Beyond latitude: Temperature, productivity, and thermal niche conservatism drive body size variation in Odonata

Laura Mähn¹, Christian Hof², Roland Brandl¹, and Stefan Pinkert¹

¹University of Marburg ²Technical University of Munich

February 22, 2024

Abstract

Latitudinal body size-clines are primarily discussed in the context of thermoregulation, *sensu* Bergmann. However, body size patterns are ambiguous in ectotherms and this heterogeneity remains poorly understood. We hypothesised that the contrasting effects of thermoregulation and resource constraints obscure latitude–size relationships. Using data for 43% of all odonate species, we tested whether body size increases with decreasing temperature and increasing productivity in phylogenetically and spatially comparative analyses. We found strong but contrasting effects for temperature between Anisoptera and Zygoptera and consistent positive effects for productivity that explained 35%–57% of body size variation. We concluded that temperature, productivity, and conservatism in size-based thermoregulation synergistically determine the distribution of ectotherms, while the taxon-specific importance of these factors can lead to contrasting results and weak latitude–size relationships. Our results reinforce the importance of body size as a determinant of species distributions and responses to climate change.

1	Beyond latitude: Temperature, productivity, and thermal niche
2	conservatism drive body size variation in Odonata
3	
4	Laura Anna Mähn ^{1*} , Christian Hof ² , Roland Brandl ¹ , Stefan Pinkert ^{3,4,5}
5	
6	¹ University of Marburg, Faculty of Biology, Department of Animal Ecology, Marburg, Germany
7	² Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Life Science, Department of Life Science Systems,
8	Terrestrial Ecology Research Group, Freising, Germany
9	³ Yale University, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, New Haven, CT, USA
10	⁴ Center for Biodiversity and Global Change, New Haven, CT, USA
11	⁵ University of Marburg, Faculty of Biology, Department of Conservation Ecology, Marburg, Germany
12	
13	Running title: Global size-environment clines in Odonata
14	Keywords: Bergmann's rule, body size, comparative analysis, ecogeographical rule, ectotherm,
15	niche conservatism, Odonata, resource availability, thermoregulation, trait-environment relationship
16	Type of article: Letter
17	Number of words in the abstract: 146
18	Number of words in the main text: 4917
19	Number of references: 65
20	Number of figures, tables, and text boxes: 4
21	
22	Authorship: L.A.M. compiled the data and led the analyses. S.P. designed the study. S.P. assisted
23	in analysing the data and led the writing of the manuscript with input from L.A.M., R.B. and C.H All
24	authors contributed substantially to revisions.
25	Data accessibility: The data supporting our analyses will be archived in the Dryad data repository.
26	*Correspondence should be addressed to Laura Anna Mähn (lauramaehn@posteo.de, tel: +49
27	6421-2823389, fax: +49 6421-2823387)

28 Abstract

Latitudinal body size-clines are primarily discussed in the context of thermoregulation, sensu 29 Bergmann. However, body size patterns are ambiguous in ectotherms and this heterogeneity remains 30 poorly understood. We hypothesised that the contrasting effects of thermoregulation and resource 31 32 constraints obscure latitude-size relationships. Using data for 43% of all odonate species, we tested whether body size increases with decreasing temperature and increasing productivity in 33 phylogenetically and spatially comparative analyses. We found strong but contrasting effects for 34 temperature between Anisoptera and Zygoptera and consistent positive effects for productivity that 35 explained 35%-57% of body size variation. We concluded that temperature, productivity, and 36 conservatism in size-based thermoregulation synergistically determine the distribution of ectotherms, 37 while the taxon-specific importance of these factors can lead to contrasting results and weak latitude-38 39 size relationships. Our results reinforce the importance of body size as a determinant of species 40 distributions and responses to climate change.

41 **INTRODUCTION**

42 A mechanistic understanding of the variation in functional traits is crucial for understanding the drivers 43 of species distribution (Lawton 1999; McGill et al. 2006; Pinkert & Zeuss 2018; White et al. 2007) and 44 predicting biological responses to climate change (Buckley & Kingsolver 2012; MacLean & Beissinger 45 2017). Trait-based analyses have provided important insights into the mechanisms of community 46 assembly, population dynamics, and range shifts, particularly for endotherms (Bruelheide et al. 2018; 47 de los Ríos et al. 2018; Estrada et al. 2016; Kraft et al. 2015). However, the thermal sensitivity of 48 ectotherms differs fundamentally from that in endotherms (Atkinson & Sibly 1997). For example, 49 insects rely on ambient temperature, not metabolically produced heat, to maintain optimal body 50 temperature and support development, locomotion, and reproduction (Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002; Huey & Kingsolver 1989). This fundamental physiological difference can lead to biogeographical patterns 51 52 in response to climate change that contrast those of endotherms (Atkinson & Sibly 1997; Buckley & 53 Kingsolver 2012). Although the relationship between the ambient environment and phenotypic traits are well-documented through local scale and experimental studies (Atkinson & Sibly 1997; Lawton 54 1999; Whitman 2008), the extent to which they shape the distributions of ectotherms across larger 55 spatial and taxonomic scales remains poorly understood. 56

57 Body size is associated with several physiological and ecological characteristics in animals, including metabolic rates, phenology, fecundity, and range size, with major consequences for species 58 distribution and abundance (Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002; Honěk 1993; McCulloch et al. 2016; White et 59 al. 2007; Whitman 2008). The body size of animals often increases along elevational or latitudinal 60 gradients (Meiri & Dayan 2003). This pattern, sensu lato Bergmann's rule, is based on the principle 61 that larger bodies have a smaller surface-to-volume ratio (greater capacity to retain body heat) than 62 smaller bodies (Bergmann 1848). Therefore, larger species should have a thermoregulatory 63 advantage in colder climates, while smaller species have a reduced risk of overheating in warmer 64 65 climates. Bergmann's rule has been studied extensively in endotherms, but few studies have investigated geographical patterns in the body size of ectotherms. Among studies on insects, the 66 majority of studies revealed no latitudinal cline in body size and the remaining studies report 67 inconsistent results (Meiri & Dayan 2003; Shelomi 2012). 68

69 Resource availability is another important (Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002) but rarely considered 70 determinant of body size variation in animals (McNab 2010; Yom-Tov & Geffen 2006; Zeuss et al. 71 2017). The resource availability hypothesis states that a species' size is determined by energetic requirements (Atkinson & Sibly 1997; Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002). Regions of higher resource 72 73 availability, for instance in the tropics, should thus support larger species than regions with lower 74 productivity—a latitudinal cline contrasting that of size-based thermoregulation. However, given the 75 lack of trait and distributional data, the importance of temperature and productivity in shaping global-76 scale patterns in the body size of ectotherms remains largely unexplored. Because ectotherms 77 comprise >99.9% of all animal taxa (Atkinson & Sibly 1997), it is crucial for the conservation of overall biodiversity, and the ecosystem services that they support (e.g. Kawahara et al. 2021), to elucidate 78 79 the mechanisms related to body size-clines.

