

Existence of Coupled Optical Vortex Solitons Propagating in a Quadratic Nonlinear Medium

Luciano Medina¹

¹New York University

October 21, 2022

Abstract

We consider the coupled propagation of an optical field and its second harmonic in a quadratic nonlinear medium governed by a coupled system of Schrodinger equations. We prove the existence of ring-profiled optical vortex solitons appearing as solutions to a constrained minimization problem and as solutions to a min-max problem. In the case of the constrained minimization problem solutions are shown to be positive but the wave propagation constants undetermined, but in the min-max approach the wave propagation constants can be prescribed. The quadratic nonlinearity introduces some interesting properties not commonly observed in other coupled systems in the context of nonlinear optics, such as the system not accepting any semi-trivial solutions, meaning, that optical solitons cannot be observed when, say, one of the beams are off. Additionally, the second harmonic always remains positive.

Existence of Coupled Optical Vortex Solitons Propagating in a Quadratic Nonlinear Medium

Luciano Medina
Shokan, New York 12481, USA

Abstract

We consider the coupled propagation of an optical field and its second harmonic in a quadratic nonlinear medium governed by a coupled system of Schrodinger equations. We prove the existence of ring-profiled optical vortex solitons appearing as solutions to a constrained minimization problem and as solutions to a min-max problem. In the case of the constrained minimization problem solutions are shown to be positive but the wave propagation constants undetermined, but in the min-max approach the wave propagation constants can be prescribed. The quadratic nonlinearity introduces some interesting properties not commonly observed in other coupled systems in the context of nonlinear optics, such as the system not accepting any semi-trivial solutions, meaning, that optical solitons cannot be observed when, say, one of the beams are off. Additionally, the second harmonic always remains positive.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20, 35J50, 35Q55, 35Q60.

Keywords— optical vortices, Schrodinger equations, constrained minimization, Palais-Smale condition, mountain-pass theorem.

1 Introduction

Nonlinear optics, which studies the effects of solitary waves due to optical propagation in a nonlinear medium is a very active area of investigation in both theoretical and experimental research. Its applications are abound in nonlinear science and can be found in quantum information processing, wireless communications, condensed matter physics, particle interactions, and cosmology [1, 2, 6, 8, 14, 31, 33, 32, 35, 37].

Our interests is in the work of Skryabin and Firth [16, 17], which presents the dynamics and stability of ring-profiled solitary waves propagating in a self-focusing saturable nonlinear medium and in a quadratic non-linear medium. In [18, 19], we established an existence theory in two cases where the non-linearity is of the saturable type and governed by a single nonlinear Schrodinger equation. Similar analysis for other types of non-linearities have also been considered, say, cubic [24, 27] and cubic-quintic [12]. In this study, our

interest is the coupled propagation of the wave and its second harmonic governed by a coupled nonlinear system of Schrodinger equations with a quadratic non-linearity. Such coupled systems form so called soliton-induced waveguides [9, 10, 13, 20, 21, 34, 36] and have been studied significantly by mathematical analysts [3, 4, 7, 22, 38]. In the case of two mutually incoherent optical beams propagating in a self-focusing nonlinear saturable medium [34], optical vortex solitons exists, say, even if one beam is off, which translates to the existence of semi-trivial solutions in the mathematical context. However, we show that the coupled system with quadratic nonlinearity does not allow any semi-trivial solutions and establish an existence theory for this system.

To this end, consider the field envelopes E_1 and E_2 of an optical field and its second harmonic described by the dimensionless coupled nonlinear Schrodinger equations [16, 17]:

$$i\partial_z E_1 + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla}_\perp^2 E_1 + E_1^* E_2 = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$i\partial_z E_2 + \frac{1}{4}\vec{\nabla}_\perp^2 E_2 + \frac{1}{2}E_1^2 = \beta E_2, \quad (1.2)$$

where β is the phase mismatch parameter. The wave propagation is in the longitudinal z -direction over the transverse plane of coordinates (x, y) perpendicular to the z -axis. $\vec{\nabla}_\perp^2$ is the Laplace operator over the transverse plane of coordinates. Optical vortex solitons are localized solutions of (1.1)-(1.2), which do not change their intensity profile during propagation and with a phase singularity at its center, and described under the ansatz

$$E_j = A_j(r)e^{im(l\theta + \kappa z)}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (1.3)$$

l is the azimuthal model index or a free parameter, which we will call the vortex number and is restricted to take on integer values to ensure azimuthal periodicity. κ is the wave propagation constant. $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and θ is the polar angle. Under the ansatz (1.3), the coupled nonlinear Schrodinger equations reduce to the so called l -vortex system,

$$A_{1,rr} + \frac{1}{r}A_{1,r} - \frac{l^2}{r^2}A_1 = 2(\kappa - A_2)A_1, \quad (1.4)$$

$$A_{2,rr} + \frac{1}{r}A_{2,r} - \frac{4l^2}{r^2}A_2 = 4(2\kappa + \beta)A_2 - 2A_1^2, \quad (1.5)$$

and considered under the boundary conditions

$$A_1(0) = 0 = A_1(R), \quad A_2(0) = 0 = A_2(R), \quad (1.6)$$

where the first boundary condition, $A_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, is due to the presence of the vortex core or, equivalently, the regularity of A_j , $j = 1, 2$, at $r = 0$, and the second boundary condition, $A_j(R) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, for $R > 0$ sufficiently large, represents the distance from the vortex core and may be imposed due to beam confinement.

