

ARTICLE TYPE

Decay of solutions for a viscoelastic wave equation with acoustic boundary conditions.

Abita Rahmoune

¹University , Ammar Telidji, Laghouat,
Algeria

Correspondence

Email: abitarahmouneyahoo.fr

Present Address

Department of Technical Sciences

Summary

In this report we prove that the hypothesis on the memory term g in ¹ can be modified to be $g'(t) \leq -\zeta(t)g^p(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$ where $\zeta(t)$ provides

$$\zeta(0) > 0, \zeta'(t) \leq 0, \int_0^\infty \zeta(s) ds = +\infty.$$

So the optimal decay results are extended.

KEYWORDS:

Viscoelastic equation, Acoustic boundary conditions, energy decay

1 | INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ and is divided by two closed and disjoint parts Γ_0, Γ_1 , Here, $\Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset$, we investigate the solutions to the problem

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) ds = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u(x, s) ds = y_t(x, t) \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \quad (3)$$

$$u_t(x, t) + f(x)y_t(x, t) + m(x)y(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \quad (4)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (5)$$

where ν is the outward normal to Γ . f and m are essentially bounded nonlinear functions satisfying some general properties. u_0, u_1 are given functions, Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. $g, \alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are non-increasing differentiable functions. The equation in consideration reaches from various mathematical models in engineering and physics. We refer the readers to^{2,3,4,1} for a motivation and references concerning the subject of problem (1)-(5).

Our main aim in this work is to establish a general decay rate result, depending on the behavior of both α and g , for the energy of problem (1)-(5). Our main novel contribution is an extension and improvement of the previous result from¹ to the time-dependent viscoelastic case with the assumption condition $g'(t) \leq -\zeta(t)g^p(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$ where $\zeta(t)$ provides

$$\zeta(0) > 0, \zeta'(t) \leq 0, \int_0^\infty \zeta(s) ds = +\infty.$$

⁰**Abbreviations:** ANA, anti-nuclear antibodies; APC, antigen-presenting cells; IRF, interferon regulatory factor

2 | PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present some material needed in the proof of our result and state the main result. Throughout this paper, we use the notation

$$H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0\}$$

endow with the Hilbert structure induced by $H^1(\Omega)$, is a Hilbert space.

we use standard functional spaces and denote that $\|\cdot\|_2$, $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma_0}$ are $L^2(\Omega)$ norm and $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ norm, respectively, such that:

$$\|u\|_{\Gamma_0} = \int_{\Gamma_0} |u(\eta)|^2 d\eta, \quad \|u\|_2 = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Also, we define the inner products $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) dx$ and $(u, v)_{\Gamma_0} = \int_{\Gamma_0} u(x) v(x) d\Gamma$.

The norm in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$ and is given by:

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Let λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the smallest positive constants such that

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\Gamma_0} \leq \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}} \|\nabla u\|_2 \quad \forall u \in H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

We wish to use the following hypotheses:

(H1) Hypotheses on g, α $g, \alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are a bounded C^1 functions satisfying

$$g(0) > 0, \quad \int_0^\infty g(s) ds < +\infty, \quad \alpha(t) > 0, \quad 1 - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(s) ds \geq l > 0. \quad (7)$$

(H2) Hypotheses on g There exists a non-increasing differentiable function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

$$\zeta(t) > 0, \quad g'(t) \leq -\zeta(t) g(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\alpha'(t)}{\zeta(t) \alpha(t)} = 0 \quad (8)$$

(H3) Hypotheses on f, m For the functions p and q , we assume that $f, m \in C(\Gamma_0)$ and $f(x) > 0$ and $m(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma_0$.

This assumption implies that there exist positive constant $f_{0,1}, m_{0,1}$ such that

$$f_0 \leq f(x) \leq f_1, \quad m_0 \leq m(x) \leq m_1, \quad \text{for all } x \in \Gamma_0. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1. ^{1, Theorem 2.1.} Assume that (H1) and (H3) hold. For the initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \times H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega)$ there exists a unique pair of functions (u, y_t) , which is a solution to the problem (1)-(5) in the class

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega)), \\ u_{tt} &\in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ y, y_t &\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Gamma_0)), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. ^{1, Theorem 2.2.} Let $(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \times H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega)$ be given. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. Then there exist positive constants K and k such that the solution of (1)-(5) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-k \int_0^t \alpha(s) \zeta(s) ds} \quad t \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_0} m(x) |y(x, t)|^2 d\Gamma \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

A simple differentiation, employing (1), drives to

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= - \int_{\Gamma_0} f |y_t(x, y)|^2 d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Where

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)|^2 dx ds,$$

In this report, we shall prolong the above exponential rate of decay to the general case. We use the following hypothesis which is weaker than (8).

