Feedbacks, Pattern Effects, and Efficacies in a Large Ensemble of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL Historical Simulations

Harry Mutton¹, Timothy Andrews¹, Leon Hermanson², Melissa Seabrook², Doug M Smith¹, Mark Adam Ringer¹, and Mark J Webb³

¹Met Office ²Met Office Hadley Centre ³UK Met Office Hadley Centre

March 22, 2024

Abstract

Climate feedbacks over the historical period (1850–2014) have been investigated in large ensembles of historical, hist-ghg, histaer, and hist-nat experiments, with 47 members for each experiment. Across the historical ensemble with all forcings, a range in estimated Effective Climate Sensitivity (EffCS) between approximately 3–6 K is found, a considerable spread stemming solely from initial condition uncertainty. The spread in EffCS is associated with varying Sea Surface Temperature (SST) patterns seen across the ensemble due to their influence on different feedback processes. For example, the level of polar amplification is shown to strongly control the amount of sea ice melt per degree of global warming. This mechanism is responsible for the large spread in shortwave clear-sky feedbacks and is the main contributor to the different forcing efficacies seen across the different forcing agents, although in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL these differences in forcing efficacy are shown to be small. The spread in other feedbacks is also investigated, with the level of tropical SST warming shown to strongly control the longwave clear-sky feedbacks, and the local surface-air-temperatures and large scale tropospheric temperatures shown to influence cloud feedbacks. The metrics used to understand the spread in feedbacks can also help to explain the disparity between feedbacks seen in the historical experiment simulations and a more accurate modeled estimate of the feedbacks seen in the real world derived from an atmosphere-only experiment prescribed with observed SSTs (termed amip-piForcing).

Feedbacks, Pattern Effects, and Efficacies in a Large Ensemble of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL Historical Simulations

Harry Mutton¹, Timothy Andrews¹, Leon Hermanson¹, Melissa Seabrook¹, Doug M. Smith¹, Mark A. Ringer¹, Gareth S. Jones¹, Mark J. Webb¹

 $^1\mathrm{Met}$ Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8	•	Natural variability causes a 3-6K range in Effective Climate Sensitivity in a large
9		single model ensemble of historical simulations.
10	•	Differences in tropical and polar warming strongly influence longwave clear-sky
11		and shortwave clear-sky feedbacks respectively.
12	•	Deficiencies in simulating observed tropical and polar warming cause different feed-
13		backs in historical and amip-piForcing experiments.

Corresponding author: Harry Mutton, harry.mutton@metoffice.gov.uk

14 Abstract

Climate feedbacks over the historical period (1850–2014) have been investigated in large 15 ensembles of historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments, with 47 members 16 for each experiment. Across the historical ensemble with all forcings, a range in estimated 17 Effective Climate Sensitivity (EffCS) between approximately 3–6 K is found, a consid-18 erable spread stemming solely from initial condition uncertainty. The spread in EffCS 19 is associated with varying Sea Surface Temperature (SST) patterns seen across the en-20 semble due to their influence on different feedback processes. For example, the level of 21 polar amplification is shown to strongly control the amount of sea ice melt per degree 22 of global warming. This mechanism is responsible for the large spread in shortwave clear-23 sky feedbacks and is the main contributor to the different forcing efficacies seen across 24 the different forcing agents, although in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL these differences in forc-25 ing efficacy are shown to be small. The spread in other feedbacks is also investigated, 26 with the level of tropical SST warming shown to strongly control the longwave clear-sky 27 feedbacks, and the local surface-air-temperatures and large scale tropospheric temper-28 atures shown to influence cloud feedbacks. The metrics used to understand the spread 29 in feedbacks can also help to explain the disparity between feedbacks seen in the histor-30 ical experiment simulations and a more accurate modeled estimate of the feedbacks seen 31 in the real world derived from an atmosphere-only experiment prescribed with observed 32 33 SSTs (termed amip-piForcing).

³⁴ Plain Language Summary

Understanding how the Earth's climate responds to an imposed forcing such as an 35 increase in greenhouse gases or aerosols is an important issue relevant to climate mit-36 igation and adaptation policies on the global scale. One way we can understand this is 37 by analysing the historical period (1850-2014), a period over which the climate has al-38 ready changed substantially due to human induced forcings, and also a period over which 39 observations allow us to compare modeled changes in climate with the changes seen in 40 the real world. Here, we use a large ensemble of climate model simulations of the his-41 torical period were we aim to understand a) how natural variability causes differences 42 in the global temperature response to the same imposed forcing, b) what causes differ-43 ent forcing agents (e.g. greenhouse gases or aerosols) to be more or less effective at warm-44 ing or cooling the planet, and c) whether historical simulations - where the climate model 45 simulates its own sea surface temperatures - capture the same response to historical forc-46 ings as an atmosphere-only simulation prescribed with observed sea surface temperatures. 47 We find that the pattern of sea surface temperatures (particularly the levels of tropical 48 and polar warming) is key to understanding each of these points. 49

50 1 Introduction

⁵¹ Climate sensitivity and feedbacks provide valuable information about how the Earth's ⁵² temperature changes in response to an imposed forcing such as an increase in greenhouse ⁵³ gases, aerosols, or volcanic emissions (Sherwood et al., 2020; Forster et al., 2021). Typ-⁵⁴ ically, equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) is defined as the equilibrium global temper-⁵⁵ ature increase in response to a doubling of CO₂ and can be related to CO₂ forcing and ⁵⁶ climate feedbacks using a simple energy balance model (Equation 1) (e.g. Sherwood et ⁵⁷ al. (2020)).

$$ECS = -F_{2 \times CO_2} / \lambda \tag{1}$$

⁵⁹ Here, $F_{2\times CO_2}$ is the radiative forcing associated with a doubling of CO₂ and the feedback parameter λ is the radiative response per degree of global temperature change. Currently, the assessed likely range of ECS extends from 2.5°C – 4.0°C (Forster et al., ⁶² 2021). Since constraining ECS is important for improving our understanding of how the

Earth's climate is likely to change in the future, informing climate related mitigation and

⁶⁴ adaptation policy on the global scale, improving our understanding of different climate

⁶⁵ feedbacks and why they vary is vital.

66

67

The feedback parameter λ can be defined using Equation 2 (e.g. Gregory et al. (2004)).

$$\lambda = d(N - F)/dT_s \tag{2}$$

Here F is the radiative forcing, N is the top of atmosphere radiative flux, and T_s is the surface-air-temperature (in this case, all terms are global mean quantities).

In Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs), λ and ECS are typ-70 ically estimated via a linear regression of global T_s and N over the first 150 years of an 71 abrupt-4xCO2 simulation (T. Andrews et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2021; Gregory et al., 2004). 72 The abrupt-4xCO2 simulation is an AOGCM experiment where the atmospheric con-73 centration of CO_2 is abruptly quadrupled and then held constant. This regression method 74 is used in favour of calculating ECS directly from two equilibrium states due to the long 75 timescales needed to equilibrate the deep ocean and the substantial computational cost 76 associated with this (T. Andrews et al., 2022; Rugenstein et al., 2019). ECS estimates 77 produced from these non-equilibrium states are called the Effective Climate Sensitivity 78 (EffCS) (Dong et al., 2021; Sherwood et al., 2020; T. Andrews et al., 2015; Rugenstein 79 & Armour, 2021). 80

 λ and EffCS can also be estimated from simulations of the historical record (1850 81 to present day), estimating λ over the historical period and applying this to Equation 82 1 where $F_{2 \times CO_2}$ has been diagnosed from an abrupt-4xCO2 run (Gregory et al., 2020). 83 These estimates tend to produce an EffCS smaller than that predicted solely from an 84 abrupt-4xCO2 experiment, largely due to the time variations in λ caused by evolving 85 SST patterns and the different timescales involved in the response to an imposed forc-86 ing (T. Andrews et al., 2019; Gregory et al., 2020; Proistosescu & Huybers, 2017). This 87 "pattern effect" describes how a different global radiative response can be generated by 88 the same global temperature change due to different patterns of SSTs (Rugenstein & Ar-89 mour, 2021; Gregory & Andrews, 2016). In this context, the pattern effect is often quan-90 tified as the difference in λ between historical and abrupt-4xCO2 experiments (T. An-91 drews et al., 2018). 92

Estimates of λ from historical and abrupt-4xCO2 simulations may also differ due 93 to the different forcing agents involved (Marvel et al., 2015). Whilst the abrupt-4xCO2 94 experiment is only forced by increases in CO_2 concentrations, the historical simulations 95 are also influenced by changes in aerosols and natural forcings such as volcanic emissions 96 (C. J. Smith & Forster, 2021; Salvi et al., 2023). These different forcing agents may vary 97 in how effective they are at warming or cooling the planet; this is called forcing efficacy 98 (Marvel et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2019; Hansen et al., 2005). Again AOGCMs can 99 be used to investigate this, with experiments simulating the historical period but only 100 applying the forcing for individual forcing agents. Salvi et al. (2022) use this approach 101 to demonstrate that, in the multi-model mean, greenhouse gases tended to have a more 102 stabilising feedback (lower EffCS) compared to aerosols, although substantial variation 103 across different models exists. It is suggested that across different forcing agents, vari-104 ations in SST pattern changes lead to differing feedbacks (Haugstad et al., 2017). Ceppi 105 and Gregory (2019) suggest that the changes in atmospheric stability induced by these 106 differing SST patterns is a key factor determining the efficacy of a particular forcing (Salvi 107

et al., 2023). Assuming temperature changes and the radiative responses to each forcing agent add linearly, understanding each component of the full historical forcing can help inform our interpretation of historical feedbacks and how they relate to future climate change.

Historical estimates of a model's EffCS can also be deduced from an Atmosphere 112 only General Circulation Model (AGCM) experiment with prescribed SSTs and sea ice 113 from observations between 1870 and 2014 and atmospheric constituents set to pre-industrial 114 levels, termed amip-piForcing (Gregory & Andrews, 2016; Gregory et al., 2020). Because 115 this experiment is forced with observed SSTs it is able to more accurately simulate his-116 torical changes in climate compared to the coupled AOGCMs (Gregory & Andrews, 2016). 117 It is found that the EffCS calculated using the amip-piForcing experiment tends to pro-118 duce an EffCS smaller than that derived from AOGCM historical experiments (i.e. amip-119 piForcing has a larger pattern effect relative to abrupt-4xCO2) (Gregory et al., 2020; T. An-120 drews et al., 2019). Again, this difference is often attributed to differences in SST pat-121 terns between the two experiments, with coupled historical simulations struggling to sim-122 ulate observed SST patterns (Gregory et al., 2020; Wills et al., 2022). Over recent years, 123 observed SSTs demonstrate a marked cooling in the East Pacific and Southern Ocean 124 and more warming over the West Pacific, leading to more negative feedbacks and a lower 125 EffCS. The inability of AOGCM simulations to capture observed trends in SST patterns 126 is a key issue currently facing the scientific community and raises questions regarding 127 how this impacts our understanding of climate sensitivity and feedbacks. The "peculiar" 128 trend in SST patterns as termed by Fueglistaler and Silvers (2021) may have occurred 129 through unforced variability and it may then be by chance that the real world SSTs have 130 evolved in a way that induces a more strongly stabilising feedback. Or, it is possible that 131 the trend is forced, e.g. by aerosols or volcanic emissions (D. Smith et al., 2016; Gregory 132 et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2024), and our AOGCMs struggle to simulate the real world 133 SSTs accurately due to limitations in our current modelling capabilities. 134

To date, most of the work examining radiative feedbacks, pattern effects and ef-135 ficacies has been limited to idealised experimental designs or small ensembles of histor-136 ical AOGCM simulations with a single model, or via model intercomparisons such as the 137 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) (Eyring et al., 2016), where still only 138 relatively small ensemble sizes are available. Questions remain on the influence of nat-139 ural variability in historical climate change on diagnosed estimates of feedbacks, the quan-140 tification of the forced response to different forcings and whether radiative feedback sim-141 ulated in AOGCM historical simulations are consistent with observed estimates. Large 142 initial condition ensembles with a single model are useful to address this. For example, 143 previously, large ensembles have been shown to provide valuable insight into the sepa-144 ration of forced climate change and internal variability (Kay et al., 2015). From a sea 145 ice sensitivity perspective, Kay et al. (2011) demonstrate that using an ensemble to quan-146 tify internal variability shows that recent trends in sea ice decline cannot be reproduced 147 from modeled internal variability alone. Adams and Dessler (2019) employ a 100 mem-148 ber ensemble of historical simulations to show that internal variability could be a key 149 contributor to the difference in Transient Climate Response (TCR) estimates between 150 models and observations. Applying the analysis of this 100 member ensemble to the study 151 of climate sensitivity and feedbacks over the historical period, Dessler et al. (2018) high-152 light a large range in EffCS estimates between 2.1 and 3.9K. They note that given that 153 the real world 20th century is just one realisation of a range of possible realities, due to 154 that large internal variability, we should not expect estimates of EffCS from observations 155 to be a precise guide to the real world's forced response. Alongside this, they note that 156 that different forcing efficacies, imperfect observations, and uncertainty in 20th century 157 forcing also pose challenges for interpreting EffCS from the historical period. Gregory 158 et al. (2020) also noted the high levels of internal variability over the historical record 159 showing how this variability contributed to uncertainty to estimates of EffCS. 160

In this paper we use a new set of four large ensembles of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL his torical and single forcing simulations performed for the Large Ensemble Single Forcing
 Model Intercomparison Project (LESFMIP) (D. Smith et al., 2022), aiming to address
 the following questions.

- how does natural variability cause differences and spread in climate feedbacks in response to the same imposed forcing?
 - 2. What causes different efficacies of different historical forcing agents?
- Can AOGCM historical simulations where the model simulates it's own SSTs
 capture the radiative feedback and EffCS estimated from AGCM experiments
 prescribed with observed SSTs?

Previously, T. Andrews et al. (2019) investigated EffCS and feedbacks in HadGEM3-171 GC3.1-LL in a 4 member ensemble of historical simulations, finding a net feedback (λ) 172 of $-0.86 \pm 0.4 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ (5-95%). This ensemble mean estimate is more negative than 173 the abrupt-4×CO2 feedback in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL of -0.63 Wm⁻²K⁻¹, although the 174 5-95% confidence range does extend up to -0.46 Wm⁻²K⁻¹. The large spread in λ was found 175 to be partly caused by considerable variations in Antarctic sea ice. This variability in 176 sea ice inhibited accurate evaluation of the model's historical forced EffCS. There, T. An-177 drews et al. (2019) were limited to an ensemble of only 4 simulations, so questions re-178 main about whether the full diversity of variability was sampled. Here we investigate this 179 with a much larger ensemble of 47 members. 180

In the following section we describe the model and experimental setup used. Section 3 presents the results and Section 4 provides a discussion and conclusions.

183 2 Methods

2.1 HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL

The analysis in this paper uses simulations performed using HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, an AOGCM with an atmospheric resolution of 135 km with 85 vertical levels and an ocean resolution of 1° and 75 vertical levels (M. B. Andrews et al., 2020). Further details can be found in Williams et al. (2017) where a description of the model's configuration is given.

189

184

167

2.2 Large Historical Ensemble

In this analysis, ensembles of historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiment 190 are used, with 47 members of each experiment mostly consisting of simulations performed 191 for LESFMIP. These experiments are AOGCM simulations analysed between 1850–2014 192 with atmospheric constituents set to historical levels. Here, the historical experiment in-193 cludes all forcing agents, whilst the hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat contain only the forc-194 ing associated with well mixed greenhouse gases, anthropogenic aerosols, and natural forc-195 ings respectively (Gillett et al., 2016). Each ensemble member differs only in their ini-196 tial conditions branching from the piControl experiment at different times (1850, 1885, 197 and every 10 years between 1860 and 2300). The piControl experiment is an AOGCM 198 experiment with atmospheric constituents set to pre-industrial levels. The 47 ensemble 199 members consist of 45 simulations performed as part of the LESFMIP ensemble (D. Smith 200 et al., 2022), and two simulations previously analysed in T. Andrews et al. (2019). Only 201 two of the four simulations used in T. Andrews et al. (2019) were analysed here since 202 the other two members had identical branch times to members of the LESFMIP ensem-203 ble. 204



Figure 1. (a) Timeseries of global annual mean T_s in the piControl experiment (grey line), 500 year trend (dashed black line), and branch times for each of the historical and single forcing experiment ensemble members (dots). Red dots indicate the ensemble members that have been excluded due to the strong warming seen in the piControl experiment. (b) 190 year piControl trend for each ensemble member branch date (red), and 500 year piControl trend (horizontal black dashed line).

2.3 piControl and Detrending

To compare ensemble members in the 47 member ensembles, the control drift must 206 be removed from each simulation. For this analysis, this drift is removed by calculating 207 the trend over the first 500 years of the piControl experiment via linear regression and 208 subtracting the corresponding time period from each ensemble member. The piControl 209 timeseries of global annual mean T_s and the 500 year trend is shown in Figure 1a where 210 the dots depict the branch dates for each member of the historical ensemble. This method 211 of control drift removal is chosen in favour of subtracting the piControl year by year to 212 avoid unnecessarily introducing more noise into the historical simulations. The 500 year 213 trend is also preferred above subtracting the 190 year trend across the corresponding pi-214 Control period due to issues introduced towards the end of the piControl simulation, where 215 a marked global warming is seen at around 2350. This warming has been previously doc-216 umented by Ridley et al. (2022) where it is attributed to the onset of deep convection 217 in the Weddell and Ross Sea gyres due to a destabilising of the Southern Ocean. When 218 removing the control drift from the historical ensemble, any drift removed is assumed 219 to be present in the historical ensemble member. For the trend seen over the first 500 220 years of the control run this is a reasonable assumption, however in the case of the large 221 warming seen around 2350, this assumption may not hold. The impact that this warm-222 ing has on the 190 year control trend for the respective historical ensemble branch dates 223 is shown in Figure 1b. Here, unsurprisingly, a strong positive trend is seen for ensem-224 ble members that branch after the year 2150. We found no evidence that the warming 225 seen in the piControl experiment is present in historical ensemble members initiated up 226 to 2300, but to avoid this feature contaminating the comparison of ensemble members, 227 the last 5 ensemble members have been removed from the analysis. This is why although 228 the LESFMIP ensemble consists of 50 members, only 45 of them are used here. 229

230

205

2.4 Diagnosing Historical Forcing

²³¹ Whilst λ can be calculated for the abrupt-4xCO2 and amip-piForcing experiments ²³² from only T_s and N (since the F is constant), the time varying F over the historical pe-²³³ riod means that in order to estimate λ , we must first diagnose F.