80 This study provides a global analysis of the predictions of Bergmann's rule and the resource 81 availability hypothesis as they apply to ~43% of anisopteran (dragonflies) and zygopteran (damselflies) species (Odonata; 69% of the genera; Figure S1). Our study design overcomes the 82 limitations of previous studies that reported body size variation resulting from idiosyncrasies of 83 temperate taxa or the investigated regions (Klok & Harrison 2013; Shelomi 2012) as well as trade-84 85 offs between different mechanisms underlying a latitudinal gradient in body size (Ohlberger 2013; Shelomi 2012; Zeuss et al. 2017). We hypothesised that body size would increase with (a) decreasing 86 temperature and (b) increasing productivity. If both thermoregulation and resource-driven growth 87 constraints shape this pattern, the overall latitudinal gradient in body size would be weaker than the 88 underlying environment-size relationships. We also assessed the relative importance of 89 90 thermoregulation and resource availability on the respective suborders of Odonata that have similar ranges of body length but differ markedly in their body shape. Because Anisoptera are thick-bodied 91 92 and Zygoptera are slender, we hypothesised that anisopteran species would have a greater thermal 93 capacity, which should be reflected by stronger temperature-size clines in Anisoptera compared to 94 Zygoptera.

95 Our results show only a weak positive relationship between body length and latitude in Odonata 96 that stems from strong, but contrasting, effects of temperature and productivity on body size and

97 differences in the relative importance of both drivers between lineages. Moreover, we demonstrate 98 that a substantial phylogenetic signal in size-based thermoregulation shaped the distribution of the 99 thicker-bodied Anisoptera, but not that of the slender-bodied Zygoptera, suggesting that a greater 100 thermoregulatory capacity promoted the distribution and diversification of select Anisoptera lineages, 101 while most odonate lineages retained their original tropical niche. With these results we provide the 102 first global-scale support for Bergmann's rule, the resource availability hypothesis, and thermal niche 103 conservatism in insects, and we highlight that the interplay of different constraints to size is likely of 104 broad ecological and evolutionary significance in ectotherms.

105

106 **METHODS**

107 Body size

108 We compiled body size data from measurements of museum specimens and from the literature for 109 2,803 odonate species worldwide. As proxies of body size, we measured the body and hindwing length (excluding appendices) from images of 724 individuals of African odonates provided by the 110 Naturalis Biodiversity Center (RHNM, Leiden, The Netherlands) and 487 specimens of African species 111 112 from the Senckenberg Natural History Museum (SNHM, Frankfurt, Germany). For the images of 113 African species from the Naturalis Biodiversity Center, European species from Dijkstra and Lewington (2006), and North American species from Needham et al. (2000), we calculated the body length, 114 hindwing length, and body area as previously described (Pinkert et al. 2017; Zeuss et al. 2017) using 115 116 the R-package 'png' (Urbanek 2013). In short, the number of pixels of the body from the head to the distal end of the abdomen, that of the hindwing from its base to the tip, and the number of all pixels of 117 the body were calculated. The pixel estimates were transformed to metric units through the product 118 119 of the scale (provided or measured on the images) and image resolution; 3,612 additional length 120 measurements were extracted from 19 literature and 2 internet sources (Table S1). To account for 121 variation related to sexual dimorphism, we did not use females in this study if measurements from 122 literature differentiated between sexes. If sources reported descriptive body size statistics, we used the minimum and maximum values instead of means to aid the integration of data across sources. 123 124 For 305 individuals, we predicted the body length from the provided hindwing length with a linear

mixed effect model that included a random slope for genus nested in family and suborder (n = 810, conditional $R^2 = 0.92$). Finally, the 5,128 individual measurements of 2,803 species were aggregated to average values of body length ('body size' hereafter) per species.

128

129 **Distribution data**

130 We combined two types of distributional information: expert range maps and ranges derived from 131 intersections of occurrence records with the terrestrial ecoregions of the world. We downloaded expert 132 range maps from IUCN.org (IUCN 2021) and digitised range maps that cover the entire ranges of 133 European odonates from Boudot and Kalkman (2015). The data were taxonomically harmonised and intersected with a grid of approximately 100 km × 100 km cells (military grid reference system [mgrs]). 134 However, many of the IUCN range maps were incomplete or were delineated by political borders 135 136 instead of factual species ranges (Hughes et al. 2021). Except for the range maps from Boudot and 137 Kalkman (2015), we used ecoregional ranges to extend and complete the distribution dataset.

To generate ecoregional ranges, spatially cleaned and taxonomically harmonised occurrence 138 records were taken from Sandall et al. (2022), which were based on data from the Global Biodiversity 139 140 Information Facility (GBIF) and the African Dragonflies and Damselflies Online database 141 (http://addo.adu.org.za/). The cleaning of the data included taxonomic harmonisation of species names with the most up to date taxonomy (Paulson et al. 2021) as well as the removal of duplicated 142 entries based on coordinates, records from marine areas, common coordinate placeholders, spatial 143 outliers, as well as records close to GBIF institutions and country centres. Using a country-level 144 checklist of Sandall et al. (2022), records more than 1000 km away from a country of known 145 occurrence were removed from the dataset and only species locations supported by a relatively high 146 number of records were kept. For more details on the cleaning methods, see Pinkert et al. (2022), 147 148 and for details on how many species and records were removed during the cleaning process, see 149 Sandall et al. (2022). Subsequently, occurrence records intersecting with expert maps were removed. 150 The remaining records were intersected with a layer of the global terrestrial ecoregions (Dinerstein et al. 2017; downloaded from OneEarth.org). These ecoregional ranges were then intersected with our 151 152 equal-area grid. We used ecoregions as a broader definition of species ranges as they were

developed based on ecological characteristics and expert knowledge, and therefore provide an advantage over traditional methods, such as alpha-hulls, convex hulls, or simple equidistant buffers around occurrence records. Finally, we pooled the gridded expert and ecoregional species ranges and removed duplicated cell–species combinations as well as cells with >50% water (i.e. with >50% of the values being 'NA' in the mean annual temperature layer). The final distribution dataset included 5,233 (83%) of 6,322 odonate species.