(H4) Hypotheses on g There exist a fixed $p \in [1, 3/2)$ and a real positive differentiable function ζ so that

$$g'(t) \leq -\zeta(t) g^p(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

and $\zeta(t)$ satisfies

$$\zeta(0) > 0, \quad \zeta'(t) \leq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \int_0^\infty \zeta(s) ds = +\infty. \quad (14)$$

Then, we can show the following principal result.

Theorem 3. Suppose that (H3)-(H4) and (7) hold. Then, there exist strictly two positive constants λ_0 and λ_1 such that the energy $E(t)$ of the problem (1)-(5) satisfies, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the decay rate

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_1 \int_0^t \alpha(s) \zeta(s) ds} \quad \text{if } p = 1, \quad (15)$$

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_1 \left(1 + \int_0^t \alpha^{2p-1}(s) \zeta^{2p-1}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{2p-2}} \quad \text{if } p > 1, \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, if ζ, p in (H4) and α in (H2) satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty \left(1 + \int_0^t \alpha^{2p-1}(s) \zeta^{2p-1}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{2p-2}} dt < +\infty, \quad (17)$$

then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_0 \left(1 + \int_0^t \alpha^p(s) \zeta^p(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \quad \text{if } p > 1, \quad (18)$$

Remark 1. 1. For $p = 1$, (15) retrieve the exponential decay rate in ¹, Theorem 2.2.

2. When $g(t) = a(1+t)^{-d}$, $d > 2$ and $a > 0$. Then hypothesis (H4) holds with $\zeta(t) = b = da^{\frac{-1}{d}}$ and $p = \frac{d+1}{d} \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. Therefore (17) holds and hence, by (18), we have the following decay rate

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_0 \left(1 + b^p \int_0^t \alpha^p(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}},$$

which is not addressed in ¹.

The following lemma and corollary are essential for the proof of our main result.

Lemma 1. Suppose that g satisfies (7) and (H4) then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \alpha(t) \zeta(t) g^{1-\sigma}(t) dt < +\infty, \quad \forall \sigma < 2 - p.$$

Proof. Evoking (7), we gain

$$\zeta(t) g^{1-\sigma}(t) = \zeta(t) g^{1-\sigma}(t) g^p(t) g^{-p}(t) \leq -g'(t) g^{1-\sigma-p}(t).$$

A simple integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(t) \zeta(t) g^{1-\sigma}(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\infty} -\alpha(t) g'(t) g^{1-\sigma-p}(t) dt \\ &= -\frac{g^{2-\sigma-p}(t)}{2-\sigma-p} \alpha(t) \Big|_0^{+\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \alpha'(t) \frac{g^{2-\sigma-p}(t)}{2-\sigma-p} dt < +\infty, \\ &\text{since } 0 < 2 - p - \sigma \text{ and } \alpha'(t) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2. ^{3, Lemaa 3.3.} Assume that g satisfies (7) and (H4), and u is the solution of (1)-(5) then, for $0 < \sigma < 1$, we have

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C \left[\left(\int_0^{\infty} g^{1-\sigma}(t) dt \right) E(0) \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p-1+\sigma}} (g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{\sigma}{p-1+\sigma}}(t).$$

Particularly, for $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C \left[\int_0^{\infty} g^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) dt \right]^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} (g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t). \quad (19)$$

Corollary 1. Suppose that g satisfies (7) and (H4) and u is the solution of (1)-(5) then

$$\alpha(t) \zeta(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C \left[-E'(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t).$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (19) by $\alpha(t) \zeta(t)$ and evoking Lemma 1 and (12) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(t) \zeta(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}}(t) \zeta(t)^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} g^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds \right]^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} \alpha(t)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} \zeta(t)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} (g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t) \\ &\leq C \left[\int_0^{\infty} \alpha(s) \zeta(s) g^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds \right]^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} \alpha(t)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} (\zeta g^p \circ \nabla u)^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}(t) \\ &\leq C \left[\int_0^{\infty} \alpha(s) \zeta(s) g^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds \right]^{\frac{2p-2}{2p-1}} [\alpha(t) (-g' \circ \nabla u)(t)]^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} \\ &\leq C \left[-E'(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By adopting the idea of^{5,2,3,4}. Suppose that hypothesis (H4) holds and determine the modified energy, as in¹

$$L(t) = ME(t) + \varepsilon \alpha(t) F(t) + \alpha(t) H(t), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (20)$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \int_{\Gamma_0} u(t) y(t) d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} m(x) y^2(t) d\Gamma, \quad (21)$$

$$H(t) = \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_0^t g(t-s)(u(s) - u(t)) ds dx, \quad (22)$$

and M, ε is some suitable positive constants to be specified.

