

# Low-cost High-Speed Photogrammetry for Measuring Dynamic Flow Deposits

Tzu-Yin Kasha Chen<sup>1</sup>, Chi-Yao Hung<sup>2</sup>, Michael Scheiber<sup>1,3</sup>, Kimberly Hill<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>St. Anthony Falls Laboratory, University of Minnesota, 2 Third Ave SE, Minneapolis, 55414, Minnesota, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil and Water Conservation, National Chung Hsing University, No. 145, Xingda Rd., Taichung 402, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Mountain Risk Engineering, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna Gregor-Mendel-Straße 33, Vienna 1180, Austria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Civil, Environmental, and Geo-Engineering, University of Minnesota, 500 Pillsbury Dr SE, Minneapolis 55455, Minnesota, USA

## Key Points:

- Cost-effective, advanced photogrammetry for precise measurement in particle-fluid flow experiments using WiFi cameras.
- Standout for cost-effectiveness and robust design; external synchronization with flash lamps enables capture of dynamic flow events.
- Applied successfully in debris flow experiment; tracks growth, evolution, links local flow to channel avulsion events.

---

Corresponding author: Chi-Yao Hung, [cychung@nchu.edu.tw](mailto:cychung@nchu.edu.tw)

## Abstract


We introduce a novel, cost-effective photogrammetry-based method designed for measuring rapidly evolving three-dimensional surface topography in particle-fluid flow experiments. This method offers high-resolution results over a considerable surface area, enabling the capture of dynamic flow events with temporal granularity limited only by removable memory card capacity. Multiple WiFi-enabled security cameras, meticulously calibrated with precision-measured reference points on calibration boards, are employed in this methodology. External synchronization, facilitated by strategically-positioned flash lamps, allows calibration among cameras without additional precise tools. Validating our method through an alluvial fan experiment showcases its efficacy in tracking the growth and evolution of experimental debris flows, particularly in capturing the dynamic evolution of debris flow deposits on a growing alluvial fan. This example illustrates the method's ability to link local flow, evolution, and deposition to multiple channel avulsion events, highlighting its success in capturing distinct slope and height dependencies associated with these phenomena. Overall, our method transcends conventional measurement approaches, providing a significant advancement in capturing the intricacies of rapidly evolving three-dimensional surface topography in particle-fluid flow experiments. Its cost-effectiveness and robustness make it a valuable tool for diverse, dynamic scenarios, presenting a promising solution for experimental laboratory-scale landscape studies.

## Plain Language Summary

We've developed a new and affordable way to measure the changing shape of surfaces in experiments where particles interact with fluids. Our method uses multiple WiFi-enabled security cameras that are carefully set up and synchronized using flash lamps. This allows us to capture high-resolution images of dynamic events in the flow, with the only limitation being the memory card capacity. We tested our method in an experiment simulating debris flows on a growing fan of sediment. The results showed that our approach is effective in tracking the growth and changes in the flow, especially in capturing how debris settles on the fan. This method goes beyond traditional measurement techniques, offering a significant improvement in understanding the complex shapes that emerge in particle-fluid flow experiments. Its affordability and reliability make it a valuable tool for studying various dynamic scenarios in experimental landscape studies.

## 1 Introduction to the Applications: Large Scale Multi-phase Flows and Their Deposits

Geological particle-fluid flows – including lahars, snow avalanches, debris flows, and fluvially-transported sediment – typically form large-scale geomorphological structures upon deposit such as river deltas and alluvial fans (e.g., in Figure 1). Understanding the evolving flow/deposit interactions would help inform a better understanding of ancient sedimentary deposits as well as modern surficial hazards; depositional structures carry signatures of the complex flows under which they were formed. Material details such as grain / liquid concentrations, presence of clay as well as width of grain size distribution influence the flow dynamics and, in turn, channelization, channel avulsion rate, and other details. However, the co-evolution of flow content, flow dynamics, and deposit morphology is difficult to predict or even measure in part because of the dynamically-evolving flow details, such as local rheology and frictional and pressure forces along their boundary. Additionally, even though the flows carry solid materials (particles) that are similar if not identical to those in the beds over which they traverse, the dynamics that control variation between relatively simple erosional and depositional periods of interactions with the bed are only minimally understood. The combined effects often give rise to complex channelization patterns on the surface over which the flows travel (Figure 1a-b).



**Figure 1.** Debris flow fans with channels. (a) Yu-Shui Fan, Laonong River, Southern Taiwan. (b) Straight Fan, Owens Valley, California, USA. (c) Experimental debris flow deposit (Hsu, 2018). (d) Experimental fan deposit (Chen et al., 2022).

69 Historically, our understanding of these natural systems has been shaped by field  
 70 research incorporated into numerical models (Dietrich & Krautblatter, 2019; Dietrich,  
 71 2020), along with the use of massive hillslope experiments (Iverson et al., 2010) and large  
 72 laboratory experiments (Hsu, 2018). Field data is limited by the hazards associated with  
 73 the large-scale flows, and detailed data acquisition in the field and in the experimental  
 74 flows is limited in terms of interactions along the base of the flow that, indeed, dictate  
 75 the flow/channel interactions. Large-scale experiments are also expensive and limited  
 76 (Iverson et al., 2010). To supplement the data available at larger scales, much progress  
 77 has been made in using laboratory experiments much more modest in their size. Because  
 78 of the possible broad scope under which geomorphologically-significant dynamics can be  
 79 explored in these smaller experiments, this work is focused on new developments related  
 80 to increasing the details that can be extracted.

81 While at first glance these exhibit what one might call “unreasonable effectiveness”  
 82 at mimicking geomorphic phenomenology at field scale (Paola et al., 2009), they have  
 83 provided immeasurable insight into previously less-understood natural problems. A few  
 84 examples include studies of dam and dike breaches (Rifai et al., 2016, 2020); avulsion  
 85 behaviors of rivers on floodplains and fans (Bryant et al., 1995), including cohesive ef-  
 86 fects (Martin et al., 2009) on fans; and debris flows (Kaitna et al., 2016) and the fans  
 87 onto which they deposit (de Haas et al., 2016a, 2018; Chen et al., 2022).

88 To achieve a full accounting of interactions between flows and surfaces onto which  
 89 they deposit, many efforts have been devoted to imaging surficial details and their evo-  
 90 lution under repeated flow events. Some examples include airborne Lidar in field (Mallet  
 91 & Bretar, 2009; Webb et al., 2018) and laser profilometry in laboratory (Huang et al.,  
 92 2010; Hung & Capart, 2013; Rifai et al., 2017, 2020), which both provide extremely high-  
 93 resolution and precise measurements though are mostly appropriate for relatively station-  
 94 ary surfaces. Another option is photogrammetry, which extracts three-dimensional

95 information from multiple overlapping two-dimensional images and is typically a more  
 96 affordable method to construct topographic details both in field (Lane, 2000; Yanites et  
 97 al., 2006; Westoby et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2018; Eltner et al., 2018; Filhol et al., 2019)  
 98 and in the laboratory (Morgan et al., 2017; Balaguer-Puig et al., 2017; Piton et al., 2018;  
 99 Polvi, 2021; Leenman & Eaton, 2021). Lidar, the most expensive of these options, uses  
 100 a coherent laser beam to capture surface details and thus can more effectively image ground  
 101 through leaves and other vegetation, whereas photogrammetry uses a wide range of fre-  
 102 quencies in the visible light spectrum, which makes it more difficult to reject details as-  
 103 sociated with trees / plants. In experiments in which plants are not used, this is not a  
 104 restriction.