159

160 Environmental data

161 Based on the predictions of Bergmann's rule and the resource availability hypothesis, we used two 162 variables associated with geographic patterns of temperature (mean annual temperature and elevation) along with the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) as a proxy for productivity. The data were 163 164 downloaded from the CHELSA (Karger et al. 2017, 2018; chelsa.org, current condition records) and 165 EarthEnv (Amatulli et al. 2018) databases. The EVI layer was cropped to the extent of the climate variables (1 km × 1 km). For species-level analysis, the environmental data were extracted and 166 aggregated to average values across the species ranges. Corresponding functions are provided in 167 the R-package 'raster' (Hijmans et al. 2016). 168

169

170 Phylogenetic autocorrelation

Phylogenetic bias in the analysis of subsets of species challenges the statistical assumption that all 171 data points are independent. To account for this phylogenetic autocorrelation and in the absence of a 172 complete global phylogeny for Odonata, we constructed a super-tree based on the most recent 173 taxonomic data and phylogenetic inferences of internal nodes (Figure S2). Family-level relationships 174 were resolved based on inferences from Bybee et al. (2021) and the relationships between the genera 175 of Anisoptera were resolved based on information from Letsch et al. (2016). We added species to the 176 177 respective genera in the tree and randomly resolved the intra-genus relationships using the R-178 package 'phytools' (Revell 2017). Multifurcations in the tree were randomly resolved using the function 179 'multi2di' and branch length was calculated using Grafen's method (Grafen 1989). Corresponding

functions are provided in the R-package 'ape' (Paradis *et al.* 2004). Only species with corresponding
body length data were included in the tree.

Pagels lambda (λ , Pagel 1999)—calculated with the function 'phylosig' of the R-package 182 'phytools' (Revell 2017)-was 0.98 in Anisoptera and 0.99 in Zygoptera, confirming a strong 183 phylogenetic signal for body size in Odonata. Therefore, we partitioned the total variance of average 184 species body size into a phylogenetic and specific component, using Lynch's comparative method 185 186 (Lynch 1991) in the R-package 'ape' (Paradis et al. 2004). The different aspects of body size variation 187 in assemblage-level analyses (i.e. of species co-occurring within a 100 km × 100 km grid cell) are hereafter called 'raw' (unpartitioned), 'P component', and 'S component', respectively. The P 188 189 component, which explained 42% of the (raw) body size variation in Anisoptera and 39% in Zygoptera, 190 represents the variation in body size predicted by the phylogenetic relationships between species. 191 The S component represents residuals from these predictions and hence the species-specific 192 deviation from the phylogenetically predicted part. The P component can be interpreted as the outcome of long-term evolutionary processes, whereas the S component indicates recent adaptations 193 194 and includes plastic variation (Lynch 1991).

195

196 Spatial autocorrelation

We tested the importance of environmental factors in explaining the spatial variation in body size at the assemblage-level using two types of linear regression models. In the first type of models, we considered the average body size of each assemblage as the dependent variable and environmental variables as predictors in ordinary least-squares regressions (Figure S3, Table S2). In the second type of models, we accounted for spatial autocorrelation in the residuals of these regressions using spatial autoregressive error models (Table 1).

203 Spatial autocorrelation in the residuals of linear regression models is an ubiquitous feature of 204 macroecological patterns (Dormann *et al.* 2007). This non-independence of neighbouring grid cells 205 can lead to an overestimation of the degrees of freedom and hence to false parameter estimates and 206 model inference. From correlograms constructed using the R-package 'ncf', we observed significant 207 spatial autocorrelation between the residuals of the linear regression models of body size (raw, P component, and S component) and environmental predictors (Figure S4); we repeated all analyses
using spatial autoregressive models (SARs) in the R-package 'spdep' (Bivand *et al.* 2017; Table 1).
In these models, we fitted a spatial dependency weight using the model-specific point of spatial
independence (i.e. the distances in the correlograms at which Moran's *I* reaches zero) as the upper
boundary in a Euclidean distance matrix.

213

214 Statistical analysis

215 To elucidate the mechanisms of body size variation in Anisoptera and Zygoptera, we conducted 216 analyses at both the species and assemblage level. To determine the relative importance of 217 environmental drivers for long-term versus evolutionarily recent responses in body size, we fitted separate models for the variation in raw body size as well as for its P and S component. Taxon-specific 218 219 responses were analysed using multiple regressions with interaction-terms of environmental factors 220 and family as predictor. A general limitation of species-level analyses is that they oversimplify environmental variation within a species' range (e.g. Olalla-Tárraga et al. 2010). Therefore, we also 221 analysed biogeographical patterns in body size at the assemblage level. Phylogenetic and spatial 222 223 autocorrelation structures of co-occurring species were considered to reduce the potential impact of 224 spurious trait-environment relationships that may result from pseudo-replications of taxa and regions. To avoid basing our conclusions on confounding taxonomic and spatial factors, we based our 225 discussions of the evolutionary importance of body size on species-level analyses and our discussions 226 of the environmental drivers of biogeographical patterns on assemblage-level analyses. 227

Frequency distributions of all model residuals were visually assessed for normality. Only body length needed to be log₁₀-transformed. Environmental variables were z-scaled to facilitate comparison across models and predictors. As linear measurements do not account for the difference in the body shape of Anisoptera and Zygoptera (Zeuss *et al.* 2017), we did not only analyse size–environment relationships collectively for all species, but also separately for the two suborders. In species-level analyses, families with less than 10 species were excluded.

In assemblage-level analyses, grid cells with less than five species were excluded to avoid the effect of low sample size on average estimation (Pinkert *et al.* 2017; Figure S5). To improve the robustness of our results, we removed regions from our dataset where body size was available for
<25% of the species as well as smaller islands (Figure S1). Note that only small regions in central</p>
Amazonia and the southern Andes had a species coverage <50% (global coverage was >75%). The
exclusion criteria reduced the number of species in our assemblage-level analyses to 43% (2,625)
and 69% of odonate species and genera (274 Anisoptera and 200 Zygoptera), respectively. All
analyses and data processing were conducted using the software R (R Core Team 2021).

242

243 **RESULTS**

244 The body length of all odonate species ranged from 17 mm to 129 mm. Anisoptera had an average 245 body size of 51 mm, ranging between 19 mm (Celithemis martha) and 118 mm (Anax tristis). 246 Zygoptera had an average body size of 41 mm, ranging between 17 mm (Africocypha varicolor) and 247 129 mm (*Mecistogaster amalia*). Anisoptera had longer bodies than Zygoptera on average (r = -0.36, 248 F = 0.59, p < 0.001). Analysis of a subset of species showed a steeper increase in the body area of Anisoptera with increasing body length compared to Zygoptera (Anisoptera slope \pm SE: 4.18 × 10⁻¹ \pm 249 1.08×10^{-2} ; Zygoptera slope ± SE: 2.77 × $10^{-1} \pm 8.71 \times 10^{-3}$; $R^2 = 0.72$, p < 0.001 for both, n = 1,146 250 251 individual measurements; Figure S6).