An important parameter characterization of spatial vortex solitons is defined by the integral

$$Q(A_j) = \int_0^R |E_j| r dr d\theta = 2\pi \int_0^R A_j^2 r dr, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (1.7)$$

where we refer to Q as the energy flux and $Q(A_1) + 2Q(A_2)$ is the total energy flux of the system. We define the energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(A_1, A_2) = \int_0^R \left(A_{1,r}^2 + A_{2,r}^2 + \frac{A_1^2}{r^2} + \frac{A_2^2}{r^2} + A_1^2 A_2 \right) r dr, \quad (1.8)$$

and refer to solutions as being of finite energy whenever $\mathcal{E}(A_1, A_2) < \infty$.

Our specific interest is in establishing the existence of finite energy fully non-trivial exponentially decaying solution pairs, (A_1, A_2) , of the system (1.4)-(1.6). By fully non-trivial solutions, we mean solutions that are not the trivial solution, $(0, 0)$, and not semi-trivial, meaning, (A_1, A_2) , where neither $A_1 \equiv 0$ or $A_2 \equiv 0$. To this end, we summarize our main results with the following theorems,

Theorem 1.1 *Let the azimuthal model index, l , be any nonzero integer and consider the boundary value problem (1.4)-(1.6) governing the amplitude of the optical field and its second harmonic propagating along the longitudinal z -direction with a propagation constant κ and a phase mismatch constant β . For $0 < Q(A_1) := Q_1 < 2\pi|l|$ and any $Q(A_2) := Q_2 > 0$, there exists a solution pair (A_1, A_2) with $A_j(r) > 0$, $r \in (0, R)$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\kappa, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ arising as Lagrange multipliers of a constrained optimization problem.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\kappa > \max\{0, -\beta/2\}$ and (A_1, A_2) be a nontrivial solution pair to (1.4)-(1.6).*

- (i) *The second harmonic A_2 is always positive, i.e., $A_2(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$.*
- (ii) *There exist no semi-trivial solutions.*
- (iii) *Let $M_j := \max_{r \in (0, R)} |A_j(r)|$, $j = 1, 2$. The global maximum of the second harmonic satisfies*

$$\frac{l^2}{2R^2} + \kappa < M_2 < \frac{M_1^2}{\frac{2l^2}{R^2} + 2(2\kappa + \beta)}. \quad (1.9)$$

- (iv) *There holds the exponential decay estimate*

$$A_1^2(r) \leq C \exp(-\sqrt{2\kappa}r) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2^2(r) \leq C \exp(-\sqrt{2\kappa + \beta}r), \quad (1.10)$$

for r sufficiently large and $C > 0$ a constant dependent on κ and β only.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $\alpha > \max\{0, -\beta/2\}$, $|l| \geq 1$, and $R > 0$, the coupled system (1.4)-(1.5) satisfying the boundary conditions (1.6) has a fully non-trivial solution defined over $[0, R]$. Moreover, such solution is a saddle point of an indefinite action functional and appears as a result of a min-max approach.*

The remainder of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we establish Theorem 1 via a constrained optimization approach. Then various results concerning the solutions of the coupled system, such as, the non-existence of semi-trivial solutions, the second harmonic being positive and the exponential decay of the solutions are established in Section 3. In section 4, we conclude with the prove of Theorem 1.3.

2 Existence of Vortices via a Constrained Minimization Problem

Here we prove that the boundary value problem (1.4)-(1.6) may be solved via a constrained optimization problem. To achieve this, first consider the action functional

$$I(A_1, A_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \left(A_{1,r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_{2,r}^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_1^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_2^2 - 2A_1^2 A_2 \right) r dr, \quad (2.1)$$

and energy flux constraint functionals

$$Q(A_1) = 2\pi \int_0^R A_1^2 r dr = Q_1 > 0 \quad Q(A_2) = 2\pi \int_0^R A_2^2 r dr = Q_2 > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It then suffices to prove the existence of a solution to the constrained optimization problem,

$$\min\{I(A_1, A_2) : (A_1, A_2) \in \mathcal{C}, \quad Q(A_1) = Q_1 > 0, \quad Q(A_2) = Q_2 > 0\}, \quad (2.3)$$

defined over the admissible class

$$\mathcal{C} = \{A_1, A_2 \text{ are absolutely continuous on } [0, R], \text{ satisfy (1.6), } \mathcal{E}(A_1, A_2) < \infty\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Applying the basic inequality $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, to (2.1) and using the constraint, $Q(A_2) = Q_2 > 0$, we have

$$I(A_1, A_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int \left(A_{1,r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_{2,r}^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_1^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_2^2 - A_1^4 \right) r dr - \frac{Q_2}{4\pi}. \quad (2.5)$$

For any function satisfying $A(0) = 0$, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives

$$A^2(r) = \int_0^r 2A(\rho)A_r(\rho)d\rho \leq 2 \left(\int_0^r \rho A_\rho^2(\rho)d\rho \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^r \frac{1}{\rho} A^2(\rho)d\rho \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Multiplying by rA^2 and integrating, we arrive at

$$\int_0^R r A^4 dr \leq 2 \left(\int_0^R r A^2 dr \right) \left(\int_0^R r A_r^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A^2 dr \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Using Cauchy's inequality with ϵ [11], the constraint $Q(A_1) = Q_1 > 0$, and (2.7), we get

$$\int_0^R r A_1^4 dr \leq \frac{Q_1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^R r A_{1,r}^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A_1^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\leq \epsilon \int_0^R r A_{1,r}^2 dr + \frac{Q_1^2}{4\pi^2\epsilon} \int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A_1^2 dr. \quad (2.9)$$