105 In recent years, some studies have used photogrammetry to capture slowly evol-  
 106 ving surfaces in the laboratory. For example, Guo et al. (2016); Jiang et al. (2020); Balaguer-  
 107 Puig et al. (2017) studied soil erosion and rill development at flume scales using the to-  
 108 pographic data from photogrammetry. In this case, the dynamics were sufficiently slow  
 109 that temporal resolutions greater than 1-minute time-steps were sufficient. Leenman and  
 110 Eaton (2021) studied fluvial deposits on alluvial fans with 1-minute temporal resolution,  
 111 also sufficient for the dynamics they studied. They employed nine digital single-lens re-  
 112 flex cameras for high spatial resolutions. Alternatively, Piton et al. (2018) investigated  
 113 open channel flows and utilized photogrammetry to record experimental fluvial topog-  
 114 raphy, employing flow stoppage and drainage before each photogrammetry time step. How-  
 115 ever, this method is limited to situations where the topography remains unaffected by  
 116 the drainage process, and the dynamic of the channel evolution is not influenced by the  
 117 flow stoppage.

118 In many laboratory experiments, surfaces evolve more quickly, and such repeated  
 119 drainage steps are not possible. At first glance, it appears the use of photogrammetry  
 120 is not possible in discerning frequently changing details. Further, because of the require-  
 121 ment of multiple overlapping photos for each DEM data, for high-speed results, one would  
 122 need multiple cameras for high-resolution topography on a relatively large deposit ( $\sim$   
 123  $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ ) (de Haas et al., 2016b; Chen et al., 2022), not widely affordable. Possibly  
 124 for these reasons, dynamic topographic measurement methods are not well-established  
 125 for rapidly evolving surfaces, like fans built from debris flows. Indeed the specific pho-  
 126 togrammetry technique adaptable for the laboratory – structure-from-motion (Ullman,  
 127 1979) – appears less well-known in the community for experimental adaptation (Morgan  
 128 et al., 2017).


129 In this context, we introduce a relatively inexpensive system for measuring topog-  
 130 raphy at high-frequency acquisition rates for system sizes limited only by the number  
 131 of relatively inexpensive cameras used. Towards this, we introduce structure-from-motion  
 132 techniques using data acquired by relatively inexpensive “security” cameras in conjunc-  
 133 tion with distortion-resolving software. To illustrate the effectiveness of this method, we  
 134 present preliminary analyses of avulsion events on a  $1 \text{ m}^2$  alluvial fan as it builds and  
 135 develops over 15 minutes. We discuss strengths of this technique as well as limitations  
 136 in its current form. We conclude by presenting opportunities for expanding the analy-  
 137 sis beyond its current usefulness.

## 138 **2 Low-cost High-speed Structure from Motion (SfM) Photogramme-** 139 **try for Large Laboratory Experiments**

140 The foundation of our new low-cost real-time topography acquisition and analy-  
 141 sis system consists of two primary components: (1) the physical image acquisition sys-  
 142 tem comprised primarily of multiple low-cost security cameras and calibrated reference  
 143 points, and (2) the Structure from Motion (SfM) image analysis technique that converts  
 144 overlapping 2-D images to a 3-D deposit surface. In addition to these primary compo-  
 145 nents, we instituted several additional components to enhance the semi-automated struc-

146 tural analysis of experimental surfaces as they evolve over time. In the following sub-  
 147 sections, we present the details of these primary and supporting components.

## 148 2.1 Experimental Image Acquisition System and Supporting Elements



**Figure 2.** Photogrammetry and supporting systems. (a) Photograph in the laboratory, (b) sketch from downstream view.

### 149 2.1.1 Photogrammetry Acquisition System

150 To quantitatively record the evolution of the morphology of our deposit, our pho-  
 151 togrammetry measurement system consists of (1) an image acquisition frame and (2) spa-  
 152 tially distributed reference points (Figure 2).

153 For the photogrammetry technique to work, we need to have a number of points  
 154 in space that can be distinguished from one another by the SfM software and whose lo-  
 155 cation is known to be the same or better precision required of the DEM data. Further,  
 156 the points must be distributed spatially in all three dimensions in such a way as to en-  
 157 compass the expected range of surface data locations. Finally, the reference points must  
 158 be arranged in such a way that, during the camera calibration period, each camera can  
 159 capture at least three distinct reference points.

160 For the spatial calibration reference system, we built four calibration boards (Fig-  
 161 ure 2). Each calibration board contained 25 12-bit circular reference point patterns (Franzini

162 et al., 2016) that can be automatically distinguished by the imaging software. The lo-  
 163 cations of the reference points vary vertically and horizontally across the range of the  
 164 deposit. Before each experiment, we place the calibration boards in the deposition re-  
 165 gion in such a way as to encompass the expected distribution of the surface of our de-  
 166 posit (Figure 2). We then use a laser profilometry (laser scan) system at the St. Anthony  
 167 Falls Laboratory to obtain the three-dimensional coordinates of all reference points (100  
 168 reference points). After laser scanning the reference points, we install the cameras for  
 169 that experiment.

170 The image acquisition system requirements for the Structure from Motion (SfM)  
 171 technique include sufficient numbers of cameras (of sufficient resolution) so that all lo-  
 172 cations on the surface of interest are captured by at least 5, and preferably, up to 10 cam-  
 173 eras (Fonstad et al., 2013). For our deposits which typically grow to  $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ m}^2$  in plan  
 174 view, we use approximately 70 cameras we mounted to a homemade frame (made of PVC  
 175 tubes) above the evolving deposit. We use Wyze Cam V3 cameras (security cameras roughly  
 176 priced at USD 30 per camera), which provide a fair image resolution (1080 x 1920 pix-  
 177 els), a wide field of view ( $130^\circ$  diagonal, albeit with the fish-eye effect we discuss shortly),  
 178 and a frame rate of 20 fps. We mounted the cameras on the PVC tube frame in a stag-  
 179 gered array at a distance of approximately 50 cm from the base (Figure 2). This pro-  
 180 vides an 89% overlap in the neighboring camera image area in the lateral direction and  
 181 a 59-76% overlap in the basin slope direction, resulting in more than 8-camera overlap  
 182 for most of the viewing region.

183 In addition to the details we address during the set-up of the basic image acqui-  
 184 sition system, several special issues arise because of the temporal coordination we require  
 185 and also specific conditions associated with particle-fluid deposits in the geomorpholog-  
 186 ical applications of interest to us. We present our methods for overcoming these issues  
 187 in the following section.

### 188 ***2.1.2 Additional Experimental Components for SfM of Experimental*** 189 ***Particle-Fluid Deposits***

190 The use of relatively low-priced security cameras limits the ability to temporally  
 191 calibrate the picture acquisition from all of the cameras. The security cameras we used  
 192 do, in fact, have a built-in time synchronization function, but it does not satisfy our needs  
 193 given the (sub-second) speed at which our experimental deposit surfaces evolve. There-  
 194 fore, to calibrate our cameras, we used reference events at the beginning and end of our  
 195 experiments. In particular, we used a Nikon SB900 flashlamp we set with a duration sim-  
 196 ilar to the frame rate of the cameras. More specifically, the brightest part of each flash  
 197 lasts for  $1/20\text{s}$  and the brightness rises and falls over a slightly longer time (though less  
 198 than  $1/4 \text{ s}$ ). We flashed the lamp multiple (five) times shortly before the beginning of  
 199 the flow initiation of our experiment and we repeated this after the flow was complete  
 200 to verify the stability of the calibration. After the experiment was complete, we input  
 201 the recordings of these flashes into a homemade code to assign an initial timestep com-  
 202 mon for all cameras. Essentially, we use the rising limb of the brightness of each flash  
 203 recorded in the movie to synchronize the cameras as we describe in section 2.2 (along  
 204 with our other computational image analysis techniques).

