Local stochastics and ecoclimatic situation shape phytophagous chafer assemblage composition

Sasanka Ranasinghe[1], Jonas Eberle[2], Suresh Benjamin[3], and Dirk Ahrens[1]

[1]Zoological Reserch Museum Alexander Koenig
[2]Paris Lodron University of Salzburg
[3]National Institute of Fundamental Studies

October 27, 2022

Abstract

Very little is known about factors determining the assemblage structure of megadiverse polyphagous-herbivore scarab chafers in the tropics (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Here, we examined the composition of Sri Lankan chafer assemblages and investigated whether it is influenced more by the general ecoclimatic situation, macrohabitat, of indetermined stochastic biotic and abiotic factors of each locality. We also explored the influence of the latter on separate lineages and general body size. Based on dedicated field surveys conducted during the dry and wet seasons, we examined 4847 chafer individuals of 105 species sampled using multiple UV-light traps in 11 localities covering different forest types and altitudinal zones. Assemblages were assessed for compositional similarity, species diversity, and abundance within four major eco-spatial partitions: forest types, elevational zones, localities, and macrohabitats. Our results revealed that assemblages were shaped mainly by locality stochastics, and to a minor extent by ecoclimatic conditions. Macrohabitat had little effect on the assemblage composition. This was true for the entire chafer assemblage as well as for all single lineages or different body size classes. However, in medium and large specimens the contrasts between localities were less pronounced, which was not the case for individual lineages of the assemblage. Contrasts of assemblage similarity between localities were much more evident than those for forest types and elevation zones. Significant correlation between species composition and geographic distance was found only for the assemblage of small-bodied specimens. Seasonal change (dry-wet) in species composition was minor and only measurable in a few localities.

Introduction

Analysing biodiversity patterns is fundamental to understanding the underlying processes and causes of diversification (Holt et al. 2013). In comparison to plants and vertebrates, arthropods are fragmentarily known and lack comprehensive comparative data (Decaëns 2010; Beck and Kitching 2007; Stork et al. 2015; Nielsen 2019). This is particularly true for biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000). Restricted dispersal capacities of arthropods (Gálvez-Reyes et al. 2020) and their occurrence in micro-niches result in fine scale high endemism and still unknown patterns (Buckley and Jets 2007; Daru et al. 2020; Baselga et al. 2022). However, data on arthropod biodiversity rely on museum collections and suffer largely from sampling bias (Santos and Quicke 2011; Echevarría Ramos and Hulshof 2019). This is true for even relatively large-bodied taxa such as phytophagous scarab beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae).

Diversity patterns of phytophagous beetles are known to be generally linked to species turnover of their host plants (Ødegaard 2006; Kemp et al. 2017; Luo et al. 2021) and their distribution is correlated with the region and forest type (Yotkham et al. 2021). Other guilds, such as dung feeding beetles, respond to shade cover rather than plant species composition. Further, their occurrence and relative abundance vary according as responses to microclimate (light intensity, temperature, humidity) (Davis et al. 2013) or other factors (rainfall, temperature, and host density/diversity) varying from regional to local scale in relation to actual

local functional ecological conditions (Tshikae et al. 2013). Such correlation of occurrence and abundance with environmental conditions, suggest that a strong role of lineage- or species-specific traits such as dispersal capabilities or body size determines local community composition (Murria et al. 2017). Insect body size is modulated by many climatic factors along species ranges, especially when they are distributed across climatic gradients at large spatial scales (Lira et al. 2021; Romero et al. 2016; Brehm et al. 2019). Changes in body size may affect fertility, lifespan, population dynamics, and species composition (Garcia-Robledo et al. 2020).

In contrast to most other herbivore insects being rather host-specific, phytophagous scarab chafers (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), with ca 30,000 extant species worldwide, feed unspecifically on leaves of a vast variety of angiosperm plants as adults, on soil humus or roots as larvae (Ritcher 1958). They have had a very successful follow-up evolution with angiosperms (Ahrens et al. 2014). However, very little is known about their actual assemblages responding to habitat differences (Eberle et al. 2017). since only few studies have comparatively investigated their quantitative composition (Ahrens et al. 2009; García Lopez et al. 2010, 2013), and often these studies include either only a part of the assemblage (Ahrens et al. 2007), and/or consider separate localities rather than habitats (Ahrens et al. 2009; García-Lopez et al. 2013).