Consequently, using (2.9) in (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(A_1, A_2) &\geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon) \int_0^R r A_{1,r}^2 dr + \frac{1}{2} \left(l^2 - \frac{Q_1^2}{4\pi^2\epsilon} \right) \int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A_1^2 dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^R r A_{2,r}^2 dr + 2l^2 \int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A_2^2 dr - \frac{Q_2}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We can now choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that the inequalities

$$1 - \epsilon > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad l^2 - \frac{Q_1^2}{4\pi^2\epsilon} > 0 \quad (2.11)$$

are simultaneously satisfied, which leads to

$$Q_1 < 2\pi|l|. \quad (2.12)$$

Consequently, assuming $Q_1 < 2\pi|l|$, we then get the coercive lower bound

$$I(A_1, A_2) \geq C_1 \int_0^R r A_{1,r}^2 dr + C_2 \int_0^R \frac{A_1^2}{r} dr + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^R r A_{2,r}^2 dr + 2l^2 \int_0^R \frac{A_2^2}{r} dr - \frac{Q_2}{2\pi}, \quad (2.13)$$

where C_1, C_2 are positive constants depending on ϵ, l, Q_1 , but independent of A_1 and A_2 .

Consequently, we can now choose a minimizing sequence of (2.3), say, $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$, and applying the coercive lower bound (2.13) achieve the upper bound

$$\int_0^R \left(r[A_{1,m}]_r^2 + \frac{A_{1,m}^2}{r} \right) dr + \int_0^R \left(r[A_{2,m}]_r^2 + \frac{A_{2,m}^2}{r} \right) dr \leq C, \quad (2.14)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of m and we use the notation $[A]_r = \frac{dA}{dr}$.

Using the fact that the distributional derivative [25] satisfies $||A|_r| \leq |A_r|$ and the simple inequality $-b \geq -|b|$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we observe that $I(|A_{1,m}|, |A_{2,m}|) \leq I(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})$. We also have that Q is an even functional so that $Q(A) = Q(|A|)$. Therefore, we may modified the sequence $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that each $A_{1,m}$ and $A_{2,m}$ are non-negative, i.e., $A_{1,m}(r) \geq 0$ and $A_{2,m}(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$. So we assume $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of non-negative valued functions. We can also view each of these functions as radially symmetric functions defined over the disk $D_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2\}$ and vanishing on the boundary of D_R . Moreover, from (2.14) and the inequality

$$\int_0^R r A^2 dr \leq R^2 \int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A^2 dr, \quad (2.15)$$

we see that $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded under the radially symmetric norm defined by

$$||(A_1, A_2)||^2 = \int_0^R (A_{1,r}^2 + A_{2,r}^2 + A_1^2 + A_2^2) r dr, \quad (2.16)$$

over the product space $H = W_0^{1,2}(D_R) \times W_0^{1,2}(D_R)$ with the induced component-wise operations of the standard Sobolev space $W_0^{1,2}(D_R)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the sequence $(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightharpoonup (A_1, A_2)$ converges weakly in H as $m \rightarrow \infty$. From the compact embedding $W^{1,2}(D_R) \hookrightarrow L^p(D_R)$, for $p \geq 1$, we get the strong convergence $(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightarrow (A_1, A_2)$ in $L^p(D_R) \times L^p(D_R)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It also follows that (A_1, A_2) is a pair radially symmetric functions and satisfy $A_1(R) = 0 = A_2(R)$.

We still need to show that $A_1(0) = 0 = A_2(0)$. To this end, we observe that the sequence $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded over the product space $W^{1,2}(\epsilon, R) \times W^{1,2}(\epsilon, R)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. From the compact embedding of $W^{1,2}(\epsilon, R) \hookrightarrow C[\epsilon, R]$ we get the uniform convergence of $(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightarrow (A_1, A_2)$ defined over $[\epsilon, R] \times [\epsilon, R]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For any pair $r_1, r_2 \in (0, R)$, with $r_1 < r_2$, and $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{j,m}^2(r_2) - A_{j,m}^2(r_1)| &= \left| \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{d}{dr} (A_{j,m}(r))^2 dr \right| \\ &\leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} 2|A_{j,m}(r)| |[A_{j,m}]_r(r)| dr \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} r [A_{j,m}]_r^2(r) dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{A_{j,m}^2(r)}{r} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2C^{1/2} \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{A_{j,m}^2(r)}{r} dr \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where the last inequality and C follows from (2.14). Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17), we get

$$|A_j^2(r_2) - A_j^2(r_1)| \leq 2C^{1/2} \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{A_j^2(r)}{r} dr \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.14) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_0^R r [A_j]_r^2 dr \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R r [A_{j,m}]_r^2 dr, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\int_0^R \frac{A_j^2}{r} dr \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \frac{A_{j,m}^2}{r} dr. \quad (2.20)$$

Consequently, and in view of (2.14), $\frac{1}{r} A_j^2 \in L(0, R)$ for each $j = 1, 2$. Hence, as $r_1, r_2 \rightarrow 0$, the right-hand side of (2.18) goes to zero and gives the existence of the limits

$$\xi_1 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} A_1^2(r), \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} A_2^2(r). \quad (2.21)$$

Since $\frac{1}{r} A_1^2, \frac{1}{r} A_2^2 \in L(0, R)$ we have $\xi_1 = 0$ and $\xi_2 = 0$. Therefore, $A_1(0) = 0 = A_2(0)$ as desired.