252 Using Pagel's lambda model, we observed a strong phylogenetic signal for body size as well as mean temperature, elevation, and productivity across species' ranges ($\lambda = 0.97, 0.98, 0.84, and$ 253 254 0.97, respectively). In species-level analysis of the phylogenetically predicted part of body size 255 variation (P component), the body size of anisopteran species was negatively affected by mean annual temperature and elevation ($R^2 = 0.02$; Table S2). The P component in zygopteran species was 256 positively affected by mean annual temperature ($R^2 = 0.01$). At the family level, environmental 257 variables collectively explained 44% of body size variation (Figure S7). Body size variation in 5 (1 258 Anisoptera, 4 Zygoptera) of 21 families was not influenced by environmental factors, but these families 259 260 were represented by relatively few species. Except for two families, body size trends in the remaining 261 families either followed the predictions of Bergmann or that of the resource availability hypothesis. We observed differences in body size responses between and within Anisoptera and Zygoptera. 262 263 Anisoptera generally showed stronger and more consistent responses to temperature than Zygoptera.

Specifically, the body size of species from five anisopteran and two zygopteran families increased with either decreasing mean annual temperature or increasing elevation. Six families showed the opposite body size-temperature relationship. The body size of species from four anisopteran and one zygopteran family increased with increasing productivity, for which three families showed an opposing trend.

269 In the assemblage-level analyses, body length generally increased with increasing latitude, 270 and latitude explained 12% of the body size variation (Figure 1, Table S4). In multiple regression 271 models that included discrete environmental predictors instead of latitude, average assemblage body 272 size increased with decreasing temperature, decreasing productivity, and increasing elevation (Table 273 1, Figure S3). These three environmental predictors explained 51% of the variation in body size. While 274 the effect of productivity (EVI) was consistent in both Anisoptera and Zygoptera, the effect of 275 temperature differed between the suborders. The body size of anisopteran assemblages increased 276 with decreasing mean annual temperature and decreasing elevation. Conversely, the body size of zygopteran assemblages increased with increasing mean annual temperature and decreasing 277 278 elevation.

279 Mean annual temperature was the most important environmental predictor in models of the 280 raw and P component of body size in anisopteran assemblages (Table 1, Figure 2). Productivity was the most important environmental predictor in models of the S component in anisopteran assemblages 281 and in all models for zygopteran assemblages. All variables collectively explained 57% and 35% 282 (SAR) of the body size variation in anisopteran and zygopteran assemblages, respectively. 283 Temperature and productivity explained more of the variation in the P component of body size 284 variation in Anisoptera (SAR, R^2 : 68%), whereas the variation explained by these variables was similar 285 for all models in Zygoptera (SAR: $35\% < R^2 < 38\%$). The multiple regression models showed weaker 286 effects and explained less of the total variation than models accounting for spatial autocorrelation, but 287 288 the direction and ranking of the effects of environmental variables were similar (Table S3). The species 289 richness of both suborders decreased from the equator to the poles (Figure S1a), but the proportion 290 of Anisoptera from the total number of species per assemblage increased with latitude (Figure 3).

291

292 **DISCUSSION**

293 Our global-scale analysis of body size variation in Anisoptera and Zygoptera provides unique insights 294 into the importance of thermoregulation and resource constraints for insects. In line with Bergmann's rule and the resource availability hypothesis, we found that the body size generally increases with 295 296 decreasing temperature and increasing productivity in Odonata. Previous smaller-scaled studies 297 reported conflicting effects of environmental drivers in determining body size clines along elevational 298 and latitudinal gradients (Horne et al. 2018, Klok & Harrison 2013; Shelomi 2012), which fuelled 299 doubts about the general validity of mechanistic explanations to ecogeographical patterns in body 300 size otherwise well-documented in endotherms. We demonstrate that the interplay of temperature 301 and productivity renders conclusions misleading that are solely based on geographical body size 302 clines. Simultaneous analyses of the effects of temperature and productivity that vary with latitude, 303 highlighted that the importance of size-based thermoregulation in ectotherms is similar to that in 304 endotherm taxa (Olson et al. 2009; Santini et al. 2018). Temperature-size relationships were much stronger than latitudinal size gradients and explained a much higher proportion of the variation in body 305 306 size in Odonata. We found that the weak and partly divergent latitudinal size clines in tropical regions 307 and divergent patterns between the two suborders resulted from the strong positive effects of resource 308 availability.

Bergmann's rule is one of the oldest theories describing ecogeographical patterns in trait 309 variation and, although originally formulated for endotherms, it has been frequently tested in 310 311 ectotherms (Horne et al. 2018; Klok & Harrison 2013; Shelomi 2012). Contradictions to the original hypothesis in insects and other ectotherms were argued to result from sample biases towards 312 temperate regions and taxa as well as the poor representation of environmental gradients underlying 313 local latitudinal clines (Shelomi 2012). We showed that assemblages of Odonata in colder climates, 314 315 including areas with a lower mean annual temperature and/or higher elevation, are generally 316 composed of, on average, larger species (Table 1). Mean annual temperature was the most important 317 predictor of the geographical pattern of body size variation and resulted in a moderately strong Bergmann-like latitudinal gradient. Consistent with evidence from experimental (Atkinson & Sibly 318 319 1997; Brakefield & Willmer 1985) and local-scale studies (Heidrich et al. 2021; Pinkert et al. 2017;

Schweiger & Beierkuhnlein 2016; Zeuss *et al.* 2017), our results support the ecological importance of size-based thermoregulation in ectotherms. However, the contrasting temperature-size clines observed for the two Odonata suborders as well as conflicting reports of elevational and latitudinal patterns (Heidrich *et al.* 2020; Horne *et al.* 2018; Shelomi 2012) indicate that a substantial part of body size variation is caused by additional mechanisms.