To close this gap, we investigated here patterns of species diversity and turnover in tropical phytophagous chafers in Sri Lanka, a global biodiversity hotspot (Myers 2000) across different forest types, elevation zones, localities, and habitats. We attempt to explore to which extent each of these spatial components determines assemblage composition. In this context, we also assessed their influence on different lineages and the role of body size in shaping species composition. If body size (as proxy of dispersal capability) had an impact on assemblage composition, we would expect contrasting patterns between entities of different spatial scales between smaller and larger species assemblies, also in respect to phylogenetically partitioned assemblages. This way, we expect to elucidate the dynamics of community assembly and differentiation and to explain the high species richness and endemism in tropical chafers.

Materials and Methods

Study area and sampling

Four field expeditions were conducted during 2019 and 2020 (February-March/ October-November and June-July/ November-December, respectively) during dry and rainy seasons. Specimens were sampled using six UV-light traps (Ranasinghe et al. 2020) in 11 localities covering different forest types and altitudinal zones of Sri Lanka (Figure S1). Sites are in evergreen wet lowland forests (below 500m: L1, L8, L9; or above 500m: L12, L13, L14), evergreen dry lowland forest (L3), sub-montane forests (L2, L4), or montane forests (L5, L11) (Figure S1, Table S1). Traps were placed in each locality at different sampling sites (i.e., macrohabitats) at approximately 2 m above ground (Table S1). They were positioned at the same location for 2–3 consecutive days and operated from 6 pm to 11 pm. All traps (traps A-F) were separated by at least 100–500m, to not influence each other. Beetles were trapped in a sampling container with preservation liquid (96% ethanol) (for trap design, see Ranasinghe et al. 2020; 2022). Specimens were preserved in 96% ethanol. In total, we performed 10-12 trapping events per expedition/site, resulting in 60-72 trapping events in each location.

Phytophagous chafers (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in Sri Lanka include Dynastinae, Melolonthinae, and Rutelinae. Specimens were identified to morphospecies based on external and genital morphology, some being subsequently examined by a specialist. Specimens are deposited in the Zoological Research Museum A. Koenig, Bonn (ZFMK) and in the National Institute of Fundamental Studies, Kandy, Sri Lanka (NIFS).

Assemblage characterization

Species richness and abundance was assessed by the mean number of species or individuals respectively, per trapping event and site (i.e., total number of specimens per species of a particular trap divided by number of used traps). Thus, abundances were corrected for sampling biases due to trap failures because of weather or technical problems. Species presence-absence data were used for the assessment of species composition and assemblage similarity. Species accumulation curves were plotted for each trap with the cumulative number of recorded species vs. number of cumulative trapping events to assess sampling adequacy and comparability

2

of the results. Inventory completeness in each sampling locality was measured by the number of observed species in respect to the number of species predicted by the Chao1 richness estimator, i.e., the total number of species in each locality with lower and upper limits (Chao and Lee 1992; Zou and Axmacher 2021). Sampling data (i.e., specimens per trap) were pooled for each trap from all four sampling campaigns (2019 I, 2019 II, 2020 I, 2020 II) for total assemblage analyses. A two-way cluster analysis (species vs locality) was performed based on presence-absence data using the Jaccard similarity index (Jaccard, 1912) in PAST v. 3.25 (Hammer et al. 2001).

The alpha diversity was measured using Shannon index, Simpson index and Evenness for each locality (Shannon 1948; Simpson 1949; Hill 1973) being calculated in PAST v. 3.25. Approximate confidence intervals for all these indices were computed with a bootstrap procedure (number of random samples (default 9999) with 95 % confidence interval).

Assemblage assessment partitioned by body size and lineages

Differences in body size may reflect divergences in species ecology and behaviour (Inward et al. 2011; Eberle et al. 2014; Lira et al. 2021). Thus, size related differences in assemblage composition across different spatial scales may provide insight to the causalities of these patterns. Therefore, assemblages were analysed according to these three body size groupings: 1) smaller 7 mm; 2) medium 7-15 mm; 3) larger 15 mm. The respective total body length was calculated using the sum of pronotal and elytral length (PL+EL). The mean total body length of a species was determined by taking the mean value of 3-5 individuals of the same species. Alternatively, assemblage composition analyses were partitioned according to phylogenetic lineages (following McKenna et al. 2021): Dynastinae, Rutelinae, Melolonthinae (excluding Sericini), and Sericini to explore also phylogenetic patterns of differences in assemblage composition (Smith et al. 2021).