	Experiments			
Experiment Name	Atmospheric Constituents	SSTs	Run Time	Ensemble Size
Coupled experime	ents			
piControl	pre-industrial	free running	1850 - 3850	1
abrupt-4xCO2	pre-industrial $CO_2 \times 4$	free running	1850 - 2350	1
historical	historical	free running	1850 - 2014	47
hist-ghg	historical well mixed green- house gases	free running	1850-2014	47
hist-aer	historical aerosols	free running	1850 - 2014	47
hist-nat	historical natural forcing	free running	1850 - 2014	47
Atmosphere-only	experiments			
amip- piForcing	pre-industrial	historical observed	1870 - 2014	1
piClim-control	pre-industrial	piControl	1850 - 1890	3
piClim-histall	historical to 2014 then ssp- 245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histghg	historical well mixed green- house gases only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histaer	historical aerosols only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histnat	historical natural forcing only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3

Tab	le	1.	Description	of experi	mental setup	used.
-----	----	----	-------------	-----------	--------------	-------

Typically, the historical F is diagnosed using RFMIP experiments piClim-control 234 and piClim-histall (Forster et al., 2016; Pincus et al., 2016). These are two AGCM ex-235 periments with prescribed SSTs from the piControl simulation. For piClim-control, at-236 mospheric constituents are set to pre-industrial levels and the experiment is run for 30 237 years. Averaging over the 30 years provides the control state. For piClim-histall atmo-238 spheric constituents are set to historical levels between 1850 - 2014 and to ssp-245 lev-239 els between 2015 and 2100. Subtracting the 30 year mean piClim-control top of atmo-240 sphere radiative flux from the 1850 - 2100 piClim-histall top of atmosphere flux provides 241 F, with years 1850–2014 relevant for the analysis of the historical period. 242

In order to diagnose F for the individual forcing components that correspond to the hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments, a similar experimental setup to the piClimhistall experiment is used but only the forcing from the relevant component is applied. These experiments are termed piClim-histghg, piClim-histaer, and piClim-histnat (Forster et al., 2016; Pincus et al., 2016).

A summary of the setup for each experiment used in this paper is presented in Table 1.

250 3 Results

251

3.1 Diagnosing Feedbacks in Historical and Single Forcing Ensembles

As discussed in the introduction, the feedback parameter (λ) can be estimated via 252 linear regression of global annual mean surface-air-temperatures (T_s) against top of at-253 mosphere radiative fluxes (N) minus the changes in flux associated with the radiative 254 forcing (F). Timeseries of these diagnostics are presented in Figure 2, where 2a and b 255 show the anomalous global annual mean T_s and anomalous global annual mean N re-256 spectively in every ensemble member and in each experiment, and 2c shows the global 257 annual mean F associated with each experiment. From Figure 2a it can be seen that the 258 cooling effect of anthropogenic aerosols and natural forcings is approximately offset by 259 the warming effect of increased greenhouse gases between 1850 and 1990. Here, the F260 associated with greenhouse gases and aerosols gradually increase, however, after approx-261 imately 1990 the aerosol F remains relatively constant (around -1.5 $\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$) whilst the 262 F associated with greenhouse gases continues to increase (Figure 2c) (T. Andrews et al., 263 2019). This leads to a net positive F after 1990 in the historical experiment which re-264 sults in an increase in global mean T_s , warming by approximately 0.8 K by 2014. A de-265 tailed analysis of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL historical simulations is presented in M. B. An-266 drews et al. (2020). An example of how λ is calculated from these timeseries of T_s , N, 267 and F is presented in Figure 2d, where, for the first ensemble member in the historical 268 experiment, a feedback parameter of $-0.85 \pm 0.15 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ is estimated. There the un-269 certainty is estimated as ± 1.645 standard deviations, calculated from the standard er-270 ror of the linear fit. 271

One assumption made when estimating λ using timeseries of T_s , N, and F is that 272 the changes in global mean T_s associated with the forcing is zero (i.e. the surface-air-273 temperature change between piClim-control and piClim-histall is zero). This is gener-274 ally a reasonable assumption to make, given that the prescribed SSTs do not warm and 275 therefore any changes in land surface temperatures are constrained to be small (Lambert 276 et al., 2011). However, despite this temperature change being small, taking this into ac-277 count can substantially affect the values of λ estimated. This caveat is noted in Hansen 278 et al. (2005) and Vial et al. (2013) and becomes a particularly relevant issue when com-279 paring feedbacks in the historical experiment to feedbacks in the amip-piForcing exper-280 iment, since there is no forced temperature change in the amip-piForcing experiment where 281 F = 0 by construction. To handle this issue, in this paper, λ has been calculated ac-282 counting for this forced temperature change (Equation 3). 283

284

$$\lambda = d(N - F)/d(T_s - \delta T_{s_{forced}}) \tag{3}$$

²⁸⁵ Where $\delta T_{s_{forced}}$ is calculated as the change in global surface-air-temperature be-²⁸⁶ tween piClim-control and the relevant piClim-hist experiment used to diagnose F. To ²⁸⁷ simplify the notation, we refer to $(T_s - \delta T_{s_{forced}})$ simply as T_s . Similarly, later when analysing ²⁸⁸ atmospheric temperatures (T_a) , we refer to $(T_a - \delta T_{a_{forced}})$ simply as T_a .

To summarise the feedbacks seen across the different experiments analysed, box-289 plots of feedbacks in the historical and single forcing experiments and markers showing 290 the feedbacks in both amip-piForcing and abrupt-4xCO2 experiments are shown in Fig-291 ure 3b. Here the net feedback has been decomposed into shortwave clear-sky (SW_{cs}) , 292 longwave clear-sky (LW_{cs}) , and cloud radiative effect (cre) components. Such a decom-293 position is useful since it can help isolate the different processes and feedback mecha-294 nisms involved. λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , and λ_{cre} are calculated by decomposing N and F into 295 the relevant fluxes when applying Equation 3. From Figure 3b, a large spread in feed-296 backs across the historical ensemble can be seen, ranging from approximately -0.7 to -297 1.3 $\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$. Using a 2×CO₂ F of 4.05 Wm^{-2} for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL (T. Andrews 298



Figure 2. (a) Timeseries of anomalous global annual mean T_s in the historical and single forcing experiments. Thick lines indicate the ensemble mean and thinner lines represent each individual ensemble member. (b) Timeseries of anomalous global annual mean N in the historical and single forcing experiments. Again, thick lines indicate the ensemble mean and thinner lines represent each individual ensemble member. (c) Timeseries of global annual mean F for historical and single forcing scenarios averaged across the three ensemble members for each experiment. (d) Example of method used to estimate λ , where λ is calculated by linearly regressing T_s against (N - F). Each dot represents a year in the historical experiment and the black line shows regression line where the slope (λ) is estimated to be $-0.85 \pm 0.15 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$. Here, the uncertainty is estimated as ± 1.645 standard deviations, calculated from the standard error of the linear fit.

et al., 2019), and applying Equation 1, such a range in feedbacks leads to an estimate 299 of EffCS between approximately 3 and 6K (Figure 3a). This highlights the role of in-300 ternal variability in causing different feedback and EffCS estimates over the historical 301 period. The spread in feedbacks seen in the historical and single forcing experiments is 302 largest in the hist-nat experiment and smallest in the hist-ghg experiment, possibly due 303 to the varying signal to noise ratios across the different experiments. The T_s changes in 304 the hist-nat experiment are generally small (Figure 2a), and the natural F is also small 305 with an occasional strong but short-lived signal caused by volcanic emissions (Figure 2c). 306 This causes the regression of T_s against (N-F) to be relatively noisy compared to the 307 hist-ghg experiment where both T_s and (N-F) have a much stronger signal. This is 308 also consistent with the contrast in estimated uncertainty of the linear fit of T_s and (N-309 F) where for each experiment, the standard error of the linear fit of every ensemble mem-310 ber has be estimated. The estimation of λ_{net} in the hist-ghg experiment has an average 311 5-95% interval of ± 0.066 Wm⁻²K⁻¹, whereas for hist-nat, the mean 5-95% interval is 312 $\pm 0.25 \ \mathrm{Wm^{-2}K^{-1}}.$ 313



Figure 3. (a) Boxplot of EffCS across the historical ensemble (1850–2014). (b) Boxplots of feedbacks in the historical and single forcing ensembles (1850–2014), amip-piForcing experiment (1870–2014), and abrupt-4xCO2 experiment (first 150 years). For each boxplot, the vertical black lines indicate each ensemble member, the whiskers indicate the maximum and minimum feedbacks seen in the ensemble, the boxes indicate the interquartile range, and the vertical orange line represents the median value. Error bars on amip-piForcing indicate the 5-95% confidence interval, calculated from the standard error of the linear fit.

A further decomposition of λ_{cre} into shortwave and longwave components is shown in Figure S1. There, the largest contribution to the spread in λ_{cre} comes from the shortwave component, consistent with the strong influence of low cloud feedbacks, and the cancelling of the longwave and shortwave response to changes in high cloud.

The feedbacks seen in each historical and single forcing experiment are largely con-318 sistent with each other (i.e. differing forcing efficacies do not appear to be strongly ev-319 ident in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL), although a slightly more negative median feedback is seen 320 in the hist-ghg experiment, consistent with the findings of Salvi et al. (2022). In Figure 321 3, the more negative median feedback in the hist-ghg experiment is shown to be caused 322 by a weaker λ_{SWcs} , although due to the large spread in historical, hist-aer, and hist-nat 323 feedbacks, the lower tails of the feedbacks in these experiments extend to be more neg-324 ative than the lower tail of the hist-ghg experiment. The amip-piForcing and abrupt-325 4xCO2 feedbacks are also shown in Figure 3b. For each component of λ_{net} , the amip-326 piForcing feedback lies towards the lower tail of the historical ensemble, a behaviour most 327 strongly seen in the λ_{SWcs} , and λ_{LWcs} components. 328

Maps of the ensemble mean feedbacks and amip-piForcing feedbacks are shown in 329 Figure 4 to help identify where different feedbacks are located and to highlight regions 330 where feedbacks differ across the range of experiments analysed. The spatial feedback 331 map is calculated by regressing the local (N-F) against the global mean T_s changes. 332 Here the ensemble mean feedbacks are calculated by taking the regression of the mean 333 rather than calculating the feedback for each ensemble member and averaging across the 334 ensemble. This was done to help reduce the noise in the regression of (N-F) and T_s 335 when calculating the feedbacks. 336

From Figure 4, it can be seen that different feedbacks dominate in different regions. 337 For example, in general λ_{SWcs} is strongly positive at higher latitudes and small at lower 338 latitudes. This is because the sea ice feedback is a key feedback affecting the SW_{cs} fluxes. 330 The strong positive λ_{SWcs} seen over the northern hemisphere land masses is likely re-340 lated to snow and land ice feedbacks, and the strong negative λ_{SWcs} seen in the South-341 ern Ocean in the hist-aer experiment may be caused by ocean convective events that bring 342 warmer water to the surface due to destabilization of the ocean, similar to those discussed 343 in (Ridley et al., 2022). 344

With the exception of the Southern Ocean feature seen in the hist-aer experiment, 345 the λ_{LWcs} is generally negative everywhere across all experiments, although a few small 346 regions in the amip-piForcing experiment also have positive λ_{LWcs} . The λ_{LWcs} is largely 347 composed of the Planck, lapse rate, and water vapour feedbacks. This term is generally 348 large and negative due to the strong Planck response. Over the Southern Ocean in the 349 hist-aer experiment, since this region warms, which is of opposite sign to the cooling seen 350 over the rest of the planet, the λ_{LWcs} is strongly positive in this region. In the tropics, 351 the lapse rate and Planck feedbacks are typically negative, therefore the positive λ_{LWcs} 352 regions in the amip-piForcing experiment over the tropics are likely caused by the wa-353 ter vapour feedback (Stephens et al., 2016). 354

 λ_{cre} exhibits relatively large spatial variations. In the historical and single forcing 355 experiments (particularly hist-aer) a strongly positive λ_{cre} is seen over the North Pa-356 cific, highlighting the role of positive cloud feedbacks in the sub-tropical cloud decks in 357 subsidence regions. Again, λ_{cre} has been decomposed into longwave and shortwave com-358 ponents (Figure S2). The strong λ_{cre} over the North Pacific is caused by shortwave cloud 359 feedbacks, and over tropical high cloud regions, e.g. the Indo-Pacific warm pool region, 360 strong shortwave and longwave cloud feedbacks cancel, causing the relatively weak λ_{cre} 361 over much of the tropics. 362

From these maps of feedbacks, it can be seen that although in the global mean, different efficacies are not particularly large in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, spatially, large variations do exist between the different experiments.

As mentioned in the introduction, differences in feedbacks across experiments and 366 ensemble members are generally thought to be fundamentally caused by differing SST 367 patterns. Therefore, to help understand the differences in feedbacks seen in Figure 4, en-368 semble mean T_s patterns are shown in Figure 5. Similar to the maps of λ , these have been 369 calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local changes in T_s against the ensemble mean 370 global mean T_s , written as $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, where the bar indicates a global mean. In Figure 371 5, the strongest regions of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ occur in the Arctic, with weaker more spatially uni-372 form dT_s/dT_s seen over the tropics. Over the Southern Ocean, large variations in dT_s/dT_s 373 are seen across the different experiments. Here, hist-nat exhibits the strongest dT_s/dT_s 374 whilst hist-aer exhibits a negative $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ (i.e. although global mean T_s is decreasing 375 in the hist-aer experiment, the southern ocean warms). As previously mentioned, this 376 may be caused by ocean convective events that bring warmer water to the surface due 377 to destabilization of the ocean (Ridley et al., 2022). In the northern hemisphere high lat-378 itudes, hist-aer exhibits the strongest $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, possibly due to the aerosol F being pre-379 dominantly based in the northern hemisphere. Over the tropics $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is relatively con-380 sistent across each experiment. 381

Since one of the key aims of this paper is to understand the ensemble spread in feedbacks, maps of the standard deviation in λ in the historical experiment help to highlight the regions that contribute most to this spread (Figure 6). From Figure 6 it can be seen



Figure 4. Maps of ensemble mean λ_{net} , λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , and λ_{cre} in amip-piForcing, historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments. Here, λ has been calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local annual mean (N - F) against the ensemble mean global annual mean T_s between 1850 – 2014 for historical and single forcing experiments, and 1870 – 2014 for amip-piForcing.

that for λ_{SWcs} most of the spread comes from the higher latitudes. In contrast, for λ_{cre} , variations in cloud feedbacks across the tropics and subtropics contribute to the spread. λ_{LWcs} exhibits the smallest standard deviations suggesting that this component contributes less to the ensemble spread in feedbacks. This is likely due to the fact that the Planck, lapse rate and water vapour feedbacks are highly constrained by model physics.

The three main scientific aims of this paper were to a) understand how natural vari-390 ability causes different feedbacks in response to the same imposed forcing (for example, 391 what is it that causes one historical ensemble member to have an net feedback of -1.3 392 $Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$ whilst another has a feedback of -0.7 $Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$?), b) understand what causes 393 different efficacies across different forcing agents, and c) investigate whether the AOGCM 394 historical simulations - where the model simulates its own SSTs - can capture the radia-395 tive feedback and EffCS estimated from AGCM experiments prescribed with observed 396 SSTs (i.e. are the feedbacks seen in the historical experiment consistent with those seen 397 in amip-piForcing?). To address these questions, the different components of λ_{net} are 398 investigated in isolation, with Section 3.2 investigating λ_{SWcs} , Section 3.3 investigating 399 λ_{LWcs} , and Section 3.4 investigating λ_{cre} . 400

401

3.2 Processes Affecting Shortwave Clear-sky Feedbacks (λ_{SWcs})

This section aims to understand λ_{SWcs} in the historical and single forcing exper-402 iments, addressing the cause of the ensemble spread, the disparity between historical and 403 amip-piForcing, and the cause of different efficacies across the different forcing agents. 404 Figure 3 shows that λ_{SWcs} is a key contributor to the ensemble spread in λ_{net} , and the 405 correlation between the two feedbacks is 0.82 across the historical experiment ensemble. 406 Both the maps of λ_{SWcs} and standard deviation in λ_{SWcs} (Figure 4 and Figure 6b) in-407 dicate that most of the signal and spread in λ_{SWcs} comes from the higher latitudes, a 408 region where the sea ice albedo feedback is a key process. We suggest that this feedback 409



Figure 5. (left) maps of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in KK⁻¹ in each experiment; amip-piForcing, historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat. Here, $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ has been calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local annual mean T_s against the ensemble mean global annual mean T_s between 1850 – 2014 for historical and single forcing experiments, and 1870 – 2014 for amip-piForcing. (right) Zonal mean of maps to the left.

is a key contributor to the spread in λ_{SWcs} and a scatter plot of λ_{SWcs} against global 410 sea ice fraction change per degree of warming $(d(Sea Ice)/d\bar{T}_s)$ shown in Figure 7a con-411 firms this. There, a correlation of -0.84 is seen between the two variables in the histor-412 ical experiment over the full time period from 1850 – 2014. As previously mentioned, 413 ultimately, the cause of differing feedbacks can be explained through variations in SST 414 patterns. To understand the varying $d(Sea \, Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} across the ensemble, scat-415 ter plots of polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ against global $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} are shown in Fig-416 ure 7b and c respectively. Here polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is characterised by averaging over latitudes 417 greater than 60°N and lower than 60°S. From Figure 7b and c, a strong relationship be-418 tween polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and both $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} can be seen. This suggests that 419 the spread in λ_{SWcs} can be understood by the degree of polar amplification across the 420 ensemble. 421

Figure 7a also indicates that the sea ice albedo feedback is a key reason for the dif-422 ferences in λ_{SWcs} between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. Here, the amip-423 piForcing experiment has been analysed only between 1980 and 2014 due to the unre-424 alistic evolution of sea ice in the amip-piForcing experiment prior to 1980 when sea ice 425 observations were sparse (Titchner & Rayner, 2014; T. Andrews et al., 2018). It is there-426 fore important to note that much of the absolute difference in λ_{SWcs} and $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ 427 between the amip-piForcing and historical experiments in Figure 7 may be due to the 428 different time frames analysed. The historical experiment has also been analysed between 429 1980 and 2014 (Figure 7 non-filled circles) and no substantial change in the relationship 430 between each variable is seen. This does not rule out the possibility that the amip-piForcing 431 evolution of sea ice, polar temperatures, and λ_{SWcs} may have been different for the longer 432



Figure 6. Maps of standard deviation in λ_{net} , λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , λ_{cre} , and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in the historical experiment. Here, λ has been calculated by regressing the local changes in (N - F) against the global mean T_s change, and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is the local T_s regressed against global mean T_s .



Figure 7. Scatter plots of (a) change in global sea ice per degree of warming against λ_{SWcs} , (b) change in T_s at latitudes greater than 60°N or lower than -60°S per degree of global warming against change in global sea ice per degree of global warming, and (c) change in T_s at latitudes greater than 60°N or lower than 60°S per degree of global warming against λ_{SWcs} . Here, each black dot represents a historical ensemble member where values are calculated between 1850–2014 for the filled black dots, and 1980–2014 for the unfilled black dots. The magenta dots represent the amip-piForcing experiment calculated between 1980–2014 (due to sparse sea ice observations prior to 1980).

period, however, the fact that the amip-piForcing experiment is consistent with the re-433 lationship seen in the historical experiment (demonstrated in Figure 7a) would suggest 434 that differences in λ_{SWcs} between historical and amip-piForcing experiments can be ex-435 plained through this mechanism, and the smaller λ_{SWcs} in amip-piForcing is related to 436 the smaller $d(Sea\,Ice)/dT_s$. The fact that in 7b the amip-piForcing experiment does not 437 fit the historical ensemble relationship between polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ sug-438 gests that the AOGCMs simulation of the relationship between SSTs and sea ice 439 melt is not the same as the observed relationship in the real world (assuming the rela-440 tionship seen in amip-piForcing is a good analogue for the real world). 441

Thus far the ensemble spread and the disparity between historical and amip-piForcing estimates of λ_{SWcs} has been investigated. It is shown that the sea ice albedo feedback is a key process responsible for both, with the level of arctic amplification providing the link between ensemble spread in λ_{SWcs} and T_s patterns. Previously, Dessler (2020) also ⁴⁴⁶ investigated changes in sea ice and its impact on feedbacks. Consistent with the results ⁴⁴⁷ shown in Figure 7, Dessler (2020) also found sea ice variability to cause a large spread ⁴⁴⁸ in λ_{SWcs} in their historical ensemble with a different model, where these feedback vari-⁴⁴⁹ ations were linked to changes in different modes of ocean variability. Since Figure 7 high-⁴⁵⁰ lights a strong relationship between polar SSTs and sea ice, understanding causes of po-⁴⁵¹ lar SST change and how they are predicted to evolve in a future climate is important.