205 Another difficulty in the application of SfM techniques for our systems involves re-  
 206 solving relatively flat mono-colored regions (e.g., Ullman, 1979). If a region of the sur-  
 207 face of interest is particularly flat and mono-colored, images acquired from different cam-  
 208 eras may not be sufficiently distinct for SfM algorithms to resolve the topography of that  
 209 region. To provide distinct patterns on the fan surface that the photogrammetry soft-  
 210 ware can use to guide the surface-building algorithms, throughout the duration of the  
 211 experiment we add different streams of food coloring to incoming flows to the apex of  
 212 our deposit. Towards this, we constructed a food coloring frame on which to mount four

213 food coloring feeding bags over the camera frame (Figure 2). We turned on the outflow  
214 of the feeding bags and adjusted the outflow location throughout the experiments to en-  
215 sure the coverage of the food coloring over the fan surface.

216 Another issue that can negatively affect the image quality during the image acqui-  
217 sition stage is the reflectivity of that surface. For example, this occurs in our experiments  
218 when and where our surface is highly reflective due to excessive moisture and the local  
219 fluid flow. In these cases, the camera images differ partly because of the difference in re-  
220 flection from their different vantage points, differences unrelated to the surface texture.  
221 To diminish this we eliminate point sources of bright lights during the experiment and  
222 use multiple diffuse light sources.

### 223 *2.1.3 Experimental Acquisition System Set-up and Procedures*

224 Once we prepare these different systems for image acquisition, we perform the fol-  
225 lowing steps to measure our reference points, then capture images of the reference point  
226 towards calibrating our cameras, and finally record the evolving surface over the dura-  
227 tion of the experiment. We place our four calibration boards on the surface of the soon-  
228 to-grow deposit. We measure the calibration points with the laser scanner as described  
229 above. Then, we move the frame with mounted cameras over the reference point cali-  
230 bration boards and initiate the cameras. That is, we connect all security cameras to a  
231 monitoring device (cell phone) through WiFi. After initiation, each security camera con-  
232 tinuously records videos to a micro SD card installed within the camera. With the help  
233 of the monitoring device, we adjust the positions and orientations of each camera to en-  
234 sure that each camera views at least 10 reference points (Fonstad et al., 2013). Once the  
235 cameras are oriented in a satisfactory way, we allow them to record images of the cali-  
236 bration boards for a few minutes, we then remove the calibration boards without chang-  
237 ing camera positions, and the cameras are ready to record.

## 238 **2.2 Image Analysis**

239 Once we have completed the experiment, we upload all videos to a computer with  
240 Structure from Motion software and other image processing techniques we detail shortly.

### 241 *2.2.1 Structure from Motion (SfM) Image Analysis Technique*

242 Structure from Motion (SfM) is a relatively well-known image analysis technique  
243 that creates 3-D models of object surfaces from 2-D images. We use the commercial Ag-  
244isoft software package to process our images in this way. Originally, it was a technique  
245 developed literally to use multiple images taken of a surface from a moving camera (the  
246 “motion” part of the nomenclature) to reconstruct the 3-D details (structure) of the sur-  
247 face (Ullman, 1979). In fact, it is not the motion of the camera that matters, rather, im-  
248 ages must be taken from multiple vantage points. Since the images must be acquired at  
249 an effectively minimal time difference (in the time scale of an evolving surface), it is more  
250 effective in a fast-changing surface to use multiple cameras as we do in our approach. Then  
251 we can use the SfM technique to convert multiple 2-D images taken at the same time  
252 to create 3-D models of our surface for as many timesteps as we have data. The prob-  
253 lem of reconstructing a 3-D surface from multiple 2-D images has been the focus of a large  
254 body of research in the field of “computer vision”, starting from the seminal paper by  
255 Longuet-Higgins (1981). More modern approaches are reviewed by Özyeşil et al. (2017).

256 Computational algorithms extract 3-D surface features from multiple 2-D images  
257 following several steps. They first extract features (such as corners or edges) from im-  
258 ages and match them across multiple images. Once these features are matched, the rela-  
259 tive camera position and orientation for each image can be computed. These can then  
260 be used to reconstruct the 3-D structure of the scene. One of the advantages of SfM is

261 that it can be done using a relatively inexpensive setup, such as a consumer-grade camera  
 262 (or cameras). We note, however, that the accuracy and quality of the 3-D models  
 263 produced depend on factors such as the number and quality of the input images, the camera  
 264 calibration, and the feature-matching algorithms' accuracy.

265 While the above describes the minimal considerations for such an SfM system, there  
 266 are some common issues in the experiments we designed this technique for that need to  
 267 be considered in the overall design for the technique to be effective. We describe some  
 268 of the details we encountered along with their solutions presently.

### 269 **2.2.2 Additional Components for Image Processing**


270 Several image processing steps are necessary to ensure high-quality photogrammetry  
 271 results. We describe the steps here and provide the Matlab code we use in the Open  
 272 Research section.

273 To start the processing, we first have to calibrate the timeline of the videos from  
 274 each camera with one another. This is not as straightforward as it seems; while the security  
 275 cameras all take pictures at a rate of 1/20 Hz over the 0.05 s duration, some are  
 276 capturing images while others are between images and effectively have their shutters closed.  
 277 In other words, each flash is recorded with various levels in the different cameras. First,  
 278 our code calculates the brightness of each image from the beginning of the movie recorded  
 279 by each camera. Then our code calculates the change of brightness from each image to  
 280 the next in a subset of the images recorded near the same time as the brightest image.  
 281 It uses a threshold to find the biggest positive gradients in this time period. Because of  
 282 the nature of the flashlamp and the cameras, it finds five rising pairs. The fifth rising  
 283 pair indicates the time step of each camera capturing the flashlight performed at the beginning  
 284 of the experiment  $t = 0$ .

285 For all images we want to be processed, i.e., used to create DEM data, we note that  
 286 all camera lenses create optical distortion, and the security cameras with wide fields of  
 287 view have an especially strong fish-eye effect (Figure 3a). However, using images without  
 288 distortion to build three-dimensional topographies is ideal. To correct the perspective  
 289 in the images, we took a set of images of a checkerboard and used the algorithms  
 290 provided by Scaramuzza et al. (2006) to correct the optical distortions for the image data  
 291 recorded by each camera (Figure 3b). We then trim each corrected image down to 559  
 292 x 1359 pixels with a reduced field of view ( $110^\circ$  diagonal,  $105.8^\circ$  horizontal, and  $57.1^\circ$   
 293 vertical) to keep the full rectangular image without blanks (Figure 3c). We then use these  
 294 corrected images for all the steps that follow.

295 After following these steps, we are ready to process the images in the commercial  
 296 SfM software, Agisoft Metashape and to build digital elevation models (DEM) and ortho-  
 297 gonal photos (orthophoto). To do so, we first import the images of the reference points  
 298 (described above in section 2.1) and the coordinates of the reference points to the soft-  
 299 ware. The software detects each image's 12-bit circular reference points and calibrates  
 300 the camera coordinates (positions and rotation angles). We then use a Python script to  
 301 trigger Agisoft Metashape to build the DEMs and orthophotos for multiple time steps  
 302 by batch processing. By using an i5-9500 Intel processor, it takes around 3 minutes to  
 303 complete the process for each time step.

304 To determine the times at which we want high temporal resolution data for our ex-  
 305 periments (described in the next section) we find it useful to first go through this pro-  
 306 cess (correct image distortion, and build and export the data) at a frequency of 1/s for  
 307 the whole duration of the experiment. Based on the results, we can choose a specific part  
 308 of the experiment to repeat this process at a higher frequency for a shorter time inter-  
 309 val, up to a maximum frequency of 20 per second (DEM's of  $\sim 1 \text{ mm}^2$  spatial resolu-  
 310 tion and orthophotos of  $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$  per pixel resolution).