Spatial turnover analysis

Non-metric multidimensional scaling analyses (NMDS) based on presence-absence data using the Jaccard similarity index were performed for four major spatial components (i.e., forest types, elevational zones, localities, and habitats). For this purpose, each single trap was assigned for a particular spatial component (Table S1). Forest types included four entities: a) evergreen wet lowland forests, b) evergreen dry lowland forests, c) sub-montane forests, and d) montane forests. Elevation had five units: EZ1: 0–500 m, EZ2: 501–1000 m, EZ3: 1001–1500 m, EZ4: 1501–2000 m, and EZ5: 2001–2500 m. The entity 'locality' included the 11 individual sampling localities. Habitat comprised seven types: abandoned plantation, grassland, rock outcrop, hilltop, forest edges, central forest, and disturbed forest. NMDS ordination was performed on the full data set. Entities of spatial components (i.e., forest types, elevational zones, localities, and habitats) were subsequently mapped on the ordination results. Spatial turnover analysis as well as a regression between qualitative species composition similarity and geographic distances of sampling sites were performed for the full assemblage and for assemblages partitioned by body-size and phylogenetic lineage membership (see above).

Seasonal turnover analysis

We assessed seasonal turnover for single traps and localities using NMDS ordinations based on Jaccard indices from species presence-absence data. The turnover of species composition in time was also evaluated for the localities through ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests as implemented in PAST. Finally, we compared also seasonal turnover for lineage- and body-size partitioned assemblage data.

Results

A total of 4847 specimens of 105 chafer species belonging to Rutelinae, Melolonthinae, and Dynastinae were recorded (Table S2). Species richness estimators suggested >89% of total species inventory had been captured. While 82% of the individual locality assemblages showed more than 84% of sampling completeness (in terms of species composition), in two cases sampling completeness was, with less than 50%, quite low (L9, L14) (Table S3). Species accumulation curves for individual localities showed that about 80% of its species have been captured in less than half of the total trapping events (before 34th trapping event) (Figure S2).

Similarly, species accumulation curves for individual traps showed that about 80% or slightly more of the expected species has been captured before half of the total trapping events.

Melolonthinae was the most speciose subfamily (n=79), with the highest number of recorded individuals (n=2504). Dynastinae had the lowest number of species (n=8) and individuals (n=38). For Rutelinae we recorded 18 species in 531 exemplars. Among the Melolonthinae, Sericini was the most speciose tribe accounting 44.7% of all species (Figure 1). Many species were geographically restricted, 67 species out of 105 (64% of total assemblage) were found exclusively at just one site. L3 showed the highest alpha diversity and L13 the lowest (Table S3). These patterns are also reflected by the results of the two-way cluster analysis, one for the species occurring in different localities, and another for the different localities in which certain species are present (Figure 2) which linked faunal similarity with similar species occurrence patterns.

Spatial turnover

Ordination analysis on species presence/absence data (NMDS) of the full chafer assemblage generally showed different patterns for the different eco-spatial components (Figure 3A, F, K, P). The largest overlap of entity clusters was observed for the macrohabitats. Overlap in forest types, elevation zones, and localities were limited to a few entities. Further, most entities were well-separated. The distances between the entity clusters were almost similar within the same spatial component. Similar patterns were also observed for separate lineages, however, differences between the single entities (e.g., elevation zones or forest types) were less pronounced with slightly larger overlaps. For Dynastinae, patterns were not well pronounced due to low sample representation (Figure 3). Species composition in localities of montane forests (L5, L11) resulted generally more similar to each other (Figure 3), while assemblages of dry lowland forest were dissimilar for single lineages. There was an overlap for the full assemblage analysis.

NMDS on Jaccard indices from species presence-absence data for the three different body size classes showed similar overall patterns: large overlap for all partitions in macrohabitats, and moderate to clear distinction for ecoclimatic zones (elevation, forest type) and localities. Small and medium-sized assemblages showed somewhat contrasting patterns for assemblages of large-bodied specimens for forest types, elevation zones and localities (Figure 4). Again, eco-spatial entities (e.g., elevation zones, or forest types) in partitioned analyses were less different than for the full assemblage data (Figure 4).