⁴⁵² Other processes could also contribute to the spread in λ_{SWcs} , such as snow melt. ⁴⁵³ This could be responsible for the strong λ_{SWcs} seen over the Northern Hemisphere land ⁴⁵⁴ masses in Figure 4 f, g, h, i, and j, and the spread in λ_{SWcs} seen in Figure 6b. However, ⁴⁵⁵ this process is not investigated further here since the strongest spread in λ_{SWcs} is seen ⁴⁵⁶ over the Arctic and Southern Oceans.

⁴⁵⁷ With the understanding gained from Figure 7, the different efficacies of each forc-⁴⁵⁸ ing agent are investigated. Maps of ensemble mean λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ are shown in Fig-⁴⁵⁹ ure 8. Here, the hist-ghg experiment is shown and each of the other experiments are shown ⁴⁶⁰ relative to the hist-ghg values. This enables clearer identification of the differences be-⁴⁶¹ tween each forcing agent.

From Figure 8 the spatial pattern of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} are shown to be similar, 462 suggesting that the regional change in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ leads to regional changes in λ_{SWcs} due 463 to the close relationship between T_s and sea ice. This is true for both the northern and southern hemisphere and also across each of the experiments. The spatial correlations 465 between $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} across all experiments and each hemisphere are between 466 0.64 - 0.88, further highlighting the strong coupling between local T_s patterns and lo-467 cal feedbacks. For the historical experiment, in the southern hemisphere, a stronger λ_{SWcs} 468 is associated with a larger Southern Ocean dT_s/dT_s relative to hist-ghg. The northern 469 hemisphere maps in 8b show contrasting feedbacks between the Arctic Ocean regions and 470 the slightly lower latitude regions around the Labrador Sea. Over the Arctic Ocean hist-471 ghg has a stronger λ_{SWcs} compared to the historical simulations, whereas around the 472 Labrador Sea, the historical experiment has the stronger λ_{SWcs} . This is reflected in the 473 dT_s/dT_s patterns, where the historical experiment has a weaker dT_s/dT_s over the Arc-474 tic Ocean, but a stronger dT_s/dT_s over the Labrador Sea. This northern hemisphere pat-475 tern in λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ relative to hist-ghg is similar to that seen in the hist-aer and 476 hist-nat experiment, where the hist-aer experiment demonstrates the largest positive λ_{SWcs} 477 values and also extends these positive values furthest south. 478

In the southern hemisphere, unlike the historical experiment, the hist-aer experiment shows strongly negative λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ relative to the hist-ghg experiment. As previously mentioned, this may be due to ocean convection in the Southern Ocean triggered by the ocean becoming unstable (Ridley et al., 2022). This convection could bring warmer water up from below, warming the surface, melting sea ice, and resulting in a negative λ_{SWcs} .

Here, it has been shown that the sea ice albedo feedback and the level of arctic amplification is a key process in producing the large spread in λ_{SWcs} across the ensemble and is also a key reason for the different feedback seen in the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. It has also been shown that the different efficacies seen across the different historical and single forcing experiments can be explained through differing SST patterns (in agreement with Haugstad et al. (2017)), with the experiments with a stronger λ_{SWcs} locally, also exhibiting a larger $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$.



Figure 8. Maps of (top rows) surface warming pattern (KK⁻¹) and (bottom rows) λ_{SWcs} over the (right columns) northern and (left columns) southern hemisphere poles in the (a) histghg experiment and (b) historical, (c) hist-aer and (d) hist-nat experiments relative to hist-ghg.

492

3.3 Processes Affecting Longwave Clear-sky Feedbacks (λ_{LWcs})

From Figure 3 it can be seen that whilst the λ_{LWcs} does not contribute much to the different efficacies seen in each of the historical and single forcing experiments, it does contribute to the spread in λ_{net} and is also a large source of disparity between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. Understanding the spread in λ_{LWcs} and the disparity between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments is the aim of this section.

 λ_{LWcs} is determined by a combination of the Planck feedback, the water vapour 498 feedback and the lapse rate feedback (T. Andrews & Webb, 2018). The water vapour 499 and lapse rate feedbacks have been shown to be strongest in the tropical troposphere (Soden 500 et al., 2008; T. Andrews & Webb, 2018), since the tropical atmosphere closely follows 501 a moist adiabatic lapse rate and therefore any warming at the surface is amplified ver-502 tically in the atmosphere (Po-Chedley et al., 2018). To investigate the λ_{LWcs} in the his-503 torical ensemble, first, plots of zonal mean atmospheric temperature regressed against 504 global mean T_s $(dT_a/d\overline{T}_s)$ are analysed (Figure 9). Note that as previously discussed, 505 here, the atmospheric temperature (T_a) has had any changes associated with the forc-506 ing subtracted from it (see discussion following Equation 3). This means that the CO_2 507



Figure 9. Zonal mean changes in temperature per degree of global warming in the (a) historical and (b) amip-piForcing experiments.

driven stratospheric cooling in the historical experiment is removed, and a more accurate comparison between historical and amip-piForcing experiments can be made.

From Figure 9 the pattern of $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ seen in both the historical and amip-piForcing 510 experiments demonstrates a marked warming over the tropical troposphere. Compar-511 ing Figure 9b and c it can be seen that this tropospheric dT_a/dT_s is stronger in amip-512 piForcing compared to the historical experiment. The amip-piForcing experiment also 513 exhibits a stronger $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ over the southern hemisphere troposphere, whilst the his-514 torical experiment has a larger $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ signal over the northern hemisphere high lat-515 itudes. This is potentially due to the different T_s patterns seen in the historical and amip-516 piForcing experiments, with the subtropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ being slightly greater in the North-517 ern Hemisphere in the historical ensemble and in the Southern Hemisphere in amip-piForcing 518 (Figure 5f). 519

Since the tropical troposphere is a key region in causing variations in λ_{LWcs} , a re-520 gion between $30^{\circ}S - 30^{\circ}N$ and between 100 - 500 hPa has been analysed further. A scat-521 ter plot of tropical tropospheric dT_a/dT_s against λ_{LWcs} is shown in Figure 10a. There 522 it can be seen that a strong correlation between the two variables exists with a corre-523 lation coefficient of -0.8, consistent with physical expectations that a larger upper trop-524 ical tropospheric temperature results in a larger lapse rate feedback and a more nega-525 tive λ_{LWcs} (T. Andrews & Webb, 2018). The amip-piForcing tropical tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ 526 and λ_{LWcs} has also been indicated in Figure 10a, where it can be seen that the tropi-527 cal tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ does well to capture why the feedbacks in historical and amip-528 piForcing experiments differ. 529



Figure 10. Scatter plots of (a) tropical tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{LWcs} , (b) tropical Lower Tropospheric Stability (LTS) change per degree of global warming $(d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s)$ against λ_{LWcs} , and (c) tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{LWcs} . Here the tropics have been characterised by averaging between 30°S and 30°N, and the tropical troposphere has used the same latitudinal bounds and averaged between 100–500 hPa (see red boxes in Figure 9). In each plot, black dots represent the historical ensemble and amip-piForcing values are represented by a magenta dot.

Since the spread in feedbacks can ultimately be derived from differing SST patterns, 530 and given the strong relationship between tropical tropospheric temperature and λ_{LWcs} , 531 the relationship between tropical mean dT_s/dT_s and λ_{LWcs} has been investigated (Fig-532 ure 10c). Figure 10c follows a similar analysis to that performed by Soden and Held (2006). 533 There, they demonstrated that across a range of models, due to the approximately adi-534 abatic lapse rate of the tropical atmosphere, the strong coupling between the surface and 535 free troposphere in the tropics, and the relatively weak coupling present over higher lat-536 itudes, the ratio between tropical and global warming was a good metric for determin-537 ing the inter-model spread in lapse rate feedback. In Figure 10c it is shown that across 538 the historical ensemble, the tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is well correlated with λ_{LWcs} with a cor-539 relation coefficient of -0.79. It is clear that ensemble members with a stronger warming 540 over the tropics relative to the global mean also have a more strongly negative λ_{LWcs} . 541

As well as explaining the ensemble spread in λ_{LWcs} , tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ changes can also be used to explain the disparity between amip-piForcing and historical experiments. Figure 10c shows that the amip-piForcing experiment has a strong $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in the tropics and also has a strong negative λ_{LWcs} .

546

3.4 Processes Affecting Cloud Feedbacks (λ_{cre})

Although the historical ensemble used in this paper indicates that λ_{cre} is not the 547 feedback with the largest spread (λ_{SWcs} has a standard deviation of 0.073 Wm⁻²K⁻¹ 548 whilst λ_{cre} has a standard deviation of 0.06 Wm⁻²K⁻¹), for long term estimates of Ef-549 fCS across different models, cloud feedbacks are the largest source of uncertainty and 550 are the least understood (Forster et al., 2021; Ceppi & Nowack, 2021; Zelinka et al., 2016; 551 Ceppi et al., 2017). Because of this, over recent years, cloud feedbacks have been the fo-552 cus of many studies. Cloud controlling factor analyses such as Ceppi and Nowack (2021) 553 and Blanco et al. (2023) aim to relate changes in clouds to other meteorological factors, 554 such as free tropospheric humidity (van der Dussen et al., 2015), SSTs (Bretherton & 555 Blossey, 2014), surface wind speed (Brueck et al., 2015) and inversion strength (Qu et 556 al., 2015; Klein et al., 2017; Kawai et al., 2017). By better understanding what factors 557

cause clouds to change, it is possible to understand differences in cloud feedbacks across models/ensembles.

In this section, λ_{cre} is investigated, primarily focusing on the spread across the his-560 torical experiment ensemble. Previously, Salvi et al. (2022) suggested that the different 561 efficacies of well mixed greenhouse gases and aerosols were linked to changes in clouds 562 due to differing changes in stability (although a large variability is seen across different 563 models and a relatively small ensemble of 7 models was used). However here, the results shown in Figure 3 would suggest that for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, λ_{cre} does not contribute 565 substantially to different forcing efficacies in the global mean. To understand the spa-566 tial distribution of λ_{cre} , Figure 4q is analysed. Here, strong positive cloud feedbacks are 567 seen over the North Pacific and North Atlantic, and slightly weaker cloud feedbacks are 568 seen over the Southern Indian Ocean and South Atlantic (each caused by positive short-569 wave cloud feedbacks - Figure S2). To understand the spread in λ_{cre} , maps of standard 570 deviation in λ_{cre} , λ_{SWcre} , and λ_{LWcre} and standard deviation in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ are shown in 571 Figure 11. From Figure 11a it is possible to identify regions where the spread in λ_{cre} is 572 largest and therefore which regions contribute most to the spread seen in Figure 3. The 573 regions with the largest spread in λ_{cre} are the North Pacific and North Atlantic, due to 574 a large spread in λ_{SWcre} . The Southern Ocean and low cloud deck regions off the east 575 coast of South America, Australia and Southern Africa, also exhibit a moderately large 576 standard deviation in λ_{cre} , again due to shortwave cloud feedbacks. The map of stan-577 dard deviation of λ_{LWcre} shows a large spread in feedbacks over the tropical ascent re-578 gions, however as previously discussed, in these regions, longwave and shortwave responses 579 to changes in cloud cancel, and therefore the standard deviation in net cloud feedbacks 580 in these regions is generally small. 581

The spatial distribution of the standard deviation in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ shown in Figure 11f is relatively similar to the pattern of standard deviation in λ_{cre} . Calculating the spatial correlation between Figures 11a and f, a correlation coefficient of 0.47 is found. Given surface temperatures are a key cloud controlling factor, as shown by Ceppi and Nowack (2021), we would expect the spread in λ_{cre} to be partly controlled by the spread in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$.

To better understand the cause of the spread in λ_{cre} shown in Figure 3b and 11a, 587 two key cloud controlling factors are investigated; changes in T_s and changes in Lower 588 Tropospheric Stability (LTS), both of which have strong statistical relationships with 589 changes in clouds (Cutler et al., 2022; Klein & Hartmann, 1993; Ceppi & Nowack, 2021). 590 Here LTS is defined as the 700hPa potential temperature minus the surface potential tem-591 perature (Cutler et al., 2022). Regarding the physical mechanisms of these relationships, 592 LTS has been shown to influence cloud changes by controlling the amount of entrain-593 ment between the moist boundary layer and the drier free troposphere. The physical mech-594 anism whereby surface temperatures effect cloud changes is less well established. Webb 595 et al. (2024) investigate a range of possible mechanism relating surface temperatures to 596 changes in cloud, such as the impact of surface latent heat flux changes, vertical gradi-597 ents in humidity or moist static energy, or changes in downwelling longwave radiation 598 caused by changing free tropospheric humidity. It was found that different mechanisms 599 were plausible in some models and not in others. For HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, only one sug-600 gested mechanism was not ruled out based on the models behaviour. This mechanism 601 involved a reduction in low cloud due to a warming and a decrease in specific humidity 602 due to an increase in upward longwave radiation from the surface (Ogura et al., 2023). 603

To relate changes in LTS and surface temperatures to changes in λ_{cre} , first two regions are investigated, the North West (NW) Pacific and North East (NE) Pacific (see Figure 11 boxes). These two regions were selected as being regions with a strong λ_{cre}



Figure 11. Maps of standard deviation in (a) λ_{cre} , (b) λ_{SWcre} , (c) λ_{LWcre} , and (d) $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ across the historical ensemble. Dashed black boxes indicate regions analysed in Figure 12 with the NW Pacific region extending from 150–185°E and 26–41°N, and the NE Pacific region extending from 215–235°E and 15–30°N.

signal (Figure 4q) and spread (Figure 11a). The two regions also capture different cli-607 matological regimes, with the NE Pacific a region of climatological subsidence where the 608 surface is decoupled from the free troposphere due to a strong inversion, whereas the NW 609 Pacific region is a region of climatological ascent where the surface is not decoupled from 610 the free troposphere. Scatter plots of $d(LTS)/dT_s$ and dT_s/dT_s against λ_{cre} over the NW 611 Pacific and NE Pacific regions are shown in Figure 12a, b, c, and d. Here, it can be seen 612 that in both the NE and NW Pacific there is a strong correlation between $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and 613 λ_{cre} , and $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{cre} . This is consistent with Ceppi and Nowack (2021). Al-614 though the amip-piForcing and historical estimates of λ_{cre} were not particularly differ-615 ent, for completeness, amip-piForcing values have also been indicated in Figure 12. Here 616 it can be seen that the amip-piForcing values fit the historical relationship between λ_{cre} 617 and both dT_s/dT_s and $d(LTS)/dT_s$ suggesting that any differences in λ_{cre} between his-618 torical and amip-piForcing experiments in these regions can be explained through these 619 cloud controlling factors. 620

Since the LTS is defined as the 700hPa potential temperature minus the surface potential temperature, it is possible that the strong correlations between $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{cre} exist primarily because of the strong relationship between λ_{cre} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$. To investigate this, scatter plots of 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{cre} are shown in Figure 12e and f. Here, differing relationships between the two variables exist over the two regions analysed. Over the NW Pacific, a strong correlation remains with a correlation coefficient of 0.84. Over the NE Pacific however, this is not the case and a weak correlation



Figure 12. Scatter plots of (a and b) $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, (c and d) $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$, and (e and f) 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{cre} over the (a, c, and e) NW Pacific region, and (b, d, and f) NE Pacific region. Black dots represent the historical ensemble and magenta markers indicate amip-piForcing values.

of 0.36 is seen. This differing relationship may be due to the different convective regimes that exist over the two regions. Over the NE Pacific, the strong inversion and the decoupling between the boundary layer and the free troposphere means that any surface warming in this region will be trapped under the strong inversion. Over the NW Pacific, this is not the case and surface warming can be transported efficiently into the free troposphere. Therefore, to some degree, over the NW Pacific the 700hPa temperature is still controlled by the temperatures at the surface.

An alternative approach is taken in Figure 13. Here, the local effect of surface warming and the remote effect of large scale stability changes on λ_{cre} is investigated using maps of the correlation across the historical ensemble between local λ_{cre} and either the local $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ or the 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$. These latitudinal bounds were previously used by Ceppi and Gregory (2019) and Salvi et al. (2023) to capture large scale tropospheric stability.

From Figure 13 it can be seen that generally, the local $dT_s/d\overline{T}_s$ is the most strongly 641 correlated, with many regions exhibiting correlations greater than 0.7. The correlations 642 between λ_{cre} and the 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa dT_a/dT_s tend to be weaker, although 643 the subtropical cloud deck regions over the East Pacific and the Indian Ocean do exhibit 644 positive correlations (note these are not statistically significant at the 95% confidence 645 range). A decomposition of Figure 13 into shortwave and longwave components is shown 646 in Figure S3. Here the strong correlations seen in the low cloud deck regions in Figure 647 13 are associated with the shortwave cloud feedbacks, and similar to Figure 11 and S2, 648 the tropical ascent regions exhibit relatively strong correlations with both local dT_s/dT_s 649 and 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ in the shortwave and longwave, however these 650



Figure 13. Maps of correlation between local λ_{cre} against (a) local $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, and (b) 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ across the historical ensemble. Hatching indicates where correlations are not significant at the 95% confidence interval (i.e. p values are greater than 0.05). Here the p value approximately indicates the probability of two random distributions producing a correlation coefficient at least as great as those indicated in the colored contours.

two components cancel, resulting in the net cloud feedback correlation being relatively weak in those regions in Figure 13.

To summarise, cloud feedbacks are the largest source of uncertainty in EffCS across models, however within the HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL historical ensemble, λ_{SWcs} contributes more to the spread in λ_{net} . Spread in λ_{cre} can be explained through the cloud controlling factors of T_s and LTS where $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is positively correlated with λ_{cre} and $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ is negatively correlated with λ_{cre} . Finally, it is shown that the local influence of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ on λ_{cre} is much stronger than the remote effect of changes in large scale atmospheric stability.

660 4 Conclusion

In this paper the feedbacks across a 47 member ensemble of historical and single 661 forcing simulations have been analysed. Across the historical ensemble, EffCS ranges be-662 tween 3–6K, highlighting the large spread in estimated feedbacks caused by internal vari-663 ability. The aims of this work have been to understand the main causes of this spread 664 in feedbacks across the ensemble, to understand if and why different forcing agents have 665 different forcing efficacies, and finally to understand why the coupled historical simula-666 tions struggle to capture the feedbacks seen in AGCM simulations forced by observed 667 SSTs. To address these aims, three components of λ_{net} were investigated ($\lambda_{SWcs}, \lambda_{LWcs}$, 668 and λ_{cre}). 669

The analysis found that the ensemble spread in λ_{SWcs} is largely caused by varying degrees of sea ice melt per degree of global warming. Ensemble members that showed a large reduction in sea ice per degree of global warming also exhibited a strong λ_{SWcs} , with a correlation of -0.84 (consistent with Dessler (2020)). It was shown that this relationship was due to varying SST patterns, with ensemble members simulating stronger

polar amplification also exhibiting more sea ice melt and a stronger λ_{SWcs} (with a cor-675 relation of 0.84 between polar SSTs and λ_{SWcs}). This relationship between λ_{SWcs} , sea 676 ice melt, and polar amplification is also shown to be the reason for a much weaker λ_{SWcs} 677 in the amip-piForcing experiment. Here, weaker polar amplification resulted in less sea 678 ice melt per degree of global warming and a smaller λ_{SWcs} . Finally, the different λ_{SWcs} 679 between the different single forcing experiments was investigated, since λ_{SWcs} was found 680 to be the biggest source of differing forcing efficacies across the different forcing agents. 681 It was shown that different patterns of surface warming were the main cause of differ-682 ent feedbacks across each experiment, with spatial correlations of 0.64 - 0.88 between 683 patterns of T_s change per degree of global warming and λ_{SWcs} across all experiments 684 and each hemisphere. 685

Previously, Salvi et al. (2022) also investigated different forcing efficacies between different forcing agents, also finding the hist-aer experiment to exhibit more strongly amplifying feedbacks compared to hist-ghg. There they focused on influence of stability changes on changes in cloud feedbacks, however here, we find that for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, changes in sea ice and polar T_s play a larger role in causing different forcing efficacies.

