Results from a test-and-treat study for influenza among residents of homeless shelters in King County, WA: a stepped-wedge cluster-randomized trial.

Julia Rogers¹, Amanda Casto², Gift Nwanne³, Amy Link¹, Miguel Martinez¹, Callista Nackviseth¹, Caitlin Wolf¹, James Hughes¹, Janet Englund⁴, Nancy Sugg¹, Timothy M Uyeki⁵, Peter Han⁶, Brian Pfau⁶, Jay Shendure¹, and Helen Chu¹

¹University of Washington ²University of Washington School of Medicine ³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center ⁴Seattle Children's Research Institute ⁵Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ⁶Brotman Baty Institute for Precision Medicine

November 2, 2022

Abstract

Background Persons experiencing homelessness face increased risk of influenza as overcrowding in congregate shelters can facilitate influenza virus spread. Data regarding on-site influenza testing and antiviral treatment within homeless shelters remains limited. Methods We conducted a cluster-randomized stepped-wedge trial of point-of-care molecular influenza testing coupled with antiviral treatment with baloxavir or oseltamivir in residents of 14 homeless shelters in Seattle, WA, USA. Residents [?]3 months with cough or [?]2 acute respiratory illness (ARI) symptoms and onset <7 days were eligible. In control periods, mid-nasal swabs were tested for influenza by RT-PCR. The intervention period included on-site rapid molecular influenza testing and antiviral treatment for influenza-positives if symptom onset was <48 hours. The primary endpoint was monthly influenza virus infections in the control versus intervention period. Influenza whole genome sequencing was performed to assess transmission and antiviral resistance. Results During 11/15/2019-4/30/2020, and 11/2/2020-4/30/2021, 1,283 ARI encounters from 668 participants were observed. Influenza virus was detected in 51 (4%) specimens using RT-PCR, (A=14; B=37); 21 influenza virus infections were detected from 269 (8%) of intervention-eligible encounters by rapid molecular testing and received antiviral treatment. 37% of ARI-participant encounters reported symptom onset <48 hours. The intervention had no effect on influenza virus transmission (adjusted relative risk 1.73, 95% CI 0.50–6.00). Of 23 influenza genomes, 86% of A(H1N1)pdm09 and 81% of B/Victoria sequences were closely related. Conclusion Our findings suggest feasibility of influenza test-and-treat strategies in shelters. Additional studies would help discern an intervention effect during periods of increased influenza activity

Hosted file

Rogers et al Influenza test to treat study in shelters_final.docx available at https: //authorea.com/users/519415/articles/593177-results-from-a-test-and-treat-study-forinfluenza-among-residents-of-homeless-shelters-in-king-county-wa-a-stepped-wedgecluster-randomized-trial