conditions, where $B_t = (B_1(t), \dots, B_m(t))^T$ is an m -dimensional G-Brownian motion, and $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{n-b} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{b \times m}$.

Assume that conditions of existence and uniqueness of solutions are satisfied, see [17]. Denote by $x(t, t_0, x_0) = (x_1(t; t_0, x_0), x_2(t; t_0, x_0))$ the solution of system (4.3).

We suppose that the origin of the linear stochastic system (4.2) is quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 . Furthermore, we assume that the origin $x = (0, 0)$ is an equilibrium point of the G-stochastic perturbed system (4.3), that is $g(t, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The objective of this section is to give sufficient conditions under which the G-stochastic perturbed system (4.3) is still quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 .

Theorem 4.1. *Let λ_1 be the maximum of the real parts of all eigenvalues of $-A_1$. Suppose there exist a constant $c_1 \geq 0$, and a polynomial $p_1(t)$ such that for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^b, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-b}$, and sufficiently large t ,*

$$|g(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))|^2 \leq p_1(t)e^{(-2\lambda_1 + c_1)t}, \quad q.s. \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, we assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |e^{A_1 t}|^2}{t} \leq -c_2$, where, c_2 is a positive constant.

Moreover, we suppose that $x_2(t, t_0, x_0)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded.

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^2}{t} \leq -(c_2 - c_1), \quad q.s. \text{ for all } t_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, if $c_2 > c_1$, then the G-stochastic perturbed system (4.3) is said to be quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 .

In order to prove this theorem, let us start by recalling an important Gronwall Lemma [5], which will be very useful later on.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $b(t)$, $c(t)$, and $u(t)$ be continuous functions for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, let $b(t)$ be nonnegative for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, ϕ is a constant and suppose*

$$u(t) \leq \phi + \int_{t_0}^t [b(s)u(s) + c(s)] ds, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0.$$

Then,

$$u(t) \leq \phi \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t b(\tau) d\tau\right) + \int_{t_0}^t c(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t b(\tau) d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0.$$

We also need the following Lemma [15], which has its own importance.

Lemma 4.3. *Let B_t be a one-dimensional G-Brownian motion. Suppose that there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\exp\left[\frac{\alpha^2}{2}(1 + \varepsilon) \int_0^T g^2(s) d\langle B \rangle_s\right]\right) < \infty, \quad \forall g \in M_G^2(0, T).$$

Then, for any $T > 0$ and $\beta > 0$,

$$\hat{C}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[\int_0^t g(s) dB_s - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t g^2(s) d\langle B \rangle_s\right] > \beta\right) \leq \exp(-\alpha\beta).$$

Now, we are able to prove our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily, and there exists $\rho = \rho(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|e^{-A_1 t}|^2 \leq \rho e^{(2\lambda_1 + \epsilon)t}, \quad p_1(t) \leq \rho e^{\epsilon t}, \quad t > 0.$$

By G-Itô's formula, we obtain

$$d(e^{-A_1 t} x_1(t)) = e^{-A_1 t} g(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) dB_t.$$

Define $W(t) = |e^{-A_1 t} x_1(t)|^2$. By G-Itô's formula again,

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= W(t_0) + 2 \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \text{trace}(e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g_1^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s}) d\langle B \rangle_s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from Lemma (4.3) that for any $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, and $\tau > t_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq \tau} \left[\int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) dB_s - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g_1^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} x_1(s) d\langle B \rangle_s \right] > \beta \right) \leq \exp(-\alpha\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Choose an arbitrary $\theta > 1$, and let k be an integer large enough so that $k > t_0$. Set

$$\alpha = e^{-c_1 k}, \quad \beta = \theta e^{c_1 k} \log k, \quad \tau = k.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C} \left(\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq k} \left[\int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) dB_s - \frac{e^{-c_1 k}}{2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g_1^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} x_1(s) d\langle B \rangle_s \right] > \theta e^{c_1 k} \log k \right) \leq \frac{1}{k^\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Borel-Cantelli Lemma for the capacity, we see that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) dB_s \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-c_1 k}}{2} \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g_1^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} x_1(s) d\langle B \rangle_s + \theta e^{c_1 k} \log k, \end{aligned}$$