**Figure 3.** Image fish-eye distortion correction. (a) Image from the security camera with a fish-eye effect, (b) undistorted image with blanks, and (c) trimmed full rectangular image without blanks.

### 3 Experimental Fan Set-up


As a testbed for these measurements, we used an experimental setup comprised primarily of a flume-basin system (Figure 4a) nearly the same as that described by Chen et al. (2022). Specifically, a narrow inclined rectangular channel (2m-long  $\times$  0.2m-wide, slope = 0.3) conveys sediment-liquid mixtures to a wide basin (3m-wide  $\times$  5m-long, slope = 0.118). For these experiments, we installed a permeable bed layer (1.8m  $\times$  1.8m) on the basin surface made of rubber drainage tiles and covered by a #200 mesh screen sheet.

The use of photogrammetry in the way we describe herein does not limit the material choice except for certain details described in the previous section. We designed our sediment-fluid mixture for this paper based on a subset of experiments described in Chen et al. (2022) for which conditions were ideal for relatively simple channelization and channel evolution. These mixtures consisted of 50 w% angular sand (specific gravity of 2.65), 4 w% clay (Kaolinite with specific gravity of 2.65), 45.996 w% water, and 0.004 w% Poly-diallyldimethylammonium chloride (PDADMAC), a flocculent.

To ensure a constant mixture throughout the experiment, we premix the liquid mixture (clay/water/flocculent) in a drum and pump it up into a head tank which discharges (at a constant rate) into a funnel mixer operating slightly above the upstream end of the channel. Simultaneously, we use a sediment feeder to input the sand at a constant rate into the same funnel mixer. The entire mixture leaves the funnel well-mixed into the upstream end of the flume and flows to the channel/basin transition (See Chen et al. (2022) for details). The boundary conditions at the channel-to-basin transition loosely resemble those of a transition from canyon-lands to a wide-open depositional area for debris flows. At the transition, there is a sudden decrease in slope and effective width, slowing the progression of the mixture further downslope. The bed permeability allows the liquid to leave the flow from its base and intensifies the reduction of speed at which the remaining mixture travels downslope where it subsequently deposits. As the sand settles in the deposit, it captures some of the clay and the rest drains through the permeable bed. This leaves a soft, moist clay-sand deposit.

As described by Chen et al. (2022), during the first few minutes of these experiments, the experimental debris flows build what becomes the base of the deposit whose surficial features we aim to study. After ten minutes, after sufficient fan growth, the channelization behavior becomes apparently statistically consistent; the details appear closer to a representative of the field cases we aim to understand, and the channelizations and

344 avulsions appear to regularize. Since it is this latter behavior that we designed the SfM  
 345 set-up to measure, we report on deposition details during this 10 to 15-minute period  
 346 of the experiment. The final deposit is approximately cone-shaped and has a plan area  
 347 of  $\approx 1 \text{ m}^2$  (Figure 4b).



**Figure 4.** Debris flow fan experiment. (a) Experiment set-up, (b) photograph of the experimental fan resulting from continuous 15-minute releases with (sand: clay: water) = (50: 4: 46) by weight.

## 348 4 Results: Simultaneous Flow and Topography Measurements

### 349 4.1 Snapshots of Channels and Topography

350 To illustrate some of the basic details captured by the imaging system, we present  
 351 data from six time steps of the debris fan experiment in Figure 5. The first and third  
 352 columns contain the orthophotos (derived from Agisoft-calculated height data) for the  
 353 times noted at the bottom of each. To the right of each orthophoto, the second and fourth  
 354 columns contain slope maps with height contours associated with the same times.

355 The orthophotos contextualize the more quantitative data in the topography data.  
 356 The coloration in these images originates from the natural sediment colors and the dyes.  
 357 There is no relationship between color and topography in these images; rather they help  
 358 us follow the evolution of the flow paths, particularly when they are combined sequen-  
 359 tially to create a movie (see supplementary video).

360 The topography plots in columns two and four of Figure 5 have three sets of in-  
 361 formation distinguished by color scheme: (1) slope data (indicated in the turbo rainbow  
 362 colorbar); (2) elevation data (indicated with thin black contour lines, provided in 5mm  
 363 height increments), and (3) black pixels that indicate missing data as we discuss shortly.  
 364 For the elevation data in these images, we first use Agisoft to calculate the height data  
 365 to a resolution of  $2 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$ . Then we smooth these data using a 10 mm diameter  
 366 moving average filter to remove roughness associated with individual sand particles. We  
 367 then calculate the slope data from the smoothed height data.

368 While strictly speaking, the black pixels contain no height data, we find we can use  
 369 them in conjunction with the orthophotos to help us discern the active channel(s) from

370 the rest of the surface. While there could be many reasons for missing data points from  
 371 SfM processing, in our case we identify two primary regions of concentrated points of  
 372 missing DEM data. The solid black rectangle at the top of each image corresponds to  
 373 a region within the base of the channel for which we cannot obtain local DEM data be-  
 374 cause of local shading issues. Most of the rest of the black pixels correspond to localized  
 375 surface fluid. For relatively isolated missing pixel data, we conjecture reflection from sur-  
 376 face fluid inhibits height calculations. Regions containing high densities of black pixels  
 377 in the height data correspond to the channelized flow, verifiable in the movie of joined  
 378 orthophotos (see supplemental video).

379 Considering all of this, we revisit the snapshots in Figure 5 for insight into some  
 380 channel dynamics from the corresponding time interval during our experiment. Figures  
 381 5a-b indicate that, at  $\approx 622$  seconds into the experiment, two active channels coexisted  
 382 on the surface, as indicated with arrows in Figure 5b. Additional analysis described be-  
 383 low indicates that one was an established longer-lasting channel (arrow 1) and the other  
 384 was a smaller narrow more short-lived channel (arrow 2). From Figures 5c-f we can see  
 385 that during the next  $\approx 10$  s, the primary channel gradually migrated toward the center  
 386 of the fan while the secondary channel disappeared. At  $t \approx 634$  s (Figures 5i-j), the  
 387 primary channel avulsed, relatively suddenly, to the left of the center of the fan. From  
 388 the topography maps of the previous time steps, we can see this avulsion was spatially  
 389 associated with a narrow region – a “gully” – with higher slopes (green color) than the  
 390 neighboring areas. That is, after the quick avulsion, the new location of the channel cor-  
 391 responded to the location of this gully. With additional analysis, we present in Section  
 392 4.2, we found that the primary flow followed the channel in the gully a bit longer un-  
 393 til it avulsed again.


394 These snapshots provide valuable information about the location and evolution of  
 395 channels and associated slope maps. In these snapshots, we see evidence of both slow  
 396 and fast channel avulsion and associated channel changes. Nevertheless, the snapshots  
 397 in and of themselves are limited in how they give us insights on the timescales of the avul-  
 398 sions. Even more valuable information comes from our ability to combine the relative  
 399 high-speed DEM data from this technique into a form that represents a more continu-  
 400 ous view of the evolution of the morphology. In the next section, we provide an exam-  
 401 ple of the type of data that can be discerned from a more continuous presentation of the  
 402 time evolution.

## 403 4.2 Spatio-Temporal Plots of Surface Features

404 We can combine high temporal resolution data into spatio-temporal plots to elu-  
 405 civate the time dependence(s) of the co-evolution of surface topography and channel avul-  
 406 sions. In Figure 6 we illustrate the additional insight such plots can provide in our demon-  
 407 stration fan case.

