Land use intensification results in abrupt transitions between contrasting grassland states

Hugo Saiz¹, Lena Neuenkamp², Caterina Penone², Klaus Birkhofer³, Nico Bluthgen⁴, Steffen Boch⁵, Michael Bonkowski⁶, Francois Buscot⁷, María Felipe-Lucía², Anna-Maria Fiore-Donno⁶, Markus Fischer⁸, Martin Freitag⁹, Oscar Godoy¹⁰, Kezia Goldmann¹¹, Martin Gossner⁵, Ute Hamer², Norbert Hölzel², Kirsten Jung¹², Ellen Kandeler², Valentin Klaus¹³, Till Kleinebecker¹⁴, Sophia Leimer¹⁵, Sven Marhan², Yvonne Oelmann¹⁶, Jörg Overmann¹⁷, Daniel Prati¹⁸, Swen Renner², Matthias Rillig¹⁹, Sebastian Seibold²⁰, Michael Schloter²¹, Ingo Schöning²², Johannes Sikorski², Stephanie Socher²³, Emily Solly²⁴, Ingolf Steffan-Dewenter²⁵, Barbara Stempfhuber²¹, Catrin Westphal², Wolfgang Wilcke², Tesfaye Wubet²⁶, Susanne Wurst²⁷, and Eric Allan¹⁸

¹University of Zaragoza ²Affiliation not available ³Brandenburgische Technische Universitat Cottbus-Senftenberg ⁴TU Darmstadt ⁵Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL ⁶University of Cologne ⁷UFZ Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research ⁸University of Potsdam ⁹University of Münster ¹⁰Universidad de Cadiz Campus de Puerto Real ¹¹Helmholtz-Zentrum fur Umweltforschung UFZ ¹²University Ulm, BIO III ¹³Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich ¹⁴Institute of Landscape Ecology and Resource Management, University of Gießen ¹⁵Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) ¹⁶Eberhard Karls-University Tuebingen ¹⁷Leibniz Institut - Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH ¹⁸University of Bern ¹⁹Freie Universitaet Berlin ²⁰Technische Universität München ²¹Helmholtz Zentrum München ²²Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry ²³Paris Lodron University of Salzburg ²⁴ETH Zurich ²⁵University of Würzburg ²⁶UFZ-Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, ²⁷Freie Universität Berlin

Abstract

Understanding whether land use intensification causes regime shifts is of key importance for management, particularly if these shifts are associated with thresholds separating different ecosystem states and with hysteretic dynamics. Here we use a unique, long-term grassland database to identify thresholds in the response of 16 ecosystem functions and the diversities of 21 taxa to land use intensity. We show that aboveground diversity (5 of 10 taxa), shoot biomass and soil N retention showed threshold responses to land use intensity, i.e., abrupt changes between extensively and intensively managed grasslands. Time-series analysis revealed that ecosystem functions showed hysteresis around the threshold, while diversity did not. Shifting back to the functioning seen in extensively managed grasslands may therefore require larger reductions in land use intensity than shifting to the high intensity state. Identifying such thresholds along land use gradients is critical to prevent ecosystem degradation and conserve biodiversity and ecosystem functions.

Title: Land use intensification results in abrupt transitions between contrasting grassland states

Authors: Saiz^{*1,2}, H; Neuenkamp¹, L; Penone¹, C; Birkhofer³, K; Bluthgen⁴, N; Boch⁵, S; Bonkowski⁶, M; Buscot^{7,8}, F; Felipe-Lucia^{9,10}, M.R; Fiore-Donno⁶, A. M.; Fischer¹, M; Freitag¹¹, M; Godoy¹², O; Goldmann⁷, K; Gossner^{13,14}, M; Hamer¹¹, U; Hölzel¹¹, N; Jung¹⁵, K; Kandeler¹⁶, E; Klaus¹⁷, V. H; Kleinebecker¹⁸, T; Leimer,^{19,20} S; Marhan¹⁶, S; Oelman²¹, Y; Overmann^{22,23}, J.; Prati¹, D; Renner²⁴, S.; Rillig^{25,26}, M; Seibold^{27,28}, S; Schloter²⁹, M; Schoening³⁰, I; Sikorski²², J; Socher³¹, S; Solly³², E; Steffan-Dewenter³³, I; Stempfhuber²⁹, B; Westphal³⁴, C; Wilcke¹⁹, W; Wubet^{10,35}, T; Wurst³⁶, S; Allan¹, E.

¹Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013, Bern, Switzerland.

² Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias y Medio Natural, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Instituto Universitario de Investigación en Ciencias Ambientales de Aragón (IUCA), Universidad de Zaragoza; 22071 Huesca, Spain.

³Department of Ecology, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus-Senftenberg, 03046 Cottbus, Germany.

⁴Ecological Networks, Department of Biology, Technical University of Darmstadt, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany.

⁵Biodiversity and Conservation Biology, Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

⁶Terrestrial Ecology, Institute of Zoology, University of Cologne, 50674 Cologne, Germany.

⁷Soil Ecology Department, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany.

⁸German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle - Jena - Leipzig, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.

⁹Department of Ecosystem Services, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), 04103, Leipzig, Germany.

¹⁰German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle - Jena - Leipzig, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.

¹¹Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany.

¹²Departament of Biology, Marine Research Universitary Institute (INMAR), University of Cádiz, 11510 Puerto Real, Spain.

¹³Forest Entomology, Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

¹⁴Department of Environmental Systems Science, Institute of Terrestrial Ecosystems, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.

¹⁵Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, Ulm University, 89081 Ulm, Germany.

¹⁶Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, Soil Biology Department, University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany.

¹⁷Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland.

¹⁸Department of Landscape Ecology and Resources Management, Justus Liebig University Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany.

 $^{19}\mbox{Institute}$ of Geography and Geoecology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany.

²⁰Research Data Services, University Library, University of Duisburg-Essen, 47057 Duisburg, Germany.

²¹Department of Geosciences, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstr. 19-23, 72070, Tübingen, Germany.

 $^{22}\mbox{Leibniz}$ Institute, DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany.

²³Faculty of Life Sciences, Technical University Braunschweig, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany.

²⁴Ornithology, Natural History Museum Vienna, 1010 Vienna, Austria.

²⁵Institut für Biologie, Ecology of Plants, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany.

²⁶Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), 14195 Berlin, Germany.

²⁷Ecosystem Dynamics and Forest Management Group, Department of Ecology and Ecosystem Management, Technical University of Munich, 85354, Freising, Germany.

²⁸Berchtesgaden National Park, 83471 Berchtesgaden, Germany.

²⁹Research Unit for Comparative Microbiome Analysis; Helmholtz Center for Environmental Health, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany.

 $^{30}\mbox{Department}$ for Biogeochemical Processes, Max Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany.

³¹Department of Biosciences, Plant Ecology, Botanical Garden, University of Salzburg, 5020 Salzburg, Austria.