325 Our results indicate that both size-based thermoregulation and resource constraints on growth 326 may have major impacts on the geographical patterns and evolution of body size in Odonata (Table 327 1). Although, the effects of resource availability on interspecific variation in animal body size are well-328 documented in experimental studies (Atkinson & Sibly 1997; Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002), far less 329 attention has been given to its role at larger spatial scales. We exemplify that latitudinal gradients in 330 body size are weakened or even neutralized by the effects of decreasing productivity from the equator 331 to the poles (Table S4, Figure 2): While larger species seem to have a thermoregulatory advantage 332 in colder regions, the higher availability of resources also favours larger species in tropical climates (e.g. Olson et al. 2009). In general, larger species require more energy for metabolism, and growth, 333 334 but larger body size in ectotherms could also confer greater fecundity (Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002; 335 Honěk 1993). Resource-based size constraints provide an alternative explanation for the converse-336 Bergmann patterns frequently documented in studies of elevational and latitudinal gradients in body size (Horne et al. 2018; Shelomi 2012) and idiosyncrasies found even for closely related taxa 337 investigated in the same context (e.g. Brehm & Fiedler 2004; Heidrich et al. 2021). The consistent 338 and strong effects of productivity that we documented for Odonata, a group of insect predators 339 (Kalkman et al. 2008), further suggest that resource-based size constraints apply throughout the food 340 341 web, from primary producers to consumers and predators (see also Ohlberger [2013] and Olson et 342 al. [2009]). Our results emphasize the need to incorporate proxies for resource availability in models of body size variation in ectotherms, not only because of its role as confounding factor but also 343 344 because of its fundamental importance in shaping geographical patterns in body size variation.

345 Due to the lack of other pertinent size estimates accounting for the major differences in the 346 body shape between Anisoptera and Zygoptera, we considered body length as a measure of size but 347 separately analysed data for the two suborders. An image-based analysis of 1,146 species confirmed

that, when accounting for body length, Anisoptera had larger bodies than Zygoptera, which has 348 349 important physiological consequences (Figure S6). As a larger body increases the potential for heat 350 absorption and heat retention, these results suggest that Anisoptera should have a greater thermal 351 capacity than Zygoptera. Our results reconcile previous findings of a critical threshold in body size 352 beyond which size-based thermoregulation is less effective (Clusella-Trullas et al. 2007; Schweiger & 353 Beierkuhnlein 2016). We propose that integrating both body shape and size into the analysis of 354 temperature-size responses may further resolve inconsistent experimental (Forster et al. 2012) and 355 macroecological results (Horne et al. 2018).

356 Our finding that thermoregulation shapes the geographical pattern of anisopteran but not 357 zygopteran assemblages also suggests that the slender-bodied Zygoptera rely on an alternative 358 thermoregulatory mechanism for heat gain. During the last decade, studies on a broad spectrum of 359 ectotherm taxa, including beetles, butterflies, moths, and odonates, provided strong and consistent 360 support for the role of colour-based heat gain (Heidrich et al. 2021; Pinkert & Zeuss 2018; Schweiger & Beierkuhnlein 2016). These studies highlight that ectotherms are generally coloured darker in colder 361 and lighter in warmer regions as well as the interaction between lightness and size (Clusella-Trullas 362 363 et al. 2007; Schweiger & Beierkuhnlein 2016). Particularly, smaller species have been found to vary 364 more in their colour lightness than larger species. Our results suggest a greater importance of colourbased versus size-based thermoregulation in the smaller and slenderer Zygoptera. However, a 365 rigorous test of this hypothesis would require a much greater coverage of data on species' body area 366 or body volume, which is currently unavailable. Thus, our results are encouraging for further 367 368 investigations of the interactions between and differences in the relative importance of size- and 369 colour-based thermoregulation across regions, scales, and taxa.

In addition to its importance in shaping their contemporary distribution, phylogenetically comparative analyses and distributional anomalies also indicate that niche conservatism has greatly influenced the distribution and diversification of Odonata. Although Odonata are globally distributed, the greatest number of families and genera are found in tropical climates, where the group originated (Bybee *et al.* 2021; Sandall *et al.* 2022). We found that the relatively few lineages in extreme climates (both desert and permafrost regions) are almost exclusively anisopteran (Figure 3). In addition, we 376 demonstrated that the phylogenetically predicted proportion of body size variation was strongly driven 377 by size-based thermoregulation in Anisoptera, but not in Zygoptera, and markedly more variance was explained by models of temperature in Anisoptera (Table 1, $R^2 = 0.68$ and 0.38, respectively; Figure 378 3). In line with our previous finding that conservatism in adaptations to cold climates shapes the 379 380 latitudinal decline of phylogenetic diversity in European odonate assemblages (Pinkert et al. 2018), 381 we show that thermal preference carries a strong phylogenetic signal (λ in mean temperature = 0.98, 382 λ in mean elevation = 0.84). Together, our results suggest that a greater capacity for size-based 383 thermoregulation facilitated the distributional success and diversification of Anisoptera, while most 384 families retained their original tropical niche. Our study provides strong support for the long-standing 385 hypothesis of thermal niche conservatism in Odonata (Tillyard 1916; Wiens et al. 2010) and 386 exemplifies the evolutionary importance of size-based thermoregulation in insects.

387

388 CONCLUSIONS

Our study on body size variation in Odonata provides the first global-scale analysis of size-based 389 thermoregulation, resource-based size constraints, and thermal niche conservatism for any insect 390 391 taxon. We showed that temperature and productivity explained a substantial proportion of body size 392 variation (51%) in Odonata and that the varying importance of these drivers can lead to contrasting and weak latitude-size relationships among taxa. Our results reconcile the ambiguous findings of 393 394 physiological experiments and macroecological studies on body size variation in ectotherms, but they also call for caution on interpretation based on geographical clines alone. The strong similarities in 395 the documented effects of temperature and resource availability between endotherms and ectotherms 396 397 as well as the evolutionary significance of size-based thermoregulation in Odonata reinforce the 398 importance of ecophysiological mechanisms of body size variation across animal taxa. In the face of 399 climate change, size-environment relationships and phylogenetic conservatism underline the 400 predictive importance of body size for a broad range of biological responses. For instance, larger 401 species of Anisoptera are expected to shift their ranges towards higher latitudes and altitudes; but, 402 because many are at the geographical limits of their distribution, increasing temperatures are likely to 403 impact local abundance and threaten these species with extinction (Estrada et al. 2016). Hence, our

404 results support the hypothesis that the average body size of ectotherms will decrease with global 405 warming. Moreover, the contrasting effects of changes in productivity and differences in the relative 406 importance of temperature and productivity among lineages will likely affect community composition and ecosystem function. Incorporating phylogenetic information and trait-environment interactions is 407 408 therefore crucial to inform and improve forecasts of species responses to climate change. Our study 409 represents important progress towards mechanistic predictions of spatiotemporal changes in body 410 size. Given the relative lack of body size data-the most fundamental trait data-even for a well-411 studied insect taxon, we recognise that future studies should employ further trait information from the 412 treasure trove of resources that natural history collections and literature provide. Finally, the coverage 413 map presented in this study can be used to inform future research efforts of regions where body size 414 data are lacking.