408 We illustrate how this might be used with a focused analysis of evolution in the  
 409 central region of the fan (Figure 6) rather than the fan apex or toe region, where chang-  
 410 ing boundary conditions influence flow details. Towards this, we use DEM data (such  
 411 as those in Figure 5) that is restricted to the region of the surface that is both more than  
 412 300mm from the fan apex and whose height above the permeable base is more than 30mm.  
 413 We illustrate the region of interest in the top row of Figure 6. We then average slope data  
 414 in this region of each figure in the radial direction. We use the polar coordinate system  
 415 for which the origin  $r = O$  is located at the fixed apex of the fan;  $r$  increases outward  
 416 from the apex in the plan view of the orthophoto, and  $\phi = 0$  set along the center line  
 417 of the fan and increases counterclockwise.

418 We can plot these together in a spatio-temporal plot. We plot the radially-averaged  
 419 fan slope from this central region as a function of both  $\phi$  and  $t$  in Figures 6d-e. The data  
 420 are plotted in Figure 6d based on resolutions of  $\Delta\phi = 0.05$  rad and  $1/20$ s for the same




**Figure 5.** Two series of fan data from photos taken 3 sec apart at approximately 10.5 minutes from the start of the discharge as noted in the first and third columns. Columns 1 and 3: orthophotos constructed from the data at times (in sec) indicated in bottom left corners. Colors are from dye injections during the experiments, not indicative of any particular features. Columns 2 and 4: Topography maps for the same six time steps. Coloration indicates local slopes via a color bar at the bottom right. Regularly-spaced black curved line: elevation contours with 5mm elevation spacing. The black bar near the center top is indicative of the bottom of the channel. Other black regions on the interior of the fan indicate regions for which no DEM data was obtained at that time step, likely due to surface moisture and reflectance. White arrows indicate outlets of two channels.

421 time represented by the panels in Figure 5, that is, between 600 and 660 seconds. For  
 422 Figure 6e, we plot these for one frame per second for the time frame between 600 and  
 423 900 seconds.

424 Superposed on the slope map, we plot the location of the flowing regions in greyscale.  
 425 Since we cannot precisely identify the flows by the DEM data, we use the information  
 426 from the distorted pixel regions (black in Figure 5) to approximate these data. We do  
 427 so by a modified smoothing algorithm. For each pixel, we consider the number of distorted  
 428 pixels within a circular region of radius 20 mm (approximately 316 pixels). If more  
 429 than 75% of those nearby pixels are distorted in the DEM we plot that central pixel as  
 430 one with surface flows (pink in Figures 6a-c). For each time step, we count the number  
 431 of pixels in each solid angle and plot it based on the greyscale defined at the right of Fig-  
 432 ure 6e. Many other choices for this spatially-averaged spatio-temporal plot; for this ex-  
 433 ample, we consider this framework and then we discuss effects of alternative settings briefly  
 434 in Section 5.2.

435 For ease in interpretation of these images, we draw the reader's attention to a few  
 436 details. At approximately 620 s (the time of the first panels in both Figure 5 and 6) two  
 437 channels are apparent in the spatio-temporal plot, highlighted with white arrows in Fig-  
 438 ure 5 and black in the spatio-temporal plots in Figures 6d-e. Channel 2 (at approximately



**Figure 6.** Avulsion analysis. (a)-(c) Illustration of the regions of analysis. Colored region: regions of slope analysis that are not adjacent to the fan apex and toe. Orange line: the ellipse that defines the region adjacent to the fan apex. Pink region: captured channels that are not adjacent to the fan apex. Red line: regression line for the pink region. (d)-(e) Spatio-temporal plot of the measures of slope and channel location. Thick dashed lines in (d):  $t = 622$  and  $637$  seconds. Thin dash line in (d): the angular coordinates of the end of the regression line. Thick dash in (e):  $t = 622, 637,$  and  $687$  seconds.

439  $5/36 \pi$ ) is a minor channel and, from the spatio-temporal plot, appears to be a remnant  
 440 from an earlier flow and disappears shortly after  $t = 622$  s. Channel 1 is a more sig-  
 441 nificant channel and appears to be migrating at a roughly steady pace toward the fan  
 442 center. At approximately 635 s, we see evidence of a much faster avulsion, just cross-  
 443 ing the center line of the fan in Figure 6b. When we consider the slope map inform-  
 444 ation along with the channel information in this case, we see that the new location is one  
 445 associated with a higher slope prior to this avulsion (white ellipse in Figures 6a and d).  
 446 When the channel avulses away from this location at approximately 645 s, we can see  
 447 that the channel left behind a region of lower slope (blue rather than green at  $\phi \approx 0$ ).  
 448 These details provide evidence of the mechanics behind channel avulsion.


449 We now turn our attention to the longer-time plot in Figure 6e. In this plot, we  
 450 can see many similar sequences, particularly between angles of  $\phi = -\pi/4$  to  $+\pi/4$  in-  
 451 dicated this is a predominant sequencing: a higher slope appears to motivate channel  
 452 avulsion to the region, and, subsequently, the channel deposits downstream leading to  
 453 a lower slope. In addition to the occurrence at  $\phi \approx 0$  between  $\approx 635$  s to 645 s men-  
 454 tioned above, we see evidence of a similar event at  $\phi \approx \pi/4$  between  $t \approx 730$  s and  
 455 760s. There is a wealth of information that can be mined in these plots for further mod-  
 456 eling of these systems. For example, there are also times channel avulsion events increase  
 457 the local slope (e.g., at  $\approx \phi = -3\pi/8$  when  $t \approx 670$  s.) We can use these maps to get  
 458 data for the rate at which channels traverse azimuthally for the slow avulsions. For ex-  
 459 ample, we may calculate an *effective slope* of the avulsion path on the spatio-temporal  
 460 plots to get the rate of azimuthal movement vs time.

461 These provide a context for interpreting avulsion events. They can be somewhat  
 462 limited by the choices of spatial averaging that was performed. However, with further  
 463 analysis of different resolutions we can derive many additional types of information to  
 464 build a more robust set of models for avulsions on fans.

## 465 5 Discussion

### 466 5.1 Data Resolution and Comparison to Data from Laser Profilometry 467 for Particular Time Steps

468 In this section, we aim to compare the quality of the DEMs generated by our SfM  
 469 method with those generated by laser profilometry, while also discussing the crucial role  
 470 of the number of cameras used in constructing the DEM. Figure 7a displays the topog-  
 471 raphy map of the final deposit produced by the SfM method, revealing some noise on  
 472 the permeable bed due to reflections, where patterns are challenging to identify. The red-  
 473 scale slope illustrates the fan surface morphology distinctly. Figure 7b presents the top-  
 474 ography map obtained by laser scanning after a period for drainage after the experi-  
 475 ment, covering part of the fan due to conflicts in the basin and laser scan system con-  
 476 figurations. The laser scan, with a 0.5 mm per pixel resolution, effectively captures the  
 477 permeable bed without noise and depicts a rugged fan surface with higher local slopes  
 478 attributed to sediment particles.



**Figure 7.** Results for the final fan deposit. (a) topography map generated from the photogrammetry method. Red scale presents the surface slope. Black line: elevation contours with 5mm elevation spacing. (b) Topography map generated from the laser scan method. Symbolic as panel a.

479 Qualitatively, the SfM method results mirror the characteristics and shape of laser  
 480 profilometry. In quantity comparison, we subtract the DEM captured by SfM from the

481 laser scan-based DEM, showcasing differences in Figure 8c, with the red line represent-  
482 ing the outer boundary of the fan. SfM result provides elevation data for 93.8 % of the  
483 region with laser scan elevation data and 99.7 % within the fan boundary. Notably, both  
484 methods yield closely aligned results in most regions on the fan surface, with larger ver-  
485 tical differences occurring mainly on the permeable bed outside the fan boundary and  
486 along the fan toe with steep slopes. Figure 8d presents the distribution of vertical dif-  
487 ferences, showing mean absolute values of 1.37 mm for the entire basin and 1.34 mm for  
488 the fan region.