 32 Sustainable Agroecosystems Group, Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

³³Department of Animal Ecology and Tropical Biology, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany.

³⁴Department of Crop Sciences, Functional Agrobiodiversity, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

³⁵Community Ecology Department, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany.

³⁶Institut für Biologie, Funktionelle Biodiversität, Freie Universität, 14195 Berlin, Germany.

Running title: abrupt regime shifts associated to land use

Keywords: Above- and Below-ground Diversity, Ecosystem functions, Grasslands, Hysteresis, Land use intensification, Regime shifts, Thresholds

Type of article: Letter

Length: Abstract = 149 words; Main text = 4998; References = 74 citations

Figures: 4 figures

*Contact details: hsaiz@posta.unizar.es; Telephone: +34 974 29 26 57; Fax: +34 974 23 93 02

Statement of authorship

HS, LN, CP and EA designed the project. KB, NB, SB, MB, FB, AMFD, MF, KG, MG, UH, NH, KJ, EK, VHK, TK, SL, SM, YO, JO, DP, SR, SS, MS, IS, JS, SS, ES, ISD, BS, CW, WW, TW and SW collected the data. HS and CP assembled the dataset. HS analysed the data, HS, CP and EA interpreted the results and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors discussed and commented on the final version of the manuscript.

Data accessibility statement

All data is currently available in *https://www.bexis.uni-jena.de/*, within the dataset with id 27087 and 31207. Should the manuscript be accepted, the data supporting the results will be archived in an appropriate public repository (Dryad, Figshare or Hal).

Abstract

Understanding whether land use intensification causes regime shifts is of key importance for management, particularly if these shifts are associated with thresholds separating different ecosystem states and with hysteretic dynamics. Here we use a unique, long-term grassland database to identify thresholds in the response of 16 ecosystem functions and the diversities of 21 taxa to land use intensity. We show that aboveground diversity (5 of 10 taxa), shoot biomass and soil N retention showed threshold responses to land use intensity, i.e., abrupt changes between extensively and intensively managed grasslands. Time-series analysis revealed that ecosystem functions showed hysteresis around the threshold, while diversity did not. Shifting back to the functioning seen in extensively managed grasslands may therefore require larger reductions in land use intensity than shifting to the high intensity state. Identifying such thresholds along land use gradients is critical to prevent ecosystem degradation and conserve biodiversity and ecosystem functions.

Introduction

Land use intensification is a major cause of biodiversity loss across trophic levels (Allan *et al.* 2015; Rounsevell *et al.* 2018) and leads to a homogenization of community composition (Gámez-Virués*et al.* 2015; Gossner *et al.* 2016). In addition, increasing land use intensity decreases ecosystem multifunctionality by increasing yield at the expense of other ecosystem functions (*e.g.* soil C storage and nutrient retention) (Soussana & Lemaire 2014) and services (*e.g.* cultural and aesthetic value, pollination and pest control) (Allan *et al.* 2015; Dainese *et al.* 2019). Land use effects on ecosystems are frequently non-linear, which translates into abrupt changes in grassland biodiversity and functioning as land use intensity increases (Kleijn *et al.* 2009; Allan *et al.* 2014). However, few studies have tested whether these non-linear changes result in regime shifts, *i.e.* persistent, large changes in system-state variables, such as biodiversity or ecosystem functions (Scheffer & Carpenter 2003). Identifying regime shifts is therefore central for determining the sustainability of different forms of ecosystem management, particularly when they affect human well-being (Crépin *et al.* 2012). Thus, in order to prevent losses of services and to avoid ecosystem degradation it is critical to know whether land use intensification leads to regime shifts in grasslands.

When regime shifts occur abruptly, they can be associated with threshold responses where a small change in an external driver, *e.g.* land use; leads to sudden and large changes in system-state variables, which are further accelerated by internal feedbacks (Suding *et al.*2004; Briske *et al.* 2006; Ratajczak *et al.* 2018). Theories postulate two types of dynamics associated with thresholds (Figure 1) (Suding & Hobbs 2009): in the first case, the system can transition back to its previous state when the driver returns to its previous level, *i.e.* the regime shift is reversible. However, in the second case the system may not easily transition back to the previous state, that is hysteresis occurs and there is a critical transition among different stable states. With hysteresis, the transition between states cannot be simply reversed by restoring the driver to its previous level, it requires larger changes (Scheffer & Carpenter 2003; Dakos *et al.* 2019). Previous studies identified thresholds for grassland vegetation responses to grazing (Briske *et al.* 2005; Sasaki *et al.* 2008), but none have tested for thresholds in the response of multiple aspects of diversity and ecosystem functioning to several elements of land use, or whether thresholds are associated with different ecosystem states and hysteresis.

Although threshold responses have sometimes been observed (Sasaki et al. 2015), several studies have suggested that there is no quantitative evidence for them and that thresholds are rarely detectable (Hillebrand et al. 2020). Several factors may explain these mixed findings: firstly, different ecosystem functions and the diversities of different taxa, are likely to vary in their response to external drivers (Allan et al. 2015; Newbold et al. 2015; Soliveres et al. 2016), and the absence of a threshold for one function or diversity does not preclude the existence of thresholds for others. However, most studies looking for thresholds focus on only a small number of variables. In general, studies on thresholds have focused on diversity measures and have rarely considered ecosystem functions (Sasaki et al. 2015) (but see (Evans et al. 2017)). Secondly, consistently detecting thresholds in response to external drivers can be challenging when ecosystem responses are highly variable (Hillebrand et al. 2020), meaning that spatially extensive datasets are needed to robustly identify thresholds. Finally, extensive sampling needs to be combined with long-term data to identify critical transitions in ecosystem states, *i.e.* using early warning signals (indicators of a system approaching a critical transition (Scheffer et al. 2009)), or to evaluate the dynamics associated with thresholds, *i.e.* to test for hysteresis (Sasaki et al. 2015), and such data are rarely available. Thus, testing for thresholds in ecosystem responses requires studies with continuous measures of external drivers and ecosystem responses across many sites and multiple years.

In this study, we use a large database from 150 temperate grasslands to identify thresholds in the response of the diversity of 21 taxonomic groups (including plants, arthropods, birds, bats and soil microbes), and 16 ecosystem functions (including productivity, measures of nitrogen, carbon and phosphorus cycling and herbivory and pathogen attack), to a gradient of land use intensity. We considered effects of land use components, grazing, mowing and fertilization, both individually and combined in a composite land-use index (Blüthgen *et al.* 2012). We asked the following questions: (i) do diversities of multiple taxa (across multiple trophic levels) and ecosystem functions show a threshold response to land use? and if so, (ii) are these thresholds indicative of critical transitions and hysteresis? To answer these questions, we used a three-step analysis. First, we identified which variables showed a non-linear response to land use intensity and tested whether those responses were associated with specific thresholds. Second, we looked for early warning signals of critical transitions, by testing for increased spatial variation along the land use gradient. Finally, we used 12 years of land use data to identify hysteretic dynamics, based on whether observed thresholds changed depending on the land use history (*i.e.* if land use intensity had recently decreased or increased on a grassland).