415

416 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Frederico A.A. Lencioni for contributing measurements of damselflies from Brazil, Massimo Terragni and Wolfgang A. Nässig for access to the Odonata collection of the Senckenberg Museum of Natural History, Frankfurt. Also, we are grateful to Klaas-Douwe Dijkstra for sharing images of African Odonata from the Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands. We acknowledge the financial support of the German Research Foundation (Grant number: 409487552) as well as that of the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation (to S.P.).

423

424 **REFERENCES**

- 425 Amatulli, G., Domisch, S., Tuanmu, M.-N., Parmentier, B., Ranipeta, A., Malczyk, J. et al. (2018) A
- suite of global, cross-scale topographic variables for environmental and biodiversity
 modeling. *Scientific Data*, 5, 180040. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.40
- 428 Atkinson, D. & Sibly, R.M. (1997) Why are organisms usually bigger in colder environments? Making 429 sense of a life history puzzle. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 12, 235–239. DOI:
- 430 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(97)01058-6

- Boudot, J.-P. & Kalkman, V. (2015) *Atlas of the European Dragonflies and Damselflies*. KNNV
 publishing, Zeist, the Netherlands.
- 433 Brakefield, P.M. & Willmer, P.G. (1985) The basis of thermal melanism in the ladybird Adalia
- 434 *bipunctata*: Differences in reflectance and thermal properties between the morphs. Heredity,
- 435 54, 9–14. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1985.3</u>
- Brehm, G. & Fiedler, K. (2004) Bergmann's rule does not apply to geometrid moths along an
- 437 elevational gradient in an Andean montane rain forest. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*,

438 13, 7–14. DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-882X.2004.00069.x</u>

- 439 Buckley, L.B. & Kingsolver, J.G. (2012) Functional and phylogenetic approaches to forecasting
- 440 species' responses to climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*
- 441 Systematics, 43, 205–226. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160516</u>
- Bruelheide, H., Dengler, J., Purschke, O., Lenoir, J., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Hennekens, S.M. et al.
- 443 (2018) Global trait–environment relationships of plant communities. *Nature Ecology and*444 *Evolution*, 2, 1906–1917. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0699-8</u>
- Bivand, R., Altman, M., Anselin, L., Assunção, R., Berke, O., Bernat, A. et al. (2017) spdep: Spatial
- 446 Dependence: Weighting Schemes, Statistics and Models. R package version 1.2-4.
- 447 Available at: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/package=spdep</u>
- Bybee, S.M., Kalkman, V.J., Erickson, R.J., Frandsen, P.B., Breinholt, J.W., Suvorov, A. et al.
- 449 (2021) Phylogeny and classification of Odonata using targeted genomics. *Molecular*
- 450 *Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 160, 107115. DOI:

451 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2021.107115</u>

- Clusella-Trullas, S., van Wyk, J.H. & Spotila, J.R. (2007) Thermal melanism in ectotherms. *Journal*of *Thermal Biology*, 32, 235–245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2007.01.013
- 454 de los Ríos, C., Watson, J.E.M. & Butt, N. (2018) Persistence of methodological, taxonomical, and
- 455 geographical bias in assessments of species' vulnerability to climate change: A review.
- 456 Global Ecology and Conservation, 15, e00412. DOI:
- 457 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00412</u>

- 458 Dijkstra, K.-D.B. & Lewington, R. (2006). *Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Britain and Europe*.
 459 British Wildlife Publishing, Ltd., London, UK.
- Dinerstein, E., Olson, D., Joshi, A., Vynne, C., Burgess, N.D., Wikramanayake, E. et al. (2017) An
- 461 ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *BioScience*, 67, 534–545.
- 462 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix014
- Dormann, C.F., McPherson, J.M., Araújo, M.B., Bivand, R., Bolliger, J., Carl, G. et al. (2007)
- 464 Methods to account for spatial autocorrelation in the analysis of species distributional data: A 465 review. *Ecography*, 30, 609–628. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.05171.x</u>
- 466 Estrada, A., Morales-Castilla, I., Caplat, P. & Early, R. (2016). Usefulness of species traits in
- 467 predicting range shifts. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 190–203. DOI:
- 468 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.12.014</u>
- Forster, J., Hirst, A.G. & Atkinson, D. (2012) Warming-induced reductions in body size are greater in
 aquatic than terrestrial species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*,
- 471 109, 19310–19314. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210460109</u>
- Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B., Savage, V.M. & Charnov, E.L. (2001) Effects of size and
- temperature on metabolic rate. *Science*, 293, 2248–2251. DOI:
- 474 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061967</u>
- Gillooly, J.F., Charnov, E.L., West, G.B., Savage, V.M. & Brown, J.H. (2002) Effects of size and
- 476 temperature on developmental time. *Nature*, 417, 70–73. DOI:
- 477 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/417070a</u>
- Grafen, A. (1989) The phylogenetic regression. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 326, 119–157. DOI:
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1989.0106
- Heidrich, L., Pinkert, S., Brandl, R., Bässler, C., Hacker, H., Roth, N. et al. (2021) Noctuid and
- 482 geometrid moth assemblages show divergent elevational gradients in body size and color
- 483 lightness. *Ecography*, 44, 1169–1179. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05558</u>

- Hijmans, R.J., van Etten, J., Cheng, J., Mattiuzzi, M., Sumner, M., Greenberg, J.A. *et al.* (2016)
 raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. R package version 2.5-8. Available at:
 http://cran.r-project.org/package=raster
- Honěk, A. (1993) Intraspecific variation in body size and fecundity in insects: A general relationship. *Oikos*, 66, 483–492. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3544943</u>
- 489 Horne, C.R., Hirst, A.G. & Atkinson, D. (2018) Insect temperature-body size trends common to
- 490 laboratory, latitudinal and seasonal gradients are not found across altitudes. *Functional*491 *Ecology*, 32, 948–957. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13031</u>
- Huey, R.B. & Kingsolver, J.G. (1989) Evolution of thermal sensitivity of ectotherm performance.
- 493 Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 4, 131–135. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-</u>
- 494 <u>5347(89)90211-5</u>
- Hughes, A.C., Orr, M.C., Yang, Q. & Qiao, H. (2021) Effectively and accurately mapping global
 biodiversity patterns for different regions and taxa. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 30,
 1375–1388. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13304</u>
- IUCN (2021) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 6.2. https://www.iucnredlist.org.
 Downloaded on 30 December 2021.
- 500 Kalkman, V.J., Clausnitzer, V., Dijkstra, K.-D.B., Orr, A.G., Paulson, D.R. & Van Tol, J. (2008)
- Global diversity of dragonflies (Odonata) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia*, 595, 351–363. DOI:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9029-x</u>
- 503 Karger, D.N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R.W. et al. (2017)
- 504 Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas. *Scientific Data*, 4, 170122. 505 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.122
- 506 Karger, D.N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R.W. et al. (2018) Data
- 507 from: *Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas* (Version 1, Dryad).
- 508 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/DRYAD.KD1D4</u>
- 509 Kawahara, A.Y., Reeves, L.E., Barber, J.R. & Black, S.H. (2021). Eight simple actions that
- 510 individuals can take to save insects from global declines. *Proceedings of the National*
- 511 Academy of Sciences, 118, e2002547117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002547117

