### Impact of Spectroscopic and Atmospheric State Knowledge on Retrieved XCO2 and XCH4 Column Amounts from Laser Differential Absorption Spectrometer Measurements

Timothy Pernini<sup>1</sup> and T. Scott Zaccheo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric and Environmental Research

November 22, 2022

#### Abstract

In this work we extend previous studies by exploring the potential impact of spectroscopic knowledge along with atmospheric state knowledge on retrievals of carbon dioxide (XCO2) and methane (XCH4) column amounts from laser differential absorption spectrometer (LAS) measurements. This has been done for multiple CO2 absorption lines in the 1.57 and 2.05 µm regions, and for CH4 in the 1.65 µm region. One such potential source of error in performing XCO2 retrievals is modeled surface pressure. Since it has been proposed to derive surface pressure from LAS-based O2 measurements in lieu of modeled surface pressure for use in XCO2 retrievals as a means of error reduction, our past work has also attempted to characterize and quantify potential improvements in XCO2 retrieval error associated with O2-derived surface pressure for a set of CO2 and O2 absorption line combinations. All of our previous analyses have relied on a radiative-transfer-based simulation framework utilizing the Line-by-Line Radiative Transfer Model (LBLRTM), version 12.2 (release date November, 2012). LBLRTM has undergone several upgrades since version 12.2, to include updates to its line parameter database, updates to its continuum model, and bug fixes. Our current work revisits our prior assessments using the latest version of LBLRTM (version 12.8) and comparisons are provided and discussed.

## Impact of Spectroscopic and Atmospheric State Knowledge on Retrieved XCO<sub>2</sub> and XCH<sub>4</sub> Column Amounts from Laser **Differential Absorption Spectrometer Measurements**

Timothy G. Pernini and T. Scott Zaccheo Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc, Lexington, MA

## Abstract

In this work we extend previous studies by exploring the potential impact of spectroscopic knowledge along with atmospheric state knowledge on retrievals of carbon dioxide (XCO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (X column amounts from laser differential absorption spectrometer measurements. This has been done for multiple CO<sub>2</sub> absorption li in the 1.57 and 2.05  $\mu$ m regions, and for CH<sub>4</sub> in the 1.65  $\mu$ m region One such potential source of error in performing XCO<sub>2</sub> retrievals is modeled surface pressure. Since it has been proposed to derive surface pressure from LAS-based O<sub>2</sub> measurements in lieu of mod surface pressure for use in XCO<sub>2</sub> retrievals as a means of error reduction, our past work has also attempted to characterize and quantify potential improvements in XCO<sub>2</sub> retrieval error associated with O<sub>2</sub>-derived surface pressure for a set of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> absorption line combinations.

All of our previous analyses have relied on a radiative-transfer-bas simulation framework utilizing the Line-by-Line Radiative Transfer Model (LBLRTM), version 12.2 (release date November, 2012). LBLRTM has undergone several upgrades since version 12.2, to ind updates to its line parameter database, updates to its continuum model, and bug fixes. Our current work revisits our prior assessm using the latest version of LBLRTM (version 12.8) and comparisons provided and discussed.

## Measuring Column X

LAS estimates of column-averaged dry air mixing ratios (X) t are derived from observed differential optical depths ( $\Delta \tau$ ) require measured or prior knowledge of atmospheric state parameters that include temperature (T), moisture and pressure along the viewing path. X can be related to  $\Delta \tau$  as:

 $X = \frac{\Delta \tau + \Delta \tau_{other}}{\int_{0}^{p_{sfc}} \Delta \sigma(\lambda_{on}, \lambda_{off}, T, p)(1-q)dp}$ 

where  $\Delta \tau_{other}$  represents residual observed  $\Delta \tau$  due to other species,  $\Delta \sigma$  is differential absorption cross section for the species of interest, p<sub>sfc</sub> is surface pressure, q is local specific humidity and  $\lambda_{on}/\lambda_{off}$  represent the observation on/off-line wavelengths. So the accuracy of retrieved X values depends the ability to accurately characterize p, T, and q along the observed path.

AGU Fall Meeting, Dec 12, 2017 A23C-2349 **Contact: Timothy G. Pernini, tpernini@aer.com** 

	Methodo	logy
	1. Model expected uncertaint of atmospheric state as an	ties or knowledge
(LAS)	collocated observed and modeled value	
nes n.	<ul> <li>Surface parameters: Pres</li> </ul>	ssure
S	Upper atmosphere: Vertiand moisture	ical temperature
deled	2. Compute simulated optical	depths based on
d	RT modeling approach	hango in column
on	concentrations	nange in column
sed	Expected "noise" due to atmospheric state	uncertainties in
	3. Estimate signal to noise rat	ios for notional
clude	instruments given uncertain	nties in
nents s are	Relate noise equivalent	signal associated
	computed signal levels.	ate to the
	4. Combine CO <sub>2</sub> (or CH <sub>4</sub> ) and	O <sub>2</sub> retrieval
that	mechanisms to determine retrieval on CO <sub>2</sub> (or CH <sub>4</sub> ) cc	impact of O <sub>2</sub>
	given uncertainties in atmo	ospheric state.
		Min Noise Signal [+/-100pm], 20km
	Line Analysis	1.4 1.4 1.5711um, v12.2 1.5711um, v12.2 1.5711um, v12.2 1.5723um, v12.2 1.5723um, v12.2 1.5723um, v12.2 1.5723um, v12.2
	and RTM	Image: Spin 1     Imag
	Comparisons	
		0 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 On-Line Offset from Line Center (pm)
son	Min Noise Signal [+/-100pm], 20km 2.5 0.76um, v12.2 0.76um, v12.8 0.76um, v12.8	Min Noise Signal [+/-100pm], 20km 7 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5
	E T.20um, v12.2 T.26um, v12.8	Id Bio S 5.5 Tu
	Poise Equival	Voise Equiva 4.5 4.5
	0 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 On-Line Offset from Line Center (pm)	4 3.5 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 On-Line Offset from Line Center (pm)

This work was partially funded by a National Aeronautics and Space Administration Grant # NNX15AG94G



# Conclusions

- Uncertainties in atmospheric surface pressure and vertical temperature/moisture play a critical role in line selections for LAS measurements used in retrievals of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $O_2$  column concentrations • Line analysis provides assessment of uncertainties in modeled T/RH/P on single line characteristics and estimates optimal on/off line parameters that minimize this retrieval error term - Uncertainties in vertical T and RH may introduce 0.3 to 1.5 ppm error in  $XCO_2$ , 0.3 to >2.5 ppm error in  $XO_2$ , and 3.5 to 6.5 ppb error in XCH<sub>4</sub> (depending on choice of on/off line pair) - Impact of uncertainties in pressure is tightly coupled with weighting function peak height • RTM comparisons show that of all CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> lines analyzed, only the 1.5711  $\mu$ m CO<sub>2</sub> line is significantly affected by updates to the LBLRTM spectroscopy. The affect is amplified in the wings of the absorption peak. • Combined  $CO_2/O_2$  and  $CH_4/O_2$  analyses examine the interactions between retrieval uncertainties to potentially exploit "common mode" features between weighting functions by utilizing O<sub>2</sub>-derived P<sub>sfc</sub> in retrievals
- Eliminates the dependency on modeled P<sub>sfc</sub>, but still dependencies on T/RH that impact retrieval accuracy



- Near surface and regions highly sensitive to T and RH benefit from combined  $CO_2/O_2$  and  $CH_4/O_2$  retrievals