Methods

Study area

The studied grasslands are part of the Biodiversity Exploratories project (www.biodiversity-exploratories.de) (Fischer *et al.* 2010) and are located in three different regions of Germany: the Schwäbische Alb plateau, as a part of the UNESCO Biosphere Reserve Schwäbische Alb (south-west), Hainich-Dün region (central) and the UNESCO Biosphere Reserve Schorfheide-Chorin (north-east). The regions differ in geology, topography, climate and soils (Fischer *et al.* 2010). Detailed information about the studied regions can be found in Supplementary Table S1. In 2007, 50 permanent grassland plots of 50 m x 50 m were established in each region (150 in total). Plots had been grasslands for at least 20 years before the start of the project.

Land use intensity

Plots in all three regions were selected to cover land use gradients typical for central Europe (Blüthgen *et al.* 2012). To assess land use intensity (LUI), annual questionnaires were sent to landowners asking them about the intensities of grazing, mowing and fertilization on each plot (Blüthgen *et al.* 2012; Vogt *et al.* 2019). For grazing intensity (G), farmers reported the type (cattle, horse and sheep), stocking density and the duration of grazing periods (standardized to livestock units \cdot grazing days \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot year⁻¹). For mowing frequency (M), farmers reported the number of annual cuts (number of cuts \cdot year⁻¹), and for fertilization (F), they reported the total fertilizer addition from which we calculated the amount of nitrogen added (kg N \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot year⁻¹). The individual components (G, M, F) were then standardized to their means across regions, and a continuous compound index of LUI was calculated by summing the standardized components (LUI = G + M + F) (Blüthgen *et al.* 2012).

Biodiversity

Taxonomic diversity data were collected for 21 above and belowground taxa. Aboveground diversity was measured for vascular plants, lichens, bryophytes, arthropods (divided into herbivores, omnivores, predators, detritivores and pollinators) and vertebrates (granivores and insectivores). Belowground diversity included arthropods (divided in predators and detritivores), bacteria, fungi (divided into decomposers, pathogens, symbionts and others) and protists (divided into bacterivores, eukaryvores, omnivores and parasites). Species diversity for vascular plants, bryophytes and lichens was collected in a 4 m x 4 m subplot located in the middle of each plot. Arthropod species diversity was collected aboveground, using sweep netting along 150-m transects, and belowground, using soil core extractions. Bird species diversity was collected using standardized 5-minute point-counts, recording all individuals seen and heard from the center of the plot. Bat species diversity was measured using standardized acoustic monitoring. Diversity of bacteria, fungi and protists was assessed as the number of operational taxonomic units (OTU), based on metabarcoding approaches using DNA extracted from plants and soil. We defined grassland taxonomic diversity for each group as the number of species/OTUs identified. Detailed information about the collection of diversity data can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

Ecosystem functions

A total of 16 ecosystem functions were measured on each plot. Function data included grassland productivity (above- and belowground), proxies of energy transfer between trophic levels (herbivory, seed and dung depletion, litter decomposition, root decomposition, pathogen infection), biogeochemical cycling (carbon, nitrate and ammonia fluxes, phosphatase, potential nitrification, N and P retention), and hydrology (water recharge). Plant shoot biomass was measured in eight $0.5 \text{ m} \ge 0.5 \text{ m}$ squares and root biomass in 14 soil cores per plot. Herbivory was calculated by measuring the proportion of leaf area damaged by insects, on 100 random leaves selected from the biomass sample. Seed and dung depletion was calculated as the proportion of cattle dung or sunflower seeds removed after 48 hours exposure. Litter and root decomposition was calculated as the mass loss from litterbags after 4 and 6 months respectively. Pathogen infection was estimated by visual inspection and calculated as the cover weighted mean of pathogen prevalence and severity per plant species. Proxies of carbon, nitrate and ammonia fluxes were calculated using composite indices including several enzymatic activities (Carbon: β-Glucosidase, N-Acetyl-β-Glucosaminidase and Xylosidase; Nitrate: Denitrification enzyme; Ammonia: Urease) and functional genes (Nitrate: nitrogenase, nrxA gene for *nitrobacter*, 16S rRNA gene for *nitrospira*; Ammonia: ammonia oxidation genes for archaea and bacteria). C-cycling enzyme activities were analyzed using fluorogenic substrate and fluorometric detection, N-cycling enzyme activities as well as potential nitrification were photometrically analyzed and the abundance of functional genes was quantified via real-time qPCR analysis. Nutrient retention (N, P) was measured as the remaining anion content in resin bags buried 20 cm deep for 140 days. Water recharge was calculated using a water balance model using climatic and soil data. Detailed information about function data collection is included in Supplementary Table S3).

Statistical Analyses

Identification of thresholds in the response of diversity and function to land use

Before testing for thresholds, we checked whether any variables showed non-linear responses to land use intensity and its three components. To detect non-linear responses, we compared three models: linear regressions, quadratic regressions, and generalized additive models (GAM) (Hastie & Tibshirani 1990). Quadratic models allow for non-linear effects, with one turning point in the relationship between the variables, while GAMs allow for complex non-linear responses, with several turning points. Both quadratic models and GAMs are often used to identify ecological thresholds (Berdugo et al. 2020). The best fitting model for each response was selected using the Akaike Information Criterion. Specifically, we considered that quadratic models and GAMs had better fits than the linear model when they had an AIC values at least 2 units lower than the linear model ($\Delta AIC = AIC_{lin} - AIC_{qua,GAM} > 2$) (Burnham & Anderson 2003). Then, for variables that showed a nonlinear response, we tested whether they also had a threshold (*i.e.* an abrupt shift) in their response to LUI, and its three components, using segmented regression (Muggeo 2003). Segmented regressions were only applied to those variables that showed non-linear responses because they force break points in the explanatory variable, potentially leading to overfitting and spurious thresholds, if the response is linear. In addition, if GAMs suggested the existence of several turning points, we fitted segmented regressions with more than one break point. When the segmented regression model was significant and indicated a better fit than the linear model (based on $\Delta AIC > 2$), we considered that the variable showed a threshold response. To control for the effect of region on diversities and functions, we used the residuals of each variable from a model including region as the explanatory variable. All variables were standardized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation before running the models. We used the gam(Hastie 2019) and segmented (Muggeo 2008) packages in R.