The ensemble spread in λ_{LWcs} was also investigated. Here it was shown that both 691 tropical tropospheric temperature changes per degree of global warming and tropical T_s 692 changes per degree of global warming were a key factor in causing the spread in λ_{LWcs} . 693 Here, increased tropical surface warming caused warming in the tropical troposphere which 694 has previously been shown to cause a stronger lapse rate feedback (T. Andrews & Webb, 695 2018). This relationship between λ_{LWcs} and tropical T_s also captures why the λ_{LWcs} 696 is much stronger in the amip-piForcing experiment compared to the historical simula-697 tions, with the amip-piForcing experiment exhibiting a stronger tropical surface warm-698 ing per degree of global warming compared to most historical ensemble members. Given 699 that the amip-piForcing experiment is prescribed with observed SSTs, this shows how 700 AOGCM biases in tropical SST patterns can impact on the estimated λ_{LWcs} . 701

The final feedback to be investigated was λ_{cre} . In contrast to the primary role of 702 λ_{cre} in causing uncertainty in long term estimates of climate sensitivity, in the HadGEM3-703 GC3.1-LL historical ensemble, other feedbacks have a larger spread. Investigating λ_{cre} , 704 it was shown that both T_s and LTS are key factors affecting changes in cloud feedbacks. 705 It is also shown that although amip-piForcing and historical cloud feedbacks are not too 706 dissimilar, both the LTS and T_s are useful metrics for understanding how amip-piForcing cloud feedbacks relate to those seen in the historical simulations. The analysis concludes 708 by investigating the relative importance of local effect of varying T_s or the remote effect 709 of large scale changes in atmospheric stability. Here it is shown that the local T_s is the 710 most important, whilst the large scale stability plays a non-negligible role over the sub-711 tropical cloud deck regions. 712

This work provides useful insight into the different feedbacks seen across different 713 forcing experiments and also provides information as to why coupled historical simula-714 tions struggle to capture the feedbacks seen in the amip-piForcing experiment. To take 715 this work further, this large ensemble could be used to better understand the temporal 716 evolution of feedbacks. In recent years, the amip-piForcing experiment demonstrates a 717 marked decrease in λ_{net} (T. Andrews et al., 2022), and this ensemble could be used to 718 investigate whether a similar behaviour is captured in any of the ensemble members. This 719 work could then be used shed light on the causes and mechanisms involved in transient 720 feedbacks. 721

⁷²² 5 Open Research

Data used in this analysis consists of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL model simulations performed as part of the Met Office's contribution to CMIP6 (Eyring et al., 2016) and LESFMIP
(D. Smith et al., 2022) and can be accessed from the ESGF CEDA data node https://esgfindex1.ceda.ac.uk/search/cmip6-ceda/.

727 Acknowledgments

All authors were supported by the Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme funded

by DSIT. Doug Smith was also supported by the European Commission Horizon 2020
 CONSTRAIN project.

731 **References**

755

756

757

- Adams, B. K., & Dessler, A. E. (2019). Estimating transient climate response in a
 large-ensemble global climate model simulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 46, 311-317. doi: 10.1029/2018GL080714
- Andrews, M. B., Ridley, J. K., Wood, R. A., Andrews, T., Blockley, E. W., Booth,
 B., ... Sutton, R. T. (2020). Historical simulations with hadgem3-gc3.1
 for cmip6. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12. doi:
 10.1029/2019MS001995
- Andrews, T., Andrews, M. B., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Jones, G. S., Kuhlbrodt, T., Manners, J., ... Tang, Y. (2019). Forcings, feedbacks and climate sensitivity in hadgem3-gc3.1 and ukesm1. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4377–4394. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001866
- Andrews, T., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Gregory, J. M., Dong, Y., adn D. Paynter,
 K. C. A., Lin, P., ... Liu, C. (2022). On the effect of historical sst patterns on
 radiative feedback. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127. doi:
 10.1029/2022JD036675
- Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Paynter, D., Silvers, L. G., Zhou, C., Mauritsen, T., ...
 Titchner, H. (2018). Accounting for changing temperature patterns increases
 historical estimates of climate sensitivity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 8490-8499. doi: 10.1029/2018GL078887
- Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., & Webb, M. J. (2015). The dependence of radiative forcing and feedback on evolving patterns of surface temperature change in climate models. *Journal of Climate*, 28, 1630–1648. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00545.1
 - Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Webb, M. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2012). Forcings, feedbacks and climate sensitivity in cmip5 coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39. doi: 10.1029/2012GL051607
- Andrews, T., & Webb, M. J. (2018). The dependence of global cloud and lapse rate feedbacks on the spatial structure of tropical pacific warming. *Journal of Climate*, 31, 641–654. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0087.1
- Blanco, J. E., Caballero, R., Datseris, G., Stevens, B., Bony, S., Hadas, O., & Kaspi,
 Y. (2023). A cloud-controlling factor perspective on the hemispheric asymmetry of extratropical cloud albedo. *Journal of Climate*, 36, 1793–1804. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-22-0410.1
- Bretherton, C. S., & Blossey, P. N. (2014). Low cloud reduction in a greenhouse warmed climate: Results from lagrangian les of a subtropical marine cloudiness
 transition. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 6, 91–114. doi:
 10.1002/2013MS000250
- Brueck, M., Nuijens, L., & Stevens, B. (2015). On the seasonal and synoptic
 time-scale variability of the north atlantic trade wind region and its low-
- r71
 level clouds.
 Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72, 1428–1446.
 doi:

 r72
 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0054.1
 doi:
 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0054.1

773 774	Ceppi, P., Brient, F., Zelinka, M. D., & Hartmann, D. L. (2017). Cloud feedback mechanisms and their representation in global climate models. WIREs Climate
775	Change, 8. doi: 10.1002/wcc.465
776	Ceppi, P., & Gregory, J. M. (2019). A refined model for the earth's global energy
777	balance. Climate Dynamics, 53, 4781–4797. doi: 10.1007/s00382-019-04825-x
778	Ceppi, P., & Nowack, P. (2021). Observational evidence that cloud feedback ampli-
779	fies global warming. <i>PNAS</i> , 118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2026290118
780	Cutler, L., Brunke, M. A., & Zeng, X. (2022). Re-evaluation of low cloud amount re-
781	lationships with lower-tropospheric stability and estimated inversion strength.
782	Geophysical Research Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL098137
783	Dessler, A. E. (2020). Potential problems measuring climate sensitivity from the his-
784	torical record. Journal of Climate, 33, 2237–2248. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19
785	-04/0.1
786	bility of early holes from the large from the line line line influence of internal vari-
787	ability on earth's energy balance framework and implications for estimating
788	$10.5104/_{\text{acp}}$ 18.5147 2018
789	Dong V Armour K C Projetogogou C Androws T Battisti D S Forster
790	P M Shiogema H (2021) Biased estimates of equilibrium climate sensi-
791	tivity and transient climate response derived from historical cminfo simulations
792	Geophysical Research Letters 1/8 doi: 10.1029/2021GL095778
795	Evring V Bony S Meehl G A Senior C A Stevens B Stouffer B I &
794	Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the coupled model intercomparison project
796	phase 6 (cmip6) experimental design and organization. Geoscientific Model
797	Development, 9, 1937–1958. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
798	Forster, P. M., Richardson, T., Maycock, A. C., Smith, C. J., Samset, B. H., Myhre,
799	G., Schulz, M. (2016). Recommendations for diagnosing effective radia-
800	tive forcing from climate models for cmip6. Journal of Geophysical Research:
801	Atmospheres, 121, 12,460-12,475. doi: 10.1002/2016JD025320
802	Forster, P. M., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, JL., Frame,
803	D., \ldots co authors (2021). The earth's energy budget, climate feed- backs,
804	and climate sensitivity. In <i>Climate change 2021: The physical science basis.</i>
805	contribution of working group i to the sixth assessment report of the intergov-
806	ernmental panel on climate change (p. 93). Cambridge, UK and New York,
807	USA: Cambridge University Press.
808	Fueglistaler, S., & Silvers, L. G. (2021). The peculiar trajectory of global
809	warming. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120. doi: 10.1020/2020.00022620
810	Cillett N. D. Chiegerre, H. Funke, D. Hegenl, C. Knutti, D. Metthes, K.
811	Tabaldi C (2016) The detection and attribution model intercomparison
812	(2010). The detection and attribution model intercomparison project (damin v1.0) contribution to cmin6. Conscientific Model Development
813	$g_{3685-3607}$ doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3685-2016
014	Gregory I M & Andrews T (2016) Variation in climate sensitivity and feedback
015 916	parameters during the historical period Geophysical Research Letters 13
817	3911-3920. doi: 10.1002/2016GL068406
818	Gregory, J. M., Andrews, T., Ceppi, P., Mauritsen, T., & Webb, M. J. (2020).
819	How accurately can the climate sensitivity to co2 be estimated from his-
820	torical climate change? Climate Dynamics, 54, 129–157. doi: 10.1007/
821	s00382-019-04991-y
822	Gregory, J. M., Ingram, W. J., Palmer, M. A., Jones, G. S., Stott, P. A., Thorpe,
823	R. B., Williams, K. D. (2004). A new method for diagnosing radia-
824	tive forcing and climate sensitivity. Geophysical Research Letters, 31. doi:
825	10.1029/2003GL018747
826	Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Nazarenko, L., Lacis, A., Schmidt, G. A.,
827	Zhang, S. (2005). Efficacy of climate forcings. Journal of Geophysical Re-

828	search: Atmospheres, 110. doi: 10.1029/2005JD005776
829	Haugstad, A. D., Armour, K. C., Battisti, D. S., & Rose, B. E. J. (2017). Relative
830	roles of surface temperature and climate forcing patterns in the inconstancy
831	of radiative feedbacks. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 44, 7455-7463. doi:
832	10.1002/2017 GL074372
833	Hwang, YT., Xie, SP., Chen, PJ., Tseng, HY., & Deser, C. (2024). Contri-
834	bution of anthropogenic aerosols to persistent la niña-like conditions in the
835	early 21st century. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 121. doi:
836	10.1073/pnas.2315124121
837	Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., & Webb, M. J. (2017). Interpretation of factors controlling
838	low cloud cover and low cloud feedback using a unified predictive index. Jour-
839	nal of Climate, 30, 9119—9131, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0825.1
840	Kay, J. E., Deser, C., Phillips, A., Mai, A., Hannay, C., Strand, G., Verten-
841	stein, M. (2015). The community earth system model (cesm) large ensemble
842	project. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 1333–1349. doi:
843	10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1
844	Kay, J. E., Holland, M. M., & Jahn, A. (2011). Inter-annual to multi-decadal arc-
845	tic sea ice extent trends in a warming world. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 38.
846	doi: 10.1029/2011GL048008
847	Klein, S. A., Hall, A., Norris, J. R., & Pincus, R. (2017). Low-cloud feedbacks from
848	cloud-controlling factors: A review. Surves in Geophysics, 38, 1307–1329. doi:
849	10.1007/s10712-017-9433-3
850	Klein, S. A., & Hartmann, D. L. (1993). The seasonal cycle of low stratiform clouds.
851	Journal of Climate, 6, 1587–1606. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006(1587:
852	TSCOLS)2.0.CO:2
853	Lambert, F. H., Webb, M. J., & Joshi, M. M. (2011). The relationship between
854	land-ocean surface temperature contrast and radiative forcing. Journal of Cli-
855	mate, 24, 3239 - 3256, doi: 10.1175/2011JCLI3893.1
856	Marvel, K., Schmidt, G. A., Miller, R. L., & Nazarenko, L. S. (2015). Implications
857	for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. <i>Nature Climate</i>
858	Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888
859	Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback
860	primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in
861	two versions of a climate model. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 50. doi:
862	10.1029/2023GL104786
863	Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model in-
864	tercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific
865	Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016
866	Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q.
867	(2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feed-
868	backs. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1
869	Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical
870	and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10
871	.1126/sciadv.1602821
872	Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical ma-
873	rine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. <i>Geophysi-</i>
874	cal Research Letters, 42, 7767–7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627
875	Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., An-
876	drews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip
877	models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi:
878	10.1029/2019JD030581
879	Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., & Jones, G. S. (2022). A change in climate state
880	during a pre-industrial simulation of the cmip6 model hadgem3 driven by deep
881	ocean drift. Geophysical Research Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2021GL097171
882	Rugenstein, M., & Armour, K. C. (2021). Three flavours of radiative feedbacks

883	and their implications for estimating equilibrium climate sensitivity. $Geophysi$ -
884	cal Research Letters, 48. doi: 10.1029/2021GL092983
885	Rugenstein, M., Bloch-Johnson, J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Andrews, T., Beyerle, U., Cao,
886	L., Yang, S. (2019). Longrunmip: Motivation and design for a large
887	collection of millennial-length aogcm simulations. Bulletin of the American
888	Meteorological Society, 100, 2551–2570. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0068.1
889	Salvi, P., Ceppi, P., & Gregory, J. M. (2022). Interpreting differences in radiative
890	feedbacks from aerosols versus greenhouse gases. Geophysical Research Letters,
891	49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL097766
892	Salvi, P., Gregory, J. M., & Ceppi, P. (2023). Time-evolving radiative feedbacks in
893	the historical period. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128. doi:
894	10.1029/2023JD038984
895	Sherwood, S. C., Webb, M. J., Annan, J. D., Armour, K. C., Forster, P. M., Har-
896	greaves, J. C., Zelinka, M. D. (2020). An assessment of earth's climate
897	sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 58(4). doi: 10.1000/0010D.G000070
898	10.1029/2019RG000678
899	Smith, C. J., & Forster, P. M. (2021). Suppressed late-20th century warming in
900	cmip6 models explained by forcing and feedbacks. Geophysical Research Let- ters 18 doi: 10.1029/2021GL094948
901	Smith D Booth B Dunstone N Eade B Hermanson L Jones C S
902	Thompson V (2016) Bole of volcanic and anthronogenic aerosols in the
903	recent global surface warming slowdown Nature Climate Change 6 936–940
005	doi: 10.1038/nclimate3058
006	Smith D. Gillett N.P. Simpson I.B. Athanasiadis P.J. Baehr, J. Bethke I
007	Ziehn T (2022) Attribution of multi-annual to decadal changes in the cli-
008	mate system: The large ensemble single forcing model intercomparison project
909	(lesfmin). Frontiers in Climate, doi: 10.3389/fclim.2022.955414
010	Soden B. J. & Held I. M. (2006) An assessment of climate feedbacks in coupled
911	ocean-atmosphere models. Journal of Climate, 19, 3354–3360. doi: 10.1175/
912	JCLI3799.1
913	Soden, B. J., Held, I. M., Colman, R., Shell, K. M., Kiehl, J. T., & Shields, C. A.
914	(2008). Quantifying climate feedbacks using radiative kernels. Journal of
915	Climate, 21, 3504–3520. doi: 10.1175/2007JCLI2110.1
916	Stephens, G. L., Kahn, B. H., & Richardson, M. (2016). The super greenhouse effect
917	in a changing climate. Journal of Climate, 29, 5469–5482. doi: 10.1175/JCLI
918	-D-15-0234.1
919	Titchner, H. A., & Rayner, N. A. (2014). The met office hadley centre sea
920	ice and sea surface temperature data set, version 2: 1. sea ice concentra-
921	tions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2864-2889. doi:
922	10.1002/2013JD020316
923	van der Dussen, J. J., de Roode, S. R., Gesso, S. D., & Siebesma, A. P. (2015). An
924	les model study of the influence of the free tropospheric thermodynamic condi-
925	tions on the stratocumulus response to a climate perturbation. Journal of Ad-
926	vances in Modeling Earth Systems, 7, 670-691. doi: 10.1002/2014MS000380
927	Vial, J., Dufresne, J. L., & Bony, S. (2013). On the interpretation of inter-model
928	spread in cmip5 climate sensitivity estimates. Climate Dynamics, 41, 3339–
929	3362. doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1725-9
930	Webb, M. J., Lock, A. P., & Ogura, T. (2024). What are the main causes of posi-
931	tive subtropical low cloud feedbacks in climate models? Journal of Advances in
932	Modeling Earth Systems, 16. doi: $10.1029/2023MS003716$
933	Williams, K. D., Copsey, D., Blockley, E. W., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Calvert, D.,
934	Comer, R., Xavier, P. K. (2017). The met office global coupled model
935	3.0 and 3.1 (gc 3.0 and gc 3.1) configurations. Journal of Advances in Modeling
936	Earth Systems, $357 - 380$. doi: $10.1002/2017 MS001115$
937	Wills, R. C. J., Dong, Y., Proistosecu, C., Armour, K. C., & Battisti, D. S. (2022).

- ⁹³⁸ Systematic climate model biases in the large-scale patterns of recent sea-
- surface temperature and sea-level pressure change. Geophysical Research
 Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL100011
- 941Zelinka, M., Zhou, C., & Klein, S. A. (2016). Insights from a refined decompo-942sition of cloud feedbacks. Geophysical Research Letters, 43. doi: 10.1002/9432016GL069917

Feedbacks, Pattern Effects, and Efficacies in a Large Ensemble of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL Historical Simulations

Harry Mutton¹, Timothy Andrews¹, Leon Hermanson¹, Melissa Seabrook¹, Doug M. Smith¹, Mark A. Ringer¹, Gareth S. Jones¹, Mark J. Webb¹

 $^1\mathrm{Met}$ Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8	•	Natural variability causes a 3-6K range in Effective Climate Sensitivity in a large
9		single model ensemble of historical simulations.
10	•	Differences in tropical and polar warming strongly influence longwave clear-sky
11		and shortwave clear-sky feedbacks respectively.
12	•	Deficiencies in simulating observed tropical and polar warming cause different feed-
13		backs in historical and amip-piForcing experiments.

Corresponding author: Harry Mutton, harry.mutton@metoffice.gov.uk

14 Abstract

Climate feedbacks over the historical period (1850–2014) have been investigated in large 15 ensembles of historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments, with 47 members 16 for each experiment. Across the historical ensemble with all forcings, a range in estimated 17 Effective Climate Sensitivity (EffCS) between approximately 3–6 K is found, a consid-18 erable spread stemming solely from initial condition uncertainty. The spread in EffCS 19 is associated with varying Sea Surface Temperature (SST) patterns seen across the en-20 semble due to their influence on different feedback processes. For example, the level of 21 polar amplification is shown to strongly control the amount of sea ice melt per degree 22 of global warming. This mechanism is responsible for the large spread in shortwave clear-23 sky feedbacks and is the main contributor to the different forcing efficacies seen across 24 the different forcing agents, although in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL these differences in forc-25 ing efficacy are shown to be small. The spread in other feedbacks is also investigated, 26 with the level of tropical SST warming shown to strongly control the longwave clear-sky 27 feedbacks, and the local surface-air-temperatures and large scale tropospheric temper-28 atures shown to influence cloud feedbacks. The metrics used to understand the spread 29 in feedbacks can also help to explain the disparity between feedbacks seen in the histor-30 ical experiment simulations and a more accurate modeled estimate of the feedbacks seen 31 in the real world derived from an atmosphere-only experiment prescribed with observed 32 33 SSTs (termed amip-piForcing).