Summarizing our results, we have that (A_1, A_2) obtained as the limit of the minimizing sequence $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ for the problem (2.3) satisfies $A_j(0) = 0 = A_j(R)$, $A_j(r) \geq 0$,

for all $r \in [0, R]$, $j = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{E}(A_1, A_2) < \infty$, and

$$I(A_1, A_2) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}), \quad Q(A_j) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q(A_{j,m}) = Q_j, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.22)$$

Therefore, (A_1, A_2) is a solution to the coupled system (1.4)-(1.6) in which parameters $\kappa, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ appearing as Lagrange multipliers of the constrained minimization problem (2.3).

Moreover, such a solution (A_1, A_2) satisfies $A_j(r) > 0$, $j = 1, 2$. If this was not the case, there would exist a point $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_j(r_0) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, then $\frac{d}{dr}A_j(r_0) = 0$ since r_0 would be a minimum of $A_j(r)$. Then, by the uniqueness theorem for the initial value problem of ordinary differential equations, we would have $A_j(r) = 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$, which is a contradiction to the beam power constraint $Q(A_j) = Q_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

3 The second harmonic, non-existence of semi-trivial solutions, and miscellaneous results

In this section we prove that the second harmonic is always positive, that the system (1.4)-(1.6) possesses no semi-trivial solutions, establish estimates on the global max of the waves, and obtain an exponential decay estimate. With this goal in mind, we provide each result as an independent lemma which together form Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\kappa > -\beta/2$ and (A_1, A_2) be a non-trivial solution pair of (1.4)-(1.6). The second harmonic A_2 is always positive, i.e., $A_2(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$.*

Proof. Let A_2 be a solution of (1.4)-(1.6) and suppose there is a point $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_2(r_0) < 0$. Then there must exist a second point $r_1 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_2(r_1) < 0$, $A_{2,r}(r_1) = 0$, and $A_{2,rr}(r_1) > 0$. Substituting into equation (1.5), we get

$$0 < A_{2,rr}(r_1) = \left(\frac{4l^2}{r_1^2} + 4(2\kappa + \beta) \right) A_2(r_1) - 2A_2^2(r_1) < 0, \quad (3.1)$$

a contradiction. Consequently, there is no $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_2(r_0) < 0$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For $\kappa > -\beta/2$ and (A_1, A_2) be a non-trivial solution pair of (1.4)-(1.6). Then (A_1, A_2) is not a semi-trivial solution, i.e., neither $A_1 \equiv 0$ or $A_2 \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Let (A_1, A_2) be a nontrivial solution pair to (1.4)-(1.6), i.e., $(A_1, A_2) \neq (0, 0)$. Suppose $A_2 \equiv 0$ and substitute into (1.5), to get $0 = A_1^2(r)$ for all $r \in [0, R]$. Hence, $A_1 \equiv 0$, a contradiction to the non-triviality of the solution.

Suppose $A_1 \equiv 0$ and substitute into (1.5) to get

$$A_{2,rr} + \frac{1}{r}A_{2,r} = \left(\frac{4l^2}{r^2} + 4(2\kappa + \beta) \right) A_2, \quad (3.2)$$

and note that if A_2 is a solution, then $-A_2$ is also a solution. Thus there is an $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_2(r_0) > 0$, $A_{2,r}(r_0) = 0$, and $A_{2,rr}(r_0) < 0$. Then (3.2), gives

$$0 > A_{2,rr}(r_0) = \left(\frac{4l^2}{r_0^2} + 4(2\kappa + \beta) \right) A_2(r_0) > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

a contradiction. Consequently, $A_1 \not\equiv 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\kappa > \max\{0, -\beta/2\}$, (A_1, A_2) be a nontrivial solution pair of (1.4)-(1.6), and $M_j := \max_{r \in (0, R)} |A_j(r)|$, $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\frac{l^2}{2R^2} + \kappa < M_2 < \frac{M_1^2}{\frac{2l^2}{R^2} + 2(2\kappa + \beta)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Suppose (A_1, A_2) is a nontrivial solution pair to (1.4)-(1.6). Let $M_j := \max_{r \in (0, R)} A_j(r)$, $j = 1, 2$. By Lemma 3.1, $A_2(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$. Then there is an $r_2 \in (0, R)$ such that $M_2 = A_2(r_2) > 0$, $A_{2,r}(r_2) = 0$, and $A_{2,rr}(r_2) < 0$. Inserting into equation (1.5) gives

$$0 > A_{2,rr}(r_2) = \left(\frac{4l^2}{r_2^2} + 4(2\kappa + \beta) \right) M_2 - 2A_1^2(r_2) \quad (3.5)$$

or equivalently

$$M_2 < \frac{A_1^2(r_2)}{\frac{2l^2}{R^2} + 2(2\kappa + \beta)} \leq \frac{M_1^2}{\frac{2l^2}{R^2} + 2(2\kappa + \beta)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Suppose there is an $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_1(r_0) > 0$, then there will also be an $r_1 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_{1,rr}(r_1) < 0$, $A_{1,r}(r_1) = 0$, and $A_1(r_1) > 0$. Substituting this r_1 into (1.4), then gives