As land use intensity varied over time in each plot we always tested the response of each diversity and function to the land use intensity the previous year (*e.g.* the response of plant diversity in 2013 to grazing in 2012). Similarly, when variables were measured in several years, the mean value for the time period was used for both explanatory and response variables (*e.g.* the response of average plant diversity during 2011-2013) to average grazing during 2010-2012). We decided to use the land use value from the previous year because the study area is continuously managed and the different taxa and ecosystem functions may be measured before much of the land use in a given year has occurred. However, although LUI changes over time, the changes are not sufficient to dramatically alter the land use levels of the plots: both LUI and its components showed strong positive correlations between years (mean r \pm standard error; LUI r = 0.7 \pm 0.01; grazing r = 0.65 \pm 0.01; mowing r = 0.74 \pm 0.01; fertilization r = 0.71 \pm 0.01) and all years were strongly related (r > 0.74) to the mean values over the total time period (Supplementary Figure S4).

Test of early warning signals at ecological thresholds

To identify early warning signals of critical transitions, we evaluated the variability of the diversity and function measures along the land use gradients. An increase in variability has been considered an early warning signal for regime shifts between alternative states (Scheffer et al. 2009). To test for this, we used a moving window approach along the land use gradients. All plots were sorted from lowest to highest LUI, or its components, and then, the variance of each diversity and function measure was calculated across each subset of 15 plots along the gradient (*i.e.* if plots are ranked from 1 to 150, the first subset included plots 1-15, next subset plots 2-16, until the last subset included plots 136-150). Each subset accounts for 10% of the plots and covers a range of 0.2 ± 0.01 LUI, 26 ± 1.3 livestock unit \cdot grazing days \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot y⁻¹, 0.25 ± 0.01 cuts \cdot y⁻¹ and 6.4 ± 0.6 kg N \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot y⁻¹. To test if the variance in a given subset was significantly different from expectation, the expected variance for any subset was estimated by assembling 2000 subsets of 15 random plots and estimating the variance for each random subset. We then calculated the 95% confidence interval across these random subsets as the values at percentiles 2.5 and 97.5. Although early warning signals were originally developed for temporal data, spatial gradients are frequently used as proxies, when appropriate temporal series are not available (Blois et al. 2013; Kéfi et al. 2014; Eby et al. 2017). Nevertheless, to prevent potential confounding factors causing high variability related to local environmental conditions (Huston 1999), we tested for increasing variability through time for those variables with temporal data available. Specifically, we calculated for each plot, the variance through time of the functions or diversities. We then related the temporal variance in diversity or functioning to the average LUI of the plot. Results using temporal variation

were similar to those using spatial variation (higher variance at LUI values close to the threshold, Figure S5).

Evaluation of hysteretic responses in the ecosystem

Finally, we evaluated if ecosystem thresholds were indicative of hysteretic responses to land use, by determining whether responses were different when LUI and its components increased or decreased over time. If hysteretic responses occur, we would expect different trajectories of diversity and function change when LUI increases or decreases, and therefore a higher threshold along the LUI gradient when land use is intensified. Therefore, for each plot we determined whether LUI had increased from the previous year (land use intensification) or decreased from the previous year (land use extensification). All cases where land use did not change between years were excluded. We also did not consider moving as there were not enough thresholds to test for hysteresis. We analyzed all variables for which we had identified a threshold response to LUI and repeated the threshold detection analysis to test for different thresholds when land use had recently increased or decreased. If hysteresis occurs, then we would expect lower thresholds if land use has recently decreased (extensification). Specifically, for each combination of variable and year we ran a separate analysis for those plots that had experienced intensification or extensification. We ran individual analyses for each year because plots could show periods of increasing or decreasing land use at different times (on average across years; LUI: intensification = 71.9 ± 3.3 plots, extensification = 71.5 ± 3.2 ; Grazing: intensification $= 55 \pm 2.9$ plots, extensification $= 54 \pm 3$; Fertilization: intensification $= 30.6 \pm 1.5$ plots, extensification $= 33.6 \pm 1.4$;). Finally, we tested if the thresholds for plots undergoing intensification or extensification differed significantly, as an indicator of hysteretic dynamics. To do this, we fitted a linear mixed effect model using plot category (intensification and extensification) and variable type (diversity or function), together with their interaction, as explanatory fixed variables, threshold value as the response variable and year as a random factor. Linear mixed effect models were fitted with the *lme4* package in R (Bates et al. 2015). All statistical analysis were done with R 3.6.2 (R Development Core Team 2014).

Results

Identification of ecological thresholds

We found that almost a third (29.3%) of the diversities and ecosystem functions responded non-linearly to land use intensification (Table S4.1). We found more non-linear responses for diversities (9 of the 21 taxa) than for functions (2 of the 16 functions). In general, aboveground taxa showed more non-linear responses to land use (5 of the 10 taxa), than belowground taxa (2 fungal guilds out of the 11 taxa). For the 11 variables which showed non-linear responses to land use intensification, we identified 9 (diversity of 7 taxa and 2 functions, Figure 2) with a threshold response. Thresholds were remarkably consistent between variables and were around a land use intensity (LUI) value of 1 (most aboveground diversity metrics) and 1.5-2 (fungal diversity, plant shoot biomass and N retention). To better understand what this threshold means we calculated the mean value of individual components across the 24 plots with average LUI within the expected threshold value (LUI = 1.42 ± 0.12): grazing = 130.9 ± 24.5 livestock units \cdot grazing days \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot year⁻¹; mowing = 1 ± 0.2 cuts \cdot year⁻¹; and fertilization = 4.9 ± 1.6 kg N \cdot ha⁻¹ \cdot year⁻¹. The transition threshold is therefore associated with a switch from lightly grazed, unfertilized grasslands, to fertilized, and frequently mown or grazed grasslands.

We also found that diversities of several trophic levels and functions had threshold responses to each land use component (Figure S1.1-3). Most of these thresholds occurred at very low levels, which probably represents a threshold between the presence or absence of any land use component (31% of grasslands considered were not grazed, 35% were not mown and 60% were unfertilized).

Early warning signals at ecological thresholds

We found most variables showed an increase in variance at LUI values close to, or within, the thresholds, although only a third of them showed a significant increase in variance, relative to random expectations (3 of 9, vascular plants, lichens and vertebrates granivores, Figure 3). However, we also observed significant

increases in variance at a similar LUI values for some belowground variables that did not show a threshold response to land use intensity (*e.g.*Symbiotic fungi, Bacteria, Root biomass, Figure S2).

Hysteretic responses in the ecosystem

We found that thresholds for ecosystem functions occurred at higher LUI than for biodiversity (Figure 4). Additionally, these LUI thresholds observed for ecosystem functions occurred at lower land use levels in plots where LUI had decreased compared to the previous year (extensification) than in plots where LUI had increased (intensification, Figure 4, Figure S3). This indicates that the observed critical transition for functions in grasslands is hysteretic and returning to previous functioning levels requires lower land use intensity than is needed to change functioning as land use is intensified.