³⁴ Plain Language Summary

Understanding how the Earth's climate responds to an imposed forcing such as an 35 increase in greenhouse gases or aerosols is an important issue relevant to climate mit-36 igation and adaptation policies on the global scale. One way we can understand this is 37 by analysing the historical period (1850-2014), a period over which the climate has al-38 ready changed substantially due to human induced forcings, and also a period over which 39 observations allow us to compare modeled changes in climate with the changes seen in 40 the real world. Here, we use a large ensemble of climate model simulations of the his-41 torical period were we aim to understand a) how natural variability causes differences 42 in the global temperature response to the same imposed forcing, b) what causes differ-43 ent forcing agents (e.g. greenhouse gases or aerosols) to be more or less effective at warm-44 ing or cooling the planet, and c) whether historical simulations - where the climate model 45 simulates its own sea surface temperatures - capture the same response to historical forc-46 ings as an atmosphere-only simulation prescribed with observed sea surface temperatures. 47 We find that the pattern of sea surface temperatures (particularly the levels of tropical 48 and polar warming) is key to understanding each of these points. 49

50 1 Introduction

⁵¹ Climate sensitivity and feedbacks provide valuable information about how the Earth's ⁵² temperature changes in response to an imposed forcing such as an increase in greenhouse ⁵³ gases, aerosols, or volcanic emissions (Sherwood et al., 2020; Forster et al., 2021). Typ-⁵⁴ ically, equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) is defined as the equilibrium global temper-⁵⁵ ature increase in response to a doubling of CO₂ and can be related to CO₂ forcing and ⁵⁶ climate feedbacks using a simple energy balance model (Equation 1) (e.g. Sherwood et ⁵⁷ al. (2020)).

$$ECS = -F_{2 \times CO_2} / \lambda \tag{1}$$

⁵⁹ Here, $F_{2\times CO_2}$ is the radiative forcing associated with a doubling of CO₂ and the feedback parameter λ is the radiative response per degree of global temperature change. Currently, the assessed likely range of ECS extends from 2.5°C – 4.0°C (Forster et al., ⁶² 2021). Since constraining ECS is important for improving our understanding of how the

Earth's climate is likely to change in the future, informing climate related mitigation and

⁶⁴ adaptation policy on the global scale, improving our understanding of different climate

⁶⁵ feedbacks and why they vary is vital.

66

67

The feedback parameter λ can be defined using Equation 2 (e.g. Gregory et al. (2004)).

$$\lambda = d(N - F)/dT_s \tag{2}$$

Here F is the radiative forcing, N is the top of atmosphere radiative flux, and T_s is the surface-air-temperature (in this case, all terms are global mean quantities).

In Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs), λ and ECS are typ-70 ically estimated via a linear regression of global T_s and N over the first 150 years of an 71 abrupt-4xCO2 simulation (T. Andrews et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2021; Gregory et al., 2004). 72 The abrupt-4xCO2 simulation is an AOGCM experiment where the atmospheric con-73 centration of CO_2 is abruptly quadrupled and then held constant. This regression method 74 is used in favour of calculating ECS directly from two equilibrium states due to the long 75 timescales needed to equilibrate the deep ocean and the substantial computational cost 76 associated with this (T. Andrews et al., 2022; Rugenstein et al., 2019). ECS estimates 77 produced from these non-equilibrium states are called the Effective Climate Sensitivity 78 (EffCS) (Dong et al., 2021; Sherwood et al., 2020; T. Andrews et al., 2015; Rugenstein 79 & Armour, 2021). 80

 λ and EffCS can also be estimated from simulations of the historical record (1850 81 to present day), estimating λ over the historical period and applying this to Equation 82 1 where $F_{2 \times CO_2}$ has been diagnosed from an abrupt-4xCO2 run (Gregory et al., 2020). 83 These estimates tend to produce an EffCS smaller than that predicted solely from an 84 abrupt-4xCO2 experiment, largely due to the time variations in λ caused by evolving 85 SST patterns and the different timescales involved in the response to an imposed forc-86 ing (T. Andrews et al., 2019; Gregory et al., 2020; Proistosescu & Huybers, 2017). This 87 "pattern effect" describes how a different global radiative response can be generated by 88 the same global temperature change due to different patterns of SSTs (Rugenstein & Ar-89 mour, 2021; Gregory & Andrews, 2016). In this context, the pattern effect is often quan-90 tified as the difference in λ between historical and abrupt-4xCO2 experiments (T. An-91 drews et al., 2018). 92

Estimates of λ from historical and abrupt-4xCO2 simulations may also differ due 93 to the different forcing agents involved (Marvel et al., 2015). Whilst the abrupt-4xCO2 94 experiment is only forced by increases in CO_2 concentrations, the historical simulations 95 are also influenced by changes in aerosols and natural forcings such as volcanic emissions 96 (C. J. Smith & Forster, 2021; Salvi et al., 2023). These different forcing agents may vary 97 in how effective they are at warming or cooling the planet; this is called forcing efficacy 98 (Marvel et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2019; Hansen et al., 2005). Again AOGCMs can 99 be used to investigate this, with experiments simulating the historical period but only 100 applying the forcing for individual forcing agents. Salvi et al. (2022) use this approach 101 to demonstrate that, in the multi-model mean, greenhouse gases tended to have a more 102 stabilising feedback (lower EffCS) compared to aerosols, although substantial variation 103 across different models exists. It is suggested that across different forcing agents, vari-104 ations in SST pattern changes lead to differing feedbacks (Haugstad et al., 2017). Ceppi 105 and Gregory (2019) suggest that the changes in atmospheric stability induced by these 106 differing SST patterns is a key factor determining the efficacy of a particular forcing (Salvi 107

et al., 2023). Assuming temperature changes and the radiative responses to each forcing agent add linearly, understanding each component of the full historical forcing can help inform our interpretation of historical feedbacks and how they relate to future climate change.

Historical estimates of a model's EffCS can also be deduced from an Atmosphere 112 only General Circulation Model (AGCM) experiment with prescribed SSTs and sea ice 113 from observations between 1870 and 2014 and atmospheric constituents set to pre-industrial 114 levels, termed amip-piForcing (Gregory & Andrews, 2016; Gregory et al., 2020). Because 115 this experiment is forced with observed SSTs it is able to more accurately simulate his-116 torical changes in climate compared to the coupled AOGCMs (Gregory & Andrews, 2016). 117 It is found that the EffCS calculated using the amip-piForcing experiment tends to pro-118 duce an EffCS smaller than that derived from AOGCM historical experiments (i.e. amip-119 piForcing has a larger pattern effect relative to abrupt-4xCO2) (Gregory et al., 2020; T. An-120 drews et al., 2019). Again, this difference is often attributed to differences in SST pat-121 terns between the two experiments, with coupled historical simulations struggling to sim-122 ulate observed SST patterns (Gregory et al., 2020; Wills et al., 2022). Over recent years, 123 observed SSTs demonstrate a marked cooling in the East Pacific and Southern Ocean 124 and more warming over the West Pacific, leading to more negative feedbacks and a lower 125 EffCS. The inability of AOGCM simulations to capture observed trends in SST patterns 126 is a key issue currently facing the scientific community and raises questions regarding 127 how this impacts our understanding of climate sensitivity and feedbacks. The "peculiar" 128 trend in SST patterns as termed by Fueglistaler and Silvers (2021) may have occurred 129 through unforced variability and it may then be by chance that the real world SSTs have 130 evolved in a way that induces a more strongly stabilising feedback. Or, it is possible that 131 the trend is forced, e.g. by aerosols or volcanic emissions (D. Smith et al., 2016; Gregory 132 et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2024), and our AOGCMs struggle to simulate the real world 133 SSTs accurately due to limitations in our current modelling capabilities. 134

To date, most of the work examining radiative feedbacks, pattern effects and ef-135 ficacies has been limited to idealised experimental designs or small ensembles of histor-136 ical AOGCM simulations with a single model, or via model intercomparisons such as the 137 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) (Eyring et al., 2016), where still only 138 relatively small ensemble sizes are available. Questions remain on the influence of nat-139 ural variability in historical climate change on diagnosed estimates of feedbacks, the quan-140 tification of the forced response to different forcings and whether radiative feedback sim-141 ulated in AOGCM historical simulations are consistent with observed estimates. Large 142 initial condition ensembles with a single model are useful to address this. For example, 143 previously, large ensembles have been shown to provide valuable insight into the sepa-144 ration of forced climate change and internal variability (Kay et al., 2015). From a sea 145 ice sensitivity perspective, Kay et al. (2011) demonstrate that using an ensemble to quan-146 tify internal variability shows that recent trends in sea ice decline cannot be reproduced 147 from modeled internal variability alone. Adams and Dessler (2019) employ a 100 mem-148 ber ensemble of historical simulations to show that internal variability could be a key 149 contributor to the difference in Transient Climate Response (TCR) estimates between 150 models and observations. Applying the analysis of this 100 member ensemble to the study 151 of climate sensitivity and feedbacks over the historical period, Dessler et al. (2018) high-152 light a large range in EffCS estimates between 2.1 and 3.9K. They note that given that 153 the real world 20th century is just one realisation of a range of possible realities, due to 154 that large internal variability, we should not expect estimates of EffCS from observations 155 to be a precise guide to the real world's forced response. Alongside this, they note that 156 that different forcing efficacies, imperfect observations, and uncertainty in 20th century 157 forcing also pose challenges for interpreting EffCS from the historical period. Gregory 158 et al. (2020) also noted the high levels of internal variability over the historical record 159 showing how this variability contributed to uncertainty to estimates of EffCS. 160

In this paper we use a new set of four large ensembles of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL his torical and single forcing simulations performed for the Large Ensemble Single Forcing
 Model Intercomparison Project (LESFMIP) (D. Smith et al., 2022), aiming to address
 the following questions.

- how does natural variability cause differences and spread in climate feedbacks in response to the same imposed forcing?
 - 2. What causes different efficacies of different historical forcing agents?
- Can AOGCM historical simulations where the model simulates it's own SSTs
 capture the radiative feedback and EffCS estimated from AGCM experiments
 prescribed with observed SSTs?

Previously, T. Andrews et al. (2019) investigated EffCS and feedbacks in HadGEM3-171 GC3.1-LL in a 4 member ensemble of historical simulations, finding a net feedback (λ) 172 of $-0.86 \pm 0.4 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ (5-95%). This ensemble mean estimate is more negative than 173 the abrupt-4×CO2 feedback in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL of -0.63 Wm⁻²K⁻¹, although the 174 5-95% confidence range does extend up to -0.46 Wm⁻²K⁻¹. The large spread in λ was found 175 to be partly caused by considerable variations in Antarctic sea ice. This variability in 176 sea ice inhibited accurate evaluation of the model's historical forced EffCS. There, T. An-177 drews et al. (2019) were limited to an ensemble of only 4 simulations, so questions re-178 main about whether the full diversity of variability was sampled. Here we investigate this 179 with a much larger ensemble of 47 members. 180

In the following section we describe the model and experimental setup used. Section 3 presents the results and Section 4 provides a discussion and conclusions.

183 2 Methods

2.1 HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL

The analysis in this paper uses simulations performed using HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, an AOGCM with an atmospheric resolution of 135 km with 85 vertical levels and an ocean resolution of 1° and 75 vertical levels (M. B. Andrews et al., 2020). Further details can be found in Williams et al. (2017) where a description of the model's configuration is given.

189

184

167

2.2 Large Historical Ensemble

In this analysis, ensembles of historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiment 190 are used, with 47 members of each experiment mostly consisting of simulations performed 191 for LESFMIP. These experiments are AOGCM simulations analysed between 1850–2014 192 with atmospheric constituents set to historical levels. Here, the historical experiment in-193 cludes all forcing agents, whilst the hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat contain only the forc-194 ing associated with well mixed greenhouse gases, anthropogenic aerosols, and natural forc-195 ings respectively (Gillett et al., 2016). Each ensemble member differs only in their ini-196 tial conditions branching from the piControl experiment at different times (1850, 1885, 197 and every 10 years between 1860 and 2300). The piControl experiment is an AOGCM 198 experiment with atmospheric constituents set to pre-industrial levels. The 47 ensemble 199 members consist of 45 simulations performed as part of the LESFMIP ensemble (D. Smith 200 et al., 2022), and two simulations previously analysed in T. Andrews et al. (2019). Only 201 two of the four simulations used in T. Andrews et al. (2019) were analysed here since 202 the other two members had identical branch times to members of the LESFMIP ensem-203 ble. 204



Figure 1. (a) Timeseries of global annual mean T_s in the piControl experiment (grey line), 500 year trend (dashed black line), and branch times for each of the historical and single forcing experiment ensemble members (dots). Red dots indicate the ensemble members that have been excluded due to the strong warming seen in the piControl experiment. (b) 190 year piControl trend for each ensemble member branch date (red), and 500 year piControl trend (horizontal black dashed line).

2.3 piControl and Detrending

To compare ensemble members in the 47 member ensembles, the control drift must 206 be removed from each simulation. For this analysis, this drift is removed by calculating 207 the trend over the first 500 years of the piControl experiment via linear regression and 208 subtracting the corresponding time period from each ensemble member. The piControl 209 timeseries of global annual mean T_s and the 500 year trend is shown in Figure 1a where 210 the dots depict the branch dates for each member of the historical ensemble. This method 211 of control drift removal is chosen in favour of subtracting the piControl year by year to 212 avoid unnecessarily introducing more noise into the historical simulations. The 500 year 213 trend is also preferred above subtracting the 190 year trend across the corresponding pi-214 Control period due to issues introduced towards the end of the piControl simulation, where 215 a marked global warming is seen at around 2350. This warming has been previously doc-216 umented by Ridley et al. (2022) where it is attributed to the onset of deep convection 217 in the Weddell and Ross Sea gyres due to a destabilising of the Southern Ocean. When 218 removing the control drift from the historical ensemble, any drift removed is assumed 219 to be present in the historical ensemble member. For the trend seen over the first 500 220 years of the control run this is a reasonable assumption, however in the case of the large 221 warming seen around 2350, this assumption may not hold. The impact that this warm-222 ing has on the 190 year control trend for the respective historical ensemble branch dates 223 is shown in Figure 1b. Here, unsurprisingly, a strong positive trend is seen for ensem-224 ble members that branch after the year 2150. We found no evidence that the warming 225 seen in the piControl experiment is present in historical ensemble members initiated up 226 to 2300, but to avoid this feature contaminating the comparison of ensemble members, 227 the last 5 ensemble members have been removed from the analysis. This is why although 228 the LESFMIP ensemble consists of 50 members, only 45 of them are used here. 229

230

205

2.4 Diagnosing Historical Forcing

²³¹ Whilst λ can be calculated for the abrupt-4xCO2 and amip-piForcing experiments ²³² from only T_s and N (since the F is constant), the time varying F over the historical pe-²³³ riod means that in order to estimate λ , we must first diagnose F.

	Experiments			
Experiment Name	Atmospheric Constituents	SSTs	Run Time	Ensemble Size
Coupled experime	ents			
piControl	pre-industrial	free running	1850 - 3850	1
abrupt-4xCO2	pre-industrial $CO_2 \times 4$	free running	1850 - 2350	1
historical	historical	free running	1850 - 2014	47
hist-ghg	historical well mixed green- house gases	free running	1850-2014	47
hist-aer	historical aerosols	free running	1850 - 2014	47
hist-nat	historical natural forcing	free running	1850 - 2014	47
Atmosphere-only	experiments			
amip- piForcing	pre-industrial	historical observed	1870 - 2014	1
piClim-control	pre-industrial	piControl	1850 - 1890	3
piClim-histall	historical to 2014 then ssp- 245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histghg	historical well mixed green- house gases only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histaer	historical aerosols only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3
piClim-histnat	historical natural forcing only to 2014 then ssp-245 to 2100	piControl	1850 - 2100	3

Tab	le	1.	Description	of experi	mental setup	used.
-----	----	----	-------------	-----------	--------------	-------

Typically, the historical F is diagnosed using RFMIP experiments piClim-control 234 and piClim-histall (Forster et al., 2016; Pincus et al., 2016). These are two AGCM ex-235 periments with prescribed SSTs from the piControl simulation. For piClim-control, at-236 mospheric constituents are set to pre-industrial levels and the experiment is run for 30 237 years. Averaging over the 30 years provides the control state. For piClim-histall atmo-238 spheric constituents are set to historical levels between 1850 - 2014 and to ssp-245 lev-239 els between 2015 and 2100. Subtracting the 30 year mean piClim-control top of atmo-240 sphere radiative flux from the 1850 - 2100 piClim-histall top of atmosphere flux provides 241 F, with years 1850–2014 relevant for the analysis of the historical period. 242

In order to diagnose F for the individual forcing components that correspond to the hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments, a similar experimental setup to the piClimhistall experiment is used but only the forcing from the relevant component is applied. These experiments are termed piClim-histghg, piClim-histaer, and piClim-histnat (Forster et al., 2016; Pincus et al., 2016).

A summary of the setup for each experiment used in this paper is presented in Table 1.

250 3 Results

251

3.1 Diagnosing Feedbacks in Historical and Single Forcing Ensembles

As discussed in the introduction, the feedback parameter (λ) can be estimated via 252 linear regression of global annual mean surface-air-temperatures (T_s) against top of at-253 mosphere radiative fluxes (N) minus the changes in flux associated with the radiative 254 forcing (F). Timeseries of these diagnostics are presented in Figure 2, where 2a and b 255 show the anomalous global annual mean T_s and anomalous global annual mean N re-256 spectively in every ensemble member and in each experiment, and 2c shows the global 257 annual mean F associated with each experiment. From Figure 2a it can be seen that the 258 cooling effect of anthropogenic aerosols and natural forcings is approximately offset by 259 the warming effect of increased greenhouse gases between 1850 and 1990. Here, the F260 associated with greenhouse gases and aerosols gradually increase, however, after approx-261 imately 1990 the aerosol F remains relatively constant (around -1.5 $\mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$) whilst the 262 F associated with greenhouse gases continues to increase (Figure 2c) (T. Andrews et al., 263 2019). This leads to a net positive F after 1990 in the historical experiment which re-264 sults in an increase in global mean T_s , warming by approximately 0.8 K by 2014. A de-265 tailed analysis of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL historical simulations is presented in M. B. An-266 drews et al. (2020). An example of how λ is calculated from these timeseries of T_s , N, 267 and F is presented in Figure 2d, where, for the first ensemble member in the historical 268 experiment, a feedback parameter of $-0.85 \pm 0.15 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ is estimated. There the un-269 certainty is estimated as ± 1.645 standard deviations, calculated from the standard er-270 ror of the linear fit. 271

One assumption made when estimating λ using timeseries of T_s , N, and F is that 272 the changes in global mean T_s associated with the forcing is zero (i.e. the surface-air-273 temperature change between piClim-control and piClim-histall is zero). This is gener-274 ally a reasonable assumption to make, given that the prescribed SSTs do not warm and 275 therefore any changes in land surface temperatures are constrained to be small (Lambert 276 et al., 2011). However, despite this temperature change being small, taking this into ac-277 count can substantially affect the values of λ estimated. This caveat is noted in Hansen 278 et al. (2005) and Vial et al. (2013) and becomes a particularly relevant issue when com-279 paring feedbacks in the historical experiment to feedbacks in the amip-piForcing exper-280 iment, since there is no forced temperature change in the amip-piForcing experiment where 281 F = 0 by construction. To handle this issue, in this paper, λ has been calculated ac-282 counting for this forced temperature change (Equation 3). 283

284

$$\lambda = d(N - F)/d(T_s - \delta T_{s_{forced}}) \tag{3}$$

²⁸⁵ Where $\delta T_{s_{forced}}$ is calculated as the change in global surface-air-temperature be-²⁸⁶ tween piClim-control and the relevant piClim-hist experiment used to diagnose F. To ²⁸⁷ simplify the notation, we refer to $(T_s - \delta T_{s_{forced}})$ simply as T_s . Similarly, later when analysing ²⁸⁸ atmospheric temperatures (T_a) , we refer to $(T_a - \delta T_{a_{forced}})$ simply as T_a .