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(t) \alpha(t) (g^p \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Now, we shall investigate the global decay of the energy function $E(t)$.

First, to achieve the decay result, we use the following lemmas which act of fundamental significance in the proof.

Lemma 3. ^{1, Lemma 3.2.} There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$|L(t) - E(t)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Lemma 4. ^{1, (5.22) in the proof of Theorem 5.5.} There are positive constants C_2, C_3 such that

$$L'(t) \leq -C_2 \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \geq t_0 \quad (24)$$

Now, we conclude the proof of the decay property.

Proof of Theorem 3. Give

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2C_1}, \frac{1}{C_2} \right\}.$$

It results from Lemma 3 that, for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (25)$$

Case when $p = 1$. By the determination of $L(t)$, (23) and (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) L'(t) &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \alpha(t) (-g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) - C_3 \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - 2C_3 E'(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We fixed

$$K(t) = \zeta(t) L(t) + 2C_3 E(t).$$

Then, $L(t)$ is equivalent to $E(t)$. In fact, we have

$$K(t) \leq \zeta(0) L(t) + 2C_3 E(t) \leq \left(\frac{3}{2} \zeta(0) + 2C_3 \right) E(t)$$

and

$$K(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \zeta(t) E(t) + 2C_3 E(t) \geq 2C_3 E(t).$$

From (25) and (26), since $\zeta'(t) \leq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} K'(t) &= \zeta'(t) L(t) + \zeta(t) L'(t) + 2C_3 E'(t) \\ &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) - C_3 \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq -\zeta(t) \alpha(t) \left[C_2 + \frac{2\alpha'(t)}{l\zeta(t)\alpha(t)} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \right] E(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By (H2), we can choose $t_2 \geq t_1$ and then (27) gives

$$K'(t) \leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_2 \quad (28)$$

Since $\zeta'(t) \leq 0$, we can easily get $K(t) \sim E(t)$ and

$$K'(t) \leq -k \zeta(t) \alpha(t) K(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_2 \quad (29)$$

for some positive constant k . Integrating (29) over $[t_2, t]$, we have

$$K(t) \leq K e^{-k \int_0^t \alpha(s) \zeta(s) ds}, \quad \forall t \geq t_2.$$

Since $K(t) \sim E(t)$, we have

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-k \int_0^t \alpha(s) \zeta(s) ds}, \quad \forall t \geq t_2.$$

By the virtue of the continuity and boundedness of $E(t)$ in the interval $[0, t_2]$, this yields (15).

Case when $p > 1$. To verify (16), once more consider (26) and apply corollary 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) L'(t) &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq -\lambda \zeta(t) \alpha(t) L(t) + C \left[-E'(t) - \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication of the last inequality by $\alpha(t)^\beta \zeta^\beta L^\beta(t)$, where $\beta = 2p - 2 > 0$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta+1} \zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta \frac{d}{dt} L^{\beta+1}(t) &\leq -\lambda \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha^{\beta+1}(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) \\ &+ C (\zeta \alpha(t) L(t))^\beta \left[-E'(t) - \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Application of Young's inequality, with $q = \beta + 1$ and $q^* = \frac{\beta+1}{\beta}$, yields, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta+1} \zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta \frac{d}{dt} L^{\beta+1}(t) &\leq -\lambda \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha^{\beta+1}(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) \\ &+ C (\varepsilon \alpha^{\beta+1}(t) \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) - C(\varepsilon) E'(t) - C(\varepsilon) \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2) \\ &= -\zeta(t) \alpha(t) \left[(\lambda - \varepsilon C) \alpha(t)^\beta \zeta^\beta(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) + \frac{C(\varepsilon) \alpha'(t)}{l\zeta(t)\alpha(t)} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right] \\ &\quad - C(\varepsilon) E'(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By (H2), we can choose $t_2 \geq t_1$ and then (30) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\beta+1} \zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta \frac{d}{dt} L^{\beta+1}(t) \leq -\lambda \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha(t)^{\beta+1} L^{\beta+1}(t) \\ & + C \left(\varepsilon \alpha^{\beta+1}(t) \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) - C(\varepsilon) E'(t) \right) - C(\varepsilon) \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ & = -(\lambda - \varepsilon C) \alpha(t)^{\beta+1} \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) L^{\beta+1}(t) - C(\varepsilon) E'(t) \quad \forall t \geq t_2 \end{aligned}$$