489 These results demonstrate that within our experimental setup, our method con-  
490 structs topography with comparable high accuracy to laser profilometry, and it achieves  
491 this in less than one second per image acquisition, offering continuous measurement op-  
492 portunities with the same precision.

493 The determination of the camera count emerges as a pivotal factor influencing DEM  
494 precision. To understand this impact, we systematically manipulated the number of cam-  
495 eras, examining resulting variations in spatial coverage and computing height dispari-  
496 ties when contrasted with laser scan data. This comparative analysis spans the entire  
497 basin, focusing on the fan region.

498 To compute camera coverage, we leveraged calibrated camera coordinates and ori-  
499 entations in the SfM software, projecting the reduced field of view of each camera onto  
500 the inclined planar surface aligned with the permeable bed. Figure 8b illustrates non-  
501 uniform camera view times in the domain, with most regions where fan material was de-  
502 posited viewed by at least 8 cameras and some by up to 28 cameras. The average cam-  
503 era view times in the fan region were 18.9.


504 Reducing the camera count to 52 cameras lowered the average views in the fan re-  
505 gion to 13.9 (ranging from 6 to 22). Under these conditions, the photogrammetry method  
506 showed increased disparities from laser scan data, particularly near the fan toe and on  
507 the permeable bed, with more regions lacking photogrammetry data (11.1 % in areas with  
508 laser scan elevation data and 0.52 % within the fan boundary with laser scan data). The  
509 distribution of vertical differences, presented in Figure 8h, showed mean absolute val-  
510 ues of 1.46 mm for the entire basin and 1.41 mm for the fan region.

511 Further reducing the camera count to 38 (as shown in Figure 8i) resulted in an av-  
512 erage of 9.4 camera views in the fan region (ranging from 3 to 15). This condition ac-  
513 centuated disparities between photogrammetry and laser scan data, with more regions  
514 lacking photogrammetry data (18.7 % in areas with laser scan elevation data and 4.8 %  
515 within the fan boundary with laser scan data). The distribution of vertical differences  
516 in Figure 8l showed mean absolute values of 2.11 mm for the entire basin and 2.48 mm  
517 for the fan region distribution.

518 As shown in Figure 8, our findings indicate a substantial decrease in accuracy and  
519 an increase in missing data as the number of cameras is reduced. The straightforward  
520 recommendation for improved measurements is to employ more cameras, assuming that  
521 the camera quality adequately resolves details on the target. It is crucial to note that  
522 this suggestion is contingent upon the assumption that the camera resolution is sufficiently  
523 high to capture intricate details on the target. In instances where the camera resolution  
524 is inadequate for this purpose, increasing the camera count will not enhance the preci-  
525 sion of the DEMs.

## 526 5.2 Discussion of Channel Identification


527 This study demonstrates the potential application of high-resolution DEMs in in-  
528 vestigating the avulsion process on debris fans. However, identifying the channel presents  
529 challenges, introducing uncertainties in understanding this complex process. As the con-



**Figure 8.** Effect of reducing camera numbers. Column 1: camera arrangements. Solid block: positions of cameras selected to use. Open block: positions of cameras not selected to use. Column 2: camera view times on the plane aligned with the permeable bed. Red line: fan boundary. Column 3: elevation differences between the data measured by the SfM method and the laser scan. Column 4: distribution of the elevation differences between the two methods. Black: data in the basin with laser scan data; red: data in the fan region where there are laser scan data. Row 1: 70 cameras, Row 2: 52 cameras, Row 3: 38 cameras.

530 construction of DEMs on water surfaces is unfeasible, an alternative approach involves uti-  
 531 lizing information from nearby pixels to identify the channel location. This is accomplished  
 532 by implementing a modified smoothing algorithm with two key parameters: a radius deno-  
 533 tated as  $r$  and a threshold ratio denoted as  $p$  applied to the topography. For each pixel,  
 534 the algorithm considers the number of distorted pixels within a circular region of radius  
 535  $r$ . If more than the ratio  $p$  of nearby pixels are distorted, the central pixel is flagged as  
 536 exhibiting surface flows (depicted in pink in Figures 6a-c). The chosen parameter val-  
 537 ues ( $r = 20$  mm and  $p = 0.75$ ) in this study specifically target the avulsion of medium  
 538 and large channels. The results of the algorithm are illustrated by varying  $r$  between 15,  
 539 20, and 25 mm and  $p$  between 0.5, 0.75, and 0.95 in Figure 9, accompanied by a thor-  
 540 ough discussion of the effects of these two parameters.

541 Examining the impact of increasing the ratio  $p$  in Figure 9, channels identified by  
 542 the algorithm exhibit a narrowing trend from the left to the right column. Notably, the  
 543 offset between the identified channels (depicted in pink) and the distorted pixels'  
 544 boundaries (depicted in black) becomes more pronounced.



**Figure 9.** Channel capture. Colored region: regions of slope analysis that are not adjacent to the fan apex and toe. Orange line: the ellipse that defines the region adjacent to the fan apex. Pink region: captured channels that are not adjacent to the fan apex.

545 Further analysis focuses on the effects of increasing the radius  $r$  in Figure 9. Mov-  
 546 ing from the top to the bottom row, the influence of the surroundings intensifies. Small  
 547 channels are notably diminished (illustrated by the scattered channels in panels a, d, and  
 548 g). Medium channels exhibit reduced width (observed in the primary channels in pan-  
 549 els b, c, e, f, h, and i). Conversely, large channels demonstrate less susceptibility to these  
 550 changes (illustrated in the primary channels in panels a, d, and g). In summary, adjust-  
 551 ing  $r$  and  $p$  can enhance the capture of small channels, while larger values of  $r$  and  $p$  are  
 552 more effective in focusing on larger channels.

### 553 5.3 Addressing Limitations and other Future Directions

554 In the course of this investigation, we have presented an innovative and cost-effective  
 555 methodology for the construction of high-resolution Digital Elevation Models (DEMs)  
 556 across both temporal and spatial dimensions. This methodology has provided a robust  
 557 foundation for a comprehensive exploration of the intricate dynamics involved in the chan-  
 558 nel avulsion process. However, it is incumbent upon us to acknowledge and address cer-  
 559 tain inherent limitations in our approach.

560 Primarily, the current reliance on a flash lamp for camera synchronization intro-  
561 duces a temporal precision constraint, tethered to the frame rate of the camera and the  
562 duration of the flash. This constraint poses a limitation on the applicability of our method  
563 to subjects characterized by a movement whose critical details are faster than the frame  
564 rate of the camera. The part of the post-processing that links a particular frame from  
565 each camera to all cameras has the limitation of likely being slightly out of synch over  
566 the time step of  $1 / (\text{frame rate})$ . Furthermore, such cameras have frame rates approx-  
567 imately as specified, so when the initial post-flash images are linked from all cameras at  
568 the beginning of the run, they progressively become out of step, which may become se-  
569 rious for longer run requirements. At present, this can be addressed by periodic man-  
570 ual resynchronization at specific times during data processing, but for a longer run this  
571 can become tedious. To surmount this constraint and enhance temporal precision, we  
572 advocate for the exploration and implementation of new synchronization methods. A ju-  
573 dicious selection of synchronization techniques can potentially broaden the scope of our  
574 methodology, making it adaptable to a wider array of dynamic phenomena.

575 Furthermore, as alluded to earlier in this discourse, the presence of water reflec-  
576 tion poses additional problems for analysis, potentially compromising measurement ac-  
577 curacy. This issue is particularly pronounced in experiments with higher water content,  
578 where the introduction of noise to the DEM becomes a pertinent concern. Mitigating  
579 this challenge, particularly for experiments with pervasively high water content, will re-  
580 quire strategic intervention. We suggest the application of polarizers attached to all cam-  
581 era lenses, which may provide a practical solution to minimize reflections from the wa-  
582 ter surface. We plan to incorporate this optical tool to enhance the precision and reli-  
583 ability of our measurements, ensuring the fidelity of the DEM even in conditions with  
584 heightened water content.