for all $k > k_0$, $t_0 \leq t \leq k$. By using condition (4.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) dB_s \\
& \leq \frac{e^{-c_1 k}}{2} \int_{t_0}^t x_1^T(s) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s} e^{-A_1 s} x_1(s) d\langle B \rangle_s + \theta e^{c_1 k} \log k \\
& \leq \frac{e^{-c_1 k}}{2} \rho^2 \int_{t_0}^t W(s) e^{c_1 s} d\langle B \rangle_s + \theta e^{c_1 k} \log k.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W(t) & \leq W(t_0) + e^{-c_1 k} \rho^2 \int_{t_0}^t W(s) e^{c_1 s} d\langle B \rangle_s + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k \\
& \quad + \int_{t_0}^t \text{trace}(e^{-A_1 s} g(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) g^T(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)) e^{-A_1^T s}) d\langle B \rangle_s \\
& \leq W(t_0) + e^{-c_1 k} \rho^2 \int_{t_0}^t W(s) e^{c_1 s} d\langle B \rangle_s + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + b\rho^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{c_1 s} d\langle B \rangle_s. \quad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

In chapter III of Peng [15], we have for each $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\langle B \rangle_t - \langle B \rangle_s \leq \bar{\sigma}^2(t - s).$$

Based on this fact and the inequality (4.6), we obtain

$$W(t) \leq W(t_0) + e^{-c_1 k} \rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_0}^t W(s) e^{c_1 s} ds + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{c_1 s} ds.$$

Thanks to Lemma (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W(t) & \leq \left(W(t_0) + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k \right) \exp \left(e^{-c_1 k} \rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{c_1 s} ds \right) + b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_0}^t \exp \left(\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 e^{-c_1 k} \int_s^t e^{c_1 r} dr \right) e^{c_1 s} ds \\
& \leq \left(W(t_0) + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + \frac{b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} e^{c_1 k} \right) \exp \left(e^{-c_1 k} \rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_0}^t e^{c_1 s} ds \right) \\
& \leq \left(W(t_0) + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + \frac{b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} e^{c_1 k} \right) \exp \left(\frac{\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} \right), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq k, \quad k \geq k_0, \quad q.s.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$W(t) \leq \left(W(t_0) + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + \frac{b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} e^{c_1 k} \right) \exp \left(\frac{\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} \right), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq k, \quad k \geq k_0, \quad q.s. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $\theta > 1$ is arbitrary and $\frac{W(t)}{e^{c_1 t} \log t} \leq \frac{W(t)}{e^{c_1(k-1)} \log(k-1)}$, $k-1 \leq t \leq k$.

From (4.7), we see immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{W(t)}{e^{c_1 t} \log(t)} &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\left(W(t_0) + 2\theta e^{c_1 k} \log k + \frac{b\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1} e^{c_1 k}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1}\right)}{e^{c_1(k-1)} \log(k-1)} \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(c_1 + \frac{\rho^2 \bar{\sigma}^2}{c_1}\right) \quad q.s. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^2}{t} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |e^{At}|^2}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |e^{-At} x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^2}{t}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log |x_1(t; t_0, x_0)|^2}{t} \leq -c_2 + c_1 = -(c_2 - c_1), \quad q.s.$$

If the inequality $c_2 > c_1$ is satisfied, then the G-stochastic perturbed system (4.3) is quasi surely exponentially stable with respect to x_1 . \square

5 Examples

The following illustrative examples are provided to show the usefulness of the obtained results.