$$0 > A_{1,rr}(r_1) = \left(\frac{l^2}{r_1^2} + 2(\kappa - A_2(r_1)) \right) A_1(r_1) \quad (3.7)$$

and it follows that

$$\frac{l^2}{2R^2} + \kappa < A_2(r_1) \leq M_2. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, if there is an $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_1(r_0) < 0$, then there also is an $r_1 \in (0, R)$ such that $A_{1,rr}(r_1) > 0$, $A_{1,r}(r_1) = 0$, and $A_1(r_1) < 0$. Consequently, substituting into (1.4), gives

$$0 < A_{1,rr}(r_1) = \left(\frac{l^2}{r_1^2} + 2(\kappa - A_2(r_1)) \right) A_1(r_1) \quad (3.9)$$

and again it follows that

$$\frac{l^2}{2R^2} + \kappa < A_2(r_1) \leq M_2. \quad (3.10)$$

In either case, we get the desire inequality. \square

Localized solutions and beam confinement demand that the wave amplitude decay exponentially fast for large R . The following lemma shows that the exponential decay estimate follows from a direct application of the maximum principle and a suitable comparison function.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\kappa > \max\{0, -\beta/2\}$ and (A_1, A_2) be a solution to (1.4)-(1.6). Then there is an $R_0 \in (0, R)$ such that*

$$A_1^2(r) \leq C \exp(-\sqrt{2\kappa}r) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2^2(r) \leq C \exp(-\sqrt{2\kappa + \beta}r), \quad (3.11)$$

for every $r \in (R_0, R]$ and $C > 0$ a constant dependent on κ and β only.

Proof. Rewrite (1.4) as follows,

$$\Delta A_1 = A_{1,rr} + \frac{1}{r} A_{1,r} = \left(\frac{l^2}{r^2} + 2(\kappa - A_2) \right) A_1. \quad (3.12)$$

We then have

$$\Delta A_1^2 \geq 2A_1 \Delta A_1 = 2 \left(\frac{l^2}{r^2} + 2(\kappa - A_2) \right) A_1^2 \geq 4(\kappa - A_2) A_1^2. \quad (3.13)$$

By the continuity of A_1 on $[0, R]$ and the boundary condition $A_1(R) = 0$, for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$ there is an $R_1 \in (0, R)$ such that

$$\Delta A_1^2 \geq 4(\kappa - \epsilon_1) A_1^2 \quad \text{for every } r \in [R_1, R]. \quad (3.14)$$

Consider the comparison function $\xi_1 : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\xi_1(r) = C_1 \exp(-\sigma_1^2 r), \quad C_1, \sigma_1 > 0. \quad (3.15)$$

For every $r \in [R_1, R]$ we have

$$\Delta(A_1^2 - \xi_1) \geq 4(\kappa - \epsilon_1) A_1^2 - \left(\sigma^2 \xi - \frac{\sigma_1 \xi}{r} \right) \geq 4(\kappa - \epsilon) A_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 \xi_1. \quad (3.16)$$

Let $\sigma_1^2 = 4(\kappa - \epsilon_1)$, to get

$$\Delta(A_1^2 - \xi_1) \geq \sigma_1^2 (A_1^2 - \xi_1). \quad (3.17)$$

Now we can choose C_1 in (3.15) large enough so that $A_1^2 - \xi_1 \leq 0$ for $r = R_1$. Since $A_1(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow R^-$, and applying the maximum principle, we conclude that $A_1^2 - \xi_1 \leq 0$ for all $r \in [R_1, R]$. For simplicity, we choose $\epsilon_1 = \kappa/2 > 0$ and get our desired result

$$A_1^2 \leq C_1 \exp(-\sqrt{2\kappa}r) \quad \text{for every } r \in [R_1, R], \quad (3.18)$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and $R_1 > 0$ are constants depending on κ only.

In a similar manner as above, with the comparison function $\xi_2 : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\xi_2(r) = C_2 \exp(-\sigma_2^2 r), \quad C_2, \sigma_2 > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

we can arrive at

$$\Delta(A_2^2 - \xi_2) \geq \sigma_2^2(A_2^2 - \xi_2), \quad (3.20)$$

where $\sigma_2^2 = 4(2\kappa + \beta)$. Then, via the maximum principle and C_2, R_2 sufficiently large, conclude

$$A_2^2 \leq C_2 \exp(-2\sqrt{2\kappa + \beta}r) \quad \text{for every } r \in [R_2, R], \quad (3.21)$$

where $C_2 > 0$ and $R_2 > 0$ are constants depending on κ and β only. We may then take $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ and $R_0 = \max\{R_1, R_2\}$. \square