To summarise the feedbacks seen across the different experiments analysed, box-289 plots of feedbacks in the historical and single forcing experiments and markers showing 290 the feedbacks in both amip-piForcing and abrupt-4xCO2 experiments are shown in Fig-291 ure 3b. Here the net feedback has been decomposed into shortwave clear-sky (SW_{cs}) , 292 longwave clear-sky (LW_{cs}) , and cloud radiative effect (cre) components. Such a decom-293 position is useful since it can help isolate the different processes and feedback mecha-294 nisms involved. λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , and λ_{cre} are calculated by decomposing N and F into 295 the relevant fluxes when applying Equation 3. From Figure 3b, a large spread in feed-296 backs across the historical ensemble can be seen, ranging from approximately -0.7 to -297 1.3 $\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$. Using a 2×CO₂ F of 4.05 Wm^{-2} for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL (T. Andrews 298



Figure 2. (a) Timeseries of anomalous global annual mean T_s in the historical and single forcing experiments. Thick lines indicate the ensemble mean and thinner lines represent each individual ensemble member. (b) Timeseries of anomalous global annual mean N in the historical and single forcing experiments. Again, thick lines indicate the ensemble mean and thinner lines represent each individual ensemble member. (c) Timeseries of global annual mean F for historical and single forcing scenarios averaged across the three ensemble members for each experiment. (d) Example of method used to estimate λ , where λ is calculated by linearly regressing T_s against (N - F). Each dot represents a year in the historical experiment and the black line shows regression line where the slope (λ) is estimated to be $-0.85 \pm 0.15 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$. Here, the uncertainty is estimated as ± 1.645 standard deviations, calculated from the standard error of the linear fit.

et al., 2019), and applying Equation 1, such a range in feedbacks leads to an estimate 299 of EffCS between approximately 3 and 6K (Figure 3a). This highlights the role of in-300 ternal variability in causing different feedback and EffCS estimates over the historical 301 period. The spread in feedbacks seen in the historical and single forcing experiments is 302 largest in the hist-nat experiment and smallest in the hist-ghg experiment, possibly due 303 to the varying signal to noise ratios across the different experiments. The T_s changes in 304 the hist-nat experiment are generally small (Figure 2a), and the natural F is also small 305 with an occasional strong but short-lived signal caused by volcanic emissions (Figure 2c). 306 This causes the regression of T_s against (N-F) to be relatively noisy compared to the 307 hist-ghg experiment where both T_s and (N-F) have a much stronger signal. This is 308 also consistent with the contrast in estimated uncertainty of the linear fit of T_s and (N-309 F) where for each experiment, the standard error of the linear fit of every ensemble mem-310 ber has be estimated. The estimation of λ_{net} in the hist-ghg experiment has an average 311 5-95% interval of ± 0.066 Wm⁻²K⁻¹, whereas for hist-nat, the mean 5-95% interval is 312 $\pm 0.25 \ \mathrm{Wm^{-2}K^{-1}}.$ 313



Figure 3. (a) Boxplot of EffCS across the historical ensemble (1850–2014). (b) Boxplots of feedbacks in the historical and single forcing ensembles (1850–2014), amip-piForcing experiment (1870–2014), and abrupt-4xCO2 experiment (first 150 years). For each boxplot, the vertical black lines indicate each ensemble member, the whiskers indicate the maximum and minimum feedbacks seen in the ensemble, the boxes indicate the interquartile range, and the vertical orange line represents the median value. Error bars on amip-piForcing indicate the 5-95% confidence interval, calculated from the standard error of the linear fit.

A further decomposition of λ_{cre} into shortwave and longwave components is shown in Figure S1. There, the largest contribution to the spread in λ_{cre} comes from the shortwave component, consistent with the strong influence of low cloud feedbacks, and the cancelling of the longwave and shortwave response to changes in high cloud.

The feedbacks seen in each historical and single forcing experiment are largely con-318 sistent with each other (i.e. differing forcing efficacies do not appear to be strongly ev-319 ident in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL), although a slightly more negative median feedback is seen 320 in the hist-ghg experiment, consistent with the findings of Salvi et al. (2022). In Figure 321 3, the more negative median feedback in the hist-ghg experiment is shown to be caused 322 by a weaker λ_{SWcs} , although due to the large spread in historical, hist-aer, and hist-nat 323 feedbacks, the lower tails of the feedbacks in these experiments extend to be more neg-324 ative than the lower tail of the hist-ghg experiment. The amip-piForcing and abrupt-325 4xCO2 feedbacks are also shown in Figure 3b. For each component of λ_{net} , the amip-326 piForcing feedback lies towards the lower tail of the historical ensemble, a behaviour most 327 strongly seen in the λ_{SWcs} , and λ_{LWcs} components. 328

Maps of the ensemble mean feedbacks and amip-piForcing feedbacks are shown in 329 Figure 4 to help identify where different feedbacks are located and to highlight regions 330 where feedbacks differ across the range of experiments analysed. The spatial feedback 331 map is calculated by regressing the local (N-F) against the global mean T_s changes. 332 Here the ensemble mean feedbacks are calculated by taking the regression of the mean 333 rather than calculating the feedback for each ensemble member and averaging across the 334 ensemble. This was done to help reduce the noise in the regression of (N-F) and T_s 335 when calculating the feedbacks. 336

From Figure 4, it can be seen that different feedbacks dominate in different regions. 337 For example, in general λ_{SWcs} is strongly positive at higher latitudes and small at lower 338 latitudes. This is because the sea ice feedback is a key feedback affecting the SW_{cs} fluxes. 330 The strong positive λ_{SWcs} seen over the northern hemisphere land masses is likely re-340 lated to snow and land ice feedbacks, and the strong negative λ_{SWcs} seen in the South-341 ern Ocean in the hist-aer experiment may be caused by ocean convective events that bring 342 warmer water to the surface due to destabilization of the ocean, similar to those discussed 343 in (Ridley et al., 2022). 344

With the exception of the Southern Ocean feature seen in the hist-aer experiment, 345 the λ_{LWcs} is generally negative everywhere across all experiments, although a few small 346 regions in the amip-piForcing experiment also have positive λ_{LWcs} . The λ_{LWcs} is largely 347 composed of the Planck, lapse rate, and water vapour feedbacks. This term is generally 348 large and negative due to the strong Planck response. Over the Southern Ocean in the 349 hist-aer experiment, since this region warms, which is of opposite sign to the cooling seen 350 over the rest of the planet, the λ_{LWcs} is strongly positive in this region. In the tropics, 351 the lapse rate and Planck feedbacks are typically negative, therefore the positive λ_{LWcs} 352 regions in the amip-piForcing experiment over the tropics are likely caused by the wa-353 ter vapour feedback (Stephens et al., 2016). 354

 λ_{cre} exhibits relatively large spatial variations. In the historical and single forcing 355 experiments (particularly hist-aer) a strongly positive λ_{cre} is seen over the North Pa-356 cific, highlighting the role of positive cloud feedbacks in the sub-tropical cloud decks in 357 subsidence regions. Again, λ_{cre} has been decomposed into longwave and shortwave com-358 ponents (Figure S2). The strong λ_{cre} over the North Pacific is caused by shortwave cloud 359 feedbacks, and over tropical high cloud regions, e.g. the Indo-Pacific warm pool region, 360 strong shortwave and longwave cloud feedbacks cancel, causing the relatively weak λ_{cre} 361 over much of the tropics. 362

From these maps of feedbacks, it can be seen that although in the global mean, different efficacies are not particularly large in HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, spatially, large variations do exist between the different experiments.

As mentioned in the introduction, differences in feedbacks across experiments and 366 ensemble members are generally thought to be fundamentally caused by differing SST 367 patterns. Therefore, to help understand the differences in feedbacks seen in Figure 4, en-368 semble mean T_s patterns are shown in Figure 5. Similar to the maps of λ , these have been 369 calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local changes in T_s against the ensemble mean 370 global mean T_s , written as $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, where the bar indicates a global mean. In Figure 371 5, the strongest regions of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ occur in the Arctic, with weaker more spatially uni-372 form dT_s/dT_s seen over the tropics. Over the Southern Ocean, large variations in dT_s/dT_s 373 are seen across the different experiments. Here, hist-nat exhibits the strongest dT_s/dT_s 374 whilst hist-aer exhibits a negative $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ (i.e. although global mean T_s is decreasing 375 in the hist-aer experiment, the southern ocean warms). As previously mentioned, this 376 may be caused by ocean convective events that bring warmer water to the surface due 377 to destabilization of the ocean (Ridley et al., 2022). In the northern hemisphere high lat-378 itudes, hist-aer exhibits the strongest $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, possibly due to the aerosol F being pre-379 dominantly based in the northern hemisphere. Over the tropics $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is relatively con-380 sistent across each experiment. 381

Since one of the key aims of this paper is to understand the ensemble spread in feedbacks, maps of the standard deviation in λ in the historical experiment help to highlight the regions that contribute most to this spread (Figure 6). From Figure 6 it can be seen



Figure 4. Maps of ensemble mean λ_{net} , λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , and λ_{cre} in amip-piForcing, historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments. Here, λ has been calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local annual mean (N - F) against the ensemble mean global annual mean T_s between 1850 – 2014 for historical and single forcing experiments, and 1870 – 2014 for amip-piForcing.

that for λ_{SWcs} most of the spread comes from the higher latitudes. In contrast, for λ_{cre} , variations in cloud feedbacks across the tropics and subtropics contribute to the spread. λ_{LWcs} exhibits the smallest standard deviations suggesting that this component contributes less to the ensemble spread in feedbacks. This is likely due to the fact that the Planck, lapse rate and water vapour feedbacks are highly constrained by model physics.

The three main scientific aims of this paper were to a) understand how natural vari-390 ability causes different feedbacks in response to the same imposed forcing (for example, 391 what is it that causes one historical ensemble member to have an net feedback of -1.3 392 $Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$ whilst another has a feedback of -0.7 $Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$?), b) understand what causes 393 different efficacies across different forcing agents, and c) investigate whether the AOGCM 394 historical simulations - where the model simulates its own SSTs - can capture the radia-395 tive feedback and EffCS estimated from AGCM experiments prescribed with observed 396 SSTs (i.e. are the feedbacks seen in the historical experiment consistent with those seen 397 in amip-piForcing?). To address these questions, the different components of λ_{net} are 398 investigated in isolation, with Section 3.2 investigating λ_{SWcs} , Section 3.3 investigating 399 λ_{LWcs} , and Section 3.4 investigating λ_{cre} . 400

401

3.2 Processes Affecting Shortwave Clear-sky Feedbacks (λ_{SWcs})

This section aims to understand λ_{SWcs} in the historical and single forcing exper-402 iments, addressing the cause of the ensemble spread, the disparity between historical and 403 amip-piForcing, and the cause of different efficacies across the different forcing agents. 404 Figure 3 shows that λ_{SWcs} is a key contributor to the ensemble spread in λ_{net} , and the 405 correlation between the two feedbacks is 0.82 across the historical experiment ensemble. 406 Both the maps of λ_{SWcs} and standard deviation in λ_{SWcs} (Figure 4 and Figure 6b) in-407 dicate that most of the signal and spread in λ_{SWcs} comes from the higher latitudes, a 408 region where the sea ice albedo feedback is a key process. We suggest that this feedback 409



Figure 5. (left) maps of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in KK⁻¹ in each experiment; amip-piForcing, historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat. Here, $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ has been calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local annual mean T_s against the ensemble mean global annual mean T_s between 1850 – 2014 for historical and single forcing experiments, and 1870 – 2014 for amip-piForcing. (right) Zonal mean of maps to the left.

is a key contributor to the spread in λ_{SWcs} and a scatter plot of λ_{SWcs} against global 410 sea ice fraction change per degree of warming $(d(Sea Ice)/d\bar{T}_s)$ shown in Figure 7a con-411 firms this. There, a correlation of -0.84 is seen between the two variables in the histor-412 ical experiment over the full time period from 1850 – 2014. As previously mentioned, 413 ultimately, the cause of differing feedbacks can be explained through variations in SST 414 patterns. To understand the varying $d(Sea \, Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} across the ensemble, scat-415 ter plots of polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ against global $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} are shown in Fig-416 ure 7b and c respectively. Here polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is characterised by averaging over latitudes 417 greater than 60°N and lower than 60°S. From Figure 7b and c, a strong relationship be-418 tween polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and both $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} can be seen. This suggests that 419 the spread in λ_{SWcs} can be understood by the degree of polar amplification across the 420 ensemble. 421

Figure 7a also indicates that the sea ice albedo feedback is a key reason for the dif-422 ferences in λ_{SWcs} between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. Here, the amip-423 piForcing experiment has been analysed only between 1980 and 2014 due to the unre-424 alistic evolution of sea ice in the amip-piForcing experiment prior to 1980 when sea ice 425 observations were sparse (Titchner & Rayner, 2014; T. Andrews et al., 2018). It is there-426 fore important to note that much of the absolute difference in λ_{SWcs} and $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ 427 between the amip-piForcing and historical experiments in Figure 7 may be due to the 428 different time frames analysed. The historical experiment has also been analysed between 429 1980 and 2014 (Figure 7 non-filled circles) and no substantial change in the relationship 430 between each variable is seen. This does not rule out the possibility that the amip-piForcing 431 evolution of sea ice, polar temperatures, and λ_{SWcs} may have been different for the longer 432



Figure 6. Maps of standard deviation in λ_{net} , λ_{SWcs} , λ_{LWcs} , λ_{cre} , and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in the historical experiment. Here, λ has been calculated by regressing the local changes in (N - F) against the global mean T_s change, and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is the local T_s regressed against global mean T_s .



Figure 7. Scatter plots of (a) change in global sea ice per degree of warming against λ_{SWcs} , (b) change in T_s at latitudes greater than 60°N or lower than -60°S per degree of global warming against change in global sea ice per degree of global warming, and (c) change in T_s at latitudes greater than 60°N or lower than 60°S per degree of global warming against λ_{SWcs} . Here, each black dot represents a historical ensemble member where values are calculated between 1850–2014 for the filled black dots, and 1980–2014 for the unfilled black dots. The magenta dots represent the amip-piForcing experiment calculated between 1980–2014 (due to sparse sea ice observations prior to 1980).

period, however, the fact that the amip-piForcing experiment is consistent with the re-433 lationship seen in the historical experiment (demonstrated in Figure 7a) would suggest 434 that differences in λ_{SWcs} between historical and amip-piForcing experiments can be ex-435 plained through this mechanism, and the smaller λ_{SWcs} in amip-piForcing is related to 436 the smaller $d(Sea\,Ice)/dT_s$. The fact that in 7b the amip-piForcing experiment does not 437 fit the historical ensemble relationship between polar $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and $d(Sea\,Ice)/d\bar{T}_s$ sug-438 gests that the AOGCMs simulation of the relationship between SSTs and sea ice 439 melt is not the same as the observed relationship in the real world (assuming the rela-440 tionship seen in amip-piForcing is a good analogue for the real world). 441

Thus far the ensemble spread and the disparity between historical and amip-piForcing estimates of λ_{SWcs} has been investigated. It is shown that the sea ice albedo feedback is a key process responsible for both, with the level of arctic amplification providing the link between ensemble spread in λ_{SWcs} and T_s patterns. Previously, Dessler (2020) also ⁴⁴⁶ investigated changes in sea ice and its impact on feedbacks. Consistent with the results ⁴⁴⁷ shown in Figure 7, Dessler (2020) also found sea ice variability to cause a large spread ⁴⁴⁸ in λ_{SWcs} in their historical ensemble with a different model, where these feedback vari-⁴⁴⁹ ations were linked to changes in different modes of ocean variability. Since Figure 7 high-⁴⁵⁰ lights a strong relationship between polar SSTs and sea ice, understanding causes of po-⁴⁵¹ lar SST change and how they are predicted to evolve in a future climate is important.

⁴⁵² Other processes could also contribute to the spread in λ_{SWcs} , such as snow melt. ⁴⁵³ This could be responsible for the strong λ_{SWcs} seen over the Northern Hemisphere land ⁴⁵⁴ masses in Figure 4 f, g, h, i, and j, and the spread in λ_{SWcs} seen in Figure 6b. However, ⁴⁵⁵ this process is not investigated further here since the strongest spread in λ_{SWcs} is seen ⁴⁵⁶ over the Arctic and Southern Oceans.

⁴⁵⁷ With the understanding gained from Figure 7, the different efficacies of each forc-⁴⁵⁸ ing agent are investigated. Maps of ensemble mean λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ are shown in Fig-⁴⁵⁹ ure 8. Here, the hist-ghg experiment is shown and each of the other experiments are shown ⁴⁶⁰ relative to the hist-ghg values. This enables clearer identification of the differences be-⁴⁶¹ tween each forcing agent.

From Figure 8 the spatial pattern of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} are shown to be similar, 462 suggesting that the regional change in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ leads to regional changes in λ_{SWcs} due 463 to the close relationship between T_s and sea ice. This is true for both the northern and southern hemisphere and also across each of the experiments. The spatial correlations 465 between $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{SWcs} across all experiments and each hemisphere are between 466 0.64 - 0.88, further highlighting the strong coupling between local T_s patterns and lo-467 cal feedbacks. For the historical experiment, in the southern hemisphere, a stronger λ_{SWcs} 468 is associated with a larger Southern Ocean dT_s/dT_s relative to hist-ghg. The northern 469 hemisphere maps in 8b show contrasting feedbacks between the Arctic Ocean regions and 470 the slightly lower latitude regions around the Labrador Sea. Over the Arctic Ocean hist-471 ghg has a stronger λ_{SWcs} compared to the historical simulations, whereas around the 472 Labrador Sea, the historical experiment has the stronger λ_{SWcs} . This is reflected in the 473 dT_s/dT_s patterns, where the historical experiment has a weaker dT_s/dT_s over the Arc-474 tic Ocean, but a stronger dT_s/dT_s over the Labrador Sea. This northern hemisphere pat-475 tern in λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ relative to hist-ghg is similar to that seen in the hist-aer and 476 hist-nat experiment, where the hist-aer experiment demonstrates the largest positive λ_{SWcs} 477 values and also extends these positive values furthest south. 478

In the southern hemisphere, unlike the historical experiment, the hist-aer experiment shows strongly negative λ_{SWcs} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ relative to the hist-ghg experiment. As previously mentioned, this may be due to ocean convection in the Southern Ocean triggered by the ocean becoming unstable (Ridley et al., 2022). This convection could bring warmer water up from below, warming the surface, melting sea ice, and resulting in a negative λ_{SWcs} .

Here, it has been shown that the sea ice albedo feedback and the level of arctic amplification is a key process in producing the large spread in λ_{SWcs} across the ensemble and is also a key reason for the different feedback seen in the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. It has also been shown that the different efficacies seen across the different historical and single forcing experiments can be explained through differing SST patterns (in agreement with Haugstad et al. (2017)), with the experiments with a stronger λ_{SWcs} locally, also exhibiting a larger $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$.