A linear regression analysis showed no significant correlation (r= -0.029, p= 0.831) between species composition similarity and geographic distance among localities (Figure 5). We also tested for this correlation for the assemblages partitioned by body size and lineages (Table S4); a significant dependence between species composition similarity and geographic distance was found only for the assemblage of small-bodied specimens (r= -0.344, p= 0.02).

Seasonal turnover

Species number and abundance varied significantly between the four field campaigns (ANOVA, p<0.01) (Figure 1B). Patterns of species turnover among single traps between the sampling campaigns were not homogeneous for different localities. Some localities showed very little difference between all campaigns, some had strong differences between all, and in some cases only one or two differed in species composition (Figure 6). For pooled data for a single locality and field campaign, seasonal species turnover of localities varied between 19–61% (Table S5). Kruskal-Wallis test for individual localities showed that L1, L2, L3, L9 had significant seasonal species turnover, while other localities did not show any significant species turnover between the seasons (Table S5). Among our four field campaigns, February (2019, I) and December (2020, II) campaigns showed the highest faunal similarity (i.e., 49.2%) and lowest similarity (17%) was found between campaigns of October (2019II) and June (2020I) (Table S6). Faunal similarity among campaigns varied for lineage and body size partitioned assemblage data, which showed higher similarity for Melolonthinae and Sericini as well as small-sized specimens compared to the complete assemblage, in all other less faunal similarity compared to the latter (Table S6).

Discussion

We investigated here for the first time components determining the chafer assemblage composition, comparing the impact of ecoclimatic influences with macrohabitat and locality stochastics on the similarity of investigated entities. Locality stochastics represent a not further investigated multi-factor ensemble that includes all biotic and abiotic environmental conditions at local scale such as macrohabitat, biogeography, edaphic conditions, land use, predation, local climate, rainfall, radiation, and others. We also explored the patterns of lineage membership and body size resulting from assemblage composition across larger scale entities (forest type, elevation) versus smaller scale entities (localities, macrohabitats).

The comparison of chafer assemblage composition at different eco-spatial scales revealed that assemblages were shaped mainly by locality stochastics, to minor extent to the ecoclimatic conditions, and not by macrohabitat. This was true for the entire chafer assemblage, as well as single lineages or different body size classes. NMDS plots of faunal similarity showed the largest overlap among macrohabitat entities. In contrast to that, overlap for clusters of forest types, elevation zones, and localities were limited. However, contrasts between localities were less pronounced in medium-sized and large specimens.

Investigated macrohabitats were quite different (e.g., forest, grassland, abandoned plantations). They are known to provide multiple niches (Bosc et al. 2019) for chafer species, however, only a few species were recorded that were specific to these habitats. Most species and resulting assemblages sorted rather by locality rather than by macrohabitat. This could be partly explained by the trapping method (light traps) used, as fully winged chafer beetles may be attracted from other habitats over certain distances within the same locality. However, the fact that we found no correlation between species composition (for total assemblage) and geographic distance (Figure 5), even for adjacent localities situated in the same forest type also in the same mountain range (e.g., L2, L4), may indicate either that species generally might tend to disperse also over moderate to longer distances or that species disperse very little. Limited dispersal is supported further by molecular evidence (Ranasinghe et al. in review), since different, the same here investigated localities shared almost no haplotypes. This latter conclusion would be not surprising as previous studies have also shown high turnover rates of assemblage composition at higher elevations independently from geographical distance (García Lopez et al. 2010). However, the resulting significant correlation for the assemblage of small-bodied specimens, which is definitively linked to their limited dispersal capacity and mirrored by their higher endemism (Fabrizi and Ahrens 2014), might indicate that lacking significance on our study might be a result of an insufficient number of samples and species. Larger species were generally less common and are also less represented in higher altitudes. Influence from palaeogeographical and biogeographical factors should also be considered in this context (Kemp et al. 2017) as several sampling localities are situated in the central highlands within complex mountain systems (escarpments, ridges, or peaks) which can act as geographical barriers. The latter can particularly triggered geography-driven speciation, as shown by diversification of Sericini in Asian mountains (Ahrens 2007; Eberle et al. 2016).

Some of the divergent composition patterns retrieved for the full assemblage (Figure 3A, F), which are in turn not encountered for any of the single lineages, reveal that occurrences of entire lineage members may also impact on the apparent differentiation (e.g., wet lowland forest vs. submontane forest, EZ 1 vs EZ 2). The latter case is caused by the more poorly sampling/ absence of larger-bodied species (e.g., Dynastinae), in higher elevations, since low temperatures obviously might not favour larger species with long larval development (Danks 1992). In fact, even in mountain ranges with larger amplitudes of elevations, the altitudinal differentiation of the fauna in phytophagous chafers is rather poor (Ahrens 2004) compared to other insects (Mani 1968).