Discussion

Identification of ecological thresholds

Our analysis found that, in general, aboveground taxa were more likely to show threshold responses to land use intensity while belowground diversity and ecosystem functions had more linear responses. This agrees with findings showing that biodiversity is more likely to show a non-linear response to management (Evans *et al.* 2017), and often declines non-linearly with land use intensification (Kleijn *et al.* 2009; Allan *et al.* 2015). However, while land use intensification reduces aboveground diversity by homogenizing environmental conditions, by increasing arthropod mortality (grazing and mowing) or by favoring particular species (fertilization), belowground diversity may be increased by nutrients inputs to the soil (Chen & Wise 1999). In addition, the long management history of the studied grasslands may have reduced belowground responses to land use intensity, as many belowground communities may be affected more by soil history than recent changes in aboveground composition (Elgersma *et al.*2011). Regarding functions, the lack of non-linear responses could be explained by ecological redundancy (Walker 1992). It is possible that the loss of functionally important species with land use intensification can be partially compensated for by the remaining species, thus reducing abrupt responses (Muradian 2001). These contrasting responses of biodiversity (above and belowground) and ecosystem functioning highlight the need for system level approaches to understand overall consequences of land use intensification.

Remarkably, all variables showed thresholds at similar land use intensity (LUI) values. This might suggest that plant communities undergo a regime shift due to land use intensification that cascades to other taxa and functions. We observed two types of grasslands: extensively managed (LUI < 1) grasslands, with high aboveground diversity (especially of primary producers and vertebrates) and high nutrient retention, which are lightly grazed and unfertilized and intensively managed (LUI > 1.5) productive grasslands with high below ground fungal diversity (Figure 2), which are fertilized and mown or intensively grazed. Low grazing intensities promote plant diversity by limiting dominant species (Maurer et al. 2006; Bochet al. 2016; Busch et al. 2019), while the fertilizer addition associated with higher moving frequencies selects for more competitive, taller and faster-growing plants at the expense of smaller and slower-growing ones (Gough et al. 2001; Dickson et al. 2014; DeMalach et al. 2017; Busch et al. 2019). In the case of vertebrates, intensification in grasslands reduces diversity by limiting nesting options and increasing the risk of nest discovery by predators (Verhulst et al. 2004). In addition, biodiversity losses can cascade between trophic groups, as a reduction in primary-producer diversity affects herbivores by reducing the diversity of resources available to them (Uchida & Ushimaru 2014). Fertilization and increased dominance of fast-growing species in intensive grasslands affects ecosystem functioning by increasing aboveground plant biomass (Lavorel & Grigulis 2012; Allan et al. 2015). However, this increase may be non-linear, as environmental factors (e.g. soil water levels and light) limit further increases in biomass (Kleinebecker et al. 2014), or because the diversity loss associated with high fertilizer inputs results in a reduced increase in biomass at the highest levels of fertilization (Isbell et al. 2013a). The increase in aboveground plant biomass can also affect fungal communities (Voříšková & Baldrian 2013), as more organic matter may increase fungal decomposer diversity (Cline et al. 2018). In turn, N retention decreases in highly intensified grassland soils as a result of higher N inputs and the dominance of fast-growing species with low root density (Ledgard et al. 2011; Kleinebecker et al. 2014). Our findings show that these well-known changes due to land use intensification may occur abruptly once a key threshold is crossed, which highlights the need to maintain low levels of land use to prevent rapid declines in diversity.

Thresholds associated with anthropogenic disturbance have been described for some ecosystems, such as eutrophicated lakes, and overgrazed drylands (Suding et al. 2004), but there had been little evidence for their importance in temperate grasslands (Sasaki et al.2015). Some previous studies have found abrupt changes in certain ecosystem-state variables in response to particular land use components (e.g. increasing grazing intensity changed vegetation composition; fertilization changed vegetation composition and soil properties) (Suding et al. 2005; Sasaki et al. 2008; Ramirez et al. 2010). In our analysis most changes in response to individual components were associated with the presence or absence of the component. It is well known that grazed and ungrazed or fertilized and unfertilized grasslands differ dramatically (Bai et al. 2010, 2012). However, our results also show thresholds in response to an integrated measure of land use intensity, suggesting that it is the combined effect of changes in multiple land use components that causes the abrupt changes in ecosystem states. Global change drivers usually operate synergistically by changing ecosystem feedbacks and leading to regime shifts that would not have happened if drivers acted individually (Suding et al. 2004: Briske et al. 2005; Rillig et al. 2019). Altogether, our results confirm the large impact that land use intensification has on ecosystems (Thébault et al. 2014; Newbold et al. 2015, 2016), while providing novel evidence for the existence of regime shifts in the diversity of aboveground primary producers and vertebrates, biomass production and nutrient retention in managed temperate grasslands.

Early warning signals at ecological thresholds

Early warning signals, such as increasing variability near a threshold, have been suggested as indicators of a system being about to switch between stable states (Scheffer & Carpenter 2003; Scheffer et al. 2009; Kéfi et al. 2014). Finding evidence for an increase in variance would therefore provide an indication that the thresholds we detected are associated with critical transitions. We found significant increases in variance for plants and some birds, suggesting they may experience a critical transition. However, several belowground variables also showed significant increases in variance but not a threshold response, which suggests that an increase in variance may not be a reliable early warning signal. Alternatively, it is possible that the abrupt transition in the plant community has cascading effects on other processes and changes their variance but not mean values. In grasslands, nutrient addition causes a shift from slow growing conservative, to fast growing acquisitive plant species, which is associated with increased productivity and faster soil nutrient cycling (Eskelinen et al. 2020). At the transition between these two states there may be greater variability in plant functional composition between grasslands, which results in greater variability in various belowground processes. The existence of a critical transition between plant communities in managed grasslands would have important consequences. Extensively and intensively managed grasslands provide different ecosystem services, and a critical transition between these states would suggests that land use intensity needs to be kept well below the threshold in order to preserve the extensively managed, high-diversity state.

Hysteretic responses in the ecosystem

Our results showed that LUI thresholds for ecosystem functions can depend on whether land use recently increased or decreased, suggesting hysteretic dynamics. This hysteretic response indicates that returning to previous functioning levels requires lower land use intensity than is needed to change functioning as land use is intensified. Previous studies have suggested hysteretic dynamics in grasslands due to nutrient enrichment after fertilization (Isbell *et al.* 2013b), as nutrients can persist in the soil for many years (Hrevušová *et al.* 2009; Spohn *et al.* 2016). Thus, the slower recovery of nutrient retention, and slower decline in plant productivity, as land use is extensified may occur because fast-growing plants can maintain high dominance and productivity for some years after land use is reduced (Baeten *et al.* 2011). On the other hand, diversity thresholds were not affected by the direction of change in land use, suggesting a lack of hysteresis (Figure 4). This may be because diversity responds more slowly (Bommarco *et al.* 2014; Löffler *et al.* 2020). We only looked at whether land use intensity had increased or decreased relative to the previous year; however, if diversity responds more slowly than this, then only the long-term mean land use intensity on a plot may have an effect. We were not able to look at longer-term changes in land use as only 4% of plots experienced