4 Saddle Point Solutions via a Mountain Pass Theorem

Theorem 1.1 establishes the existence of a non-trivial positive solution via a constrained optimization problem, but the parameters κ and β were undetermined. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and show that a fully non-trivial solution exists as a saddle point of an indefinite action functional where the wave propagation constant κ and its second harmonic β may be prescribed on a continuous range of values. With this goal in mind, consider the action functional

$$\begin{aligned} J(A_1, A_2) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \left(A_{1,r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_{2,r}^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_1^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_2^2 \right) r dr \\ & + \int_0^R ((\kappa - A_2) A_1^2 + (2\kappa + \beta) A_2^2 - A_1^2 A_2) r dr, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

with $|l| \geq 1$ and

$$\kappa > \max\{0, -\beta/2\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let H be the completion of the space $X = \{A \in C^1[0, 1] : A(0) = 0 = A(R)\}$ (the set of differentiable functions over $[0, R]$ which vanish at the two endpoints of the interval), with the inner product and norm,

$$\langle A, \tilde{A} \rangle = \int_0^R \left\{ A_r \tilde{A}_r + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A \tilde{A} \right\} r dr, \quad \|A\|^2 = \langle A, A \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

respectively. From

$$\|A\|^2 = \int_0^R \left\{ A_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A^2 \right\} r dr \geq \int_0^R \left\{ A_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{R^2} A^2 \right\} r dr \geq C \int_0^R \{ A_r^2 + A^2 \} r dr, \quad (4.4)$$

it follows that H is an embedded subspace of the standard Sobolev space defined over the disc of radius R centered at the origin, $W_0^{1,2}(D_R)$, and may be viewed as the space of radially symmetric functions with the property $A(0) = 0$ for all $A \in H$. With H defined, we may now consider the product space $\mathbf{H} = H \times H$ with the induced component-wise operations of $W_0^{1,2}(D_R)$, inner product, and norm

$$\langle (A_1, A_2), (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2) \rangle = \langle A_1, \tilde{A}_1 \rangle + \langle A_2, \tilde{A}_2 \rangle \quad \|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = \|A_1\|^2 + \|A_2\|^2, \quad (4.5)$$

respectively.

The following two lemmas show that the functional (4.1) has a mountain pass structure and is indefinite.

Lemma 4.1. *There are constants $K, C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\inf \{ J(A_1, A_2) : \|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = K \} \geq C_0. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let $K > 0$ be a constant and $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = K$. From (2.7), we get

$$\int_0^R r A^4 dr \leq 2 \left(\int_0^R r A^2 dr \right) \left(\int_0^R r A_r^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^R \frac{1}{r} A^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \leq 2R^2 K^2. \quad (4.7)$$

So,

$$J(A_1, A_2) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \int_0^R r A_1^2 A_2 dr \quad (4.8)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \left(\int_0^R r A_1^4 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^R r A_2^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} K - (2R^2 K^2)^{1/2} (R^2 K)^{1/2} \quad (4.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} K - \sqrt{2} R^2 K^{3/2} := f(K). \quad (4.11)$$

Then $f\left(\frac{1}{72R^4}\right) = \frac{1}{864R^4}$ is the maximum value of f . Therefore, we have the lower bound

$$J(A_1, A_2) \geq \frac{1}{864R^4}, \quad \|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{72R^4}, \quad (4.12)$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any $K > 0$ there is an $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\|(A_1, A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 > K$ and $J(A_1, A_2) < 0$. Moreover, J is indefinite on \mathbf{H} .*

Proof. Consider the following function

$$A_0(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{b}{a}r, & 0 \leq r \leq a, \\ \frac{b}{a}(2a - r), & a \leq r \leq 2a, \end{cases}, \quad (4.13)$$

where $R = 2a$. It can be shown as in [24] that the function A_0 is the limit of a Cauchy sequence in H and, consequently, belongs in H . By direct calculation we get

$$\int_0^{2a} r A_0^2 dr = \frac{2}{3} a^2 b^2, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\int_0^{2a} r A_{0,r}^2 dr = 2b^2, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\int_0^{2a} \frac{A_0^2}{r} dr = 2b^2(2\ln(2) - 1), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\int_0^{2a} r A_0^3 dr = \frac{1}{2} a^2 b^3. \quad (4.17)$$

It then follows that

$$\|(A_0, A_0)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = 8b^2 \ln(2), \quad (4.18)$$

$$J(A_0, A_0) = b^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} + 3l^2(2\ln(2) - 1) + \frac{2}{3}(3\kappa + \beta)a^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^2b \right]. \quad (4.19)$$

Therefore, for any $K > 0$, we can choose b large enough so that $8b^2 \ln(2) > K$ and $J(A_0, A_0) < 0$. Additionally, $J(A_0, A_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$ and, consequently, J is indefinite. \square

We now prove that J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Note that it is straightforward to show that J is $C^1(\mathbf{H})$ and recall that a C^1 -functional $J : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Palais-Smale if for any sequence $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\{J(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded in \mathbf{H} and $J'(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ (as a sequence in the dual of \mathbf{H}), implies the existence of a strongly convergent sub-sequence of $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converging to an element (A_1, A_2) in \mathbf{H} [26, 28].

Lemma 4.3. *The action functional J defined by (4.1) is Palais-Smale.*

Proof. Let $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}$ be a sequence in \mathbf{H} such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \left([A_{1,m}]_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} [A_{2,m}]_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_{1,m}^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_{2,m}^2 \right) r dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^R (\kappa A_{1,m}^2 + (2\kappa + \beta) A_{2,m}^2 - A_{1,m}^2 A_{2,m}) r dr \rightarrow \alpha, \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$|J'(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)| \leq \delta_m \|(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}, \quad \delta_m \geq 0, \quad (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2) \in \mathbf{H}, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Take $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2) = (A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})$ in (4.21) to arrive at

$$-(J'(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})) \leq \delta_m \|(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\|_{\mathbf{H}} \quad (4.22)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R A_{1,m}^2 A_{2,m} r dr &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^R \left([A_{1,m}]_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} [A_{2,m}]_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_{1,m}^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_{2,m}^2 \right) r dr \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^R (\kappa A_{1,m}^2 + (2\kappa + \beta) A_{2,m}^2) r dr + \frac{1}{3} \delta_m \|(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\|_{\mathbf{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Without loss of generality from (4.20) we can assume $J(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \leq \alpha + 1$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Using (4.23), we then get