The strong turnover for localities is in line with the rather high degree of endemism in many phytophagous scarabs (Ahrens and Fabrizi 2016), despite their considerable size. Their assemblage patterns across local spatial scales can be explained not only by poor dispersal capacities, but also by short emergence times compared to the length of their life span: their root feeding, endogenous larvae do not disperse. Their emergence during early night-time often falls together with heavy monsoon rains which narrows down the time window for potential dispersal flights.

Other lineages composed of larger species, such as Dynastinae, have greater dispersal ability compared to

smaller Rutelinae and Melolonthinae (García Lopez et al. 2013), and this has an impact on the faunal divergence pattern of assemblages as revealed by pronounced larger cluster overlaps across different spatial scales.

Seasonality and weather fluctuation may strongly impact the expressed patterns of assemblage composition in ecofaunistic analyses (De Oliveira et al. 2021). In tropical climate, rainy seasons and dry seasons are alternating in shorter intervals with quite constant temperature and humidity throughout the year and food resources being continuously available. Thus, minor fluctuations to species' presence and numbers may occur even in the tropical ecosystems. Many of our localities (except L1-L3, L9) did not show a significant seasonal species turnover, while those which did experience generally stronger dry-wet fluctuations than other localities according to their position in the island.

In final conclusion, we need to remember that at local level all ecological, climatical, and spatial components sum up in their effect increasing the complexity of influences on the assemblages. This points the way for future, more detailed studies, in which localities of similar eco-spatial situations shall be addressed. Yet, since phytophagous chafers are for many tropical crops common pests, and damage can often also be caused by a multispecies autochthonous community with endemic species, (Ahrens et al. (2009), we need more knowledge here, as this might positively affect simultaneously pest and biodiversity management.

Conflict of Interest

We have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Data Availability Statement

All supporting data is made freely available in Dryad and as supplementary information.

References

Ahrens, D. 2004. Monographie der Sericini des Himalaya (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). Dissertation.de - Verlag im Internet GmbH, Berlin, 534pp.

Ahrens, D. and Fabrizi, S. 2016. A Monograph of the Sericini of India (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). – Bonn Zool. Bull. 65: 1–355.

Ahrens, D. 2007. Beetle evolution in the Asian highlands: insight from a phylogeny of the scarabaeid subgenus *Serica* (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). – Syst. Entomol. 32: 450–476.

Ahrens, D. et al. 2007. DNA-based taxonomy for associating adults and larvae in multi-species assemblages of chafers (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). – Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44: 436–449.

Ahrens, D. et al. 2009. Seasonal fluctuation, phenology and turnover of chafer assemblages - insight to the structural plasticity of insect communities in tropical farmland (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). – Agric. For. Entomol. 11: 265–274.

Ahrens, D. et al. 2014. The evolution of scarab beetles tracks the sequential rise of angiosperms and mammals. – Proc. R. Soc. B. 281: 20141470.

Baselga, A. et al. 2022. Joint analysis of species and genetic variation to quantify the role of dispersal and environmental constraints in community turnover. – Ecography. 2022: e05808.

Beck, J. and Kitching, I.J. 2007. Estimating regional species richness of tropical insects from museum data: a comparison of a geography-based and sample-based methods. – J. Appl. Ecol. 44: 672–681.

Bosc, C. et al. 2019. Importance of biotic niches versus drift in a plant-inhabiting arthropod community depends on rarity and trophic group. – Ecography 42: 1926–1935.

Brehm, G. et al. 2019. Moth body size increases with elevation along a complete tropical elevational gradient for two hyperdiverse clades. – Ecography 42: 632–642.

Buckley, L.B. and Jetz, W. 2007. Environmental and historical constraints on global patterns of amphibian richness. – Proc. R. Soc. B. 274: 1167–1173.

Chao, A. and Lee, S.M. 1992. Estimating the number of classes via sample coverage. vJ. Am. Stat. Assoc. 87: 210–217.

Danks, H.V. 1992. Long life cycles in insects. – Can. Entomol. 124: 167–187.