decreased, and 2% of plots increased, LUI for more than 5 consecutive years. Thus, although some studies have suggested that limited intensification can produce optimal biodiversity and functioning in grasslands (Yang *et al.* 2018), we do not find evidence for this. In our study area land use extensification (*i.e.* reducing fertilization while keeping high grazing or mowing levels) will not immediately reduce yield but it will also not support a rapid recovery of diversity. Our results therefore suggest that LUI needs to drop below 1 before high diversity grasslands could be promoted, i.e., no fertilization and low grazing or mowing. Finally, as abandonment of grassland management will lead to natural succession and the replacement of these semi-natural grasslands by shrubland and forests, extensive land practices are essential to preserve diverse grasslands (Queiroz *et al.* 2014). The existence of hysteresis associated with land use has key implications for conservation strategies, which further reinforces the idea that land use intensity needs to remain well below the threshold to preserve biodiversity.

Conclusions

We found evidence for thresholds in how land use intensification affects aboveground diversity and for a transition from extensively managed grasslands with high aboveground diversity and high soil nutrient retention, to intensively managed grasslands with high biomass production and belowground fungal diversity, but low aboveground diversity. Identifying these thresholds is key to prevent abrupt declines of biodiversity (LUI should not increase above 1) and to find the optimal, efficient management level allowing high productivity with lowest inputs (a LUI close to 2). In addition, extensively managed grasslands with land use levels below the threshold are priority targets for nature conservation, as it may be more difficult to restore grasslands once the threshold is crossed. Our results highlight the importance of testing for complex effects of global change drivers on multiple ecosystem components across many sites and multiple years to account for the high variability in responses that limit our capacity to identify thresholds (Hillebrand *et al.* 2020). As global change drivers can lead to critical transitions, it is important to anticipate them in order to avoid undesirable changes in both diversity and ecosystem functioning.

Acknowledgements

HS is supported by a María Zambrano fellowship funded by the Ministry of Universities and European Union-Next Generation plan. We thank the managers of the three Exploratories, K. Lorenzen, A. Hemp, S. Gockel, K. Wiesner, K. Wells and M. Gorke and all former and current managers for their work in maintaining the plot and project infrastructure; C. Fischer and V. Grießmeier for giving support through the central office, A. Ostrowski for managing the central data base, and E. Linsenmair, D. Hessenmöller, E.D. Schulze, W.W. Weisser and the late E. Kalko for their role in setting up the Biodiversity Exploratories project. We thank the administration of the Hainich national park, the UNESCO Biosphere Reserve Swabian Alb and the UNESCO Biosphere Reserve Schorfheide-Chorin as well as all land owners for the excellent collaboration. The work has been funded by the DFG Priority Program 1374 "Biodiversity- Exploratories" (DFG-Refno.). Field work permits were issued by the responsible state environmental offices of Baden-Württemberg, Thüringen, and Brandenburg. We thank S. Soliveres for helping in the development of the ideas for this work and providing comments in late stages of the manuscript. We thank G. Prescott, A. Rindisbacher and N. Schenk for providing comments on the manuscript. We thank Sebastian Bischoff, Peter Escher, Martin Kaupenjohann, Katja Kerber, Beate Michalzik, Martin Schwarz, Jan Siemens, and Lisa Thieme of the project BECycles for their data contributions.

Bibliography

Allan, E., Bossdorf, O., Dormann, C.F., Prati, D., Gossner, M.M., Tscharntke, T., et al. (2014). Interannual variation in land-use intensity enhances grassland multidiversity. *PNAS*, 111, 308–313.

Allan, E., Manning, P., Alt, F., Binkenstein, J., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., *et al.* (2015). Land use intensification alters ecosystem multifunctionality via loss of biodiversity and changes to functional composition. *Ecology Letters*, 18, 834–843.

Baeten, L., Verstraeten, G., De Frenne, P., Vanhellemont, M., Wuyts, K., Hermy, M., et al. (2011). Former

land use affects the nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations and biomass of forest herbs. *Plant Ecol*, 212, 901-909.

Bai, Y., Wu, J., Clark, C.M., Naeem, S., Pan, Q., Huang, J., *et al.* (2010). Tradeoffs and thresholds in the effects of nitrogen addition on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: evidence from inner Mongolia Grasslands. *Global Change Biology*, 16, 358–372.

Bai, Y., Wu, J., Clark, C.M., Pan, Q., Zhang, L., Chen, S., *et al.* (2012). Grazing alters ecosystem functioning and C:N:P stoichiometry of grasslands along a regional precipitation gradient. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49, 1204–1215.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J. Stat. Soft., 67.

Berdugo, M., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Soliveres, S., Hernández-Clemente, R., Zhao, Y., Gaitán, J.J., *et al.* (2020). Global ecosystem thresholds driven by aridity. *Science*, 367, 787–790.

Blois, J.L., Williams, J.W., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Jackson, S.T. & Ferrier, S. (2013). Space can substitute for time in predicting climate-change effects on biodiversity. *PNAS*, 110, 9374–9379.

Blüthgen, N., Dormann, C.F., Prati, D., Klaus, V.H., Kleinebecker, T., Hölzel, N., *et al.* (2012). A quantitative index of land-use intensity in grasslands: Integrating mowing, grazing and fertilization. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 13, 207–220.

Boch, S., Prati, D., Schöning, I. & Fischer, M. (2016). Lichen species richness is highest in non-intensively used grasslands promoting suitable microhabitats and low vascular plant competition. *Biodivers Conserv*, 25, 225–238.

Bommarco, R., Lindborg, R., Marini, L. & Öckinger, E. (2014). Extinction debt for plants and flower-visiting insects in landscapes with contrasting land use history. *Diversity and Distributions*, 20, 591–599.

Briske, D.D., Fuhlendorf, S.D. & Smeins, F.E. (2005). State-and-Transition Models, Thresholds, and Rangeland Health: A Synthesis of Ecological Concepts and Perspectives. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 58, 1–10.

Briske, D.D., Fuhlendorf, S.D. & Smeins, F.E. (2006). A Unified Framework for Assessment and Application of Ecological Thresholds. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 59, 225–236.

Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. (2003). Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical informationtheoretic approach. Springer Science & Business Media.

Busch, V., Klaus, V.H., Schäfer, D., Prati, D., Boch, S., Müller, J., *et al.* (2019). Will I stay or will I go? Plant species-specific response and tolerance to high land-use intensity in temperate grassland ecosystems. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 674–686.

Chen, B. & Wise, D.H. (1999). Bottom-Up Limitation of Predaceous Arthropods in a Detritus-Based Terrestrial Food Web. *Ecology*, 80, 761–772.