Example 5.1. Consider the following G-stochastic system:

$$\begin{cases} dx_1(t) = -2x_1 dt - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(x_2) e^{-2t} x_1 d\langle B \rangle_t + (1 + e^{-t} |\sin(x_2)|) x_1 dB_t \\ dx_2(t) = 2 \cos(t) x_2 dt, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where, $x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$, B is a one-dimension G-Brownian motion and $B = N(0 \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$, with initial value $x_0 = (x_{10}, x_{20})$.

This system has the trivial solution $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$.

It is clear that $x_2(t)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded. In fact, for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and all $x_{20} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x_{20}| \leq \beta$, we have $|x_2(t)| \leq \beta e^{2 \sin(t)}$ q.s.

Denote $V = x_1^2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV(t, x) &= V_x(t, x) f_1(t, x) + G(2V_x(t, x) h_1(t, x) + V_{xx} g_1^2(t, x)) \\ &= -4x_1^2 + G\left(-2x_1^2 \sin^2(x_2) e^{-2t} + 2(1 + e^{-t} |\sin(x_2)|)^2 x_1^2\right) \\ &= -4x_1^2 + G(2x_1^2 + 4e^{-t} |\sin(x_2)| x_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

By the sub-additivity of the function G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LV(t, x) &\leq -4x_1^2 + G(2x_1^2) + G(4e^{-t}|\sin(x_2)|x_1^2) \\ &\leq -4x_1^2 + x_1^2 + 2e^{-t}|\sin(x_2)|x_1^2 \\ &\leq -3x_1^2 + 2e^{-t}x_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$LV(t, x) \leq (-3 + \varphi(t))x_1^2,$$

where $\varphi(t) = 2e^{-t}$, which satisfies condition (3.9) of Theorem (3.3).

Hence, all conditions of Theorem (3.3) are fulfilled with $p = 2$ and then the G -stochastic system (5.1) is p th moment exponentially stable with respect to x_1 . Furthermore, we have

$$1. |f_1(t, x)|^2 = 4|x_1|^2,$$

$$2. |h_1(t, x)|^2 = |\frac{1}{2}\sin^2(x_2)e^{-2t}x_1|^2 \leq |x_1|^2,$$

$$3. |g_1(t, x)|^2 = |(1 + e^{-t}|\sin(x_2)|)|x_1|^2 \leq 2(1 + e^{-2t}|\sin(x_2)|^2)|x_1|^2 \leq 4|x_1|^2.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}(|f_1(t, x)|^2 + |h_1(t, x)|^2 + |g_1(t, x)|^2) \leq 9\hat{\mathbb{E}}(|x_1|^2).$$

Hence, from Theorem (3.5), with $p = 2$ and $\eta = 9$, one can deduce that the G -stochastic system (5.1) is quasi sure exponential stable with respect to x_1 .

Example 5.2. Consider the following G -stochastic system

$$\begin{cases} dx_1(t) = -2x_1(t)dt + (1 + t^2)e^{-4.5t}\frac{x(t)}{1 + |x(t)|}dB_t \\ dx_2(t) = A_2x_2(t)dt, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $x_2 = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $B(t)$ is one-dimensional G -Brownian motion,

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With initial value $x_0 = (x_{1_0}, x_{2_0})$, and $x_{2_0} = (z_{1_0}, z_{2_0})$.

By simple resolution, we obtain

$$x_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1_0}(t, w) \cos(t) - z_{2_0}(t, w) \sin(t) \\ z_{1_0}(t, w) \sin(t) + z_{2_0}(t, w) \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the 2-norm leads to

$$|x_2(t)| = |x_{2_0}|.$$

It is clear that $x_2(t)$ is quasi surely globally uniformly bounded. In fact, for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, and all $x_{2_0} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|x_{2_0}| \leq \alpha'$, we have $|x_2(t)| \leq \alpha'$ q.s.

It is clear that, the constants of Theorem (4.1) are $c_1 = 0.5$, and $c_2 = 2$.

Hence, by Theorem (4.1) we deduce that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |x_1(t, t_0, x_0)|^2}{t} \leq -1.5$ q.s. for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Indeed, the G-stochastic perturbed system (5.2) is quasi sure exponential stable with respect to x_1 .