Figure 8. Maps of (top rows) surface warming pattern (KK⁻¹) and (bottom rows) λ_{SWcs} over the (right columns) northern and (left columns) southern hemisphere poles in the (a) histghg experiment and (b) historical, (c) hist-aer and (d) hist-nat experiments relative to hist-ghg.

492

3.3 Processes Affecting Longwave Clear-sky Feedbacks (λ_{LWcs})

From Figure 3 it can be seen that whilst the λ_{LWcs} does not contribute much to the different efficacies seen in each of the historical and single forcing experiments, it does contribute to the spread in λ_{net} and is also a large source of disparity between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments. Understanding the spread in λ_{LWcs} and the disparity between the historical and amip-piForcing experiments is the aim of this section.

 λ_{LWcs} is determined by a combination of the Planck feedback, the water vapour 498 feedback and the lapse rate feedback (T. Andrews & Webb, 2018). The water vapour 499 and lapse rate feedbacks have been shown to be strongest in the tropical troposphere (Soden 500 et al., 2008; T. Andrews & Webb, 2018), since the tropical atmosphere closely follows 501 a moist adiabatic lapse rate and therefore any warming at the surface is amplified ver-502 tically in the atmosphere (Po-Chedley et al., 2018). To investigate the λ_{LWcs} in the his-503 torical ensemble, first, plots of zonal mean atmospheric temperature regressed against 504 global mean T_s $(dT_a/d\bar{T}_s)$ are analysed (Figure 9). Note that as previously discussed, 505 here, the atmospheric temperature (T_a) has had any changes associated with the forc-506 ing subtracted from it (see discussion following Equation 3). This means that the CO_2 507



Figure 9. Zonal mean changes in temperature per degree of global warming in the (a) historical and (b) amip-piForcing experiments.

driven stratospheric cooling in the historical experiment is removed, and a more accurate comparison between historical and amip-piForcing experiments can be made.

From Figure 9 the pattern of $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ seen in both the historical and amip-piForcing 510 experiments demonstrates a marked warming over the tropical troposphere. Compar-511 ing Figure 9b and c it can be seen that this tropospheric dT_a/dT_s is stronger in amip-512 piForcing compared to the historical experiment. The amip-piForcing experiment also 513 exhibits a stronger $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ over the southern hemisphere troposphere, whilst the his-514 torical experiment has a larger $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ signal over the northern hemisphere high lat-515 itudes. This is potentially due to the different T_s patterns seen in the historical and amip-516 piForcing experiments, with the subtropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ being slightly greater in the North-517 ern Hemisphere in the historical ensemble and in the Southern Hemisphere in amip-piForcing 518 (Figure 5f). 519

Since the tropical troposphere is a key region in causing variations in λ_{LWcs} , a re-520 gion between $30^{\circ}S - 30^{\circ}N$ and between 100 - 500 hPa has been analysed further. A scat-521 ter plot of tropical tropospheric dT_a/dT_s against λ_{LWcs} is shown in Figure 10a. There 522 it can be seen that a strong correlation between the two variables exists with a corre-523 lation coefficient of -0.8, consistent with physical expectations that a larger upper trop-524 ical tropospheric temperature results in a larger lapse rate feedback and a more nega-525 tive λ_{LWcs} (T. Andrews & Webb, 2018). The amip-piForcing tropical tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ 526 and λ_{LWcs} has also been indicated in Figure 10a, where it can be seen that the tropi-527 cal tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ does well to capture why the feedbacks in historical and amip-528 piForcing experiments differ. 529



Figure 10. Scatter plots of (a) tropical tropospheric $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{LWcs} , (b) tropical Lower Tropospheric Stability (LTS) change per degree of global warming $(d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s)$ against λ_{LWcs} , and (c) tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{LWcs} . Here the tropics have been characterised by averaging between 30°S and 30°N, and the tropical troposphere has used the same latitudinal bounds and averaged between 100–500 hPa (see red boxes in Figure 9). In each plot, black dots represent the historical ensemble and amip-piForcing values are represented by a magenta dot.

Since the spread in feedbacks can ultimately be derived from differing SST patterns, 530 and given the strong relationship between tropical tropospheric temperature and λ_{LWcs} , 531 the relationship between tropical mean dT_s/dT_s and λ_{LWcs} has been investigated (Fig-532 ure 10c). Figure 10c follows a similar analysis to that performed by Soden and Held (2006). 533 There, they demonstrated that across a range of models, due to the approximately adi-534 abatic lapse rate of the tropical atmosphere, the strong coupling between the surface and 535 free troposphere in the tropics, and the relatively weak coupling present over higher lat-536 itudes, the ratio between tropical and global warming was a good metric for determin-537 ing the inter-model spread in lapse rate feedback. In Figure 10c it is shown that across 538 the historical ensemble, the tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is well correlated with λ_{LWcs} with a cor-539 relation coefficient of -0.79. It is clear that ensemble members with a stronger warming 540 over the tropics relative to the global mean also have a more strongly negative λ_{LWcs} . 541

As well as explaining the ensemble spread in λ_{LWcs} , tropical $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ changes can also be used to explain the disparity between amip-piForcing and historical experiments. Figure 10c shows that the amip-piForcing experiment has a strong $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ in the tropics and also has a strong negative λ_{LWcs} .

546

3.4 Processes Affecting Cloud Feedbacks (λ_{cre})

Although the historical ensemble used in this paper indicates that λ_{cre} is not the 547 feedback with the largest spread (λ_{SWcs} has a standard deviation of 0.073 Wm⁻²K⁻¹ 548 whilst λ_{cre} has a standard deviation of 0.06 Wm⁻²K⁻¹), for long term estimates of Ef-549 fCS across different models, cloud feedbacks are the largest source of uncertainty and 550 are the least understood (Forster et al., 2021; Ceppi & Nowack, 2021; Zelinka et al., 2016; 551 Ceppi et al., 2017). Because of this, over recent years, cloud feedbacks have been the fo-552 cus of many studies. Cloud controlling factor analyses such as Ceppi and Nowack (2021) 553 and Blanco et al. (2023) aim to relate changes in clouds to other meteorological factors, 554 such as free tropospheric humidity (van der Dussen et al., 2015), SSTs (Bretherton & 555 Blossey, 2014), surface wind speed (Brueck et al., 2015) and inversion strength (Qu et 556 al., 2015; Klein et al., 2017; Kawai et al., 2017). By better understanding what factors 557

cause clouds to change, it is possible to understand differences in cloud feedbacks across models/ensembles.

In this section, λ_{cre} is investigated, primarily focusing on the spread across the his-560 torical experiment ensemble. Previously, Salvi et al. (2022) suggested that the different 561 efficacies of well mixed greenhouse gases and aerosols were linked to changes in clouds 562 due to differing changes in stability (although a large variability is seen across different 563 models and a relatively small ensemble of 7 models was used). However here, the results shown in Figure 3 would suggest that for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, λ_{cre} does not contribute 565 substantially to different forcing efficacies in the global mean. To understand the spa-566 tial distribution of λ_{cre} , Figure 4q is analysed. Here, strong positive cloud feedbacks are 567 seen over the North Pacific and North Atlantic, and slightly weaker cloud feedbacks are 568 seen over the Southern Indian Ocean and South Atlantic (each caused by positive short-569 wave cloud feedbacks - Figure S2). To understand the spread in λ_{cre} , maps of standard 570 deviation in λ_{cre} , λ_{SWcre} , and λ_{LWcre} and standard deviation in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ are shown in 571 Figure 11. From Figure 11a it is possible to identify regions where the spread in λ_{cre} is 572 largest and therefore which regions contribute most to the spread seen in Figure 3. The 573 regions with the largest spread in λ_{cre} are the North Pacific and North Atlantic, due to 574 a large spread in λ_{SWcre} . The Southern Ocean and low cloud deck regions off the east 575 coast of South America, Australia and Southern Africa, also exhibit a moderately large 576 standard deviation in λ_{cre} , again due to shortwave cloud feedbacks. The map of stan-577 dard deviation of λ_{LWcre} shows a large spread in feedbacks over the tropical ascent re-578 gions, however as previously discussed, in these regions, longwave and shortwave responses 579 to changes in cloud cancel, and therefore the standard deviation in net cloud feedbacks 580 in these regions is generally small. 581

The spatial distribution of the standard deviation in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ shown in Figure 11f is relatively similar to the pattern of standard deviation in λ_{cre} . Calculating the spatial correlation between Figures 11a and f, a correlation coefficient of 0.47 is found. Given surface temperatures are a key cloud controlling factor, as shown by Ceppi and Nowack (2021), we would expect the spread in λ_{cre} to be partly controlled by the spread in $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$.

To better understand the cause of the spread in λ_{cre} shown in Figure 3b and 11a, 587 two key cloud controlling factors are investigated; changes in T_s and changes in Lower 588 Tropospheric Stability (LTS), both of which have strong statistical relationships with 589 changes in clouds (Cutler et al., 2022; Klein & Hartmann, 1993; Ceppi & Nowack, 2021). 590 Here LTS is defined as the 700hPa potential temperature minus the surface potential tem-591 perature (Cutler et al., 2022). Regarding the physical mechanisms of these relationships, 592 LTS has been shown to influence cloud changes by controlling the amount of entrain-593 ment between the moist boundary layer and the drier free troposphere. The physical mech-594 anism whereby surface temperatures effect cloud changes is less well established. Webb 595 et al. (2024) investigate a range of possible mechanism relating surface temperatures to 596 changes in cloud, such as the impact of surface latent heat flux changes, vertical gradi-597 ents in humidity or moist static energy, or changes in downwelling longwave radiation 598 caused by changing free tropospheric humidity. It was found that different mechanisms 599 were plausible in some models and not in others. For HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, only one sug-600 gested mechanism was not ruled out based on the models behaviour. This mechanism 601 involved a reduction in low cloud due to a warming and a decrease in specific humidity 602 due to an increase in upward longwave radiation from the surface (Ogura et al., 2023). 603

To relate changes in LTS and surface temperatures to changes in λ_{cre} , first two regions are investigated, the North West (NW) Pacific and North East (NE) Pacific (see Figure 11 boxes). These two regions were selected as being regions with a strong λ_{cre}



Figure 11. Maps of standard deviation in (a) λ_{cre} , (b) λ_{SWcre} , (c) λ_{LWcre} , and (d) $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ across the historical ensemble. Dashed black boxes indicate regions analysed in Figure 12 with the NW Pacific region extending from 150–185°E and 26–41°N, and the NE Pacific region extending from 215–235°E and 15–30°N.

signal (Figure 4q) and spread (Figure 11a). The two regions also capture different cli-607 matological regimes, with the NE Pacific a region of climatological subsidence where the 608 surface is decoupled from the free troposphere due to a strong inversion, whereas the NW 609 Pacific region is a region of climatological ascent where the surface is not decoupled from 610 the free troposphere. Scatter plots of $d(LTS)/dT_s$ and dT_s/dT_s against λ_{cre} over the NW 611 Pacific and NE Pacific regions are shown in Figure 12a, b, c, and d. Here, it can be seen 612 that in both the NE and NW Pacific there is a strong correlation between $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ and 613 λ_{cre} , and $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{cre} . This is consistent with Ceppi and Nowack (2021). Al-614 though the amip-piForcing and historical estimates of λ_{cre} were not particularly differ-615 ent, for completeness, amip-piForcing values have also been indicated in Figure 12. Here 616 it can be seen that the amip-piForcing values fit the historical relationship between λ_{cre} 617 and both dT_s/dT_s and $d(LTS)/dT_s$ suggesting that any differences in λ_{cre} between his-618 torical and amip-piForcing experiments in these regions can be explained through these 619 cloud controlling factors. 620

Since the LTS is defined as the 700hPa potential temperature minus the surface potential temperature, it is possible that the strong correlations between $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ and λ_{cre} exist primarily because of the strong relationship between λ_{cre} and $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$. To investigate this, scatter plots of 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{cre} are shown in Figure 12e and f. Here, differing relationships between the two variables exist over the two regions analysed. Over the NW Pacific, a strong correlation remains with a correlation coefficient of 0.84. Over the NE Pacific however, this is not the case and a weak correlation



Figure 12. Scatter plots of (a and b) $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, (c and d) $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$, and (e and f) 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ against λ_{cre} over the (a, c, and e) NW Pacific region, and (b, d, and f) NE Pacific region. Black dots represent the historical ensemble and magenta markers indicate amip-piForcing values.

of 0.36 is seen. This differing relationship may be due to the different convective regimes that exist over the two regions. Over the NE Pacific, the strong inversion and the decoupling between the boundary layer and the free troposphere means that any surface warming in this region will be trapped under the strong inversion. Over the NW Pacific, this is not the case and surface warming can be transported efficiently into the free troposphere. Therefore, to some degree, over the NW Pacific the 700hPa temperature is still controlled by the temperatures at the surface.

An alternative approach is taken in Figure 13. Here, the local effect of surface warming and the remote effect of large scale stability changes on λ_{cre} is investigated using maps of the correlation across the historical ensemble between local λ_{cre} and either the local $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ or the 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$. These latitudinal bounds were previously used by Ceppi and Gregory (2019) and Salvi et al. (2023) to capture large scale tropospheric stability.

From Figure 13 it can be seen that generally, the local $dT_s/d\overline{T}_s$ is the most strongly 641 correlated, with many regions exhibiting correlations greater than 0.7. The correlations 642 between λ_{cre} and the 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa dT_a/dT_s tend to be weaker, although 643 the subtropical cloud deck regions over the East Pacific and the Indian Ocean do exhibit 644 positive correlations (note these are not statistically significant at the 95% confidence 645 range). A decomposition of Figure 13 into shortwave and longwave components is shown 646 in Figure S3. Here the strong correlations seen in the low cloud deck regions in Figure 647 13 are associated with the shortwave cloud feedbacks, and similar to Figure 11 and S2, 648 the tropical ascent regions exhibit relatively strong correlations with both local dT_s/dT_s 649 and 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ in the shortwave and longwave, however these 650



Figure 13. Maps of correlation between local λ_{cre} against (a) local $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$, and (b) 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa $dT_a/d\bar{T}_s$ across the historical ensemble. Hatching indicates where correlations are not significant at the 95% confidence interval (i.e. p values are greater than 0.05). Here the p value approximately indicates the probability of two random distributions producing a correlation coefficient at least as great as those indicated in the colored contours.

two components cancel, resulting in the net cloud feedback correlation being relatively weak in those regions in Figure 13.

To summarise, cloud feedbacks are the largest source of uncertainty in EffCS across models, however within the HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL historical ensemble, λ_{SWcs} contributes more to the spread in λ_{net} . Spread in λ_{cre} can be explained through the cloud controlling factors of T_s and LTS where $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ is positively correlated with λ_{cre} and $d(LTS)/d\bar{T}_s$ is negatively correlated with λ_{cre} . Finally, it is shown that the local influence of $dT_s/d\bar{T}_s$ on λ_{cre} is much stronger than the remote effect of changes in large scale atmospheric stability.

660 4 Conclusion

In this paper the feedbacks across a 47 member ensemble of historical and single 661 forcing simulations have been analysed. Across the historical ensemble, EffCS ranges be-662 tween 3–6K, highlighting the large spread in estimated feedbacks caused by internal vari-663 ability. The aims of this work have been to understand the main causes of this spread 664 in feedbacks across the ensemble, to understand if and why different forcing agents have 665 different forcing efficacies, and finally to understand why the coupled historical simula-666 tions struggle to capture the feedbacks seen in AGCM simulations forced by observed 667 SSTs. To address these aims, three components of λ_{net} were investigated ($\lambda_{SWcs}, \lambda_{LWcs}$, 668 and λ_{cre}). 669

The analysis found that the ensemble spread in λ_{SWcs} is largely caused by varying degrees of sea ice melt per degree of global warming. Ensemble members that showed a large reduction in sea ice per degree of global warming also exhibited a strong λ_{SWcs} , with a correlation of -0.84 (consistent with Dessler (2020)). It was shown that this relationship was due to varying SST patterns, with ensemble members simulating stronger

polar amplification also exhibiting more sea ice melt and a stronger λ_{SWcs} (with a cor-675 relation of 0.84 between polar SSTs and λ_{SWcs}). This relationship between λ_{SWcs} , sea 676 ice melt, and polar amplification is also shown to be the reason for a much weaker λ_{SWcs} 677 in the amip-piForcing experiment. Here, weaker polar amplification resulted in less sea 678 ice melt per degree of global warming and a smaller λ_{SWcs} . Finally, the different λ_{SWcs} 679 between the different single forcing experiments was investigated, since λ_{SWcs} was found 680 to be the biggest source of differing forcing efficacies across the different forcing agents. 681 It was shown that different patterns of surface warming were the main cause of differ-682 ent feedbacks across each experiment, with spatial correlations of 0.64 - 0.88 between 683 patterns of T_s change per degree of global warming and λ_{SWcs} across all experiments 684 and each hemisphere. 685

Previously, Salvi et al. (2022) also investigated different forcing efficacies between different forcing agents, also finding the hist-aer experiment to exhibit more strongly amplifying feedbacks compared to hist-ghg. There they focused on influence of stability changes on changes in cloud feedbacks, however here, we find that for HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL, changes in sea ice and polar T_s play a larger role in causing different forcing efficacies.

The ensemble spread in λ_{LWcs} was also investigated. Here it was shown that both 691 tropical tropospheric temperature changes per degree of global warming and tropical T_s 692 changes per degree of global warming were a key factor in causing the spread in λ_{LWcs} . 693 Here, increased tropical surface warming caused warming in the tropical troposphere which 694 has previously been shown to cause a stronger lapse rate feedback (T. Andrews & Webb, 695 2018). This relationship between λ_{LWcs} and tropical T_s also captures why the λ_{LWcs} 696 is much stronger in the amip-piForcing experiment compared to the historical simula-697 tions, with the amip-piForcing experiment exhibiting a stronger tropical surface warm-698 ing per degree of global warming compared to most historical ensemble members. Given 699 that the amip-piForcing experiment is prescribed with observed SSTs, this shows how 700 AOGCM biases in tropical SST patterns can impact on the estimated λ_{LWcs} . 701

The final feedback to be investigated was λ_{cre} . In contrast to the primary role of 702 λ_{cre} in causing uncertainty in long term estimates of climate sensitivity, in the HadGEM3-703 GC3.1-LL historical ensemble, other feedbacks have a larger spread. Investigating λ_{cre} , 704 it was shown that both T_s and LTS are key factors affecting changes in cloud feedbacks. 705 It is also shown that although amip-piForcing and historical cloud feedbacks are not too 706 dissimilar, both the LTS and T_s are useful metrics for understanding how amip-piForcing cloud feedbacks relate to those seen in the historical simulations. The analysis concludes 708 by investigating the relative importance of local effect of varying T_s or the remote effect 709 of large scale changes in atmospheric stability. Here it is shown that the local T_s is the 710 most important, whilst the large scale stability plays a non-negligible role over the sub-711 tropical cloud deck regions. 712

This work provides useful insight into the different feedbacks seen across different 713 forcing experiments and also provides information as to why coupled historical simula-714 tions struggle to capture the feedbacks seen in the amip-piForcing experiment. To take 715 this work further, this large ensemble could be used to better understand the temporal 716 evolution of feedbacks. In recent years, the amip-piForcing experiment demonstrates a 717 marked decrease in λ_{net} (T. Andrews et al., 2022), and this ensemble could be used to 718 investigate whether a similar behaviour is captured in any of the ensemble members. This 719 work could then be used shed light on the causes and mechanisms involved in transient 720 feedbacks. 721

⁷²² 5 Open Research

Data used in this analysis consists of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL model simulations performed as part of the Met Office's contribution to CMIP6 (Eyring et al., 2016) and LESFMIP
(D. Smith et al., 2022) and can be accessed from the ESGF CEDA data node https://esgfindex1.ceda.ac.uk/search/cmip6-ceda/.