Daru, B.H. et al. 2020. Endemism patterns are scale dependent. – Nat. Commun. 11: 2115.

Davis, A.L.V., et al. 2013. Is microclimate-driven turnover of dung beetle assemblage structure in regenerating coastal vegetation a precursor to re-establishment of a forest fauna? – J. Insect Conserv. 17: 565–576.

Decaens, T. 2010. Macroecological patterns in soil communities. – Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 19: 287–302.

De Oliveira, C.P. et al. 2021. Seasonality and distribution of Coleoptera families (Arthropoda, Insecta) in the Cerrado of Central Brazil. – Rev. Bras. Entomol. 65(3): e20210025.

Eberle, J. et al. 2014. The Evolution of morphospace in phytophagous scarab chafers: no competition - no divergence? – PLoS One 9(5): e98536.

Eberle, J. et al. 2016. A historical biogeography of megadiverse Sericini – another story "out of Africa"? – Cladistics 33: 183–197.

Eberle, J. et al. 2017. Landscape genetics indicate recently increased habitat fragmentation in African forest-associated chafers. – Glob. Change Biol. 23: 1988–2004.

Echevarria Ramos, M. and Hulshof, C.M. 2019. Using digitized museum collections to understand the effects of habitat on wing coloration in the Puerto Rican monarch. – Biotropica 51: 477– 483.

Fabrizi, S. and Ahrens, D. 2014. A Monograph of the Sericini of Sri Lanka (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). – Bonn Zool. Bull. 61: 1–124.

Galvez-Reyes, N. et al. 2020. Local-scale dispersal constraints promote spatial structure and arthropod diversity within a tropical sky-island. – Authorea. DOI: 10.22541/au.160193334.45224582/v1

Garcia-Lopez, et al. 2010. Spatiotemporal variation of scarab beetle assemblages (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae, Melolonthinae, Rutelinae Rutelinae) in the premontane rain forest in Costa Rica: a question of scale. – Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 103: 956–964.

Garcia-Lopez, A. et al. 2013. Beta diversity at multiple hierarchical levels: explaining the high diversity of scarab beetles in tropical montane forests. – J. Biogeogr. 40: 2134–2145.

Garcia-Robledo, C. et al. 2020. Evolutionary history, not ecogeographic rules, explains size variation of tropical insects along elevational gradients. – Funct Ecol. 00:1–11.

Hammer, O. et al. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. – Palaeontol. Electron. 4:1–9.

Hill, M. O. 1973. Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. – Ecology 54: 427–432.

Holt, B.G. et al. 2013. An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world. – Science 339: 74–78.

Inward, D.J.G. et al. 2011. Local and regional ecological morphology of dung beetle assemblages across four biogeographic regions. – J. Biogeogr. 38: 1668–1682.

Jaccard, P. 1912. The distribution of the flora In the Alpine zone. – New Phytol. 11 (2): 37–50.

Kemp, J.E. et al. 2017. Beta diversity of herbivorous insects is coupled to high species and phylogenetic turnover of plant communities across short spatial scales in the Cape Floristic Region. – J. Biogeogr. 44: 1813–1823.

Lira, A.F.A. et al. 2021. Contrasting patterns at interspecific and intraspecific levels in scorpion body size across a climatic gradient from rainforest to dryland vegetation. – Zoology 146: 125908.

Luo, F. et al. 2021. Scale-dependent contribution of host-specificity and environmental factors to wood-boring longhorn beetle community assemblage in SW China. – Sci. Rep. 11: 5100.

Mani, M.S. 1968. Ecology and biogeography of high altitude insects. – Junk, The Hague, 527pp.

McKenna, D.D. et al. 2019. The evolution and genomic basis of beetle diversity. – PNAS 116(49): 24729–24737.

Murria, C., et al. 2017. Local environment rather than past climate determines community composition of mountain stream macroinvertebrates across Europe. – Mol. Ecol. 26: 6085–6099.

Myers, N. et al. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. – Nature 403: 853–858.

Nielsen, U.N. 2019. Soil Fauna Assemblages. Global to local scales. – Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Port Melbourne, 365pp.

Odegaard, F. 2006. Host specificity, alpha- and beta-diversity of phytophagous beetles in two tropical forests in Panama. – Biodivers. Conserv. 15: 83–105.

Ranasinghe, S. et al. 2020. New species of Sericini from Sri Lanka (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). – Eur. J. Taxon. 621: 1–20.