Cline, L.C., Hobbie, S.E., Madritch, M.D., Buyarski, C.R., Tilman, D. & Cavender-Bares, J.M. (2018). Resource availability underlies the plant-fungal diversity relationship in a grassland ecosystem. *Ecology*, 99, 204–216.

Crepin, A.-S., Biggs, R., Polasky, S., Troell, M. & de Zeeuw, A. (2012). Regime shifts and management. *Ecological Economics*, The Economics of Degrowth, 84, 15–22.

Dainese, M., Martin, E.A., Aizen, M.A., Albrecht, M., Bartomeus, I., Bommarco, R., et al. (2019). A global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production. *Science Advances*, 5, eaax0121.

Dakos, V., Matthews, B., Hendry, A.P., Levine, J., Loeuille, N., Norberg, J., et al. (2019). Ecosystem tipping points in an evolving world. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3, 355–362.

DeMalach, N., Zaady, E. & Kadmon, R. (2017). Light asymmetry explains the effect of nutrient enrichment on grassland diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 60–69.

Dickson, T.L., Mittelbach, G.G., Reynolds, H.L. & Gross, K.L. (2014). Height and clonality traits determine plant community responses to fertilization. *Ecology*, 95, 2443–2452.

Eby, S., Agrawal, A., Majumder, S., Dobson, A.P. & Guttal, V. (2017). Alternative stable states and spatial indicators of critical slowing down along a spatial gradient in a savanna ecosystem. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 638–649.

Elgersma, K.J., Ehrenfeld, J.G., Yu, S. & Vor, T. (2011). Legacy effects overwhelm the short-term effects of exotic plant invasion and restoration on soil microbial community structure, enzyme activities, and nitrogen cycling. *Oecologia*, 167, 733–745.

Eskelinen, A., Gravuer, K., Harpole, W.S., Harrison, S., Virtanen, R. & Hautier, Y. (2020). Resourceenhancing global changes drive a whole-ecosystem shift to faster cycling but decrease diversity. *Ecology*, 101, e03178.

Evans, P.M., Newton, A.C., Cantarello, E., Martin, P., Sanderson, N., Jones, D.L., et al. (2017). Thresholds of biodiversity and ecosystem function in a forest ecosystem undergoing dieback. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 6775.

Fischer, M., Bossdorf, O., Gockel, S., Hansel, F., Hemp, A., Hessenmoller, D., *et al.* (2010). Implementing large-scale and long-term functional biodiversity research: The Biodiversity Exploratories. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11, 473–485.

Gamez-Virues, S., Perović, D.J., Gossner, M.M., Börschig, C., Blüthgen, N., de Jong, H., *et al.* (2015). Landscape simplification filters species traits and drives biotic homogenization. *Nature Communications*, 6, 8568.

Gossner, M.M., Lewinsohn, T.M., Kahl, T., Grassein, F., Boch, S., Prati, D., et al. (2016). Land-use intensification causes multitrophic homogenization of grassland communities. *Nature*, 540, 266–269.

Gough, L., Goldberg, D.E., Hershock, C., Pauliukonis, N. & Petru, M. (2001). Investigating the community consequences of competition among clonal plants. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 15, 547–563.

Hastie, T. (2019). gam: Generalized Additive Models.

Hastie, T.J. & Tibshirani, R.J. (1990). Generalized Additive Models . CRC Press.

Hillebrand, H., Donohue, I., Harpole, W.S., Hodapp, D., Kucera, M., Lewandowska, A.M., *et al.* (2020). Thresholds for ecological responses to global change do not emerge from empirical data. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4, 1502–1509.

Hrevušová, Z., Hejcman, M., Pavlů, V.V., Hakl, J., Klaudisová, M. & Mrkvička, J. (2009). Long-term dynamics of biomass production, soil chemical properties and plant species composition of alluvial grassland after the cessation of fertilizer application in the Czech Republic. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 130, 123–130.

Huston, M.A. (1999). Local Processes and Regional Patterns: Appropriate Scales for Understanding Variation in the Diversity of Plants and Animals. *Oikos*, 86, 393–401.

Isbell, F., Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Hobbie, S.E., Polasky, S. & Binder, S. (2013a). Nutrient enrichment, biodiversity loss, and consequent declines in ecosystem productivity. *PNAS*, 110, 11911–11916.

Isbell, F., Tilman, D., Polasky, S., Binder, S. & Hawthorne, P. (2013b). Low biodiversity state persists two decades after cessation of nutrient enrichment. *Ecology Letters*, 16, 454–460.

Kéfi, S., Guttal, V., Brock, W.A., Carpenter, S.R., Ellison, A.M., Livina, V.N., et al. (2014). Early Warning Signals of Ecological Transitions: Methods for Spatial Patterns. PLOS ONE, 9, e92097.

Kelly, R.P., Erickson, A.L., Mease, L.A., Battista, W., Kittinger, J.N. & Fujita, R. (2015). Embracing thresholds for better environmental management. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370, 20130276.

Kleijn, D., Kohler, F., Báldi, A., Batáry, P., Concepción, E. d, Clough, Y., et al. (2009). On the relationship between farmland biodiversity and land-use intensity in Europe. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276, 903–909.

Kleinebecker, T., Hölzel, N., Prati, D., Schmitt, B., Fischer, M. & Klaus, V.H. (2014). Evidence from the real world: 15N natural abundances reveal enhanced nitrogen use at high plant diversity in Central European grasslands. *Journal of Ecology*, 102, 456–465.

Lavorel, S. & Grigulis, K. (2012). How fundamental plant functional trait relationships scale-up to trade-offs and synergies in ecosystem services. *Journal of Ecology*, 100, 128–140.

Ledgard, S.F., Luo, J., Monaghan, R.M. & BI, C. (2011). Managing mineral N leaching in grassland systems. Grassland productivity and ecosystem services, 83–91.

Löffler, F., Poniatowski, D. & Fartmann, T. (2020). Extinction debt across three taxa in well-connected calcareous grasslands. *Biological Conservation*, 246, 108588.

Maurer, K., Weyand, A., Fischer, M. & Stöcklin, J. (2006). Old cultural traditions, in addition to land use and topography, are shaping plant diversity of grasslands in the Alps. *Biological Conservation*, 130, 438–446.

Muggeo, V.M.R. (2003). Estimating regression models with unknown break-points. *Statistics in Medicine*, 22, 3055–3071.

Muggeo, V.M.R. (2008). segmented: An R package to Fit Regression Models with Broken-Line Relationships. R NEWS, 8/1, 20–25.

Muradian, R. (2001). Ecological thresholds: a survey. Ecological Economics, 38, 7–24.

Newbold, T., Hudson, L.N., Arnell, A.P., Contu, S., Palma, A.D., Ferrier, S., *et al.* (2016). Has land use pushed terrestrial biodiversity beyond the planetary boundary? A global assessment. *Science*, 353, 288–291.