keeping to mind that $\zeta'(t) \leq 0$ and taking $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\lambda}{C}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta L^{\beta+1}(t) \right) \leq \zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta \frac{d}{dt} L^{\beta+1}(t) \\ & \leq -C_4 \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha(t)^{\beta+1} L^{\beta+1}(t) - C(\varepsilon) E'(t); \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta L^{\beta+1}(t) + C(\varepsilon) E(t) \right) \leq -C_4 \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha(t)^{\beta+1} L^{\beta+1}(t) \quad (31)$$

Let $G = \zeta^{\beta+1} \alpha(t)^\beta L^{\beta+1}(t) + C(\varepsilon) E(t) \sim L \sim E$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} G(t) \leq -C_4 \zeta^{\beta+1}(t) \alpha(t)^{\beta+1} G^{\beta+1}(t) = -C_4 \zeta^{2p-1}(t) \alpha(t)^{2p-1} G^{2p-1}(t);$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using the fact that $G \sim E$, we get, for some $\lambda_0 > 0$

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_0 \left(1 + \int_0^t \alpha(s)^{2p-1} \zeta^{2p-1}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{2p-2}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_2.$$

hence by the virtue of the continuity and boundedness of $E(t)$ in the interval $[0, t_2]$, the assertion (16) holds.

To prove (18), we set

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx ds$$

From (16) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) & \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(s)|^2 + |\nabla u(t-s)|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{4}{l} \int_0^t (E(t) + E(t-s)) ds \leq \frac{8}{l} \int_0^t E(t) ds < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This signifies that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \varphi(t)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} < +\infty \quad (32)$$

Suppose that $\varphi(t) > 0$. Then, from (26), we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) L'(t) & \leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ & \leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C_3 \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \alpha(t) \int_0^t (\zeta^p(s) g^p(s))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Using Jensen's inequality for the second term of the right-hand side of (32), in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t (\zeta^p(s) g^p(s))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t (\zeta^p(s) g^p(s)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) L'(t) &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) \\ &+ C_3 \varphi(t) \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t (\zeta^p(s) g^p(s)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (32) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) L'(t) &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) \\ &+ C_3 \varphi^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(t) \left(\zeta^{p-1}(0) \int_0^t (\zeta(s) g^p(s)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t-s)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} ds \\ &\leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C (-g' \circ \nabla u)(t)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\zeta(t) L'(t) \leq -C_2 \zeta(t) \alpha(t) E(t) + C \left(-E'(t) - \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (34)$$

If $\varphi(t) = 0$, then $s \rightarrow \nabla u(s)$ is a constant function on $[0, t]$. Consequently

$$(g \circ \nabla u)(t) = 0,$$

and so we have, from (26),

$$L'(t) \leq -C_2 \alpha(t) E(t)$$

which implies (34).

Now, multiplying (34) by $\zeta^\beta(t) \alpha(t)^\beta L^\beta(t)$ using again the fact that $E \sim L$, for $\beta = p - 1$, and repeating the same estimates as in above, we become at, for suitable $\lambda_0 > 0$,

$$E(t) \leq \lambda_0 \left(1 + \int_0^t \alpha(s)^p \zeta^p(s) ds \right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \quad \text{if } p > 1.$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees and the handling editor for their reading and for relevant remarks/suggestions for improving the paper.

Financial disclosure

None reported.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Liu Wenjun, Sun Yun. General decay of solutions for a weak viscoelastic equation with acoustic boundary conditions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*. 2014;65:1-10.

2. Ha Tae Gab. Energy decay for the wave equation of variable coefficients with acoustic boundary conditions in domains with nonlocally reacting boundary. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 2017;76(2018):201-207.
3. Cavalcanti Higidio Portillo. Frictional versus Viscoelastic Damping in a Semilinear Wave Equation. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 2012;42(04):1310-1324.
4. Vicente A., Frota C.L.. On a mixed problem with a nonlinear acoustic boundary condition for a non-locally reacting boundaries. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2013;76(31):1-11.
5. Kang Jum-Ran. General decay for viscoelastic plate equation with p -Laplacian and time-varying delay. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 2018;2018(29):1-11.

How to cite this article: Williams K., B. Hoskins, R. Lee, G. Masato, and T. Woollings (2016), A regime analysis of Atlantic winter jet variability applied to evaluate HadGEM3-GC2, *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 2017;00:1–6.