585 Leveraging the methodology we have devised, we find its versatility in measuring  
586 diverse experimental scenarios marked by swift alterations in topography. The signif-  
587 icance of this capability becomes pronounced in processes demanding continuous mea-  
588 surement, where any interruptions in experimentation can yield substantial discrepan-  
589 cies. Notable instances encompass the assessment of dam breach processes (Rifai et al.,  
590 2016, 2020), the investigation of river avulsion phenomena on floodplains (Bryant et al.,  
591 1995), and the scrutiny of the evolving fan deposition in debris flows occurring at the  
592 confluence of trunk rivers and tributaries (Hsu, 2018). In these contexts, the continu-  
593 ous and uninterrupted application of our methodology proves invaluable, enabling a com-  
594 prehensive understanding of the intricate and dynamic topographical transformations.  
595 By extending our methodological application to these diverse scenarios, we pave the way  
596 for nuanced insights into the temporal and spatial dynamics of these natural processes.

597 In the future, we plan to expand the versatility of our methodology beyond topog-  
598 raphy evolution by incorporating Particle Tracking Velocimetry (PTV) into the image  
599 analysis. By concurrently capturing topographical changes and particle locations from  
600 various camera angles, our approach enables the effective tracking of surface particles.  
601 This integration facilitates the construction of a detailed and nuanced depth-averaged  
602 3-D velocity field, offering insights into the dynamic behaviors of particles within the stud-  
603 ied environment. In essence, our methodology provides a multifaceted toolkit for nuanced  
604 experimentation and analysis, transcending the boundaries of traditional measurement  
605 techniques. As we continue to refine and expand our approach, we anticipate its broader  
606 application across a spectrum of dynamic processes, contributing to the advancement  
607 of scientific understanding and exploration.

## 608 **6 Summary**

609 This paper introduces an innovative, cost-effective, and high-speed photogramme-  
610 try method tailored for capturing a diverse array of geomorphological processes, encom-

611 passing sediment transport, deposition, and topographic evolution, specifically empha-  
 612 sating rapidly unfolding phenomena. The method’s core components include many af-  
 613 fordable cameras, spatial calibration reference points, and flashlight signals for precise  
 614 temporal calibration. Utilizing data from these devices with commercial photogramme-  
 615 try software, such as Agisoft Metashape, facilitates the creation of high-resolution spa-  
 616 tial and temporal datasets. The study is centered on the application of this method in  
 617 laboratory debris flow fans, showcasing its capacity to comprehensively capture the mor-  
 618 phodynamic development, including orthogonal images and DEMs at 1/20-second in-  
 619 tervals for  $\sim 1 \text{ m}^2$  debris flow fans constructed over 15 minutes. Remarkably, the method  
 620 adeptly records channels and their avulsion processes, capturing both sudden and grad-  
 621 ual avulsions. The dynamic topography data obtained enables an in-depth investigation  
 622 into the mechanisms governing these processes, offering valuable insights into the co-evolution  
 623 of fan morphology and channels. While the experimental results illustrate the potential  
 624 to explore correlations between avulsion processes and topographic changes, it is essen-  
 625 tial to acknowledge the paper’s focus on a single experiment. Moreover, the paper un-  
 626 derscores the method’s inspirational potential, particularly its accessibility due to its low  
 627 cost, making it a viable tool for a broader audience engaged in physics-based understand-  
 628 ing and hazard modeling through readily available datasets.

## 629 7 Open Research

630 The Matlab codes for camera synchronization, raw videos of all cameras for the ex-  
 631 periment, coordinates of the reference points, and example topography data of the ex-  
 632 periment (DEM in 1 fps for 600-900 seconds) are available at Chen et al. (2024),  
 633 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10421490>.

634 The Python script for triggering the batch processing in Agisoft Metashape used  
 635 in this paper is built according to Metashape Python Reference (Agisoft LLC, 2019).

## 636 Acknowledgments

637 The researchers express their gratitude for the kind and valuable aid provided by the re-  
 638 search team at St. Anthony Falls Laboratory. This project received financial support from  
 639 the Young Scholar Fellowship Program of the National Science and Technology Coun-  
 640 cil (NSTC) in Taiwan, grant number 111-2636-M-005-001, and the National Science Foun-  
 641 dation (NSF), grant numbers EAR-1451957 and EAR-2127476.

## 642 References

- 643 Agisoft LLC. (2019). *Metashape python reference, release 1.6.0* [Book]. Agisoft  
 644 LLC. Retrieved from [https://www.agisoft.com/pdf/metashape\\_python\\_api\\_1.6.0.pdf](https://www.agisoft.com/pdf/metashape_python_api_1.6.0.pdf) (last access: 14 February 2022)
- 645 Balaguer-Puig, M., Ángel Marqués-Mateu, Lerma, J. L., & Ibáñez-Asensio, S.  
 646 (2017). Estimation of small-scale soil erosion in laboratory experiments with  
 647 structure from motion photogrammetry. *Geomorphology*, *295*, 285-296. doi:  
 648 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.04.035>
- 649 Bryant, M., Falk, P., & Paola, C. (1995). Experimental study of avulsion frequency  
 650 and rate of deposition. *Geology*, *23*(4), 365–368.
- 651 Chen, T.-Y. K., Hung, C.-Y., Mullenbach, J., & Hill, K. (2022). Influence of fine  
 652 particle content in debris flows on alluvial fan morphology. *Scientific Reports*,  
 653 *12*(1), 21730.
- 654 Chen, T.-Y. K., Hung, C.-Y., Scheiber, M., & Hill, K. (2024). *Model codes and*  
 655 *data for “Low-cost High-Speed Photogrammetry for Measuring Dynamic Flow*  
 656 *Deposits”*. Zenodo. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10421490
- 657 de Haas, T., Densmore, A., Stoffel, M., Suwa, H., Imaizumi, F., Ballesteros-Cánovas,  
 658