Ranasinghe, S., et al. 2022. New species of Sericini from Sri Lanka (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). Part II. – Eur. J. Taxon. 821: 57–101.

Ranasinghe, S., et al. in review. Contrasting results of multiple species delimitation approaches cause uncertainty in synecological studies.

Ritcher, P. O. 1958. Biology of Scarabaeidae. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 3: 311–334.

Romero, G.Q. 2016. Food web structure shaped by habitat size and climate across a latitudinal gradient. – Ecology 97: 2705–2715.

Santos, A.M.C. and Quicke, D. 2011. Large-scale diversity patterns of parasitoid insects. – Insect Sci. 14: 371–382.

Shannon, C. E. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. – Bell Syst. Tech. J., 27: 379–423 and 623–656.

Smith, T.J., et al. 2021. Phylogenetic sampling affects evolutionary patterns of morphological disparity. –Palaeontology. 64: 765–787.

Simpson, E. H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. – Nature 163 (4148): 688.

Stork, N. E. et al. 2015. New approaches narrow global species estimates for beetles, insects, and terrestrial arthropods. – PNAS 112: 201502408.

Tshikae, B.P. et al. 2013. Dung beetle assemblage structure across the aridity and trophic resource gradient of the Botswana Kalahari: patterns and drivers at regional and local scales. – J. Insect Conserv. 17: 623–636.

Yotkham, S. et al. 2021. Biodiversity and Spatiotemporal Variation of Longhorn Beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in Tropical Forest of Thailand. – Insects 12(1): 45.

Zou, Y. and Axmacher, J.C. 2021. Estimating the number of species shared by incompletely sampled communities. – Ecography 44: 1–11.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 : Total number of species (species richness) in different locations and in four field campaigns; **A, B** . based on subfamily level/separate lineages; **C, D.** assemblage sorted for body size.

Figure 2 : Dendrogram from species presence data. Results of a UPGMA clustering of localities is shown at the lower left of the figure, with 1000 bootstraps. Black square: Presence; White square: Absence.

Figure 3 : NMDS analyses of assemblages from single trapping events separated by lineages and different spatial and eco-spatial partitions; forest types (**A-E**), elevation zones (**F-J**), localities (**K-O**) and habitats (**P-T**). Partitions are enclosed by convex hulls. Multiple traps from one locality have the same colour and colours correspond to Figure S1.

Figure 4 : NMDS analyses assemblages separated by body size classes and different spatial and eco-spatial partitions; forest types (**A-C**), elevation zones (**D-F**), localities (**G-I**) and habitats (**J-L**). Partitions are enclosed by convex hulls. Multiple traps from one locality have the same colour and colours correspond to Figure S1.

Figure 5: Correlation between species compositional similarity (and pairwise geographic distance. **A** . assemblage sorted for body size; **B** . assemblage sorted for lineages.

Figure 6: NMDS analyses of assemblages from single trapping events separated by sampling locality in the course of four field campaigns (2019 I, 2019 II, 2020 I, 2020 II).

Hosted file

`MS4_Turnover analysis_V20_EcographyEdit-titlepage.docx` available at https://authorea.com/users/518073/articles/592354-local-stochastics-and-ecoclimatic-situation-shape-phytophagous-chafer-assemblage-composition

10

Small-body size
n=29

Medium-body size
n=57

Large-body size
n=20

Forest type
- Wet lowland (WL)
- Dry lowland (DL)
- Submontane (SM)
- Montane (MO)

Elevation zone
- EZ1
- EZ2
- EZ3
- EZ4
- EZ5

Localities
- L10
- L9
- L8
- L1
- L13
- L14
- L12
- L3
- L2
- L4
- L5
- L6
- L11

WL/EZ1
WL/EZ2
DL/EZ1
SM/EZ2
SM/EZ3
MO/EZ4
MO/EZ5

Habitats
- Hilltop (HT)
- Rock outcrop (RO)
- Grassland (GR)
- Disturbed forest (DF)
- Forest edge (FE)
- Abandoned plantation (AP)
- Central forest (CF)

small; R=-0.344; P=0.02
medium; R=0.112; P=0.46
large; R=-0.023; P=0.92

Dynastinae; R=0.291; P=0.19
Rutelinae; R=-0.110; P=0.42
Sericini; R=-0.239; P=0.07
Melolonthinae; R=0.178; P=0.19

11

12