Automatic Estimation of Parameter Transfer Functions for Distributed Hydrological Models - Function Space Optimization Applied on the mHM Model

Moritz Feigl¹, Robert Schweppe², Stephan Thober³, Mathew Herrnegger¹, Luis Samaniego³, and Karsten Schulz¹

¹BOKU University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences ²Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research UFZ Leipzig ³Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ

November 22, 2022

Abstract

FSO is a symbolic regression method that allows for automatic estimation of the structure and parameterization of transfer functions from catchment data. The FSO method transforms the search for an optimal transfer function into a continuous optimization problem using a text generating neural network (variational autoencoder). mHM is a widely applied distributed hydrological model, which uses transfer functions for all its parameters. For this study, we estimate transfer functions for the parameters saturated hydraulic conductivity and field capacity. To avoid the influence of parameter equifinality, the remaining mHM parameter values are optimized simultaneously. The study domain consists of 229 basins, including 7 major basins for Training and 222 smaller basins for validation, distributed across Germany. 5 years of data are used for training und 35 years for validation. By validating the estimated transfer functions in a set of validation basins in a different time period, we can examine the FSO estimated transfer functions influence on model performance, scalability and transferability. We find that transfer functions estimated by FSO lead to a robust performance when being applied in an ungauged setting. The median KGE of the validation basins in the validation time period is 0.73, while the median KGE of the 7 training basins in training time is 0.8. These results look promising, especially since we are only using 5 years of training data, and show the general applicability of FSO for distributed hydrological models.



AUTOMATIC ESTIMATION OF PARAMETER TRANSFER FUNCTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTED HYDROLOGICAL MODELS

FUNCTION SPACE OPTIMIZATION APPLIED TO THE mHM MODEL

M. Feigl¹, S. Thober², R. Schweppe², M. Herrnegger¹, L. Samaniego² and K. Schulz¹

¹Institute for Hydrology and Water Management (HyWa), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Vienna, Austria ²Department of Computational Hydrosystems, UFZ-Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig, Germany



Transfer Functions



Transfer functions map geophysical catchment properties to distributed model parameters





Function Space Optimization (FSO) Feigl et al., 2020

- FSO: optimization method for transfer functions
- Uses a text generating Neural Network
- Transforms search into continuous problem
- Successfully tested on a single catchment





Function Space Optimization (FSO)







Function Space Optimization (FSO)







FSO parameter scaling







FSO parameter scaling







The mesoscale Hydrological Model (mHM)

- meter \mathcal{X}_1 E_3 \mathcal{X} small scale \mathcal{X}_{2} Z_1 morphology \mathcal{X}_7 Z_2 mesoscale X_3 hydrology \mathcal{X}_{5} X_6 CK
- Developed by Samaniego et al. (2010)
- Spatially explicit distributed model
- Uses grid cells as primary units
- Defines parameter fields with the Multiscale Parameter Regionalization method (MPR)



Multiscale Parameter Regionalization (MPR)







Regionalization method by Samaniego et al. (2010)

Benchmark Study – Zink et al. (2017)



Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 1769–1790, 2017 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/21/1769/2017/ doi:10.5194/hess-21-1769-2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





A high-resolution dataset of water fluxes and states for Germany accounting for parametric uncertainty

Matthias Zink¹, Rohini Kumar¹, Matthias Cuntz^{1,2}, and Luis Samaniego¹

¹Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department Computational Hydrosystems, Permoserstraße 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
²INRA, Université de Lorraine, UMR1137 Ecologie et Ecophysiologie Forestières, Champenoux, France



Benchmark Study – Zink et al. (2017)





- Optimizing mHM 100 times with 2000 iterations
- Using 7 gauging stations
- Validate 100 parameter sets on 220 Basins







Study Objectives

- 1. Apply FSO using a wide range of catchments
- 2. Simultaneously optimize 2 transfer functions and all other numerical parameters
- 3. Optimize: Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity, Field Capacity
- 4. Analyze performance and transferability in a prediction in ungauged basins (PUB) setting
- 5. Compare original mHM tranfer functions with FSO estimates



Case study – study basins

7 Training basins, 220 Validation Basins

Resolution:

Spatial predictors: 100 x 100 m Model grid: 4 x 4 km

Spatial predictors:

Mean sand percentage (sand) Mean clay percentage (clay) Mineral bulk density Aspect Terrain slope Elevation

Time series:

7 & 220 gauging stations Calibration: 2000-2004 Validation: 1965-1999 Spin-up: 5 years



7 Training basins (Zink et al., 2017)





220 Validation basins



Case study – Optimization







FSO optimization using the DDS algorithm (Tolson & Shoemaker, 2007)



Training Basins KGE Results

	Period	median KGE	Main	Neckar	Weser	Ems	Saale	Mulde	Donau
FSO-mHM	Calibration	0.83	0.90	0.85	0.90	0.82	0.81	0.77	0.82
	Validation	0.80	0.85	0.83	0.89	0.80	0.77	0.65	0.71

FSO results after approx. 900 iterations



Preliminary results –220 validation basins





Imhof-Like Background Topography by @John_M_Nelson

Centre for Environmental Research

'Wa

UFZ

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm/d):

mHM: KSat = $\gamma_1 * \exp(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 * \operatorname{sand} - \gamma_4 * \operatorname{clay}) * \log(10)$ FSO-mHM: KSat = elevation + exp(bulk density) - 3.14

Field Capacity (-):mHM:FieldCap = ThetaS $* \exp(\gamma_5 * (\gamma_6 + \log 10(KSat)) * \log(vGenu_n))$ FSO-mHM:FieldCap = $-0.336\sqrt{0.333/\sqrt{bulk density}}$



Preliminary results – estimated parameter fields





Saturated Hydraulic Field Capacity (-) Conductivity (cm/day) KSat Field Capacity (cm/dav) 0.204 0.202



Resulting parameter fields on the 100 x 100 m grid for the top layer of the model (tillage layer, first 20 cm)



Summary, Discussion & Outlook

• FSO trained with 5 years data of 7 gauging stations:

```
training median KGE = 0.80
```

```
PUB median KGE = 0.73
```

- Preliminary results look promising \rightarrow only 900 iterations
- Field Capacity is constant \rightarrow most likely local minimum \rightarrow continue optimization
- Multiple longer optimization runs needed for robust performance evaluation
- Compare validation basins results with performance of Zink et al. (2017)
- Comparison of final FSO parameter fields to geophysical properties





References

Feigl, M., Herrnegger, M., Klotz, D., & Schulz, K. (2020). Function Space Optimization: A symbolic regression method for estimating parameter transfer functions for hydrological models. Water resources research, 56(10), <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027385</u>

Gupta, H. V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K. K., & Martinez, G. F. (2009). Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling. *Journal of hydrology, 377*(1-2), 80-91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.003</u>

Samaniego, L., Kumar, R., & Attinger, S. (2010). Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-based hydrologic model at the mesoscale. *Water Resources Research*, 46(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007327</u>

Tolson, B. A., & Shoemaker, C. A. (2007). Dynamically dimensioned search algorithm for computationally efficient watershed model calibration. *Water Resources Research*, 43(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004723</u>