Newbold, T., Hudson, L.N., Hill, S.L.L., Contu, S., Lysenko, I., Senior, R.A., et al. (2015). Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature*, 520, 45–50.

Queiroz, C., Beilin, R., Folke, C. & Lindborg, R. (2014). Farmland abandonment: threat or opportunity for biodiversity conservation? A global review. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 12, 288–296.

R Development Core Team. (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.

Ramirez, K.S., Lauber, C.L., Knight, R., Bradford, M.A. & Fierer, N. (2010). Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. *Ecology*, 91, 3463–3470.

Ratajczak, Z., Carpenter, S.R., Ives, A.R., Kucharik, C.J., Ramiadantsoa, T., Stegner, M.A., et al. (2018). Abrupt Change in Ecological Systems: Inference and Diagnosis. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 33, 513–526.

Ratajczak, Z., Nippert, J.B. & Ocheltree, T.W. (2014). Abrupt transition of mesic grassland to shrubland: evidence for thresholds, alternative attractors, and regime shifts. *Ecology*, 95, 2633–2645.

Rillig, M.C., Ryo, M., Lehmann, A., Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A., Buchert, S., Wulf, A., et al. (2019). The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. *Science*, 366, 886–890.

Rounsevell, M., Fischer, M., Torre-Marin Rando, A. & Mader, A. (2018). The IPBES regional assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services for Europe and Central Asia. *Secr. Intergov. Sci. Platf. Biodivers. Ecosyst. Serv. Bonn, Ger*, 892.

Sasaki, T., Furukawa, T., Iwasaki, Y., Seto, M. & Mori, A.S. (2015). Perspectives for ecosystem management based on ecosystem resilience and ecological thresholds against multiple and stochastic disturbances.*Ecological Indicators*, 57, 395–408.

Sasaki, T., Okayasu, T., Jamsran, U. & Takeuchi, K. (2008). Threshold changes in vegetation along a grazing gradient in Mongolian rangelands. *Journal of Ecology*, 96, 145–154.

Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W.A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S.R., Dakos, V., et al. (2009). Earlywarning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461, 53–59.

Scheffer, M. & Carpenter, S.R. (2003). Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking theory to observation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18, 648–656.

Soliveres, S., van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M.M., Renner, S.C., *et al.* (2016). Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature*, 536, 456–459.

Soussana, J.-F. & Lemaire, G. (2014). Coupling carbon and nitrogen cycles for environmentally sustainable intensification of grasslands and crop-livestock systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, Integrated Crop-Livestock System Impacts on Environmental Processes, 190, 9–17.

Spohn, M., Novák, T.J., Incze, J. & Giani, L. (2016). Dynamics of soil carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in calcareous soils after land-use abandonment – A chronosequence study. *Plant Soil*, 401, 185–196.

Suding, K.N., Collins, S.L., Gough, L., Clark, C., Cleland, E.E., Gross, K.L., et al. (2005). Functional- and abundance-based mechanisms explain diversity loss due to N fertilization. PNAS, 102, 4387–4392.

Suding, K.N., Gross, K.L. & Houseman, G.R. (2004). Alternative states and positive feedbacks in restoration ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19, 46–53.

Suding, K.N. & Hobbs, R.J. (2009). Threshold models in restoration and conservation: a developing framework. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 271–279.

Thébault, A., Mariotte, P., Lortie, C.J. & MacDougall, A.S. (2014). Land management trumps the effects of climate change and elevated CO2 on grassland functioning. *Journal of Ecology*, 102, 896–904.

Uchida, K. & Ushimaru, A. (2014). Biodiversity declines due to abandonment and intensification of agricultural lands: patterns and mechanisms. *Ecological Monographs*, 84, 637–658.

Verhulst, J., Báldi, A. & Kleijn, D. (2004). Relationship between land-use intensity and species richness and abundance of birds in Hungary. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 104, 465–473.

Vogt, J., Klaus, V.H., Both, S., Fürstenau, C., Gockel, S., Gossner, M.M., et al. (2019). Eleven years' data of grassland management in Germany. *Biodivers Data J*, 7.

Voříšková, J. & Baldrian, P. (2013). Fungal community on decomposing leaf litter undergoes rapid successional changes. *The ISME Journal*, 7, 477–486.

Walker, B.H. (1992). Biodiversity and Ecological Redundancy. Conservation Biology, 6, 18–23.

Yang, Y., Tilman, D., Lehman, C. & Trost, J.J. (2018). Sustainable intensification of high-diversity biomass production for optimal biofuel benefits. *Nat Sustain*, 1, 686–692.

Figure 1. Trajectories for regime shifts in ecosystems. Ecosystems experience a regime shift when they show a large change in an ecosystem state (*i.e.* variables describing the ecosystem) (a). This change can be due to one or more environmental drivers and can be gradual or fast, leading to multiple relationships (unbroken black line) between ecosystem state and environmental drivers. The regime shift can be gradual if the ecosystem state changes linearly with the driver (b), or abrupt if the change shows a threshold (c). In addition, thresholds can show hysteresis if the direction of the change (grey arrow) is associated with different thresholds (d). In this case, bringing the driver back across the original threshold will not return the ecosystem to its previous state (broken black lines).



Figure 2. Threshold responses along LUI gradient for different taxa diversity and ecosystem functions. On the left, response to land use intensity (LUI) of all variables considered, including aboveand belowground diversity, and ecosystem functions. Different colors indicate different responses to LUI (red/orange = negative response; blue = positive response; grey = no response; vascular plant diversity always decreased with land use intensity but the strength of that decline was lower after the threshold, which is indicated as a shift from red to orange). * indicates a variable showing a threshold response. On the right, one example of threshold response for above- (plants) and belowground diversity (fungal decomposers), and ecosystem function (N retention).



Figure 3. Variance for the considered taxa diversities and ecosystem functions showing a threshold response along the Land Use Intensity (LUI) gradient. The grey area represents the values along the gradient where a threshold was detected. Red dashed lines represent the confidence interval for the expected variance calculated based on bootstrapping the plots. Variance values higher than expected can be considered as indicative of a critical transition between ecosystem states.



LUI















Figure 4. Variation in the Land Use Intensity (LUI) threshold depending on temporal changes in land use. Land use thresholds for diversities and ecosystem functions on plots where LUI had recently increased (intensification, blue line and dots) or decreased (extensification, orange line and dots). For diversity the threshold was not affected by the direction of recent changes in LUI but ecosystem functions had different thresholds depending on whether LUI had recently increased or decreased, suggesting hysteresis and the presence of a critical transition between two ecosystem states. Top left subplot shows the threshold response of plant diversity to LUI, which is the same for plots where LUI had increased or decreased compared to the previous year. Top right subplot shows hysteresis for the threshold response of plant shoot biomass, where the threshold is different on plots where LUI had increased compared to the previous year (blue) or where it had decreased (yellow). ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.05.