- 659 J., & Wasklewicz, T. (2018). Avulsions and the spatio-temporal evolution of  
660 debris-flow fans. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *177*, 53–75.
- 661 de Haas, T., van den Berg, W., Braat, L., & Kleinhans, M. G. (2016a). Autogenic  
662 avulsion, channelization and backfilling dynamics of debris-flow fans. *Sedimen-*  
663 *tology*, *63*(6), 1596–1619.
- 664 de Haas, T., van den Berg, W., Braat, L., & Kleinhans, M. G. (2016b). Autogenic  
665 avulsion, channelization and backfilling dynamics of debris-flow fans. *Sedimen-*  
666 *tology*, *63*(6), 1596–1619. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12275>
- 667 Dietrich, A. (2020). *Debris flows in the northern alps—activity, erosivity and an-*  
668 *ticipated volumes* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Technische Universität  
669 München.
- 670 Dietrich, A., & Krautblatter, M. (2019). Deciphering controls for debris-flow erosion  
671 derived from a lidar-recorded extreme event and a calibrated numerical model  
672 (roßbichelbach, germany). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, *44*(6),  
673 1346–1361.
- 674 Eltner, A., Elias, M., Sardemann, H., & Spieler, D. (2018). Automatic image-  
675 based water stage measurement for long-term observations in ungauged  
676 catchments. *Water Resources Research*, *54*(12), 10,362–10,371. doi:  
677 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023913>
- 678 Filhol, S., Perret, A., Girod, L., Sutter, G., Schuler, T. V., & Burkhart, J. F. (2019).  
679 Time-lapse photogrammetry of distributed snow depth during snowmelt.  
680 *Water Resources Research*, *55*(9), 7916–7926. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024530)  
681 [2018WR024530](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024530)
- 682 Fonstad, M. A., Dietrich, J. T., Courville, B. C., Jensen, J. L., & Carbonneau, P. E.  
683 (2013). Topographic structure from motion: a new development in photogram-  
684 metric measurement. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, *38*(4), 421–430.  
685 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3366>
- 686 Franzini, F., Soares-Frazão, S., & Capart, H. (2016). Measurement of the free-  
687 surface elevation in a steady flow in complex topography using photogramme-  
688 try. In *River flow* (pp. 581–587).
- 689 Guo, M., Shi, H., Zhao, J., Liu, P., Welbourne, D., & Lin, Q. (2016). Digital  
690 close range photogrammetry for the study of rill development at flume scale.  
691 *CATENA*, *143*, 265–274. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.03.036>
- 692 Hsu, H.-C. (2018). *Debris flow fan morphodynamics at a confluence in a mobile bed*  
693 *channel* (Master Thesis). National Chung Hsing University.
- 694 Huang, M. Y. F., Huang, A. Y. L., & Capart, H. (2010). Joint mapping of bed  
695 elevation and flow depth in microscale morphodynamics experiments. *Experi-*  
696 *ments in Fluids*, *49*, 1121–1134.
- 697 Hung, C.-Y., & Capart, H. (2013). Rotating laser scan method to measure the tran-  
698 sient free-surface topography of small-scale debris flows. *Experiments in fluids*,  
699 *54*, 1–17.
- 700 Iverson, R. M., Logan, M., LaHusen, R. G., & Berti, M. (2010). The perfect debris  
701 flow? aggregated results from 28 large-scale experiments. *Journal of Geophysi-*  
702 *cal Research: Earth Surface*, *115*(F3).
- 703 Jiang, Y., Shi, H., Wen, Z., Guo, M., Zhao, J., Cao, X., ... Zheng, C. (2020). The  
704 dynamic process of slope rill erosion analyzed with a digital close range pho-  
705 togrammetry observation system under laboratory conditions. *Geomorphology*,  
706 *350*, 106893. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106893>
- 707 Kaitna, R., Palucis, M. C., Yohannes, B., Hill, K. M., & Dietrich, W. E. (2016).  
708 Effects of coarse grain size distribution and fine particle content on pore fluid  
709 pressure and shear behavior in experimental debris flows. *Journal of Geophysi-*  
710 *cal Research: Earth Surface*, *121*(2), 415–441.
- 711 Lane, S. N. (2000). The measurement of river channel morphology using digital pho-  
712 togrammetry. *The Photogrammetric Record*, *16*(96), 937–961. doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1111/0031-868X.00159)  
713 [.org/10.1111/0031-868X.00159](https://doi.org/10.1111/0031-868X.00159)

- 714 Leenman, A., & Eaton, B. (2021). Mechanisms for avulsion on alluvial fans: In-  
 715 sights from high-frequency topographic data. *Earth Surface Processes and*  
 716 *Landforms*, 46(6), 1111–1127.
- 717 Longuet-Higgins, H. C. (1981). A computer algorithm for reconstructing a scene  
 718 from two projections. *Nature*, 293(5828), 133–135.
- 719 Mallet, C., & Bretar, F. (2009). Full-waveform topographic lidar: State-of-the-  
 720 art. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 64(1), 1-16. doi:  
 721 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2008.09.007>
- 722 Martin, J., Sheets, B., Paola, C., & Hoyal, D. (2009). Influence of steady base-level  
 723 rise on channel mobility, shoreline migration, and scaling properties of a co-  
 724 hesive experimental delta. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,  
 725 114(F3).
- 726 Morgan, J. A., Brogan, D. J., & Nelson, P. A. (2017). Application of structure-from-  
 727 motion photogrammetry in laboratory flumes. *Geomorphology*, 276, 125-143.  
 728 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.021>
- 729 Özyeşil, O., Voroninski, V., Basri, R., & Singer, A. (2017). A survey of structure  
 730 from motion\*. *Acta Numerica*, 26, 305–364.
- 731 Paola, C., Straub, K., Mohrig, D., & Reinhardt, L. (2009). The “unreasonable effec-  
 732 tiveness” of stratigraphic and geomorphic experiments. *Earth-Science Reviews*,  
 733 97(1-4), 1–43.
- 734 Piton, G., Recking, A., Le Coz, J., Bellot, H., Hauet, A., & Jodeau, M. (2018).  
 735 Reconstructing depth-averaged open-channel flows using image velocimetry  
 736 and photogrammetry. *Water Resources Research*, 54(6), 4164-4179. doi:  
 737 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017WR021314>
- 738 Polvi, L. E. (2021). Morphodynamics of boulder-bed semi-alluvial streams in  
 739 northern fennoscandia: A flume experiment to determine sediment self-  
 740 organization. *Water Resources Research*, 57(3), e2020WR028859. doi:  
 741 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028859>
- 742 Rifai, I., Erpicum, S., Archambeau, P., Violeau, D., Pirotton, M., Abderrezzak,  
 743 K. E. K., & Dewals, B. (2016). Monitoring topography of laboratory fluvial  
 744 dike models subjected to breaching based on a laser profilometry technique. In  
 745 *River sedimentation* (pp. 380–386). CRC Press.
- 746 Rifai, I., Erpicum, S., Archambeau, P., Violeau, D., Pirotton, M., El Kadi Abder-  
 747 rezzak, K., & Dewals, B. (2017). Overtopping induced failure of noncohesive,  
 748 homogeneous fluvial dikes. *Water Resources Research*, 53(4), 3373-3386. doi:  
 749 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR020053>
- 750 Rifai, I., Schmitz, V., Erpicum, S., Archambeau, P., Violeau, D., Pirotton, M., ...  
 751 El Kadi Abderrezzak, K. (2020). Continuous monitoring of fluvial dike breach-  
 752 ing by a laser profilometry technique. *Water Resources Research*, 56(10),  
 753 e2019WR026941.
- 754 Scaramuzza, D., Martinelli, A., & Siegwart, R. (2006). A toolbox for easily calibrat-  
 755 ing omnidirectional cameras. In *2006 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intel-*  
 756 *ligent Robots and Systems* (p. 5695-5701). doi: 10.1109/IROS.2006.282372
- 757 Ullman, S. (1979). The interpretation of structure from motion. *Proceedings of the*  
 758 *Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences*, 203(1153), 405–426.
- 759 Webb, R. W., Jennings, K. S., Fend, M., & Molotch, N. P. (2018). Combining  
 760 ground-penetrating radar with terrestrial lidar scanning to estimate the  
 761 spatial distribution of liquid water content in seasonal snowpacks. *Water*  
 762 *Resources Research*, 54(12), 10,339-10,349. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR022680)  
 763 [2018WR022680](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR022680)
- 764 Westoby, M., Brasington, J., Glasser, N., Hambrey, M., & Reynolds, J. (2012).  
 765 ‘structure-from-motion’ photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geo-  
 766 science applications. *Geomorphology*, 179, 300-314. doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021)  
 767 [10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021)
- 768 Yang, C.-H., Tsai, M.-H., Kang, S.-C., & Hung, C.-Y. (2018). Uav path plan-

- 769           ning method for digital terrain model reconstruction – a debris fan example.  
770           *Automation in Construction*, 93, 214-230.           doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.05.024)  
771           j.autcon.2018.05.024  
772       Yanites, B. J., Webb, R. H., Griffiths, P. G., & Magirl, C. S. (2006). Debris flow de-  
773           position and reworking by the colorado river in grand canyon, arizona.   *Water*  
774           *Resources Research*, 42(11). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004847>