- 512 Klok, C.J. & Harrison, J.F. (2013) The temperature size rule in arthropods: Independent of macro-
- 513 environmental variables but size dependent. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 53, 557–
- 514 570. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict075</u>
- 515 Kraft, N.J.B., Godoy, O. & Levine, J.M. (2015) Plant functional traits and the multidimensional nature
- 516 of species coexistence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112, 797–802.
- 517 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413650112</u>
- Lawton, J.H. (1999) Are there general laws in ecology? *Oikos*, 84, 177–192. DOI:
- 519 <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3546712</u>
- 520 Letsch, H., Gottsberger, B. & Ware, J.L. (2016) Not going with the flow: A comprehensive time-
- 521 calibrated phylogeny of dragonflies (Anisoptera: Odonata: Insecta) provides evidence for the
- 522 role of lentic habitats on diversification. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 1340–1353. DOI:
- 523 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13562
- 524 Lynch, M. (1991) Methods for the analysis of comparative data in evolutionary biology. *Evolution*,

525 45, 1065–1080. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1991.tb04375.x</u>

- 526 MacLean, S.A. & Beissinger, S.R. (2017) Species' traits as predictors of range shifts under
- 527 contemporary climate change: A review and meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 23,
- 528 4094–4105. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13736</u>
- McCulloch, G.A., Wallis, G.P. & Waters, J.M. (2016) A time-calibrated phylogeny of southern
 hemisphere stoneflies: Testing for Gondwanan origins. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
- 531 *Evolution*, 96, 150–160. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.10.028</u>
- 532 McGill, B., Enquist, B., Weiher, E. & Westoby, M. (2006) Rebuilding community ecology from 533 functional traits. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 21, 178–185. DOI:
- 534 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.02.002
- McNab, B.K. (2010) Geographic and temporal correlations of mammalian size reconsidered: A
 resource rule. *Oecologia*, 164, 13–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1621-5
- 537 Meiri, S. & Dayan, T. (2003) On the validity of Bergmann's rule. *Journal of Biogeography*, 30, 331–
- 538 351. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00837.x</u>

- Needham J.G., Westfall M.J. & May M.L. (2000). *Dragonflies of North America (revised edition)*.
 Scientific Publishers, Gainesville, FL, USA.
- 541 Ohlberger, J. (2013) Climate warming and ectotherm body size—from individual physiology to
- 542 community ecology. *Functional Ecology*, 27, 991–1001. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-</u>
- 543 <u>2435.12098</u>
- 544 Olalla-Tárraga, M.Á., Bini, L.M., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F. & Rodríguez, M.Á. (2010) Cross-species and
- assemblage-based approaches to Bergmann's rule and the biogeography of body size in
- 546 *Plethodon* salamanders of eastern North America. *Ecography*, 33, 362–368. DOI:
- 547 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06244.x</u>
- Olson, V.A., Davies, R.G., Orme, C.D.L., Thomas, G.H., Meiri, S., Blackburn, T.M. et al. (2009)
- Global biogeography and ecology of body size in birds. *Ecology Letters*, 12, 249–259. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01281.x
- OneEarth.org. Ecoregions 2017. Available at: <u>https://www.oneearth.org/announcing-the-release-of-</u>
 <u>ecoregion-snapshots/</u>. Last accessed 07 March 2022.
- Pagel, M. (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, 401, 877–884. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/44766
- Paradis, E., Claude, J. & Strimmer, K. (2004) APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R
 language. *Bioinformatics*, 20, 289–290. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412
- 557 Paulson, D., Deliry, C. & Schorr, M. (2021). World Odonata List. Available at:

https://www2.pugetsound.edu/academics/academic-resources/slater-museum/biodiversity resources/dragonflies/world-odonata-list2/. Last accessed 15 December 2021.

- 560 Pinkert, S., Barve, V., Guralnick, R. & Jetz, W. (2022) Global geographical and latitudinal variation in
- 561 butterfly species richness captured through a comprehensive country-level occurrence
- 562 database. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 31, 830–839. DOI:
- 563 https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13475
- 564 Pinkert, S., Brandl, R. & Zeuss, D. (2017) Colour lightness of dragonfly assemblages across North
- 565 America and Europe. *Ecography*, 40, 1110–1117. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02578</u>

- Pinkert, S., Dijkstra, K.-D.B., Zeuss, D., Reudenbach, C., Brandl, R. & Hof, C. (2018) Evolutionary
 processes, dispersal limitation and climatic history shape current diversity patterns of
 European dragonflies. *Ecography*, 41, 795–804. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03137
- 569 Pinkert, S. & Zeuss, D. (2018) Thermal biology: Melanin-based energy harvesting across the tree of
- 570 life. *Current Biology*, 28, 887–889. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.026</u>
- R Core Team (2022) *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: https://www.R-project.org/
- 573 Revell, L.J. (2017) *phytools: Phylogenetic Tools for Comparative Biology*. R package version 0.6-00.
 574 Available at: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/package=phytools</u>
- 575 Sandall, E., Pinkert, S. & Jetz, W. (2022) Country-level checklists and occurrences for the world's
- 576 Odonata (dragonflies and damselflies). *Journal of Biogeography*, 49, 1586–1598. DOI:
 577 https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14457
- Santini, L., Benítez-López, A., Ficetola, G.F. & Huijbregts, M.A.J. (2018) Length–mass allometries in
 amphibians. *Integrative Zoology*, 13, 36–45. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12268
- 580 Schweiger, A.H. & Beierkuhnlein, C. (2016) Size dependency in colour patterns of Western
- 581 Palearctic carabids. *Ecography*, 39, 846–857. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01570</u>
- Shelomi, M. (2012) Where are we now? Bergmann's rule sensu lato in insects. *The American Naturalist*, 180, 511–519. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/667595
- Tillyard, R.J. (1916) *The biology of dragonflies*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, p.
 295.
- 586 Urbanek, S. (2013) *png: Read and write PNG images*. R package version 0.1-7. Available at:
 587 <u>https://cran.r-project.org/package=png</u>
- 588 White, E.P., Ernest, S.K.M., Kerkhoff, A.J. & Enquist, B.J. (2007) Relationships between body size 589 and abundance in ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22, 323–330. DOI:
- 590 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.03.007</u>
- 591 Whitman, D.W. (2008) The significance of body size in the Orthoptera: A review. Journal of
- 592 Orthoptera Research, 12, 117–134. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1665/1082-6467-17.2.117</u>