$$\alpha + 1 \geq \frac{1}{6} \int_0^R \left([A_{1,m}]_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} [A_{2,m}]_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_{1,m}^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_{2,m}^2 \right) r dr \quad (4.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{3} \int_0^R (\kappa A_{1,m}^2 + (2\kappa + \beta) A_{2,m}^2) r dr - \frac{1}{3} \delta_m \|(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\|_{\mathbf{H}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{12} \int_0^R \left([A_{1,m}]_r^2 + [A_{2,m}]_r^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_{1,m}^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_{2,m}^2 \right) r dr \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- \frac{1}{3} \delta_m \|(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\|_{\mathbf{H}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{48} \|(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \frac{4}{3} \delta_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where in the last inequality we used Cauchy's inequality with ϵ [11] and, consequently, we get that $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded in \mathbf{H} . Without loss generality, we may assume that $\{(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to an element $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightarrow (A_1, A_2)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ strongly in any $L^p(D_R) \times L^p(D_R)$ or, equivalently, $L^p((0, R), r dr) \times L^p((0, R), r dr)$ for any $p \geq 1$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^R \left([A_1]_r [\tilde{A}_1]_r + \frac{1}{2} [A_2]_r [\tilde{A}_2]_r + \frac{l^2}{r^2} A_1 \tilde{A}_1 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} A_2 \tilde{A}_2 \right) r dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^R \left(2\kappa A_1 \tilde{A}_1 + 2(2\kappa + \beta) A_2 \tilde{A}_2 - 2A_1 \tilde{A}_1 A_2 - A_1^2 \tilde{A}_2 \right) r dr \quad \forall (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2) \in \mathbf{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Let $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2) = (A_{1,m} - A_1, A_{2,m} - A_2)$ and insert into (4.27) and (4.21) and insert the

resulting (4.27) into the resulting (4.21), to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^R \left(([A_{1,m}]_r - [A_1]_r)^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} (A_{1,m} - A_1)^2 \right) r dr \right. \\
& \int_0^R \left(\frac{1}{2} ([A_{2,m}]_r - [A_2]_r)^2 + \frac{2l^2}{r^2} (A_{2,m} - A_2)^2 \right) r dr \\
& + \int_0^R (2\kappa(A_{1,m} - A_1)^2 + 2(2\kappa + \beta)(A_{2,m} - A_2)^2 - 2(A_{1,m}A_{2,m} - A_1A_2)(A_{1,m} - A_1)) r dr \\
& \left. - \int_0^R ((A_{1,m}^2 - A_1^2)(A_{2,m} - A_2)) r dr \right| \leq \delta_m \|(A_{1,m} - A_1, A_{2,m} - A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

which then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \|(A_{1,m} - A_1, A_{2,m} - A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \leq \delta_m \|(A_{1,m} - A_1, A_{2,m} - A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}} \\
& + \int_0^R |(A_{1,m}^2 - A_1^2)(A_{2,m} - A_2)| r dr + 2 \int_0^R |(A_{1,m}A_{2,m} - A_1A_2)(A_{1,m} - A_1)| r dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality with ϵ [11], we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \|(A_{1,m} - A_1, A_{2,m} - A_2)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \leq \delta_m^2 + \int_0^R |(A_{1,m}^2 - A_1^2)(A_{2,m} - A_2)| r dr \\
& + 2 \int_0^R |(A_{1,m}A_{2,m} - A_1A_2)(A_{1,m} - A_1)| r dr, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

which then gives the strong convergence of $(A_{1,m}, A_{2,m}) \rightarrow (A_1, A_2)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathbf{H} , as desired. \square

With the above lemmas, we can now obtain our desire results as a consequence of the classical mountain-pass theorem [5, 11, 26]. First, from Lemma 4.3, we have that the functional J , given by (4.1), satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Then by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, there are constants $K, C_0 > 0$ and an element $(A_0, A_0) \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $\|(A_0, A_0)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 > K$ and $J(A_0, A_0) < 0$. Now consider the set

$$\Gamma = \{g \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]; \mathbf{H}) | g(0) = (0, 0), g(1) = (A_0, A_0)\} \tag{4.31}$$

of all continuous paths in \mathbf{H} that link the zero element $(0, 0)$ of \mathbf{H} to (A_0, A_0) . Then there is some $t_g \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|g(t_g)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = K$. It then follows from the classical mountain-pass theorem that

$$C = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(g(t)) \geq C_0 \tag{4.32}$$

is a critical value of J , meaning, that there is an element $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ such that $J(A_1, A_2) = C$, which is a critical point of J . Moreover, such critical point is not the trivial solution, $(0, 0)$, and by Lemma 3.1 it is also not a semi-trivial solution as desired.