727 Acknowledgments

All authors were supported by the Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme funded

by DSIT. Doug Smith was also supported by the European Commission Horizon 2020
 CONSTRAIN project.

731 **References**

755

756

757

- Adams, B. K., & Dessler, A. E. (2019). Estimating transient climate response in a
 large-ensemble global climate model simulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 46, 311-317. doi: 10.1029/2018GL080714
- Andrews, M. B., Ridley, J. K., Wood, R. A., Andrews, T., Blockley, E. W., Booth,
 B., ... Sutton, R. T. (2020). Historical simulations with hadgem3-gc3.1
 for cmip6. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001995
- Andrews, T., Andrews, M. B., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Jones, G. S., Kuhlbrodt, T., Manners, J., ... Tang, Y. (2019). Forcings, feedbacks and climate sensitivity in hadgem3-gc3.1 and ukesm1. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4377–4394. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001866
- Andrews, T., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Gregory, J. M., Dong, Y., adn D. Paynter,
 K. C. A., Lin, P., ... Liu, C. (2022). On the effect of historical sst patterns on
 radiative feedback. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127. doi:
 10.1029/2022JD036675
- Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Paynter, D., Silvers, L. G., Zhou, C., Mauritsen, T., ...
 Titchner, H. (2018). Accounting for changing temperature patterns increases
 historical estimates of climate sensitivity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 8490-8499. doi: 10.1029/2018GL078887
- Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., & Webb, M. J. (2015). The dependence of radiative forcing and feedback on evolving patterns of surface temperature change in climate models. *Journal of Climate*, 28, 1630–1648. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00545.1
 - Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Webb, M. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2012). Forcings, feedbacks and climate sensitivity in cmip5 coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39. doi: 10.1029/2012GL051607
- Andrews, T., & Webb, M. J. (2018). The dependence of global cloud and lapse rate feedbacks on the spatial structure of tropical pacific warming. *Journal of Climate*, 31, 641–654. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0087.1
- Blanco, J. E., Caballero, R., Datseris, G., Stevens, B., Bony, S., Hadas, O., & Kaspi,
 Y. (2023). A cloud-controlling factor perspective on the hemispheric asymmetry of extratropical cloud albedo. *Journal of Climate*, 36, 1793–1804. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-22-0410.1
- Bretherton, C. S., & Blossey, P. N. (2014). Low cloud reduction in a greenhouse warmed climate: Results from lagrangian les of a subtropical marine cloudiness
 transition. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 6, 91–114. doi:
 10.1002/2013MS000250
- Brueck, M., Nuijens, L., & Stevens, B. (2015). On the seasonal and synoptic
 time-scale variability of the north atlantic trade wind region and its low-
- r71
 level clouds.
 Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 72, 1428–1446.
 doi:

 r72
 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0054.1
 doi:
 10.1175/JAS-D-14-0054.1

773 774	Ceppi, P., Brient, F., Zelinka, M. D., & Hartmann, D. L. (2017). Cloud feedback mechanisms and their representation in global climate models. <i>WIREs Climate</i>
775	Change, 8. doi: 10.1002/wcc.465
776	Ceppi, P., & Gregory, J. M. (2019). A refined model for the earth's global energy
777	balance. Climate Dynamics, 53, 4781–4797. doi: 10.1007/s00382-019-04825-x
778	Ceppi, P., & Nowack, P. (2021). Observational evidence that cloud feedback ampli-
779	fies global warming. PNAS, 118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2026290118
780	Cutler, L., Brunke, M. A., & Zeng, X. (2022). Re-evaluation of low cloud amount re-
781	lationships with lower-tropospheric stability and estimated inversion strength.
782	Geophysical Research Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL098137
783	Dessler, A. E. (2020). Potential problems measuring climate sensitivity from the his-
784	torical record. Journal of Climate, 33, 2237–2248. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19
785	-0476.1
786	Dessler, A. E., Mauritsen, T., & Stevens, B. (2018). The influence of internal vari-
787	ability on earth's energy balance framework and implications for estimating
788	climate sensitivity. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 5147–5155. doi:
789	10.5194/acp-18-5147-2018
790	Dong, Y., Armour, K. C., Proistosescu, C., Andrews, T., Battisti, D. S., Forster,
791	P. M., Shiogama, H. (2021). Biased estimates of equilibrium climate sensi-
792	tivity and transient climate response derived from historical cmip6 simulations.
793	Geophysical Research Letters, 48. doi: 10.1029/2021GL095778
794	Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., &
795	Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the coupled model intercomparison project
796	phase 6 (cmip6) experimental design and organization. Geoscientific Model $D_{\rm eff} = 1027, 1027, 1050$ is 10.5104/ is 10.22001c
797	Development, 9, 1937–1958. doi: $10.5194/\text{gmd-9-1937-2016}$
798	Forster, P. M., Richardson, T., Maycock, A. C., Smith, C. J., Samset, B. H., Myhre,
799	G., Schulz, M. (2016). Recommendations for diagnosing effective radia-
800	Atmospheres 121 12 460 12 475 doi: 10 1002/2016 ID025220
801	$E_{\text{autopheres}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2$
802	D ac authors (2021) The earth's energy budget alignets feed backs
803	and climate sensitivity In Climate change 2021: The physical science basis
804 905	contribution of working aroun i to the sixth assessment report of the interacu-
806	ernmental panel on climate change (p. 93) Cambridge UK and New York
807	USA: Cambridge University Press.
808	Fueglistaler, S., & Silvers, L. G. (2021). The peculiar trajectory of global
809	warming. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126. doi:
810	10.1029/2020JD033629
811	Gillett, N. P., Shiogama, H., Funke, B., Hegerl, G., Knutti, R., Matthes, K.,
812	Tebaldi, C. (2016). The detection and attribution model intercomparison
813	project (damip v1.0) contribution to cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development,
814	9, 3685–3697. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3685-2016
815	Gregory, J. M., & Andrews, T. (2016). Variation in climate sensitivity and feedback
816	parameters during the historical period. Geophysical Research Letters, 43,
817	3911-3920. doi: 10.1002/2016GL068406
818	Gregory, J. M., Andrews, T., Ceppi, P., Mauritsen, T., & Webb, M. J. (2020).
819	How accurately can the climate sensitivity to co2 be estimated from his-
820	torical climate change? Climate Dynamics, 54, 129–157. doi: 10.1007/
821	s00382-019-04991-y
822	Gregory, J. M., Ingram, W. J., Palmer, M. A., Jones, G. S., Stott, P. A., Thorpe,
823	R. B., Williams, K. D. (2004). A new method for diagnosing radia-
824	tive forcing and climate sensitivity. Geophysical Research Letters, 31. doi:
825	10.1029/2003GL018747
826	Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Nazarenko, L., Lacis, A., Schmidt, G. A.,
827	Zhang, S. (2005). Efficacy of climate forcings. <i>Journal of Geophysical Re-</i>

828	search: Atmospheres, 110. doi: 10.1029/2005JD005776
829	Haugstad, A. D., Armour, K. C., Battisti, D. S., & Rose, B. E. J. (2017). Relative
830	roles of surface temperature and climate forcing patterns in the inconstancy
831	of radiative feedbacks. Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 7455-7463. doi:
832	10.1002/2017 GL074372
833	Hwang, YT., Xie, SP., Chen, PJ., Tseng, HY., & Deser, C. (2024). Contri-
834	bution of anthropogenic aerosols to persistent la niña-like conditions in the
835	early 21st century. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 121. doi:
836	10.1073/pnas.2315124121
837	Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., & Webb, M. J. (2017). Interpretation of factors controlling
838	low cloud cover and low cloud feedback using a unified predictive index. Jour-
839	nal of Climate, 30, 9119—9131, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0825.1
840	Kay, J. E., Deser, C., Phillips, A., Mai, A., Hannay, C., Strand, G., Verten-
841	stein, M. (2015). The community earth system model (cesm) large ensemble
842	project. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 1333–1349. doi:
843	10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1
844	Kay, J. E., Holland, M. M., & Jahn, A. (2011). Inter-annual to multi-decadal arc-
845	tic sea ice extent trends in a warming world. Geophysical Research Letters. 38.
846	doi: 10.1029/2011GL048008
947	Klein S A Hall A Norris J B & Pincus B (2017) Low-cloud feedbacks from
047	cloud-controlling factors: A review Surves in Geonbusics 38, 1307–1329 doi:
840	10 1007/s10712-017-9433-3
850	Klein S A & Hartmann D L (1993) The seasonal cycle of low stratiform clouds
951	<i>Journal of Climate</i> 6, 1587–1606 doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006/1587:
952	TSCOLS\2.0 CO:2
952	Lambert F H Webb M I & Joshi M M (2011) The relationship between
954	land-ocean surface temperature contrast and radiative forcing <i>Journal of Cli</i> -
855	mate 24 , $3239 - 3256$ doi: 10.1175/2011.JCLJ3893.1
055	Marvel K Schmidt G A Miller B L & Nazarenko L S (2015) Implications
050	2010/. Inplications
957	for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings Nature Climate
857 858	for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 6, 386–389, doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888
857 858 850	for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888
857 858 859	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in
857 858 859 860 861	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model Geophysical Research Letters 50 doi:
857 858 859 860 861	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786
857 858 859 860 861 862 863	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus B. Forster P. M. & Stevens B. (2016). The radiative forcing model in-
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific.
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 863 864	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 863 864 865	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley S. Armour, K. C. Bitz, C. M. Zelinka, M. D. Santer, B. D. & Fu, O.
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018) Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feed-
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1
857 858 869 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 866	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C. & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 866 869	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances 3. doi: 10
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 866 866 869 870	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciady.1602821
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 866 866 869 870 871	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 1126/sciadv.1602821 Ou X. Hall A. Klein S. A. & DeAngelis A. M. (2015). Positive tropical ma-
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 866 866 869 870 871 872	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical actions of the processing sensitivity. Science Advances (Science Advances).
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 869 870 871 872 873	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775, doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627
857 858 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 869 871 871 872 873	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Bichardson, T. B. Forster, P. M. Smith, C. J. Maycock, A. C. Wood, T. An-
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T.,, Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmin
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 124, 12824-12844. doi:
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030581
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030581 Bidley, J. K. Blockley, E. W. & Jones, G. S. (2022). A change in climate state
857 858 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 871 872 873 874 875 874 875 876 877 878 879	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386–389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447–3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767–7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030581 Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., & Jones, G. S. (2022). A change in climate state during a pre-industrial simulation of the cminf model hadgern3 driven by deep
 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030581 Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., & Jones, G. S. (2022). A change in climate state during a pre-industrial simulation of the cmip6 model hadgem3 driven by deep ocean drift. Geophysical Research Letters 49. doi: 10.1029/2012ICL097171
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 876 877 878 879 880 881	 for climate sensitivity from the response to individual forcings. Nature Climate Change, 6, 386-389. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2888 Ogura, T., Webb, M. J., & Lock, A. P. (2023). Positive low cloud feedback primarily caused by increasing longwave radiation from the sea surface in two versions of a climate model. Geophysical Research Letters, 50. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104786 Pincus, R., Forster, P. M., & Stevens, B. (2016). The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (rfmip): experimental protocol for cmip6. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3447-3460. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3447-2016 Po-Chedley, S., Armour, K. C., Bitz, C. M., Zelinka, M. D., Santer, B. D., & Fu, Q. (2018). Sources of intermodel spread in the lapse rate and water vapor feedbacks. Journal of Climate, 31, 3187 - 3206. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0674.1 Proistosescu, C., & Huybers, P. J. (2017). Slow climate mode reconciles historical and model-based estimates of climate sensitivity. Science Advances, 3. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602821 Qu, X., Hall, A., Klein, S. A., & DeAngelis, A. M. (2015). Positive tropical marine low-cloud cover feedback inferred from cloud-controlling factors. Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7767-7775. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065627 Richardson, T. B., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Maycock, A. C., Wood, T., Andrews, T., Watson-Parris, D. (2019). Efficacy of climate forcings in pdrmip models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12824-12844. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030581 Ridley, J. K., Blockley, E. W., & Jones, G. S. (2022). A change in climate state during a pre-industrial simulation of the cmip6 model hadgem3 driven by deep ocean drift. Geophysical Research Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2021GL097171 Burgenstein M. & Armour K. C. (2021). Three flavours of radiative feedbackse.

883	and their implications for estimating equilibrium climate sensitivity. Geophysi-
884	cal Research Letters, 48. doi: 10.1029/2021GL092983
885	Rugenstein, M., Bloch-Johnson, J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Andrews, T., Beyerle, U., Cao,
886	L., Yang, S. (2019). Longrunmip: Motivation and design for a large
887	collection of millennial-length aogcm simulations. Bulletin of the American
888	Meteorological Society, 100, 2551–2570. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0068.1
889	Salvi, P., Ceppi, P., & Gregory, J. M. (2022). Interpreting differences in radiative
890	feedbacks from aerosols versus greenhouse gases. Geophysical Research Letters,
891	49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL097766
892	Salvi, P., Gregory, J. M., & Ceppi, P. (2023). Time-evolving radiative feedbacks in
893	the historical period. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128. doi:
894	10.1029/2023JD038984
90E	Sherwood S C Webb M I Annan J D Armour K C Forster P M Har-
906	greaves J C Zelinka M D (2020) An assessment of earth's climate
907	sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> 58(4) doi:
0.00	10 1020/2019RC000678
090	Smith C I b Forster P M (2021) Suppressed late 20th contury warming in
899	amin6 models explained by foreing and feedbacks — Coenhusical Research Let
900	torne /2 doi: 10.1020/2021CL.004048
901	Cmith D. Booth D. Dungtong N. Fodo D. Hormongon I. Jones C. S.
902	Therman V (2016) Dele of velocitie and enthusing C. S.,
903	Thompson, V. (2010). Role of volcanic and antirropogenic aerosols in the
904	recent global surface warming slowdown. Nature Climate Change, 6, 930–940.
905	doi: 10.1038/nclimate3058
906	Smith, D., Gillett, N. P., Simpson, I. R., Athanasiadis, P. J., Baehr, J., Bethke, I.,
907	Ziehn, T. (2022). Attribution of multi-annual to decadal changes in the cli-
908	mate system: The large ensemble single forcing model intercomparison project
909	(lestmip). Frontiers in Climate. doi: 10.3389/fclim.2022.955414
910	Soden, B. J., & Held, I. M. (2006). An assessment of climate feedbacks in coupled
911 912	ocean-atmosphere models. Journal of Climate, 19, 3354–3360. doi: 10.1175/ JCLI3799.1
913	Soden, B. J., Held, I. M., Colman, R., Shell, K. M., Kiehl, J. T., & Shields, C. A.
914	(2008). Quantifying climate feedbacks using radiative kernels. <i>Journal of</i>
915	Climate, 21, 3504–3520. doi: 10.1175/2007JCLI2110.1
916	Stephens, G. L., Kahn, B. H., & Richardson, M. (2016). The super greenhouse effect
917	in a changing climate. Journal of Climate. 29, 5469–5482. doi: 10.1175/JCLI
010	-D-15-0234 1
010	Titchner H A & Bayner N A (2014) The met office hadley centre sea
020	ice and sea surface temperature data set version 2: 1 sea ice concentra-
920	tions Iournal of Geonhusical Research: Atmospheres 119, 2864-2889 doi:
921	10 1002 /2013 ID020316
922	van der Dussen III de Boode S. B. Cosse S. D. & Sieherme A. P. (2015) An
923	Van der Dussen, J. J., de Roode, S. R., Gesso, S. D., & Siebesnia, A. I. (2015). An
924	tions on the strategumulus response to a slimate perturbation <u>lowrad of Ad</u>
925	unness in Modeling Forth Systems 7 670 601 doi: 10.1002/2014MS000280
926	Vial I Duframe I I is Dany $S_{-}(2012)$ On the interpretation of interpretation of interpretation $S_{-}(2012)$
927	viai, J., Dullesne, J. L., & Dolly, S. (2013). On the interpretation of inter-model
928	2262 doi: 10.1007/c00222.012.1725.0
929	5502. uol. $10.1007/500502-015-1725-9$
930	tine subtranical law aland faadhaalta in alimata madala? Jaarmal of Advances in
931	Modeling Forth Systems, 16, doi: 10.1020/2022MS002716
932	Williams K. D. Conserv D. Blacklaw F. W. D. Jac Calada A. Calada D.
933	Williams, K. D., Copsey, D., Blockley, E. W., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Calvert, D.,
934	Conner, $\mathbf{n}_{}$ Advier, $\mathbf{r}_{}$ K. (2017). The met office global coupled model 3.0 and 3.1 (max 0 and max 1) configurations. Lawrence is M_{-1} is
935	Forth Systems 257 280 doi: 10.1002/2017MC001115
936	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Exercit} Systems, 557 \\ $
937	wins, \mathbf{n} . U. J., Dong, Y., Proistosecu, U., Armour, K. U., & Battisti, D. S. (2022).

- ⁹³⁸ Systematic climate model biases in the large-scale patterns of recent sea-
- surface temperature and sea-level pressure change. Geophysical Research
 Letters, 49. doi: 10.1029/2022GL100011
- 941Zelinka, M., Zhou, C., & Klein, S. A. (2016). Insights from a refined decompo-942sition of cloud feedbacks. Geophysical Research Letters, 43. doi: 10.1002/9432016GL069917

Supporting information for

Feedbacks, Pattern Effects, and Efficacies in a Large Ensemble of HadGEM3-GC3.1-LL Historical Simulations

Harry Mutton¹, Timothy Andrews¹, Leon Hermanson¹, Melissa Seabrook¹, Doug M. Smith¹, Mark A. Ringer¹, Gareth S. Jones¹, Mark J. Webb¹

¹Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK

8 Contents of this file

• Figures S1 to S3

10 Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

The figures presented in this document show the decomposition of the cloud feedback analysis in Figures 3, 4, and 13 into longwave and shortwave components.



Figure S1. Boxplots of feedbacks in the historical and single forcing ensembles (1850–2014), amip-piForcing experiment (1870–2014), and abrupt-4xCO2 experiment (first 150 years). For each boxplot, the vertical black lines indicate each ensemble member, the whiskers indicate the maximum and minimum feedbacks seen in the ensemble, the boxes indicate the interquartile range, and the vertical orange line represents the median value.

Corresponding author: Harry Mutton, harry.mutton@metoffice.gov.uk



Figure S2. Maps of ensemble mean λ_{cre} , λ_{LWcre} , and λ_{SWcre} in amip-piForcing, historical, hist-ghg, hist-aer, and hist-nat experiments. Here, λ has been calculated by regressing the ensemble mean local annual mean (N - F) against the ensemble mean global annual mean T_s timeseries between 1850 – 2014 for historical and single forcing experiments, and 1870 – 2014 for amip-piForcing.



Figure S3. Maps of correlation between local λ_{LWcre} and λ_{SWcre} against local T_s changes per degree of global warming, and 50°S – 50°N mean 700hPa temperature change per degree of global warming across the historical ensemble. Hatching indicates where correlations are not significant at the 95% confidence interval (i.e. p values are greater than 0.05). Here the p value approximately indicates the probability of two random distributions producing a correlation coefficient at least as great as those indicated in the colored contours.