- 593 Yom-Tov, Y. & Geffen, E. (2006) Geographic variation in body size: The effects of ambient
- temperature and precipitation. *Oecologia*, 148, 213–218. DOI:

595 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0364-9</u>

- Wiens, J.J., Ackerly, D.D., Allen, A.P., Anacker, B.L., Buckley, L.B., Cornell, H.V. et al. (2010).
- 597 Niche conservatism as an emerging principle in ecology and conservation biology. *Ecology*
- 598 *Letters*, 13, 1310–1324. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01515.x</u>
- Zeuss, D., Brunzel, S. & Brandl, R. (2017) Environmental drivers of voltinism and body size in insect
 assemblages across Europe. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 154–165. DOI:
- 601 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12525</u>

602 **TABLES**

TABLE 1 Assemblage-level multiple regressions between log₁₀-transformed body length (a proxy for body size) and environmental variables with a spatial dependency weight (spatial autoregressive model, SAR). The dataset (2,652 species and 17,605 assemblages) was divided into Anisoptera (1,182 species and 17,476 assemblages) and Zygoptera (1,470 species and 15,650 assemblages) to account for differences in body shape. The phylogenetic (P) component is the phylogenetically predicted part of body length variation, and the specific (S) component represents its deviation. See Table S3 for results of ordinary least squares models.

Suborder	Dependent variable	Predictor	Estimate	SE	z-value	р	R^2
		MAT	-4.52×10 ⁻²	±5.20×10 ⁻⁴	-87.10	<0.001	
/erall	Average body size	EVI	1.62×10 ^{−2}	±3.72×10 ⁻⁴	43.42	<0.001	0.51
Ó		Elev	-1.11×10 ⁻²	±2.66×10 ⁻⁴	-42.13	<0.001	
		MAT	-5.84×10 ⁰	±5.85×10 ⁻²	-99.82	<0.001	
	Average body size	EVI	9.13×10 ⁻¹	±4.31×10 ⁻²	21.20	<0.001	0.57
		Elev	-1.51×10 ⁰	±3.03×10 ⁻²	-49.90	<0.001	
ŋ		MAT	-4.06×10 ⁰	±3.42×10 ⁻²	-118.71	<0.001	
opter	P component	EVI	-1.92×10 ⁻¹	±2.74×10 ⁻²	-7.01	<0.001	0.68
Anis		Elev	-1.12×10 ⁰	±1.91×10 ⁻²	-58.70	<0.001	
		MAT	-1.06×10 ⁰	±3.25×10 ⁻²	-32.50	<0.001	
	S component	EVI	8.03×10 ⁻¹	±2.17×10 ⁻²	36.92	<0.001	0.28
		Elev	-3.27×10 ⁻¹	±1.47×10 ⁻²	-22.22	<0.001	
		MAT	6.21×10 ^{−1}	±3.10×10 ⁻²	20.01	<0.001	
	Average body size	EVI	1.78×10 ⁰	±3.01×10 ⁻²	58.92	<0.001	0.35
		Elev	8.50×10 ^{−1}	±1.99×10 ⁻²	42.75	<0.001	
D		MAT	2.50×10 ^{−1}	±3.47×10 ⁻²	7.19	<0.001	
opter	P component	EVI	1.57×10 ⁰	±2.39×10 ⁻²	65.61	<0.001	0.38
Zyg		Elev	8.09×10 ⁻¹	±1.72×10 ⁻²	46.79	<0.001	
		MAT	–5.90×10 ^{−3}	±2.34×10 ⁻¹	-0.25	0.8011	
	S component	EVI	2.95×10 ⁻¹	±1.33×10 ⁻²	22.09	<0.001	0.36
		Elev	-3.69×10 ⁻²	±9.40×10 ⁻³	-3.92	<0.001	

- 610 MAT = mean annual temperature; EVI = annual enhanced vegetation index (productivity); Elev = elevation. pseudo- R^2 =
- 611 *R*² values based on maximum likelihood (Nagelkerke).

612 FIGURES

613

614



FIGURE 1 The map in the left panel shows the spatial variation in body length (a proxy for body size) of odonate assemblages (17,605 grid cells of 100 km × 100 km representing body length values of 2,652 species). The map is shown in Mollweide projection and colour scale intervals follow an equalfrequency classification, ranging from blue (short) to red (long). Boxplots in the right panel show the body size range of assemblages across latitudinal bands (10° intervals). Blue boxplots show the body length of Anisoptera (dragonflies), red boxplots that of Zygoptera (damselflies), and orange boxplots that of both suborders together.



620

FIGURE 2 Spatial variation in body length (mm) of (a,c,e) anisopteran assemblages (17,476 grid cells representing 1,182 species), (b,d,f) zygopteran assemblages (15,650 grid cells representing 1,470 species). From top to bottom, the maps represent the (a,b) average, (c,d) phylogenetic, and (e,f) specific components of body size variation. Maps are shown in a Mollweide projection. Colour scale intervals follow an equal-frequency classification, ranging from blue (short) to red (long). The phylogenetic (P) component is the phylogenetically predicted part of body length variation, and the specific (S) component represents its deviation.



FIGURE 3 Spatial variation in the proportion of anisopteran species to the total number of odonate species included in the analysis. Assemblages represent the distributions of 1,182 anisopteran and 1,470 zygopteran species, respectively. Colour scale intervals follow an equal-frequency classification (quantiles), with beige/yellow indicating more zygopteran than anisopteran species and pink/red indicating the opposite. The dataset comprises 17,605 grid cells of 100 km × 100 km (Mollweide projection). Note that the main data source for Amazonia did not include Zygoptera, hence the high proportion of Anisoptera. Sources for all other regions included both suborders.

628