References

- [1] E. Awad, F. E. C. Culick, On the existence and stability of limit cycles for longitudinal acoustic modes in a combustion chamber, *Combustion Science and Technology*, 46 (1986), 195-222.
- [2] X. Bai, Y. Lin, On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 30 (2010), 589-610.
- [3] T. Caraballo, M. J. Garrido-Atienza, J. Real, Asymptotic stability of nonlinear stochastic evolution equations, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.*, 21(2003), 301-327.
- [4] T. Caraballo, M. J. Garrido-Atienza, J. Real, Stochastic stabilization of differential systems with general decay rate, *Syst. Contr. Lett.* 48 (2003), 397-406.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Some Gronwall type inequalities and applications, *Nova Science Publishers, Inc, Hauppauge, NY*, 2003.
- [6] L. Denis, M. Hu, S. Peng, Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: Application to G-Brownian motion path, *Potential Analysis*, 34 (2010), 139-161.
- [7] F. Gao, Pathwise properties and homomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119 (2009), 3356-3382.
- [8] R. Z. Has'minskii, Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations, *Sijthoff Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, Holl, Rockville*, 1980.
- [9] P. Luo, F. Wang, Stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion and ordinary differential equations, *Stochastic Processes and their applications*, 124 (2014), 3869-3885.
- [10] K. Y. Lum, D. S. Bernstein, V. T. Coppola, Global stabilization of the spinning top with mass imbalance, *Dynamics and Stability of Systems*, 10 (1995), 339-365.

- [11] X. Li, S. Peng, Stopping times and related G-Itô calculus with G-Brownian motion, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 121 (2009), 1492-1508.
- [12] Q. Lin, Some properties of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 29 (2013), 923-942.
- [13] Y. Lin, Stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with reflecting boundary, *Electron. J. Probab.* 18 (2013), 1–23.
- [14] X. Mao, Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications, *Ellis Horwood, Chichester*, 1997.
- [15] S. Peng, Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty—with robust central limit theorem and G-Brownian motion, *arXiv: 1002.4546v1*, 2010.
- [16] K. Peiffer, N. Rouche, Liapunov's second method applied to partial stability, *J. Mecanique* 2 (1969), 20-29.
- [17] S. Peng, G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, *Benth, et al. (Eds.), Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 3 (2006), 541-567.
- [18] S. Peng, Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 118 (2008), 223-225.
- [19] N. Rouche, P. Habets, M. Lalog, Stability Theory by Liapunov's Direct Method, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1977.
- [20] V. V. Rymanstev, On the stability of motions with respect to part of variables, *Vestnik Moscow University, Ser. Math. Mech.* 4 (1957), 9-16.
- [21] V. V. Rumyantsev, A. S. Oziraner, Partial Stability and Stabilization of Motion, *Nauka, Moscow (in Russian)*, 1987.
- [22] Y. Ren, Q. Bi, R. Sakthivel, Stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay driven by G-Brownian motion, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 36 (2013), 1746-1759.
- [23] V. A. Sinitsyn, On stability of solution in inertial navigation problem, *Certain Problems on Dynamics of Mechanical Systems, Moscow* (1991), 46-50.
- [24] A. Ya. Savchenko, A. O. Ignatyev, Some Problems of Stability Theory, *Naukova Dumka, Kiev (in Russian)*, 1989.
- [25] V. I. Zubov, The Dynamics of Controlled Systems, *Moscow: Vysshaya Shkola*, 1982.
- [26] V. I. Vorotnikov, Partial Stability and Control, *Birkhäuser Boston*, 1998.

- [27] V. I. Vorotnikov, V. V. Rumyantsev, Stability and Control with Respect to a Part of the Phase Coordinates of Dynamic Systems: Theory, Methods, and Applications, *Scientific World, Moscow (in Russian)*, 2001.
- [28] X. Li, X. Lin, Y. Lin, Lyapunov-type conditions and stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, *Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 439 (2016), 235-255.