References

- [1] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J.P. Woerdman, *Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes*, Phys. Rev. A 45 (1992) pp. 8185-8189.
- [2] S. K. Adhikari, *Localization of a Bose-Einstein condensate vortex in a bichromatic optical lattice*, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 043636.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti and E. Colorado, *Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. London Math. Soc. 75 (2007) 67-82.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti and E. Colorado, *Bound and ground states of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006).
- [5] A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., 45 (1973) 8185-8189.
- [6] M. L. M. Balistreri, J. P. Korterik, L. Kuipers, and N. F. van Hulst, *Local observations of phase singularities in optical fields in waveguide structure*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) pp. 294-297.
- [7] Boyan Sirakov, *Least energy solitary waves for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^b* , Commun. Math. Phys. 271 (2007) 199-221.
- [8] A. Bekshaev, M. Soskin, and M. Vasnetsov, *Paraxial light beams with angular momentum*, Ukrainian J. Phys. 2 (2005) pp. 73-113.
- [9] R. Delafuente, A. Barthelemy, and C. Froehly, *Spatial-soliton-induced guided waves in a homogeneous nonlinear Kerr medium*, Opt. Lett., 16 (1991) pp. 793-795.
- [10] Desyatnikov, A. S., Kivshar, Y. S., and Torner, L., *Optical vortices and vortex solitons*, Prog. Opt. 47, (2005) 291391.
- [11] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [12] C. Greco, *On the cubic and cubic-quintic optical vortices equations*, J. Appl. Anal. 22 (2016) 95105.
- [13] Y. S. Kivshar and G.P. Agrawal, *Optical Solitons: From Fibers to Photonic Crystals*, Academic Press, San Diego, 2003.
- [14] Kartashov, Y. V., Malomed, B. A., and Torner, L., *Solitons on nonlinear lattices*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, (2011) 247305.
- [15] Y. S. Kivshar and G. I. Stegeman, *Spatial optical solitons*, Opt. Photonics News 13, (2002) 5963.

- [16] D. V. Skryabin and W. J. Firth, *Optical Solitons Carrying Orbital Angular Momentum*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, (1997) 2450.
- [17] D. V. Skryabin and W. J. Firth, *Dynamics of self-trapped beams with phase dislocation in saturable Kerr and quadratic nonlinear media*, Phys. Review E 58, (1998) 3916-3930.
- [18] L. Medina, *On the existence of optical vortex solitons propagating in saturable nonlinear media*, J. Math. Phys. 58, (2017) 011505.
- [19] L. Medina, *Localized optical vortex solitons propagating in pair plasmas*, J. Appl. Anal., (2020).
- [20] B. Luther-Davies and X. Yang, *Steerable optical waveguides formed in self-focusing media by using dark spatial solitons*, Opt. Lett. 17 (1992) pp. 1755-1757.
- [21] B. Luther-Davies and Y. Xiaoping, *Waveguides and Y junctions formed in bulk media by using dark spatial solitons*, Opt. Lett. 17 (1992) pp. 496.
- [22] L.A. Maia, E. Montefusco, B. Pellaci, *Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system*, J. Differential Equations 229 (2006) 743-767.
- [23] Salgueiro, J. R. and Kivshar, Y. S., *Single- and double-vortex vector solitons in self-focusing nonlinear media*, Phys. Rev. E 70, (2004) 056613.
- [24] Yang, Y. and Zhang, R., *Existence of optical vortices*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46, (2014) 484498.
- [25] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1977.
- [26] Y. Jabri, *The Mountain Pass Theorem, Variants, Generalizations and Some Applications*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.
- [27] Qing Guo, Daomin Cao, and Hang Li, *Existence of optical vortices in \mathbb{R}^2* , Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 50, (2019) 67-85.
- [28] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43 (1992) 270-291.
- [29] A. S. Reyna and C. B. de Araujo, *Guiding and confinement of light induced by optical vortex solitons in a cubic-quintic medium*, Opt. Lett. 41 (2016) pp. 191-194.
- [30] D. Rozas, C. T. Law, and G. A. Swartzlander, Jr., *Propagation dynamics of optical vortices*, J. Optical Soc. Amer. B 14 (1997) pp. 3054-3065.
- [31] D. Rozas, Z. S. Sacks, and G. A. Swartzlander, Jr., *Experimental observation of fluid-like motion of optical vortices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) pp. 3399-3402.

- [32] J. Scheuer and M. Orenstein, *Optical vortices crystals: spontaneous generation in nonlinear semiconductor microcavities*, Science 285 (1999) pp. 230-233.
- [33] R. G. Scott, A. M. Martin, T. M. Fromhold, S. Bujkiewicz, F. W. Sheard, and M. Lead-Beater, *Creation of solitons and vortices by Bragg reflection of Bose-Einstein condensates in an optical lattice*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) pp. 110404.
- [34] J. R. Salgueiro and Y. S. Kivshar, *Switching with vortex beams in nonlinear concentric couplers*, Opt. Exp. 20 (2007) pp. 12916-12921.
- [35] G. A. Swartzlander, Jr., and C. T. Law, *Optical vortex solitons observed in Kerr nonlinear media*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) pp. 2503-2506.
- [36] G.I. Stegeman and M. Segev, *Optical spatial solitons and their interactions: universality and diversity*, Science 286 (1999) pp. 1518-1523.
- [37] Y. Yan, G. Xie, M. P. J. Lavery, H. Huang, N. Ahmed, C. Bao, Y. Ren, Y. Cao, L. Li, Z. Zhao, A. F. Molisch, M. Tur, M. J. Padgett, and A. E. Willner, *High-capacity millimetre-wave communications with orbital angular momentum multiplexing*, Nature Commun. 5 (2014) pp. 19.
- [38] R. Zhang and N. Liu, *Existence of the positive composite optical vortices*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 40 (2017) 5068-5078.